



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Investigation the Role of Exchange Rate Volatility on Iran's Agricultural Exports (Case Study: Date, Pistachio and Saffron)

M. Goudarzi, K. Khanarinejad, Z. Ardakani

Department of Agricultural Economics, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran

Abstract

Modern methods of quantitative risk analysis, specifically value-at-risk and expected shortfall approach, provide comprehensive and coherent risk evaluation throughout entire distribution of outcomes and can take agricultural business from the realm of uncertainty to specific, quantified risks. Monte Carlo simulation with autocorrelation of standard deviation shows the best results in risk modeling and is used for this research. The analysis showed that production risk is systemic within climatic regions of Ukraine with coefficients of correlation ranging from 0.25 to 0.85. Yield correlation among crops in several oblasts is low to negative, creating opportunities for diversification. However, positive price-yield correlation is dominant for agricultural products in Ukraine due to high dependency on global prices and a large share of export. It is hypothesized that price-yield correlation is directly proportional to the share of country's international trade in that agricultural product.

Key words

Production risk, price risk, value-at-risk in agriculture, expected shortfall.

Introduction

Trade is widely accepted as a major engine of economic growth (Omojimite & Akpokodje, 2010). The relationship between exchange rate and trade flows has been studied in a large number of theoretical and empirical papers. It is commonly accepted that the movements of the real exchange rate have a permanent effect on exports and imports. The widespread popular perception, that greater exchange rate risk reduces trade, has helped motivate monetary unification in Europe and is strongly related to currency market intervention by central bank (Bayoumi & Eichengreen, 1998; Hosseinipour & Moghaddasi, 2010). Most current microstructural and theoretical models of exporter behavior predict a negative relationship between exchange rate risk and volumes of trade, reflected in the conditional variance of exchange rate and export volumes (Barloulas et al., 2002). The increase in exchange rate volatility is widely believed to have detrimental effects on international trade and thus has a negative economic impact.

If exchange rate movements are not fully anticipated, an increase in exchange rate volatility may lead risk-averse agents to reduce their international trading activities. The presumption of a negative

nexus between exchange rate volatility and trade is an argument routinely used by proponents of managed or fixed exchange rates (Chit et al., 2008).

Yet a vast economic literature yields highly inconsistent empirical results on this issue. One common argument is that exporters can easily ensure against short-run exchange rate fluctuations through financial markets, while it is much more difficult and expensive to hedge against long-run risk. Cho et al. (2002) for example, demonstrate that long-run changes in exchange rates seem to have more significant impacts on trade volumes than do short-run exchange rate fluctuations that can be hedged at low cost.

On the other hand, Vianne and De Vires (1992) show that even if hedging instruments are available, short-run exchange rate volatility still affects trade because it increases the risk premium in the forward exchange rate. Doroodian (1990), Mundell (2000), and Wei (1999) argue that hedging is both imperfect and costly as a basis to avoid exchange rate risk, particularly in developing countries and for smaller firms more likely to face liquidity constraints. This leads to the conventional argument that exchange rate volatility causes revenue uncertainty that will dampen trade due to risk aversion, irreversible investment in productive capital, or both (Demers,

1991; Sercu & Vanhulst, 1992).

The dependence of Iran on crude oil exports had important implications for the Iran's economy since the oil market is a highly volatile one. For example, being dependent on the exports of crude oil, the Iran's economy became subject to the vicissitudes and vagaries of the international oil market so that international oil price shocks were immediately felt in the domestic economy. Coupled with this, Iran has implemented a different exchange rate system that engendered overvaluation of the domestic currency, serving as a disincentive for increased exports through non-competitiveness of the country's non-oil exports. On the other hand, the overvalued exchange rate enhanced imports thereby exacerbating the already precarious balance of payment position (Biria, & Jebelameli, 2006).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly reviews the theoretical and empirical literature on the impact of exchange rate volatility on international trade. Section 3 presents the research methodology. First a simple model is specified to investigate the impact of exchange rate volatility on exports. Then data sources, definitions of variables, and econometric methods are discussed. Section 4 presents the estimation results and the discussion. Section 5 draws conclusions.

Theoretical and empirical literature

There exists an abundance of studies on the topic that have been undertaken internationally, both at theoretical and empirical levels. Two most popular and related approaches have been used in the analysis of trade and exchange rate volatility. One approach is to estimate a simple export demand equation generally with real exports as a dependent variable and exchange rate volatility together with relative prices and a measure of economic activity variable as regressors. The other approach is to use the so-called gravity equation model (Hosseinipour & Moghaddasi, 2010).

Chit et al. (2008) employed a generalized gravity model that combined a traditional long-run export demand model with gravity type variables to analyze the impact of bilateral real exchange rate volatility on real exports of five emerging East Asian countries among themselves as well as to thirteen industrialized countries. In the empirical analysis they used a panel comprising 25 years of quarterly data and perform unit root and co-integration tests to verify the long-run relationship among the regression variables. The results provided strong evidence that exchange rate volatility has a negative impact on the exports of emerging East

Asian countries.

Doyle (2001) used error correction model to estimate Irish exports to Britain. He found that both real and nominal exchange rate volatility are significant determinants of changes in total exports and in a number of sectors. Both positive and negative short-run elasticities for exchange rate volatility were estimated, although positive elasticities predominate.

Exchange rate volatility and misalignment in Iran are recognized as two major limits on export promotion during last three decades. These occurred primarily due to some breaks like Iraq imposed war against Iran which brought serious problem for our economy (Hosseinipour & Moghaddasi, 2010). So it's really a matter of debate that to what extent export is responsive to exchange rate volatility. This paper seeks to provide some evidence on the above topics.

Material and methods

In this section we discuss our approaches to estimation of export demand equation and to specifying exchange rate volatility.

The empirical export demand equation: We follow Hosseinipour and Moghaddasi (2010) and De Vita and Abbotte (2004). Amongst others and specify a demand equation of the following from:

$$LEX = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LRP + \beta_2 LIN + \beta_3 VOL + \varepsilon_0 \quad (1)$$

Where LEX is natural logarithm of real export; LRP is natural logarithm of relative prices; LIN is natural logarithm of income in our trading partners and is an indicator of potential demand for our exports; VOL is the exchange rate volatility and measures uncertainty associated with fluctuations in the exchange rate. β_0 and ε_0 are a constant and a normally distributed error term, respectively. This equation says that our exports depend on the relative prices, income in our trading partners and uncertainty/risk associated with exchange rate fluctuations. Theoretical priors dictate that we should expect $\beta_1 > 0$ and $\beta_2 > 0$ and as discussed in the introduction, the sign of β_3 is theoretically ambiguous.

There are different econometrics techniques that can be used to estimate equation (1). If all the variables are stationary, then equation (1) can simply be estimated by ordinary least squares (OLS). If all or some variables are I(1) and not co-integrated, some data transformation may be necessary before estimating by OLS. If there exist some co-integration

among the variables of interest, then there are a number of approaches different complexities to estimate the model. Some main approaches are Engel Two step procedure proposed by Engel and Granger (1987) and the Johansson maximum likelihood reduced rank procedure proposed by Johansson (1995). Both these procedures work well when all variable are I(1). This paper employs the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) approach to co-integration proposed by Pesaran and Pesaran (1997). This methodology allows testing for the existence of co-integration irrespective of whether the underlying regressors are I(0), I(1) or mutually co-integrated.

Data description

This study uses annual data for the period 1978 to 2008. The variables are constructed as follows:

Real export is defined as nominal exports deflated by the export price index (EPI) in natural logarithm as follows:

$$EX_i = \ln(NEX_i / EPI_i) \quad (2)$$

Where EX_i is real exports, NEX_i is nominal exports and EPI_i is the export goods price index. EX_A , EX_D , EX_P and EX_S are real exports of agriculture sector, date, pistachio and Saffron, respectively. In this paper we used gross domestic product (GDP) of European Union as a measure of income for Iranian trading partners. More to, bilateral trade between two countries depends upon, exchange rate and relative price level of the two partners. Hence, the following definition of real exchange rate in Iran captures both the effects related to the price of countries and of goods and services (Hosseinipour & Moghaddasi, 2010).

$$RP_i = (ER_i * CPI_F / CPI_{IR}) \quad (3)$$

Where CPI_F is consumer price index in the U.S. and CPI_{IR} is consumer price index in Iran and ER_i is exchange rate in open market.

Modeling volatility

Exchange rate volatility is a measure that intends to capture the uncertainty faced by exporters due to unpredictable fluctuations in the exchange rate clearly, this is an unobservable variable and thus its measure is a matter of serious contention. Consequently, the literature is not unanimous as to which measure is most appropriate. Recent literature, however, seems to be increasingly

adopting the use of Bollerslev's GARCH models and the moving average standard deviations and to a very less extent, simple standard deviations (Hosseinipour & Moghaddasi, 2010). This paper follows recent literature and uses the measures derived from the AR-GARCH(1,0) model as measures of exchange rate volatility. Conditional variance of the first difference of the log of exchange rate is a measure of exchange rate volatility. We use the generalized conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) proposed by Bollerslev (1986), which is the generalization of ARCH model. We assume exporters from expectations of the real exchange rate series following an ARMA(m,n) process, with conditional specified variance as a GARCH(p,q). In this paper we simplify the notation and denote the appropriate GARCH model by VOL.

Equation (1) will be used separately to examine the role of exchange rate volatility on agricultural (EX_A), date (EX_D), pistachio (EX_P) and saffron exports (EX_S).

Results and discussion

After estimation of the exchange rate volatility we check the unit roots using Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Philips-Peron (PP) tests. Table (1) provides the results of unit root tests on the data. Results of the ADF and PP tests showed that some of the variables are I(0) and some are I(1). Then, using of ARDL approach will be provided.

Estimation of export demand by using ARDL approach

Table (2) show the results of the estimation of agricultural, pistachio, saffron, and date exports by using ARDL approach based on Schwartz-Bayesian criterion showed that there is a positive and significant relationship between exchange rate volatility and demand for agricultural exports, but negative and significant relationship between relative prices and income of Iran's trading partners. Sign of the variables VOL and RELP that are marked as exchange rate volatility and relative prices were consistent with the theory, but sign of the variable income of Iran's trading partners is inconsistent with theory. Perhaps, Reduction of the volume of trade flows among Iran and its trading partners due to existing political pressures is the most reason for being a negative relationship between incomes of Iran's trading partners and demand for agricultural exports. Results of estimation for agricultural sector, date, pistachio, and saffron exports show that relative prices and exchange rate volatility have had negative impacts on exports of date but income of trading partners has a positive impact on date

exports in the period of study. Income of trading partners and exchange rate volatility have had positive impacts on pistachio and saffron export, but relative prices had a negative impact on exports of pistachio and saffron that shows the results are consistent with the theory.

Estimation of long-run relationships

Long-run relationships were estimated for agricultural sector, pistachio, saffron and date demand functions. The results are given in table (3). All variables are significant in agricultural sector function, so that only positive sign in the model is VOL. But considering the exports of date, pistachio and saffron, the only variable which has positive and significant impact is LIN with positive effect on the export of these products at one percent significant level. Variable VOL in agricultural exports demand function is more sensitive than

other explanatory variables. So, if a percentage is added to the amount of exchange rate volatility it will be added about 2.04 percent on demand for agricultural exports.

Estimation of error correction model (ECM)

Table (4) shows the results of the estimation of error correction model (ECM) for agricultural sector, pistachio, saffron, and date exports in short-run. As can be seen, the error correction coefficient for agricultural sector is -0.26 and it is significant at one percent level. This coefficient indicates that the impact of adopted policies in this case will be seen after about four years. The coefficient for date, pistachio, and saffron equals to -0.61, -0.62, -0.53 and is significant at one percent level respectively. In fact, it indicates that the rate adjustment of date and pistachio is faster as compared to agricultural sector and saffron.

Variables	ADF test		PP test	
	Level	First difference	Level	First difference
LEXA	-1.91	-4.9***	-1.91	-4.88***
LEXD	-2.72*	-7.5***	-2.71*	-8.21***
LEXP	-1.63	-5.81***	-1.61	-6.36***
LEXS	-0.68	-5.74***	-0.63	-5.72***
LRP	-3.85***	-3.43**	-3.42**	-3.66**
LIN	-0.46	-5.51***	-0.38	-5.56***
VOL	-3.83***	-6.87***	-3.83***	-10.54***

Note: *, ** and *** denotes 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels, respectively.
Source: Research findings.

Table 1: Results of ADF and PP unit root tests on variables of model.

products	Agricultural sector	Pistachio	Date	saffron
Variables				
LEX(-1)	0.762*** (11.53)	0.381** (2.66)	0.38** (2.7)	0.475*** (3.65)
LRP	-0.022** (2.3)	-0.04 (-0.95)	-0.03 (-0.6)	-0.043 (-0.95)
LIN	-0.034*** (-4.37)	0.32*** (4.2)	0.386*** (4.06)	0.505*** (4.21)
VOL	0.48*** (2.87)	0.52 (0.43)	-0.035 (-0.026)	0.68 (0.54)
C	2.69*** (-3.86)	2.92 (1.35)	-1.52 (0.6)	-7.39*** (-2.9)
R2	0.88	0.80	0.81	0.92
D.W	1.78	1.98	2.13	2.19

Note: *, ** and *** denotes 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels, respectively.
The numbers in parentheses are (t) statistics.
Source: Research findings.

Table 2: Results of the estimation of agricultural, pistachio, saffron, and date exports by using ARDL approach.

Variables	C	LRP	LIN	VOL
Products				
LEX _A	11.37*** (8.12)	-0.096* (-1.76)	-0.15*** (-2.9)	2.04** (2.26)
LEX _D	-2.5 (-0.58)	-0.04 (-0.61)	0.63*** (5.21)	-0.06 (-0.026)
LEX _P	4.72 (1.5)	-0.07 (-.89)	0.52*** (5.58)	0.84 (0.44)
LEX _S	-14.1*** (-3.58)	-0.1 (-0.9)	0.96*** (8.25)	1.3 (0.54)

Note: *, ** and *** denotes 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels, respectively.
The numbers in parentheses are (t) statistics.
Source: Research findings.

Table 3: Results of the estimation of long-run relationships for agricultural, pistachio, Saffron, and date exports functions.

Variables	dc	dRP	dIN	dVOL	ECM
Products					
LEX _A	2.87*** (3.64)	-0.02 (1.49)	-0.31*** (-3.36)	0.26 (1.49)	-0.26*** (-3.35)
LEX _D	-1.53 (-0.6)	-0.03 (-0.61)	0.38*** (4.05)	-0.035 (-0.025)	-0.61*** (-4.24)
LEX _P	2.92 (1.34)	-0.04 (-0.95)	0.32*** (-4.19)	0.51 (0.43)	-0.62*** (-4.32)
LEX _S	-7.4*** (-2.9)	-0.043 (-0.95)	0.51*** (4.22)	0.68 (0.53)	-0.53*** (-4.03)

Note: * and ** and *** indicate significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% percent respectively.
The numbers in parentheses are (t) statistics.
Source: Research findings.

Table 4: Results of the estimation of ECM for agricultural, date, pistachio, and saffron exports.

Conclusion

The main purpose of this study is investigating the effect of exchange rate volatility on exports of agricultural products with emphasis on pistachio, saffron and date. In this case, after estimating exchange rate volatility from GARCH model, the models of research were estimated to investigate long-run and short-run relationships between variables of model by using ARDL approach. The results of the exchange rate volatility show that this factor has different impacts on exports. For example, exchange rate volatility has had a positive effect on exports of pistachio and saffron but a negative effect on export demand of date. So, exchange rate volatility can have different effects on exports of different products. Accordingly, it will be important that Iran's agricultural trade policies toward different countries, should be developed based on exchange rate fluctuations. Moreover, other effective factors on trade relationships such as

marketing and gross domestic product of importing countries should be noted.

According to the negative relationship between relative prices and the exports of date, pistachio and saffron, effort to reduce the relative prices is one of the important points for a stronger presence in international markets. This can be achieved through the reduction of production and products marketing costs. As a clear solution, allocation of export subsidies can reduce export prices in the face of high prices as a result of fewer production and fluctuations can be prevented. However, it will be advisable as long as the World Trade Organization (WTO) rules on export subsidies are not still implemented in Iran.

Corresponding author:
Komeil Khanarinejad,
Department of Agricultural Economics,
Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran,
Phone: +989119548857, Fax: +981525762422,
Email: Komeil.Khanarinejad@gmail.com

References

- [1] Arize, A.C., Osong, T., & Slotte, D.J. (2000). Exchange Rate Volatility and Foreign Trade: Evidence from thirteen LDCs. *Journal of Business and Economic Statist*, 18, 10-17.
- [2] Barloulas, J.T., Baum, C.F., & Calgayan, M. (2002). Exchange Rate Effects on the Volume and Variability of Trade Flows. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 21, 481-496.
- [3] Bayoumi, T., & Eichengreen, B. (1998). Exchange Rate Volatility and Intervention: Implication of the Theory of Optimum Currency Areas. *Journal of International Economics*, 45, 191-209.
- [4] Biria, S., & Jebelameli, F. (2006). Effective Factors on Exports of pistachio, saffron, and date as important crops in Iran's Non-Oil Export. *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Development-Iran*, 14(54), 85-101.
- [5] Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedascitiy. *Journal of Econometrics*, 31, 307-323.
- [6] Chit, M.M., Rizov, M., & Willenbockel, D. (2008). Exchange Rate Volatility and Exports: New Empirical Evidence from the Emerging East Asian Economies. *Munich Personal RePEc Archive (MPRA)*, No. 9014.
- [7] Cho, G., Sheldon, I.M., & McCorriston, S. (2002). Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Agricultural Trade. *American journal of Agricultural Economics*, 84(4), 931-942.
- [8] Demers, M. (1991). Investment under Uncertainty, Irreversibility and the Arrival of Information over time. *Review of Economic Study*, 58, 333-350.
- [9] De Vita, G., & Abbotte, A. (2004). The Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on UK Exports to EU Countries. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 51, 62-81.
- [10] Doroodian, K. (1990). Does Exchange Rate Volatility deter International Trade in Developing Countries?. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 10, 465-474.
- [11] Doyle, E. (2001). Exchange Rate Volatility and Irish-UK Trade, 1979-1992. *Applied Economics*, 33, 249-265.
- [12] Engel, R. & Granger, C.W.J. (1987). Co-integration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation and Testing. *Econometrica*, 55, 251-276.
- [13] Ethier, W. (1973). International Trade and the Forward Exchange Market. *American Economic Review*, 63(3), 494-503.
- [14] Hosseini pour, M.R., & Moghaddasi, R. (2010). Exchange Rate Volatility and Iranian Export. *Journal of World Applied Sciences*, 9(5), 499-508.
- [15] Johansson, S. (1995). *Likelihood-based Inference in Co-integrated Vector Autoregressive Models*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; ISBN13: 978-0-19-877450-1, ISBN10: 0-19-877450-8.
- [16] Mundell, R.A. (2000). Currency Areas, Exchange Rate Systems and International Monetary Reform. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 3, 217-256.
- [17] Omojimite, B.U., & Akpokodje, G. (2010). The Impact of Exchange Rate Reforms on Trade Performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Social Science*, 23(1), 53-62.
- [18] Pesaran, M.H., & Pesaran, B. (1997). *Working with Microfit 4: An Introduction to Econometrics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- [19] Sercu, P., & Vanhulle, C. (1992). Exchange Rate Volatility, International Trade and the Value of Exporting Firms. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 16, 155-182.
- [20] Vianne, J.M., & De Vrise, C.G. (1992). International Trade and Exchange Rate Volatility. *European Economic Review*, 36, 1311-1321.
- [21] Wei, S.J. (1999). Currency Hedging and Goods Trade. *European Economic Review*, 43, 1371-1394.