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## INTRODUCTION

The Division of Agricultural Economics and the Division of Agricultural Extension of the University of Minnesota, the Burom of Agricultural Economics of the Tnited Stetes Department of Agriculture, and the farm burequs of Dodge, Freeborn, Goodhue, Le Sucur, Mowor, Rice, Steele, and Waseca Counties organized late in 1927 the Farm Management Service Project, to operate in the above nemed counties, beginning January 1, 1928. This farm management service is offered to farmers who desire to keep ferm records, and to have these records summarized and analyzed in connection with those of other farmers. Each farmer who cooperates in this'service pays an annual fee which covers a part of the cost.

The project is under the direction of G. A. Pond and W. P. Ranney of the Division of Agricultural Economics, University of Minnesota. Hearty support and assistance have been rendered by the county agricultural arents of the above
named counties, respectively: M. L. Armour, W. M. Lawson, M. A. Thorfinnson, R. D. Evans, F. L. Liebenstein, H. Hass, H. J. Van Metre, and N. C. Hansen; by W. L. Cavert and S. B. Cleland of the Division of Agricultural Extension and by G. A. Sallee and S. A. Engene of the Division of Agricultural Economics, who aided in closing the records at the end of the year.

## TYPE OF FARNING

The service is restricted to livestock farms on which dairy cattle are the principal source of income. Although some milk and cream are retailed in cities, and some milk is sold for shipment to the Twin Cities, cream for manufacture into butter is the principal dairy product sold. This is marketed through farmer owned cooperative creameries specializing in the manufacture of high quality butter. The skimmilk is retained on the farm and fed to hogs and poultry. These two classes of livestock are also an important source of income.

The principal crops grown are corn, oats, barley, and hay. These crops are raised primarily as livestock feed although a seasonal surplus may be sold. Wheat, sweet corn, canning peas, sugar beets, flax, and potatoes are grown to a limited extent as cash crops. Weather conditions were somewhat more favorable for crop production in 1932 than in previous years.

This report shows that the receipts from the sales of dairy products constituted one-third, and the receipts from hog sales a little less than onefifth of the average cash income of the 143 cooperators included in this report. These fams are fairly typical of the system of dairy farming prevailing in southeastern Minnesota.

## CITMATE, SOIL, AND TOPOGRAPHY

The weathor conditions normally are fairly uniform in these eight counties, but there is some veriation in soil conditions and topography. The soil varies from sandy loam to a rich black clay loam; the latter type predominates in this area. Some of the farms are level, all tillable, and well drained, but most of them are gently rolling with some land too rough or too wet to cultivate. Goodhue County has more rolling land than the other counties. Nuch of the level land is tiled to make possible its cultivation in met years. However, on a number of farms, there is considerable land which is poorly drained. In Goodhue, Dodge, and Mower Counties, and the eastern part of Rice and Steele Counties, the soil is generally lime deficient, and applications of lime are necessary in order to grow alfalfa and sweet clover. In the remainder of the area, it is not necessary, as a rule, to apply lime in order to erow these two crops.

## RECORDS KEPT

The records kept by the cooperators included inventories at the beginning and end of the year, cash receipts and expenses, a report of feed fed to the various classes of livestock, and a record of farm produce used by the farm family. Supplementary information was also secured during the year regarding crop and livestock production and practices.

The cooperators were assisted and supervised in keeping their records by the field agent, R. C . Bevan, who $v$ isited each farm in the eight counties several times during the yoar. In addition to securing the supplementary information, the field agent's duties included numerous services, viz., searing a monthly list of prices of farm products prevailing in the areas, helpong the
farmer place uniform values on real estate and equipment, checking the cash and feed rocords, and answering any questions that might arise as to how the entries should be made in the account book. The supervision resulted in uniformity in the type of records secured, in the inventory valuations and in the prices at which feed and farm produce were charged.

At the end of the year, each farm was risited by a representative of the University tho checked the records for completeness and accuracy. The books were then taken to the contral office at University Farm, where every entry was agein checked and omissions were noted. Any discrepancies found were referred back to the farmers for correction. This double checking insured a high degree of accuracy and completeness in each individual record.

## PURPOSE OF PROJECT

The Farm Management Servico renders assistance to the cooperators in keeping such records as will enable each operator to know the returns for his labor and management, the returns to capital and family labor, and the actual earnings from the farm that the family had to spend for living and personal use. The main purpose of the service is to secure such data and information, which When compared with that secured on other farms, Fill enable the cooperator to increase his efficiency in various enterprises and to organize his farm on a more profitable basis. For the latter purpose, it was necessary for all the cooperators, tenants as well as owner operators to include the whole farm business in order that the results $\pi$ ould be on a comparative basis. For the purpose of comparison, the earnings as shown in this report are computed as if each farm was owned by its operator; howover, each tenant is supplied a statement of his earnings on the besis of the rental system under which ho mas operating.

## ANALYSIS OF THE FARV BUSINESS

On peges 6 and 7 are presented financial summsies of the year's business, showing the average results for the 143 farms on which the work was completed for the twelve months' period, January 1, 1932, to December 31, 1932, and the avernge results for the highest one-fifth of the farms in respect to operator"s Labor Earnings, and likewise for the lorest one-fifth. In the "your farm" colum, in the copy sent to the farmer, the results of his individual farm business are inserted in order that he may compre his figures with the averages of the various groups.

The data on page 9 and the remaining pages, which set up the ranking in the rarious measures of efficiency, should suggest to each cooperator some possibilities for improvement in his organization of the rarious enterprises and of the business as a whole. Although each farm is an individual problem and has its particular advanteges nnd limitations, the type of farming is fairly uniform in the area. This study should bring out trends towerd more profitable combinations of enterprises, and also toward more efficient methods of management within the enterprises. In spite of the differences in physical and economic conditions explained on page 2, it is significant that the same general factors account for financial success in all of the eight counties.

CAPITAL INVESTRIMT IN FARD: BUSINESS
The average size of the farms in this report was 201 acres. The average farm inventory was $\$ 16,680$. This does not include the value of the house in which the operator lived. In 1932, 45.5 per cent of the average farm intentory consisted of land; 21.1 por cent of permenent inprovement; 7.8 per cent of feeds
and supplies; 12.3 per cent of machinery and equipment; and 13.3 per cent of livestock, of which one-half or an average of $\$ 869$ was the average inventory velue of mill cows.

## RITURNS TO OPTRATORS FOR THEIR LABOR AHD MATAGSMENT

The average cash receints per farmer were $\$ 2,754$. In addition, farm produce to the value of $\$ 197$ was consumed by the farm family. The total average receipts per ferm is the sum of those two items, $\$ 2,951$. The average total expense per farm, $\$ 2,656$, includes $\$ 1,669$ cash expense, an estimated allowance cf $\$ 68$ for board of hired labor, and an averace inventory decrease of $\$ 919$ per farm. The difference between the total incone and total expense figure is $\$ 295$. This is the return which the farmer received for his own labor and management, the services of members of his family, and the use of his capital. This lacks $\$ 539$ of being enough to cover a 5 per cent interest charge on the everage inventory valuation without allowing anything to the family for their services. The average value of the family labor other than that of the farmer himself, if computed at hired man's wages, was \$229. If these two figures are aded together to get the farmers' labor earnings of $\$-768$ it means that on the average these farmers fell $\$ 768$ short of paying operating expense, a 5 per cent cherge for the use of their capital and a moderate wage for work done by members of their families and had nothing left for their own services.

On page 21, finencial sumaries for 1932 are shown for six groups of farms, classified on besis of size (total acres in farm). A comperison of the financial returns and other miscelloneous information for 1928 to 1932 inclusive is given on pages 29 and 30.

The average total value of farm produce used in the house, \$197, represents a larger percentage of the returns to labor and capital than in previous years. On many farms, a saving could be made if more produce were raised on the farm rather than purchased. The table on page 18 shows the average amounts and velues for each item included in the totol of farm produce used in the house.

Eighty-four farmers included in this report kept a detailed record of personal and household expenses, and ashed for $\&$ distribution of these expenses. This distribution is shown on pace 18, with averages for the eightyfour farms and for the seventeen most orofitable and seventeon least profitable in this group. Taking into consideration the number of members (adult equivalents) in his family and the number in the average family, each farmer can compare his items of expense with those of the average.

Sumary of Ferm Inventories 193 ?

| Items | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { ferra } \end{aligned}$ | Average of 243 farns | 29 most profiteble farins | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { lost } \\ & \text { profitoble } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of farm (acres) |  | 201 | 177 | 279 |
| Size of business (days of prod. worl:) | - | 756 | 775 | 1,017 |
| Averase frm inventory (mithout house) Lead <br> Farm imorovements |  | 316,680 \$ | \$14.662 | \$24, 464 |
|  |  | 7588 | 5395 | 11,351 |
|  |  | 3522 | 3238 | 4,906 |
| Haciinery \& equipment (totel) |  | 2041 | 1832 | 3,229 |
| Gen. machinery \& equipment |  | 1416 | 1319 | 2070 |
| Tractor |  | 339 | 236 | 722 |
| Truck |  | S0 | छ2 | 150 |
| Auto (ferm sharc) |  | 117 | 86 | 152 |
| Ges engine (ferm shere) |  | 25 | 25 | 31 |
| zhectrical equipment (ferm | - | 64 | 84 | 104 |
| Feeds \& seeds | - | 1264 | 1023 | 1901 |
| Miscellaneous supplies |  | 39 | 30 | 48 |
| Horses (totel) |  | 460 | 390 | 521 |
| Horses |  | 420 | 367 | 484 |
| Colts |  | 40 | 23 | 37 |
| Productive livestock (totel) |  | 1766 | 1754 | 2508 |
| Cows |  | 869 | 942 | 1127 |
| Other cettre |  | $48 ?$ | 409 | 810 |
| Hogs |  | 211 | 178 | 289 |
| Sheep |  | 64 | 44 | 143 |
| Poultry |  | 140 | 181 | 139 |

(1) Exolanation of term: "Days of Productive Fork".

The total "Days of Productive Tork" for nay one form ore nensure of size of that farm businees. The averge mubor of "ten-iour doys" of man lebor required per inead of productive livoctock nd per acre of crops is used in combinime the crops mat the livestock in one single mer surc of size of business.

The munber of days of proctictive work for eag wimel and esch acre of crops, computed from dete presented in Mimesote Pechicel Buthebin lit, "A Study of Deiry Fam Orgenizetion su Smbaestera Mimesotal, nre listed rof follows:

| Item | Per | Nio. of Days of Prod. Tork | : Ibem Per | ivo. of Deys of Prod. Mork. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cows | COW | 16.6 | : Com for grain Acre | 2.1 |
| Other catile | Animel anit* | 7.6 | - (Esced) |  |
| Sheep | Animal unit* | 2.7 | : Corn for grain | 2.8 |
| Poultry | 100 geas | 20.1 | : (fucli. \& chrea.) |  |
| Hoes | 100 Ius. poriz prod. | - . 55 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Corn for silage " } \\ & \text { : Corn hoesed } \end{aligned}$ | 2.6 1.25 |
| Alfalfe | Acre | 2.5 | : Corn for fodder | 1.8 |
| Tome \& wild iny | " | . 6 | - Smoet corn | 3.0 |
| Sn. \%rain \& flax | " | 1.0 | : Potrtues | 6.4 |
| Sm. grain hogeed | " | . 4 | : Suger beets | 4.0 |
| Caming peas | I' | 2.5 | , |  |

Sumery of Fem Ber in s 1932

| Items |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Averece of 143 <br> ferms | 29 most profitable farms |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { losst } \\ & \text { ofiteble } \\ & \text { rms } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH EXPETASLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trime | or (new and exp.) \$ | \$ | \$ 95 | \$ 70 | \$ | 250 |
| Truck | (now and exp.) |  | 52 | 72 |  | 95 |
| Auto | (new and exp.) (farm shere) |  | 63 | 48 |  | 79 |
| Gas | engine (new and exp.) (farm share) |  | 10 | 9 |  | 10 |
| Elec | ricity (new and exp.)(form share) |  | 31 | 31 |  | 49 |
| Hach | nery ma equipment (new) |  | 89 | 94 |  | 124 |
| Mech | nery and equipment (exp.) |  | 51 | 51 |  | 76 |
| Bldg | ., fences, tiling (new) |  | 47 | 25 |  | 37 |
| Blag | ., fences, tiling (exp.) |  | 19 | 29 |  | 22 |
| Hire | labor |  | 220 | 219 |  | 392 |
| Feed | for livestock |  | 282 | 358 |  | 368 |
| Othe | expense for livestock |  | 55 | 73 |  | 78 |
| Hors | s bought |  | 32 | 33 |  | 37 |
| Cows | bougit |  | 17 | 1 |  | 60 |
| 0 the | cattle boverat | - | 34 | 11 |  | 78 |
| Hogs | bought |  | 23 | 13 |  | 38 |
| Shee | p bought |  | 10 | 1 |  | 36 |
| Pou1 | try bought |  | 35 | 40 |  | 4.8 |
| Crop | (seed, twine, spray) |  | 129 | 120 |  | 167 |
| Taze | s and insurance |  | 341 | 265 |  |  |
| General ferm |  |  | 31. | 29 | 33 |  |
|  | Total cash expense |  | 1,669 | 1,592 |  | 2,593 |
|  | Decresse in farm inventory |  | 919 | 681 66 |  | 1,423 97 |
|  | Board for lired lebor |  | 68 | -. 63 |  | 97 4,113 |
|  | Total exponse (sum of (1)(2) \& (3) |  | 2,656 | 2,339 |  | 4,113 |
| CASH STCEIPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horses |  |  | 128 | 127 |  | 163 |
| Cows |  |  | 128 |  | 1,360 |  |
| Dairy products |  |  | 978 | 1,185 |  |  |  |
| Othe | r cottle |  | 213 | 174 | 345 |  |
| Hogs |  |  | 502 | 409 | 681 |  |
| Sheep |  |  | 37 | 19 | 150 |  |
| Poultry |  |  | 140 | 218 | 150 |  |
|  |  |  | 111 | 329 | 158 |  |
| Small grain |  |  | 30 | 54 69 | 15 |  |
| Hey |  |  | 23 | 5 | 25 |  |
| Root crops |  |  | 33 | 130 | 11 |  |
|  |  |  | 91 | 207 | 11166 |  |
| Other crops |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  |  |
| Incone from worls off the ferm |  |  | 106 | 255 | 174 |  |
| (5) Total casin receipts |  |  | 2,754 | 3,270 | 3,584 |  |
| (6) | Increase ja ferm inventory |  | -- | --9 | -708 |  |
| ( 7 ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | Farm produce used in house |  | 197 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total receipts (sun of (5) \&: 7 ) |  | 2,952 | 3,479 | 3,7924,113 |  |
|  | Total empenses (4) |  | 2,656 | 2,339 |  |  |  |
| (9) Ret. to cep. \& fam. labor(8) minus(4) |  |  | 295 | 1,140 | -327 |  |
| (10) | Interest on form inventory | -- | 834 | 433 | -1.544 |  |
| (11) | Fenily 1 door eernines(9)minvs(10) |  | $\begin{array}{r} -539 \\ 2 ? 9 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unosid family lebor |  |  | 194 | 353$-1,69$ |  |
| (13) | Oper. labor enmimes(11)rams(12) | - | -768 | 213 |  |  |  |

Sumary of Ferm Srains 1032 (A)

| Fiens | Your ferm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { of } 143 \\ & \text { frms } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { nost } \\ & \text { proithble } \\ & \text { ferms } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { lesst } \\ & \text { proritobl } \\ & \text { imprs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Iot:1 power mechinery \& equipicnt sired | \$ | $\$ 351$ | \$ 304 | \$ 506 63 |
| Tractor |  | 100 | 63 | 232 |
| Truck |  | 59 | 66 | 106 |
| Noto (form shere) |  | 77 | 66 | 98 |
| Ges engine (fom swre) |  | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| siec. plant or current (form share) |  | 36 | 36 | 55 |
| Gen. meninery and equipmeat |  | 184 | 154 | 266 |
| Blass., fencing, tiling, | - | 137 | 119 | 182 |
| Eired lobor |  | $2 ? 0$ | 219 | 392 |
| Prod. livertock misc. empense |  | 41 | 57 | 59 |
| Hisc. norse expense | - | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Crop |  | 86 | 86 | 132 |
| Renl \#state texos |  | 257 | 209 | 398 |
| Prrsomel Propurty tor |  | 31 | 26 | 46 |
| Insurance |  | 13 | 30 | 72 |
| $G e r e r e l$ farm |  | 31 | 29 | 33 |
| Crops sac foeds | - | 339 | 76 | 769 |
| Torses |  | 11 | - | 32 |
| Board for hired lebor |  | 68 | 66 | 97 |
| Intercst on farm inventory | - | 834 | 73 | 1,223 |
| Thosid fenily lebor | - | 229 | 194 | 353 |
| (1) Toter crionses | - | 2,874 | 2,304 | 4,003 |
| MTK.SAD DI I.COnSNS |  |  |  |  |
| Grops | - | - -7 | 1, |  |
| All prodactive livesoock |  | 2,129 | 2,473 | 2,683 |
| Cors (inctudins mil to othor lvet.) | - | 7.270 | 1,314 | 1,45 |
| Otier cottle |  | 277 | 249 | 399 |
| 30¢s |  | 392 | 317 | 197 |
| Sheen |  | 31 | 4 | 73 |
| poultry |  | 319 | 559 | 949 |
| Horses | - | -- | 3 |  |
| Miscelleneous |  | 23 | 36 | 23 |
| Income from work oif the ferm |  | 106 | 155 | 174 |
| (2) Motal |  | 2,258 | 2,667 | 2,860 |
| (3) Wilv produced and fed on frrm |  | 152 | 150 | 155 |
| (4) Tot. ret. a net imer., (2) mims (3) |  | 2,106 | 2,517 | 2,725 |
| Totel expenses (1) (1) mine |  | 2.874 | 2,304 | 4,623 $-1,895$ |
| (5) Operntor's lobor enrn., (4) minus (1) | - | -768 | 21.3 | -1,898 |

(A) Cesh receigus emenses re pdusted for concos in iaveatory for ench enterprise and for oce jtem of canense in order to shov gross reluras and net increnscs, and totol oupenses and aet decrenses. 'ihe onorator's labor ormincs pre the snie as trusc on pege 6 .

## EFFECT OF WELI BALANCED EPFICIENCY ON FARM PFOFITS

It is quite evident from this report that few farmers have e monopoly on efficiency. Quite often ferm operators show efficient management in one pert of the farm business, which is offset by poor results in other phases of the business. These farmers get medium returns while those who fall down all along the line get the lowest returns and thoso few who cen manage a large volume of business with high all around efficiency receive returns well above the average.

The data in this report and the reports of recent years in this same area, indicate that there are many factors of various degrees of importance which show relationships with operator's labor earnings or which offer opportunities for increasing earnings. Size of business in 1932 tended to be a disadvantage to those who showed a loss, for greater size was one factor serving to increase the loss. However, for those who excelled in most of the other factors and received some return for their labor and management, the latter tended to be increased by size of business. Likewise, if livestock shows a loss, it is not an advantage to have more livestock. Hence, a balanced standing in the following eight factors is quite essential in order to secure the highest possible returns:

1. Pounds of butterfat per cow.
2. Returns above feed cost for productive livestock (other than cows) per animal unit.
3. Productive livestock units per 100 acres.
4. Crop yields.
5. Percentage of tillable acres in high return crops.
6. Size of business--days of productive work.
7. Days of productive work per worker.
8. Equipment and farm power expense (building, fencing, all machinery, horse feed, and miscellaneous horse expense) per day of productive work.

In Chart I is shown the effect of the number of the above factors in which the farmer excels in his labor earnings. The farmer who excelled in 8 factors had earnings of $\$ 2,082$ above the average of 8 farmers who excelled in only one factor.

Chart I. Relation of Oparator's Labor Earnings to the Number of Factors in which Farmer is above the Average

| No. of factors in which farm excels | No. of farms | Your farm | The length of the shaded lines are in proportion to the average operator's labor carnings | Average pperator's earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Eight | 1 |  |  | xxxxxxx | \$ 464 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seven | 7 |  | xxxx |  | -250 |
| Six | 11 |  | xxxxx |  | -301 |
| Five | 28 |  | xxxxxx |  | -397 |
| Four | 41 |  | mxxxymyxxyxxy |  | -822 |
| Three | 30 |  | xxammaximxaxixx |  | -991 |
| Two | 17 |  |  |  | -1045 |
| One |  |  | crxamaxymaxuxxxx |  | -1618 |

The array in Chart I suggests that it will be wortin wile for each cooperator to study carefully his ranking on pages 9 and 10, and learn through his standing in respect to each of the above factors the elements of sirength and weakness in his farm business.

Measures of Farm Organizetion and Menesement Hficiency, 1932


Measures and items releted to some of the above mensures
(2) Return over feed per hesd other cattlo

Return over feed per 100 lbs. pork prod
Return over feed per hen
Return over feed per head sheep
(6) Days of productive work on crops Days of productive work on prod. livestock Days of other productive work


* Given os returns over feed cost per animel unit of productive livestock other then cows.
** Given as e percentage of the avorege.
*** Grops are merked on pare $11 \mathrm{as}(A),(B),(C),(D)$. All of acres in (A) crovs, one-half of acres in (B) crops, and one-fourth of ecros in ( $C$ ) crops sro usod in calculating per cont of tillablo land in high return crons.

Find Your Weak Links
Using your figures from page 9, locate your standing with respect to the various measures of farm organization and management efficiency. The average for the 143 farms included in this summary are located between the two lines across the center of the page.

| Oper. Labor Earn. | Ibs. <br> B. F. <br> per <br> cow | Ret.above Feed;Prod. Livestock other than cows | Prod. Livestock: Units per 100 A. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crop } \\ & \text { Yields } \end{aligned}$ | High Ret. Crops | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days of } \\ & \text { Farms } \\ & \text { with } \\ & (+) \text { Earn. } \end{aligned}$ | Prod. Worls Farms with $(-)$ Earn. | Days Prod. Work per Worker |  <br> Bq. Exp. <br> per Day <br> prod. <br> Work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \overline{\text { High }} \\ \$ 2623 \end{array}$ | 394 | \$137.84 | 39.9 | 149 | 68.4 | 2313 | 288 | 575 | \$ . 25 |
| 1232 | 340 | 42.02 | 30.9 | 130. | 55.6 | 1257 | 382 | 512 | . 40 |
| 832 | 320 | 34.02 | 28.9 | 124. | 51.6 | 1157 | 457 | 477 | . 55 |
| 432 | 300 | 26.02 | 26.9 | 118. | 47.6 | 1057 | 532 | 442 | . 70 |
| 32 | 280 | 18.02 | 24.9 | 112. | 43.6 | 957 | 607 | 407 | . 85 |
| -368 | 260 | 10.02 | 22.9 | 106. | 39.6 | 857 | 682 | 372 | 1.00 |


| Av-768 | 240 | 2.02 | 20.9 | 100. | 35.6 | 757 | 757 | 337 | 1.15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1168 | 223 | -3.98 | 18.9 | 94. | 31.6 | 682 | 857 | 312 | 1.30 |
| -1568 | 206 | -9.98 | 16.9 | 88. | 27.6 | 507 | 957 | 287 | 1.45 |
| -1968 | 189 | -15.98 | 14.9 | 82. | 23.6 | 532 | 1057 | 262 | 1.60 |
| -2368 | 172 | -21.98 | 12.9 | 76. | 19.6 | 457 | 1157 | 237 | 1.75 |
| -2768 | 155 | -27.98 | 10.9 | 70. | 15.6 | 382 | 1257 | 212 | 1.90 |
| -3046 | 133 | -35.43 | 6.5 | 62. | 6.5 | 288 | 2313 | 175 | 2.16 |

Distribution of Acres in Farm 1932


Yiold of Crops 1932

| Yield of crops | Your farm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avergge } \\ & 143 \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { most } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { ferms } \end{aligned}$ | 29 least profitable <br> farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter wheat |  | 22.6 | 21.8 | 18.8 |
| Spring wheat |  | 19.2 | 23.3 | 18.9 |
| Oats |  | 54.8 | 50.3 | 53.5 |
| Barleyt |  | 33.7 | 33.0 | 30.3 |
| Fye |  | 18.5 | 18.5 | 21.4 |
| Flax |  | 8.3 | 10.9 | 5.1 |
| Wheat and oats |  | 33.6 | 40.0 | 30.6 |
| Oats and barley |  | 45.3 | 44.0 | 41.8 |
| Flax and wheat |  | 17.7 | 17.9 | 17.9 |
| Oats, barley, and wheat Ceming peas |  | $\begin{gathered} 36.1 \\ \$ 23.33 \end{gathered}$ | \$78. 85 | $\begin{gathered} 40.4 \\ \$ 24.26 \end{gathered}$ |
| Corn, grain |  | 51.3 | 49.2 | 49.9 |
| Corn, silage |  | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.3 |
| Corn, fodder |  | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Sweet corn |  | 2.8 | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| Sugar beets |  | 14.7 | 14.7 | 83.5 |
| Potatoes |  | 90.0 | 95.4 | 83.5 |
| Alfalfa |  | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Red clover |  | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| Clover and timothy |  | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Soy bean hay |  | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Annual hay |  | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Timothy |  | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Wild hay |  | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Miscellaneous crops | - | - |  |  |

Some methods femers use to increase their crop yiclds:

1. Tile, if necessary.
2. Plow under legumes--grow sweet clover in smell grains on high lime soil--lime for elfelfe, if necessary.
3. Tost out commerel fortilizers on strips of lend to see if they pey.
4. Utilize menure effectively.
5. Uso roteted legume pestures.
6. Rrise and feed hogs on those pestures end hog down corn.
7. Grow recommended verieties of crops.
8. Use best tested seed available.
9. Frepnre seed-bed thoroughly and timely.

Sumnary of Anount of Livestock

| - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | Aver- age 143 farms | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { most } \\ & \text { Profit } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```29 1.as Profit- able forms``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres in $\mathrm{farm}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 201 | 177 | 279 |
| No. of horses (with tractor)* |  | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| No. of horses (without tractor)** |  | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| No. of colts |  | . 8 | . 4 | . 8 |
| No. of cows |  | 18.2 | 19.4 | 23.0 |
| No. of cows per worker |  | 8.2 | 9.0 | 8.1 |
| Head of other cattle |  | 20.6 | 18.1 | 33.0 |
| Iitters of pigs raised | - | 11. | 9. | 14. |
| Pounds of pork produced |  | 14796. | 931. | 557. |
| Head of sheep (2 lambs equal I head) | - | 14.4 | 10. | 25.9 |
| No. of hens |  | 165. | 221. |  |
| Total no. of productive livestock animal units | - - | 39.9 | 38.6 | 54.4 |
| \% of tot. prod. livestock units that are cows | - | 46.7 | 51.4 | 42.3 |
| \% of tot. prod. livestock units that are o. cattle | - | 26.0 | 24.7 | 29.9 |
| \% of tot. prod. livestock units that are hogs |  | 18.2 | 15.4 | 16.9 |
| \% of tot. prod. livestock units that are sheep |  | 4.4 | 2.2 | 7.9 |
| \% of tot. prod. livestock units that are hens | -- | 4.7 | 6.3 | 3.0 |
| * Number of farms with tractors |  | 94 | 14 | 27 |
| * Number of farms without tractors |  | 49 | 15 | 3 |

Factors of Cost and Returns in Dairy Production 1932

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Items } & \text { Your } \\ \text { farm }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 143 \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | 29 farms highest in B.F. per cow | 29 farms <br> lomest <br> in E.F. <br> per cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lbs, butterfat per cow | 240 | 302 | 176 |
| Feeds per cow, Ibs. |  |  |  |
| Com | 432 | 468 | 343 |
| Small grain | 1119 | 1576 | 728 |
| Com. feeds - under $25 \%$ protein | 320 | 443 | 146 |
| Com. feeds - over $25 \%$ protein | 83 | 132 | 24 |
| Tame hay | 824 | 809 | 898 |
| Alfalfa | 1837 | 2330 | 1442 |
| Wild hay | 173 | 146 | 126 |
| Corn fodder | 651 | 480 | 986 |
| Silage | 6711 | 8013 | 5162 |
| Total concentrates | 1954 | 2613 | 1241 |
| Total dry roughage | 3485 | 3765 | 3452 |
| Total digestible nutrients | 4258 | 5125 | 3440 |
| Total digest. nutr. per 1b. B, F.* | 18.0 | 16.6 | 19.6 |
| \% protein in ration | 12.7 | 13.4 | 11.9 |
| \% cows fresh - Sept. to Dec. incl. | 58.0 | 69.0 | 52.0 |
| Feed cost per cow: |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | \$18.87 | \$17.78 | \$7.97 |
| Roughages | 23.83 | 27.98 | 20.60 |
| Pasture | 3.76 | 3.40 | 4.02 |
| TOTAL FEED COSTS | \$ 41.46 | \$49. 16 | \$32.59 |
| Value of produce per cow: |  |  |  |
| B.F. sales \$ | \$51.21 | \$64.97 | \$32.00 |
| Dairy produce used in house | 2.93 | 2.94 | 3.49 |
| Milk to other livestock | 8.80 | 10.40 | 7.91 |
| Appreciation or depreciation TOTAL VALUE OF PRODUCT | -3.70 $\$ 59.24$ | -3.33 \$74.98 | $\begin{array}{r} -2.80 \\ \$ 40.60 \end{array}$ |
| RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER COW \% | \$17.78 | \$25.82 | \$8.01 |
| Price received per lb. B.F. sold: |  |  |  |
|  | \$. 22 | \$. 28 | \$. 21 |
| As milk, cheese or metail srean | . 42 | . 41 | . 42 |
| Feed cost per lb. B.F. -- | .17 | .16 | . 19 |
| Number of cows** | 18.2 | 16.9 | 17.5 |

*Not including nutrients secured from pasture.
**All cows which have at some time in the past freshened are included in the dairy herd, and affect the average number of cows used in computing this table. There is some variation in the number of months of dry period per cow; however, this variation is small for the majority of the farms.

Feed Costs and Returns for Other Cettle and Sheep 1832

| Items | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | Average of all farms |  | Farms highest returns above fea per head | in <br> eed <br> ส | Farms <br> lowest <br> returns <br> abore <br> per hea | in <br> s <br> feed <br> ad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other cattle; no. of farms: |  | 143 |  | 29 |  | 29 |  |
| Feeds used per head, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 414 |  | 422 |  | 408 |  |
| Hay and fodder |  | 1389 |  | 1310 |  | 1746 |  |
| Silage |  | 2383 |  | 2074 |  | 2632 |  |
| Whole milk |  | 432 |  | 325 |  | 589 |  |
| Skimmilk |  | 1476 |  | 1343 |  | 1705 |  |
| Feed cost per head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | \$2.57 |  | \$2.64 |  | \$2. 60 |  |
| Roughages |  | 8.40 |  | 7.38 |  | 10.24 |  |
| Milk |  | 5.34 |  | 4.21 |  | 7.081.38 |  |
| Pasture |  | 1.44 |  | 1.40 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 4 |  | \$17.75 |  | 15.64 | \$ $\$ 21.30$ |  |
| REIURNS P FR R HEAD |  |  | \$13.63 |  | \$21.23 |  | \$8.74 |
| RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEAD \% death loss |  | 8 | \$-4.12 | 6 | \$ $\$$ | $10^{\text {\$ }}$ | $p-13.20$ |
| Number of head of young cattle |  | 20.6 |  | 22.7 |  | 17.2 |  |
| Sheepi no. if farms: |  | 54 |  | 11 |  | 11 |  |
| Feeds used per head,* lbs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 52 |  | 51 |  | © 1 |  |
| Tame hay |  | 41 |  | 19 |  | 8 |  |
| Alfalfa |  | 59 |  | 76 |  | 27 |  |
| Corn fodder and wild hay |  | 103 |  | 94 |  | 69 |  |
| Silage |  | 88 |  | 113 |  | 68 |  |
| Feed cost per head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | \$. 36 |  | \$. 32 |  | \$. 55 |  |
| Roughages |  | . 80 |  | . 85 |  | . 45 |  |
| Pasture |  | . 62 | \$1.78 | . 66 \$1.83 |  | . 61 |  |
| totai | \$ |  |  |  |  | . ${ }^{3}$. 61 |  |
| Value of production per head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wool | \$ | $\$ .46$1.24 |  | 4.543.28 |  | . ${ }_{\text {. }} .37$ |  |
| Mutton |  |  |  | - $4=1.38$ |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | $\$$ | 1.24 | \$1.70 |  |  | 3.28 | ${ }_{4}^{4} 3.82$ |
| RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEAD <br> Price per lb. Wool sold <br> Value per lamb sold | \% | $4.08^{4-.08}$ |  | \$1. 99 |  | \$-2.99 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | \$. 09 |  | \%. 07 |  |
|  |  | 3.63 |  | 4.08 |  | 3.16 |  |
| \% lamb crop |  | 10010 |  | 136 |  | 61 |  |
| \% death loss |  |  |  | 6 |  | 16 |  |
| No, of head of sheep* | - | 37.5 |  | 50.4 |  | 31.5 |  |

*Two lambs under 6 months of age considered as one head.

Foed Costs and Roturns for Hogs 1932


Foed Costs per Horse and Othor Power Expense Items - 1932


* Tro colts equal one horse.

Distribution of Farm Produce Used in House 1932


Distribution of Household and Personal Expenses for Those Earms which Kept Complete Accounts of These Expenses 1932

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | Average 84 farms | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { most } \\ & \text { prof itable } \end{aligned}$ | 17 least profitable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of persons (adult equitalent) | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Food | 201.22 | 203.68 | \$238.34 |
| Opcrating and supplies | 72.14 | 85.91 | 91.41 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 16.35 | 15.26 | 8.66 |
| Clothing and materials | 87.96 | 106.83 | 92.28 |
| Health | 33. 26 | 31.76 | 34.36 |
| Levelopment and recreation | 72. 19 | 118.88 | 85.90 |
| Personal | 38.78 | 69.43 | 38,42 |
| Life insurance and savings | 87.67 | 92.65 | 64.87 |
| Personal share of auto expense | 78.20 | 74.42 | 88.23 |
| Housing | 5.08 | 7.50 | 3.52 |
| Total Household and Porsonal Cash Exp. \% | \$692.85 | "806.32 | \%745.99 |
| Food furnished by the farm | 165.74 | 189.31 | 176.96 |
| Fuel furnished by the farm | 34.17 | 42.77 | 20.94 |
| Interest and depreciation on farm dwelling | 138.83 | 130.30 | 154.14 |
| Total Household and Personal Expenses \% | 1031.59 | \% 1168.70 | $\cdots 1098.03$ |

Summary of Farm Inventories 1932

| County: | Dodge Fre | Freeborn Go | Gocdhue Les | LeSueur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Ferms | 15 | 24 | 31 | 11. |
| Average ferm inventory (without house) | \$15,305 \$ | \$16,421 \$16 | \$16,261 \$ | \$18,776 |
| Land | 5,592 | 8,116 | -7,348 | 10,120 |
| Farm improvements | 3.852 | 2,937 | 3,781. | 3,589 |
| Machinery and equipment (totel) | 2,152 | 1,845 | 1,395 | 1, 737 |
| Gen. Machinery and equipment | 1,489 | 9 1,252 | 21,361 | 1,134 |
| Tractor | 408 | 8337 | 7321 | - 387 |
| Truck | 48 | 850 | O 100 | 69 |
| Autc (farm share) | 128 | 8114 | 4.113 | 95 |
| Gas engine (farm share) | 42 | $2 \quad 25$ | 5 - 40 | 4 |
| Elec. equip. (farm share) | 37 | $7 \quad 67$ | 760 | O 4.5 |
| Feeds and seeds | 1,211 | 1,286 | 1,191. | 1,214 |
| Misc. supplies | 53 | 29 | 43 | 45 |
| Horses (total) | 470 | 436 | 490 | 342 |
| Horses | 448 | $8 \quad 409$ | 9445 | 6329 |
| Colts | 22 | 227 | $27 \quad 44$ | 413 |
| Productive Livestock (total) | 1,975 | 1,772 | 1,413 | 1,729 |
| Cows | 974 | 4 806 | ( 697 | 7888 |
| Other cattle | 628 | 2887 | 87389 | 9 469 |
| Hogs | 173 | 33261 | $61 \quad 137$ | 7211 |
| Sheep | 74 | 7480 | $80 \quad 90$ | a. 79 |
| Poultry | 126 | 26 144 | $44 \quad 100$ | -82 |


| County: | Mower | Rice | Steele | Waseca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Farms | 9 | ?2 | 21 | 10 |
| Average fram inventery (without nouse) ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | 21,686 \$14,035 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16,894 \\ & 7,248 \end{aligned}$ | \$19,226 |
|  | 10,115 | 6,234 |  | 8,690 |
| Farm improvements | 4,517 | 3,043 | 3,542 | 3,674 |
| Machinery and equipment (total) | 2,62, | 1,823 | 2,155 | 2,523 |
| Gen. Mechinery and equipnent | 1,825 | 1,318 | 1, 540 | 1,760 |
| Tractor | 423 | 255 | 311 | 418 |
| Truck | 197 | 67 | 52 | 124 |
| Auto (farm share) | 117 | 107 | 141 | 116 |
| Gas engine (farm share) | 12 | 11 | 13 | 40 |
| mlec. equip. (ferm share) | 55 | 65 | 98 | 65 |
| Feeds and seeds | 1,460 | 1, 48 | 1,445 | 1,495 |
| Misc. supplies | 18 | 42 | 32 | 55 |
| Horses (total) | 581 | 396 | 492 | 499 |
| Horses | 482 | 370 | - 433 | 453 |
| Colts | 99 | 26 | 659 | 46 |
| Productive livestock (total) | 2,366 | 1,449 | 1,980 | 2,290 |
| Cows | 1.320 | 753 | 395 | 5969 |
| Other cattie | 599 | 348 | - 498 | - 712 |
| Hogs | 275 | 197 | 7278 | - 215 |
| Sheep | 66 | 28 | $8 \quad 29$ | -71 |
| Poultry | 196 | 123 | 3180 | - 323 |


| Items I | Dodee | Freeborn | Goodhue | Le <br> Sueur | Hower | Rice | Steele 7 | sseca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trector (new \& exp.) | \$ 106 | \$ 22 | \$ 126 | \$ 52 | \$ 139 | \$ 54 | \$ 113 | \$ 99 |
| Truck (new \& exp.) | 24 | 33 | 63 | 77 | 102 | 24 | 39 | 121 |
| Auto (new \& exp.) | 53 | 58 | 62 | 41 | 108 | 68 | 64 | 67 |
| Gas Eng. (new \& exp.) | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Elec. (new \& exp.) | 17 | 18 | 11 | 28 | 74 | 32 | 56 | 55 |
| Mach. fo equip. (new) | 127 | 50 | 106 | 23 | 130 | 103 | 73 | 115 |
| Mach. \& equip. (exp.) | 53 | 53 | 47 | 47 | 78 | 32 | 55 | 59 |
| Bldgs., fen., til. (new) | 23 | 156 | 56 | 3 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 23 |
| Bldgs., fen., til. (exp.) | 12 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 16 | 28 | 39 |
| Hired labor | 180 | 174 | 191 | 164 | 611 | 132 | 275 | 277 |
| Feed for livestock | 298 | 215 | 206 | 178 | 661 | 243 | 317 | 433 |
| Other exp. for livestock | 60 | 46 | 51 | 58 | 99 | 49 | 51 | 65 |
| Horses bought | 55 | 44 | 21 | 5 | 45 | 18 | 46 | 18 |
| Cows bought | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 192 | 7 | 19 | 12 |
| Other cottle bought | 11.0 | 17 | 19 | 56 | 40 | 13 | 37 | 22 |
| Hogs bought | 39 | 27 | 7 | 28 | 46 | 14 | 26 | 25 |
| Sheep bought | 1 | 44 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| Poultry bought | 35 | 33 | 25 | 23 | 47 | 35 | 33 | 84 |
| Crop (seed, twine, spray) | 136 | 11.4 | 144 | 130 | 297 | 1.06 | 120 | 114 |
| Texes and insurance. | 312 | 322 | 317 | 346 | 565 | 335 | 338 | 310 |
| General farm | 26 | 27 | 31. | 32 | 36 | 37 | 28 | 32 |
| Total cash expense | 1,681 | 1,560 | 1,515 | 1,332 | 3,200 | 1,336 | 1,735 | 1,978 |
| Dec. in farm inventory | 817 | 1,047 | 697 | 891 | 1,179 | 748 | 1,077 | 1,287 |
| Board for hired labor | 55 | , 50 | 68 | 59 | 113 | 56 | ${ }_{81}^{81}$ | 102 |
| Total expense | 2,553 | 2,657 | 2,280 | 2,282 | 4,492 | 2,140 | 2,893 | 3,367 |
| CASH RSCEIPTS 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horses | 21 | 34 | 36 | 0 | 43 | 21 | 20 | 122 |
| Cows | 103 | 107 | 90 | 78 | 334 | 95 | 204 | 122 |
| Dairy products | 881 | 783 | 02 | 887 | 2,220 | 795 | 1,076 | 1,005 |
| Other cattle | 348 | 260 | 169 | 190 | 207 | 123 | 177 | 335 |
| Hogs | 433 | 587 | 336 | 468 | 850 | 390 | 680 | 507 |
| Sheep | 50 | 36 | 47 | 68 | 33 | 13 | 28 | 29 |
| Poultry | 107 | 105 | 60 | 66 | 95 | 158 | 174 | 535 |
| Eges | 123 | 114 | 157 | 79 | 97 | 196 | 251 37 | 678 |
| Small grain | 1.03 | 76 | 219 | 89 | 34 | 139 | 37 | 60 |
| Corn | 47 | 35 |  | 92 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 107 |
| Hay | 12 | 52 | 16 | 13 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 10 |
| Root crops | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 2 3 | 372 |
| Other crops | 231 | 21 | 26 | 113 | 30 | 52 | 145 | 248 |
| Miscellaneous | 193 | 194 | 173 | 45 | 115 | 1.18 | 111 | 125 |
| Work off farm | 131 | 102 | 84 | 119 | 332 | 71 | 92 | 42 |
| Total cash receipts | 2,783 | 2,533 | 2,304 | 2,307 | 4.425 | 2,197 | 5,036 | 4.187 |
| Farm prod. used in house | 187 | 213 | 185 | 216 | 159 | 181 | 213 | 226 |
| Total receipts | 2.970 | 2,746 | 2,50? | 2,523 | 4,584 | 2.378 | 3,249 | 4, 413 |
| Total expenses | 2,553 | 2,657 | 2,280 | 2,282 | 4,492 | 2,140 | 2,893 | 3,367 1,046 |
| Ret. to cap. \& fam. labor | r 417 | 89 | 229 | 241 | 92 88 | 238 | 356 844 | 1,046 |
| Int. on farm inventory | 765 | 821 | 813 | 939 -698 | 1,084 -992 | 702 -464 | -844: | 961 |
| Femily lavor eornings | -348 | -732 | $-584$ | -698 | -992 | -464 | -488 | 228 |
| Unpaid family labor | 222 | 229 | 214 | 233 | 377 | 180 | - 23 | -143 |
| Oper. labor earnings | $-570$ | -961 | -798 | -931 | $-1,369$ | -644 | -731 | -1.43 |

Sumary of Form Earmins 1232 (Groupee by size of Ferm)


CASH EXPENSES

10
14
57
4
21
94
19
6
12
22
243
34
45
8
25
10
3
34
76
182
27

Decr. in farm inventory
Total expense
CASH RECEIPIS
Horses
Cows
Dairy products
Other cattle
Hogs
Sheep
Poultry
Hges
Small grain
Corn
Hay
Root crops
Other crops
Miscelleneous
Work off ferm
Total cesh receipts
Farm prod. used in house
Total receipts
Ret. to cap. \& family labor
Inteiest on farm inventory
Family lebor earnings
Unpaid femily labor
Operator's labor eermines
15
50
550
78
278
10
85
97
20
6
10
6
70
54
69
19
95
701
109
324
5
205
261
43
15
21
76
26
111
68

| 1,392 | 2,080 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 152 | 193 |
| 1,544 | 2,273 |
| 1,307 | 1,753 |
| 237 | 520 |
| 396 | 561 |
| -159 | -41 |
| 124 | 250 |
| -283 | -291 |

2,234
188
2,422
2,097
325
680
-355
161
-516

| 88 | $\$$ | 116 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |$\$ 078$

Crop
(A) (B) (C) (D) refer to
ranking used in calculat-
ing Index of Selection of
High Return Crops, as
explained on pase 9.

| Winter wheat (B) | 0. | 2.1 | 9.1 | 2.1 | 0. | 3.9 | 3.1 | 6.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring wheat (C) | . 2 | 0. | . 8 | 3.5 | 0. | 1.1 | . 8 | 0. |
| Oats (D) | 20.3 | 15.3 | 19.5 | 24.4 | 20.2 | 15.6 | 11.2 | 6.5 |
| Barley (C) | 13.0 | 3.7 | 26.1 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 4.7 | 8.5 |
| Rye (D) | . 5 | . 6 | 4.2 | 0. | 0. | . 4 | 2.4 | 0. |
| Flax (B) | 6.1 | 3.5 | 0. | . 8 | 3.3 | 0. | . 7 | 1.0 |
| Wheat and oats (C) | 1.6 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 11. | 2.5 | 1.5 | 13.1 |
| Oats and barley (C) | 17.8 | 21.2 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 16.6 | 12.5 | 29.5 | 12.0 |
| Flax and wheat (B) | 2.8 | . 2 | 6.6 | 0. | 0. | . 5 | 0. | 0. |
| Other mixtures (C) | 0. | 3.8 | . 8 | 3.8 | 12.4 | 0. | . 9 | 3.2 |
| Canning peas (A) | 3.3 | 0. | 0. | 1.6 | 0. | 0. | 3.6 | 0. |
| Total frain and peas | 65.6 | 54.2 | 78.5 | 52.5 | 71.7 | 47.7 | 58.4 | 50.3 |
| Corn, grain (B) | 42.4 | 43.0 | 20.3 | 36.6 | 37. | 23.3 | 34.0 | 39.9 |
| Corn, silage (C) | 13.7 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 7.9 | 23.6 | 21.4 | 12.4 | 5.5 |
| Corn, fodder (D) | 2.4 | 1.0 | . 9 | 0. | 0. | 1.8 | 1.9 | . 6 |
| Sweet corn (C) | 4.5 | 0. | 0. | 3.7 | 0. | 1.1 | . 5 | 10.2 |
| Sugar beets (A) | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 7.4 |
| Potatoes (A) | . 3 | 2.1 | . 3 | 2 | 1.9 | .7 | 1.2 | . 8 |
| Truck crons (A) |  | 3 | . 2 | . 2 | 0. | . 3 | . 1 | 0. |
| Total cultivatea crops | 63.4 | 57.3 | 34.8 | 48.6 | 62.5 | 38.6 | 50.1 | 64.4 |
| Alfalfa (A) | 8. 4 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 12.6 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| Red clover (B) | 1.5 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0. | . 6 | 1.2 | 0. | 2.9 |
| Other leg. \& mixtures (B) or (C) | 12.0 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 3.2 | 12.3 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 2.1 |
| Timothy (D) | 3.6 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 12.6 | 1.2 | . 8 | 0. |
| Annual hay | . 3 | . 5 | 4 | 1.0 | 0. | 2.5 | . 5 | . 5 |
| Wild hay (ron-tillable land) | 3.1 | 7.3 | 5 | 5.2 | 0. | 2.4 | 7.9 | 19.5 |
| Total hay | 28.9 | 30.3 | 26.4 | 23.5 | 36.4 | 22.6 | 27.5 | 35.1 |
| Total crop acreage | 157.9 | 141.8 | 139.7 | 124.7 | 170.6 | 108.9 | 136.0 | $1+9.8$ |


| Sweet clover pasture (B) | 8.6 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.6 | . 6 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa pasture (A) | 0. | . 7 | 1.1 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 7 | 1.5 |
| Red clov. or rape past. (hogs)(B) | . 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | . 5 | 0. | . 8 | . 7 |  |
| Misc. legume pesture ( $B$ ) or (C) | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 0. | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 5.0 |
| Other tillable pasture (D) | 27.1 | 3.4 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 21.7 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 2.1 |
| Non-tillable pasture | 14.6 | 27.7 | 27.0 | 27.9 | 37.4 | 19.6 | 23.4 | 39.2 |
| Total pasture | 54.6 | 40.9 | 50.0 | 44.3 | 58.6 | 31.6 | 41.0 | 54.3 |
| Tillable land not cropped | . 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 0. | 2.4 | . 1 | 0. | - 7 |
| Timber (not păstured) | 1.9 | 4.4 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| Roads and waste | 5.6 | 6. 2 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 8.1 |
| Farmstead. | 7.3 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 6.6 |
| Total acres in farm | 227.7 | 202.3 | 213.8 | 182.0 | 250.1 | 153.7 | 192.9 | 221.4 |
| \% land tillable | 86. | 73. | 76. | 75. | 79. | 77. | 75. | 66. |
| Index of tillable land in high return crops | 31.6 | 38.2 | 31.7 | 36.7 | 28.2 | 37.5 | 37.7 | 44.3 |

Yields of Crops 1932

| Counties： | Dodge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free- } \\ & \text { born. } \end{aligned}$ | Cood－ hue | Le Sueur | Nower | Rice | Steele | Waseca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops： 23.07624 .4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter wheat | － | 13． 5 | 26.4 | 32.6 | － | 27.6 | 24.4 | 23.9 |
| Spring wheat | 23.3 | － | 15.8 | 12.4 | － | 19.4 | 26.8 | － |
| Oats | 46.0 | 53.7 | 59.8 | 56.3 | 50.3 | 57.3 | 53.0 | 46.4 |
| Barley | 30， 6 | 32.4 | 33.2 | 40.6 | 33.8 | 34.8 | 33.6 | 27.4 |
| Fye | 21.8 | 15.9 | 20.3 | $\cdots$ | － | 19.7 | 15.2 | － 7 |
| Flax | 7.0 | 7.6 | － | 6.0 | 3.7 | － | 8.0 | 13.7 |
| Wheat \＆oats | 32.0 | 33.7 | 39.2 | 29.3 | 32.6 | 28.9 | 30.8 | 38.6 |
| Oats \＆barley | 44.8 | 42.7 | 46.4 | 41.2 | 42.9 | 46.8 | 47.9 | 45.1 |
| Flax \＆wheat | 17．0． | 19.2 | 18.4 | － | － | 10.0 | － | － |
| Oats，barley \＆wheat |  | 24.8 | 40.3 | 49.7 | 4．2．4 | － | 26.0 | 38.1 |
| Canning peas | \＄38．85 | － | － | \＄13．83 | － | － | \＄24．02 | － |
| Corn，grain | 44.3 | 54.7 | 49.1 | 57.3 | 50.2 | 52.5 | 50.1 | 54.5 |
| Corn，silage | 7.6 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 10.7 |
| Corn，fodder | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.6 | － | － | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| Sweet corn | 2.3 | － | － | 4.5 | － | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Sugar beets | － | － | －110 | － | 0 | 105.7 | 70.5 | 14.7 |
| Potatces | 72.1 | 100.8 | 112.9 | 93.2 | 62.0 | 105.7 | 70.5 | 82.0 |
| Alfalfa | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Red clover | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.2 | $\cdots$ | 1.6 | 1.7 | － | 3.0 |
| Clover \＆timothy | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Soy bean hay | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1．${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 2.1 | － | 1.3 |
| Annual hay | 1.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | － | 1.7 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Timothy | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 18 |
| Wild hay | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | － | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 |

Factors Related with Earnings 1932

| Counties： | Drcge | Freebrrn | Goodhue | LeSueur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lbs．B．F．per cow | 235 | 227 | 229 | 255 |
| Ret．above feed（P．L．S．．ther than cows） | \＄2．97 | \＄－4．68 | \＄2．36 | \＄－5．80 |
| Prod．Iivestock units per 100 acres | 20.7 | 21.0 | 18.4 | 18.7 |
| Crop yields（\％of average） | 89 | 99 | 98 | 107 |
| \％tillable land in high return crops | 31.8 | 38.2 | 31.7 | 36.7 |
| Days of productive work | 841 | 779 | 674 | 666 |
| Days of productive work per worker | 359 | 378 | 315 | 304 |
| Power \＆equip．expense per day prod．work | $\$ 1.00$ | \＄1．05 | \＄1．27 | 笊工。29 |
| Counties： | Mower | Rice | Steele | Waseca |
| Lbs．B．F．per cow | 262 | 244 | 257 | 228 |
| Ret．above feed（P．L．S．other than cows） | \＄－1．05 | \＄3．50 | \＄4，59 | \＄17．67 |
| Prod．livestock units per 100 acres | 19.8 | 21.5 | 24.1 | 23.7 |
| Crop yields（\％of average） | 88 | 203 | 102 | 106 |
| \％tillable land in high return crops | 28.2 | 37.5 | 37.7 | 44.3 |
| Days of productive work | 1026 | 606 | 814 | 900 |
| Days produtive wark per worker | 343 | 310 | 331 | 377 |
| Power \＆equin．expense per day prod，work | \＄工． 26 | \＄1．10 | \＄1． 99 | \＄1． 17 |

Summary of Amount of Iivestock 1932

| Counties: | Dodge | Freeborn | Goodhue | Le Sueur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items |  |  |  |  |
| No. of horses (farms with tractor) | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| No. of horses (ferms without tractor) | 4.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.8 |
| No. of colts | . 5 | . 6 | . 8 | . 3 |
| ivo. of cows | 20.5 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 15.1 |
| No. of cows per woricer | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 7.1 |
| Head of other cattle | 25.3 | 22.4 | 17.7 | 17.2 |
| Litters of pigs raised | 10. | 14. | 8. | 10. |
| Pounds of pork produced | 13171 | 17582 | 11016 | 13561 |
| Head of sheep (? lembs equal 3 head) | 18.7 | 16.3 | 19.5 | 15.0 |
| No. of hens | 138 | 163 | 125 | 100 |
| Total no. of prod. livestock animal units | 43.9 | 41.9 | 36.1 | 33.6 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are cows | 48.1 | 44.2 | 48.2 | 48.2 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are cattle* | 28.1 | 26.1 | 25.4 | 24.7 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units thet are hogs | 15.8 | 20.5 | 14.5 | 19.9 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are sheep | 3.8 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 3.9 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are hens | 4.1 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 |
| Counties: | Mower | Rice | Steele | Waseca |
| Iteris ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| No. Of horses (farms with trector) | 5.9 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| No. of horses (farms without tractor) | 5.8 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 6.9 |
| No. of colts | 1.4 | . 5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| No. of cows | 24.0 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 20.5 |
| No. of cows per worker | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.7 |
| Head of other cattle | 26.1 | 14.5 | 21.2 | 28.3 |
| Litters of pigs raised | 12.0 | 10.0 | 15. | 11. |
| Pounds of port produced | 18879 | 12374 | 19459 | 15486 |
| Heed of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 heed) | 17.0 | 5.2 | 8. | $17 . ?$ |
| No. of inens | 128. | 131 | 211 | 415 |
| Total no. of prod. livestock animal units | 50.1 | 31.4 | 43.3 | 49.9 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are cows | 45.2 | 49.9 | 45.3 | 41.7 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are cattle | 26.3 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 27.6 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are hogs | 21.9 | 18.6 | 21.1 | 15.9 |
| \% of total prod. livestock units that are sheep | 3.6 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 5.8 |
| $\%$ of total prod. livestock units that are hens | 3.1 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 9.1 |

[^0]Factors of Cost and Feturns in Deiry Production 1932

| Counties | Dodge | Freeborn | Goodhue | Le <br> Sueur | Mower | Rice | Steele W | Naseca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of farms | 15 | 24 | 31 | 11 | 3 | 22 | 21 | 10 |
| Butterfat per cow | 235 | 227 | 229 | 255 | 262 | 244 | 257 | 228 |
| Feed per cow, lbs. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corn | 444 | 546 | 300 | 628 | 527 | 325 | 389 | 577 |
| Small grain | 1015 | 1150 | 911 | 1647 | 1603 | 1095 | 1097 | 923 |
| Com.feeds-under 25\% prot. | 290 | 241 | 460 | 280 | 404 | 390 | 263 | 303 |
| Coin.feeds-over 25\% prot. | 132 | 74 | 78 | 55 | 175 | 48 | 73 | 77 |
| Tame hay | 1340 | 769 | 850 | 369 | 1094 | 567 | 902 | 820 |
| Alfalfa | 984 | 1856 | 1703 | 21.50 | 962 | 2583 | 1976 | 1999 |
| Wild hay | 128 | 303 | 2 | 378 | 255 | 92 | 156 | 363 |
| Corn fodder | 1114 | 408 | 295 | 1010 | 589 | 834 | 832 | 519. |
| Silage | 6687 | 6599 | 6339 | 5895 | 7294 | 7424 | 7341 | 5644 |
| Total concentrates | 1881 | 1911 | 1749 | 2620 | 2709 | 1858 | 1822 | 1880 |
| Total dry roughage | 3566 | 3336 | 2830 | 3907 | 2910 | 4076 | 3866 | 3701 |
| Total digest nutrients | 4196 | 4217 | 3740 | 4788 | 4599 | 4570 | 4420 | 4137 |
| Total digest. nutrients, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% protein in ration | 11.4 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 12.5 | 13.5 |
| \% cows fresh, Sept. to Dec. | 54. | 58. | 53. | 70. | 47. | 58. | 61. | 69. |
| Feed cost per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | 12.99 | \$ 12.53 \$ | \$11.94 | \$ 17.10 | \$18.90\$ | 12.28 | \$11.98 | \$12.71 |
| Roughages | 21.35 | 23.85 | 21.84 | 23.57 | 21.77 | 27.44 | 25.87 | 23.58 |
| Pasture | 3.62 | 3.80 | 3.98 | 3.83 | 3.53 | 3.66 | 3.55 | 3.95 |
| Total feed cost | 37.96 | 40.18 | 37.76 | 44.50 | 44.20 | 43.38 | 41.40 | 40.24 |
| Feed cost per Ih. B.F. | .16 | . 18 | .17 | . 18 | .17 | . 18 | . 16 | . 18 |
| Value of produce per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.F. sales | 46.70 | 43.42 | 47.52 | 58.06 | 74.38 | 52.45 | 55.92 | 47.01 |
| Dairy prod. used in house | 2.69 | 3.10 | 2.98 | 3.54 | 3.12 | 2.62 | 2.91 | 2.64 |
| Milk to other livestock | 9.10 | 8.58 | 8.69 | 3.20 | 7.73 | 8.34 | 0.59 | 9.09 |
| Apprec. or deprec. | -5.05 | -3.49 | $-2.82$ | $-5.16$ | -4.08 | $-4.41$ | $-2.84$ | -3.07 |
| Total value of product | 53.44 | 51.61 | 56.37 | 65.64 | 81.15 | 59.00 | 65.58 | 55.67 |
| Ret. abre feed cost per cow | 15.48 | 11.43 | 18.61 | 21.14 | 36.95 | 15.62 | 24.18 | 15.43 |
| Price rec. per lb. B. \#. sold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sold as manufacturing crean | . 22 | . 22 | . 21 | . 21 | .23 | . 21 | . 22 | .23 |
| Sold as milk, cheese or retail cream | . 29 | . 99 | . 40 | .36 | . 48 | . 46 | . 40 | . 24 |
| Number of cows | 20.5 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 15.1 | 24.0 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 20.5 |



* Two lembs under 6 months of age considerca as one hoad.

Feod Costs sid Returns for Fogs and Poultry 2932

| County: | Dodre | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free- } \\ & \text { born } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good- } \\ & \text { hue } \end{aligned}$ | Te srour | Mower |  | Stcele | Veseca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hoss; no. of farms: | 15 | 24 | 31 | 11 | 9 | 22 | 21 | 9 |
| Ins. foed por 100 Ibs.pork prod. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smail grain | 111 | 101 | 174 | 119 | 162 | 135 | 14 ? | 130 |
| Commercial grain feeds | 14 | 7 | 25 | 11 | 36 | 22 | 10 | 7 |
| Total gr and con.feeds | 398 | 474 | 423 | 426 2 | 436 6 | 440 2 | 441 3 | 420 |
| Skimmmill | 563 | 1008 | 525 | 620 | 310 | 461 |  | 445 |
| Val.feed per 100 lbs.pork prod. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tankage \& strimmilk | . 52 | . 45 | . 55 | . 65 | . 40 | . 50 | . 50 | . 48 |
| Pesture | . 12 | . 12 | . 13 | . 09 | . 07 | . 12 | . 10 | . 13 |
| Tota, 1 | 2.81 | 3.34 | 3.16 | 3.22 | 3.22 | 3.17 | 3.09 | 3.09 |
| Eet. per $100 \mathrm{lbs.pork}$ prod. | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.57 | 2.56 | 2.93 | 2.46 | 2.67 | 2.42 |
| Ret. above food cost per 100 1.bs. pork produced | $-.23$ | -. 76 | -. 59 | -. 64 | -. 29 |  | -. 42 | -. 67 |
| Price rec. per 100 lbs pork sold | d 3.09 | 3.21 | 3.18 | 3.16 | 3.38 | 3.16 | 3.21 | 3.13 |
| Total no. of litters | 10 | 1.4 | $\delta$ | 10 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 12 |
| Totel no. of pigs weaned per litter | 6.2 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.6 |
| Lbs. of pork produced. I | 13171 | 17582 | 11016 | 13561 | 18872 | 12374 | 19459 | 17206 |
| Poultry: no. of farms: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lbs. of feed per hen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrotes Skimmilk | 106 82 | 105 45 | 107 76 | 319 36 | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 23 \end{array}$ | 110 | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ 33 \end{array}$ |
| Cost of feed per hen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentretes | 禹. 74 | \% $\cdot 72$ | \$. 62 | \$. 68 | *. 65 | \$ . 84 | + \$.76 | \$. 96 |
| Skimmilk | . 08 | . 04 | . 07 | . 03 | . 02 | . 06 | . 06 | . 03 |
| Total | . 62 | . 76 | . 69 | . 91 |  |  | - 84 | . 99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eggs sold \& used in house | 1.01 | . 63 | 2.40 | . 96 | . 90 |  | 5 | 1.36 |
| Poultry " " " " |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 72 |
| Total | 1.76 | 1.06 | 1.67 | 1.61 | 1.41 |  | 11.58 | 2.08 |
| Ret, Rbove feed cost per hen | . 96 | . 30 | . 78 | . 70 | . 54 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 1.09 |
| Price rec.per doz.eges sold(cont | nts) 12.9 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 19.3 | 13.8 | 74.1 | 1212.4 | 13.6 |
| Eges laia per hon | 96 | 63 | 127 | 95 | 61 | 111 | 111 | 121 |
| Ho. of hens | 136 | 176 | 134 | 1.57 | 128 | 131 | $22 ?$ | 70 |
| $\%$ of total no. thet ore pullets | - 72 | 71 | 71 | 83 | 69 | 79 | 66 | 70 |

Feed Costs per Horse and Other Power Expense Items 1.932


[^1]| Items | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1031 | 1932 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of farms | 124 | 172 | 180 | 147 | 143 |
| Acres in farm | 163 | 176 | 183 | 198 | 201 |
| Crop acres in farm | 112 | 121 | 128 | 137 | 138 |
| Ferm inventory (not including house) $\$ 23.655$ | $\$ 25,494$ | $\$ 25,562$ | $\$ 23,060$ | $\$ 16,6 \approx 0$ |  |

No. of work horses
ino. of colts
No. of cows
Ho. of head of other cattle
No. of litters of spring pigs
No. of litters of fall pigs
Lbs. of pork produced
No. of head of sheep
No. of hens
Lbs. of B.F. per cow
No. of pigs per litter
No. of egss laid per hen
Price rec'd per lb. B.F. sold
Price rec'd per cwt. hogs sold
Am't rec'd per lamb sold
Price rec'd per lb. wool sold
Price rec'd per doz. eges sold
Returns above feed cost per cow
R. ab. feed cost per head other cattle
R. ab. feed cost per cwt. pork prod.
R. 9 b . feed cost per head sheep
R. ab. feed cost per hen

Feed cost per cow
Feed cost per head other cattle
Feed cost per cwt. pork prod.
Feed cost per head sheep
Feed cost per hen
Feed cost per horse
Price feat iled (porn bur)
Price of feed, shelled corn (per
Price of feed, barley (per bu.)
Price of feed, oats (per bu.)
Price of feed, bran (per cwt.)
Price of feed, oil meal (per cwt.)
Price feed, alfalfa (per ton).
Yield per acre, corn (bu.)
Yield per acre, barley (bu.)
Yield per acre, oats (bu.)
Yield per acre, alfalfa (tons)
\% of tillable land in high return crops
Prod. livestock units per 100 A. No, of days of productive work. Days of productive work per worker 30
Pow. \& Eq. exp. per day of prod. work
No. of farms with tractors
$\$$

2
15

19
587
308

$12,143.3 \begin{array}{rr}3.0 & 13,270.0 \\ 6.7 & 7 .\end{array}$
139.3
241.
6.

9
$\$$
5.4 14.7 15.5 6.3
3.2
134.0
246.7
6.4
$\$ 96$.
$\begin{array}{rr}10.02 & 9.55 \\ .42 & .30\end{array}$
$.42 \quad .30$
.27
$\begin{array}{rr}\$ 77.43 & \$ 75.56 \\ 15.74 & 20.55 \\ .54 & 2.46 \\ 6.72 & 4.28 \\ 1.86 & 1.78\end{array}$

| $\$ 70.85$ | $\$ 68.16$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 33.92 | 32.10 |
| 7.98 | 7.34 |
| 2.56 | 3.07 |
| 1.55 | 1.69 |
| 57.1 .1 | 53.07 |

.66
.67
.49
1.80
2.90
15.00
40.9
36.9
44.6

## 2.9

31.0
5.82
9.6
\$
家
5.
15.
16.
6.
3.
$14,974$.
7.8.
146.
241.
241.6
6.3
110.0
$\$ .40$
8.94
5.92
.18
.22
$\$ 4$
$\$ 45.17$
1.76
1.69
$-.14$
1.35
$\$ 6$
$\$ 61.38$
29.42
6.32
2.69
1.38
43.21

| $\$ 21.54$ | $\$ 17.78$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| -4.57 | -4.12 |
| -.24 | -.56 |
| 0 | -.08 |
| 1.22 | .81 |
| $\$ 53.98$ | $\$ 41.46$ |
| 23.50 | 17.75 |
| 4.03 | 3.14 |
| 2.31 | 1.78 |
| 1.04 | .86 |
| 36.74 | 28.44 |


| $\$$ | .46 |
| ---: | ---: |
| .37 | $\$ .36$ |
| .24 | .29 |
| .90 | .19 |
| 1.85 | 1.48 |
| 13.00 | 10.00 |
| 32.1 | 51.3 |
| 24.9 | 33.7 |
| 39.0 | 54.8 |
| 2.3 | 2.8 |


| 32.6 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 35.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.9 | 19.4 | 21.7 | 20.9 |
| 611 | 653 | 776 | 757 |
| 312 | 327 | 354 | 337 |
| 1.69 | 11.51 | 1.37 | 1.15 |
| 100 | 112 | 96 | 94 |

Summary of Farm Earnings by Fears*

| Items | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASFI TXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor (new and exp.) | \$ 94 | \$ 249 | \$ 224 | \$ 151 | \$ 98 |
| Hruck (new and exp.) | 29 | 65 | 51 | 53 | 52 |
| Auto (now and exp.) (farm share) | 127 | 144 | 111 | 89 | 63 |
| Gas engine (now and exp.) (farm share) | 14 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 10 |
| Electricity (new and exp.) (farm shere) | 32 | 24 | 22 | 36 | 31 |
| Mechinery and equipment (new) | 151 | 228 | 174 | 134 | 89 |
| Machinery and equipment (exp.) | 74 | 70 | 57 | 63 | 51 |
| Bldgs., fences, tiling (new) | 94 | 167 | 178 | 69 | 47 |
| Bldgs., fences, tiling (exp.) | 54 | 49 | 32 | 37 | 19 |
| Hired lebor | 252 | 293 | 262 | 275 | 220 |
| Feed for livestock | 504 | 376 | 309 | 380 | 282 |
| Other expense for livestock | 59 | 74 | 80 | 82 | 55 |
| Horses bought | 44 | 28 | 38 | 26 | 32 |
| Cows bought | 79 | 41 | 45 | 18 | 17 |
| Other cattle bought | 63 | 99 | 76 | 45 | 34 |
| Hogs bought | 69 | 101 | 116 | 69 | 23 |
| Sheep bought | 5 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 10 |
| Poultry bougnt | 35 | 39 | 43 | 39 | 35 |
| Crop (seed, twine, spray) | 172 | 199 | 202 | 200 | 129 |
| Taxes and insurance | 285 | 312 | 324 | 349 | 341 |
| Generel Farm | 30 | 29 | 26 | 34 | 31 |
| (1) Total cash expense | 2,265 | 2,614 | 2,300 | 2,177 | 1,669 |
| (2) Decrease in farm inventory | 5 | 710 | 375 | 971 | 919 68 |
| (3) Board for hired labor ${ }^{\text {(4) Total expense (sun of (1) (2) \& (3) }}$ | 2,361 | 110 2,724 | 113 2,878 | 100 3,248 | 2,656 |
| CASH RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horses | 33 | 28 | 40 | 26 | 25 |
| Cows | 353 | 350 | 281 | 174 | 128 |
| Dairy products | 1,649 | 1.674 | 1,374 | 1,276 | 978 |
| Other cattle | 375 | 427 | 319 | 286 | 213 |
| Hogs | 1,040 | 1,287 | 1,323 | 1,024 | 502 |
| Sheep | 45 | 59 | 35 | 46 | 37 |
| Poultry | 142 | 138 | 135 | 143 | 140 |
| Hggs | 272 | 278 | 272 | 231 | 193 |
| Small grain | 214 | 268 | 164 | 145 | 111 |
| Corn | 29 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 30 |
| Hay | $2 \%$ | 21 | 19 | 13 | 23 |
| Root crovs | 1 | 57 | 56 | 38 | 33 |
| Other crops | 85 | 136 | 150 | 84 | 91 |
| Miscellameous | 81 | 187 | 175 | 135 | 144 |
| Income from work off the farm | 117 | 88 | 89 | 140 | 100 |
| (5) Total casin receipts | 4,464 | 5,043 | 4,476 | 3,804 | 2,754 |
| (6) Increase in farm invontory | 387 | 847 | 304 | 242 | 197 |
| (7) Farm produce used in house | 323 | 6 326 | 304 4.780 | 1. 242 | 297 |
| (8) Total raceipts (sun of (5) (6) \& (7) Totel expenses (4) | 5,174 2,361 | 6,216 2,724 | 4.780 2.878 | 4,046 3,248 | 2,951 2,656 |
| (9) Ret. to cap. \& fam. labor (8) - (4) | 2, 813 | 3,492 | 1,902 | 798 | 295 |
| (10) Interest on farm invontory | 1,182 | 1,274 | 1,278 | 1,153 | 834 539 |
| (11) Family labor earninge (3) - (10) | 1,631 | 2,218 | 624 | $-355$ | -539 |
| (12) Unpaid family labor | $\begin{array}{r}354 \\ \hline 87\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}361 \\ \hline 857\end{array}$ | 381 | 267 -602 | 229 -768 |
| (13) Oper. labor earnings (11) - (12) | 1,277 | 1,857 | 243 | -62? | -768 |

* See page 31.

Footnote for pages 29 and 30.
The values of farm real estate shown in the 1931 report were reduced approximately $25 \%$ from the $1928-1930$ values. The values in 1932 vere reduced about $20 \%$ from the 1031 values. Only land was affected by the reduction in 1931, but in 1932 buildings and improvenents were cut $25 \%$. The value of dairy cows was also adjusted downerd in 1932. These cepital losses are not included in the inventory decreases in the finencial statement but the decreased valuation results in a lower interest charge.

The financial statements differ $2 l s o$ in that tie unpaid family lebor rate was $\$ 60$ per month for the 1928 to 1930 period, $\$ 40$ in 1931 , and $\$ 30$ in 1932, and the beard for hired labor was fisured at $\$ 20$ per month in 1928, 1925, and 1930, $\$ 15$ per month in 1931, and $\$ 10$ per month in 1932 .

These adjustments to neet chenges in the orice level, shou ta be considered in comparing 1332 results with previovs yeers.


[^0]:    * Cattle other than cows.

[^1]:    * Two colts equal one horse

