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## INTRODUCIION

The Division of Agricultural Economics and the Division of Agricultural Extension of the University of Minnesota, the Bureau of Agricultural Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture and the county extension services $\overline{0} f$ several southwestern Minnesota counties are cooperating with the Southwest Minnem sota Farm Management Association in maintaining a farm management service. The Association was organized in the fall of 1939 by farmers in that part of the state for the purpose of studying the farm business thru farm records. Each farmer pays an annual fee which covers a pert of the cost. The balance of the cost is defrayed by the University of Minnesota.

The analysis of the records and the preparation of the reports are handled by the Division of Agricultural Economics under the direction of $G$. A. Pond and T. $R$. Nodland. Field organization is handled by the Extension Division with S. B. Clelano
and J. B. McNulty in charge of this work. Ross Huntsinger was the fieldman until April 1, 1943 and was then succeeded by $J$, $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ Burkholder. County agricultural extension agents who cooperate in this project include Paui Kunkel, H. J. Vossen, C. G. Gaylord, Roland Abraham, T. G. Fuller, F. J. Meade, S. Bi Sitmpon, A. B. Hagen, C. E. Stower, C. C. Chase, J. I. Swedberg, C. R. Simon, and Wayne Hanson.

The officers for the Southwest Farm Management Association for 1943 were:
President, W. J. Marsh, Madelia, Watonwan County Vice-Fresident, M. E. Teeter, Fairmont, Martin County Secretary-Treasurer, Arthur Foster, Garvin, Murray County

The board of directors include these officers and also the following: Wm. Golly, Cottonwood County; C. J. Zupp, Faribault County; A. C. Irvine, Jackson County; Robert Soderholm, Nobles County; Thomas. B. Hicks, Redwood County; and I. J. Moeller, Rock County.

The following tabulation shows by counties the numbers of members who completed records in 1943:

| Brown | 3 | Lincoln | 4 | Nobles | 29 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Cottonwood | 9 | Lyon | 4 | Pipestone | 4 |
| Faribault | 19 | Martin | 19 | Redwood | 23 |
| Jackson | 21 | Murray | 12 | Rock | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | Watonwan | $\frac{15}{171}$ |

In the tables on page 4 and succeeding pages are shown data for 164 farms. Seven farms have been omitted from all of the averages in the tables because they differed widely in type from the others or the records were not sufficiently complete for a full analysis.

## TYPE OF FARMING

The farms in this area have a wide diversity of entemrises. All classes of livestock are important although livestock kept for meat production tends to predominate. The sale of crops constitutes an important source of income. The principal feed crops grown are corn, oats, barley, and hay. In addition wheat, sweet corn, canning peas, and flax are grown to a linited extent as cash crops.

TOPOGRAPHY, SOILS, AND WEATHER
The soils range from dark brown to heavy black loar. The major parts of the area is undulating to gently rolling land interspersed with almost level tracts. In the western part of the area the surface ranges from undulating to sharply rolling. Nearly all of the land is tillable and well drained.

The spring of 1943, as a whole, was considerably cooler and wetter than usual. The growth of vegetation was retarded and the planting of corn and other late crops was delayed. Growing conditions were more favorable during June although cultivating and haying were delayed and much danage occurred in low lands from heavy rains. Growing crops, especially corn, did well in July. There were some serious crop losses because of hail. Frequent showers delayed the second crop of hay, harvesting, and threshing of small grains, and resulted in some danage to grain in shocks and to hay. Dry, sunny weather during most of September and October was ideal for the maturing and harvesting of late crops. However, it was too dry for pastures and plowing. A severe snow stom during the period of Noverber 6 to 8 resulted in a delay in the harvesting of com, soybeans and hemp and in the loss of a considerable amount of soybeans and hemp.

Table 1. Monthly and Annual Precipitation

|  | Worthington |  | Fairmont |  | New U1m |  | Redwood Falls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preciom | Depar- | Precipm | Depar | Precipm | Depar- | Precip- | Depar- |
|  | itation | ture from <br> normal | itation | ture from normal | itation | ture from nommal | itation | ture from normal |
|  | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches |
| January | 0.70 | $+0.07$ | 0.94 | +0.14 | 2.53 | +1.40 | 0.92 | +0.19 |
| February | 0.42 | -0.35 | 0.68 | -0.29 | 1.10 | +0.04 | 0.87 | 0.00 |
| March | 1.30 | +0.04 | 1.45 | +0.04 | 1.74 | +0.13 | 1.86 | +0.61 |
| April | 0.57 | -1.51 | 1.03 | -1.20 | 0.69 | -1.50 | 0.52 | -1.41 |
| May | 4.29 | +0.35 | 4.23 | +0.18 | 8.66 | +5.09 | 4.32 | +1.46 |
| June | 9.19 | +4.90 | 9.52 | +5.18 | 7.34 | +2.69 | 5.00 | +0.51 |
| July | 7.10 | +3.71 | 6.40 | $+2.84$ | 7.27 | +3.59 | 5.23 | +2.19 |
| August | 4.99 | $+1.23$ | 7.85 | $+4.11$ | 5.53 | +1.98 | 6.55 | +3.57 |
| September | 1.44 | -2.10 | 0.98 | -2.65 | 2.76 | -0.83 | 1.68 | -1.18 |
| October | 1.74 | +0.05 | 1.19 | -0.66 | 1.95 | -0.21 | 1.60 | -0.07 |
| November | 1.39 | +0. 22 | 2.30 | +0.79 | 1.48 | +0.17 | 2.49 | +1.28 |
| December | 0.02 | -0.59 | 0.07 | -0.83 | 0.05 | -0.85 | $\pm$ | -1.08 |
| 1943 Total | 33.15 | +6.02 | 36.64 | +7.65 | 41.10 | +11.70 | $\overline{31.04}$ | +6.07 |
| 1942 Total | 33.47 | +6.34 | 25.98 | -3.01 | 29.63 | +0.23 | 21.02 | -3.95 |
| 1941 Total | 28.22 | +1.09 | 32.92 | +3.93 | 34.94 | +5.54 | 26.07 | +1.10 |
| 1940 Total | 22.50 | -4.63 | 28.72 | -0.27 | 36.90 | +7.50 | 25.95 | +0.98 |
| 1939 Total | 24.27 | -2.86 | 21.92 | -7.07 | 23.04 | -6.36 | 18.52 | -6.45 |
| 1938 Total | 40.50 | +13.37 | 39.99 | +11.00 | 29.98 | +0.58 | 26.84 | +1.87 |
| Normal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a |
| Annual Prec | . 27.13 |  | 28.99 |  | 29.40 |  | 24.97 |  |

## RECORDS KEPTT

The records kept by the cooperators included inventories at the beginning and end of the year, cash receipts and expenses, a report of feed fed to the various classes of livestock, and a record of farm produce used by the farm family. Supm plementary information was also secured during the year regaraing crop and livestock production and practices.

The cooperators were assisted and supervised in keeping their records by the field agent, who visited each farm in the thirteen counties several times during the year. In addition to securing the supplenentary information, the field agent's duties included numerous services, such as, securing a monthly list of prices of farm products prevailing in the area, helping the farmer place uniform values on real estate and equipment, checking the cash.and feed records, and answering any questions that might arise as to how the entries should be made in the account. book. The supervision resulted in uniformity in the type of records secured, in the inventory valuations and in the prices at which feed and farm produce were charged.

Because the farners included in this study are, in general, above the average in managerial ability and operate larger and more productive farms, they have retums materially higher than the average for this soction of the state. There wore, nevertheless, wide variations in the methods and practices followed by these non. -It is reasonable to assume that sinilar variations occur among all farmers in the area. To the extent that this is true, this report should be of value to all farmers and to others interested in agriculturs in that it illustrates how farm records may be used as a besis for making an analysis of a farm business and for inproving the management of a farm.

Table 2. Summary of Farm Inventories, $1943^{*}$


* For the purpose of comparison all the data shown in this report with the exception of Tables 5 and 6 are presented on full-owner basis. The assets, expenses and receipts of the landlord were included in the records from rented farms.
** See page 13 for an explanation of "work unita".

Table 3. Summary of Farm Darnings (Cash Statoment), 1943

| Items | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | Average of 164 farms | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { most } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 33 least profitable <br> farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FARM EXPEMSES |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy and dual-purpose cows bought |  | \$ 60 | \$ 53 | \$ 27 |
| Other dairy \& dual-pur. cattle bot. |  | 75 | 113 | 41 |
| Beef cattle bot.(incl.feeders) |  | 1187 | 2873 | 690 |
| Hogs bought |  | 408 | 555 | 491 |
| Sheep bought (including feeders) |  | 694 | 1581 | 647 |
| Poultry bought (including turkeys) |  | 165 | 288 | 147 |
| Horses bought |  | 33 | 53 | 23 |
| Misc. livestock expense |  | 199 | 288 | 158 |
| Misc, crop expenses |  | 507 | 831 | 425 |
| Feed bought |  | 3080 | 5245 | 2583 |
| Custom work hired |  | 215 | 239 | 198 |
| Mech. power mach, (fam share) (new) |  | 180 | 139 | 114 |
| Mech. power mach. (farm share) (upkp) |  | 147 | 183 | 146 |
| Mech. power (f.share)(gas,oil, etc.) |  | 470 | 620 | 426 |
| Crop and general mach. (new) |  | 221 | 309 | 204 |
| Crop and general mach. (upkeep) |  | 157 | 196 | 167 |
| Livestock equipment (new) |  | 138 | 159 | 119 |
| Livestock equipment (upkeep) |  | 87 | 100 | 93 |
| Buildings and fencing (new) |  | 236 | 261 | 312 |
| Buildings and fencing (upkeep) |  | 168 | 211 | 179 |
| Hired labor |  | 739 | 1217 | 548 |
| Taxes |  | 335 | 487 | 308 |
| General farm and insurance |  | 112 | 143 | 104 |
| (1) Total farm purchases |  | \$9613 | \$16144 | \$8150 |
| (2) Decrease in farm capital |  | - | - | 1586 |
| (3) Board furnished hired labor |  | 147 | 178 | 107 |
| (4) Interest on farm capital |  | 1880 | 2741 | 1517 |
| (5) Unpaid family labor |  | 335 | 482 | 269 |
| (6) Total farm exp. (Sum of (1)to(5). |  | \$11975 | \$19545 | \$11629 |
| FAPM RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy and dual-purpose cows |  | \$ 196 | \$ 151 | \$ 152 |
| Dairy products |  | 916 | 919 | 766 |
| Other dairy \& dual-purpose cattle |  | 223 | 154 | 259 |
| Beef cattle (including feeders) |  | 3590 | 7577 | 2280 |
| Hogs |  | 5630 | 9137 | 4716 |
| Sheep and wool (including feeders) |  | 968 | 2969 | 732 |
| Poultry (including turkeys) |  | 62 ? | 1567 | 434 |
| Eggs |  | 905 | 863 | 670 |
| Horses |  | 45 | 55 | 42 |
| Corn |  | 724 | 916 | 697 |
| Small grain |  | 1382 | 2357 | 1029 |
| Other crops |  | 510 | 1157 | 137 |
| Machinery \& equip. sold |  | 137 | 139 | 170 |
| Agricultural adjustment payments |  | 264 | 444 | 229 |
| Income from work off the farm |  | 255 | 401 | 201 |
| Misc. |  | -67 | 83 | 112 |
| (7) Total farm sales |  | \$16434 | \$28889 | \$12626 |
| (8) Increase in farm capital |  | 2 | 851 | - |
| (9) Family living from the farm |  | 588 | 663 | 528 |
| (10) Total farm receipts(7)+(8)+(9) |  | \$17024 | \$30403 | \$13154 |
| (6) Total farm expenses |  | 11975 | 19545 | 11629 |
| (11) Oper. labor earnings (10)-(6) (12) Ret.cap.\& fam.1ab. $(4)+(5)+(11)$ |  | 5049 | 10858 | 1525 |
| (12) Ret.cap.\& fam.1ab. 4 ( $+(5)+(11)$ |  | 7264 | 14081 | 3311 |

Tabla 4. Summary of Farm Earnings (Enterprise Statement) 1943*

| ItemsYour <br> farm | Average of 164 farms | 33 most profitable farms | 33 least profitable farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HXPENSES AND NET DECREASES |  |  |  |
| Total power \$ | \$ 1044 | \$ 1249 | \$ 1016 |
| Horses | 211 | 228 | 218 |
| Tractor | 387 | 471 | 376 |
| Truck | 100 | 173 | 79 |
| Auto (farm share) | 192 | 205 | 201 |
| Gas engine (farm share) | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Elec. plant or current(f, Hhare) | 55 | 65 | 49 |
| Hired power | 97 | 103. | 91 |
| Crop and general machinery | 374 | 455 | 359 |
| Livestock equipment | 147 | 178 | 133 |
| Buildings, fencing and tiling | 416 | 565 | 384 |
| Misc. productive livestock expense | 194 | 277 | 153 |
| Labor | 1279 | 1945 | 979 |
| Real estate taxes | 279 | 400 | 252 |
| Personal property tax | 56 | 87 | 56 |
| Insurance | 40 | 54 | 41 |
| General farm | 72 | 89 | 63 |
| Interest on farm capital | 1880 | 2741 | 1517 |
| (1) Total expenses \& net decreases | 5781 | 8040 | 4953 |
| RETURNS AND NET INCREASES |  |  |  |
| All productive livestock | 10471 | 17661 | 7371 |
| Dairy and dual purpose cows | 1076 | 1146 | 890 |
| Other dairy \& dual pur.cattle. | 332 | 297 | 312 |
| Beef breeding herd | 526 | 494 | 401 |
| Feeder cattle | 1479 | 3319 | 751 |
| Hogs | 5181 | 9152 | 3614 |
| Sheep - farm flock | 135 | 170 | 114 |
| Sheep - feeders | 229 | 755 | 168 |
| Turkeys | 296 | 112. | 148 |
| Chickens | 1217 | 1207 | 973 |
| Crops, seed and feed | -198 | 420 | -1362 |
| Income fron labor off the farm | 137 | 173 | 109 |
| Agricultural conservation payments | 264 | 444 | 229 |
| Miscellaneous | 156 | 200 | 131 |
| (2) Total returns \& net increases | 10830 | 18898 | 6478 |
| (1) Totel expenses \& net decreases | 5781 | 8040 | 4953 |
| (3) Oper. labor carnings (2) - (1) | 5049 | 10858 | 2525 |

* Cash receipts and expenses are adjusted for changes in inventory for each enterprise and for each item of expense in order to show total receipts and net increases, and total expenses and net decreases. The operator's labor: earnings are the same as those in page 5.

Table 5. Net Worth Statement for Those Farmers Who Kept a Complete Record of All Assets and Liabilities*


January 1,: 1943


* Only the operator's share of the assets and liabilitios are included.
** 17 rented for cash, 10 cash and crop share and 4 crop share.
*** 11 farms were rented for cash, 21 cash and crop share and 10 livestock share.
$-8$
Table 6. Sumary of Farm Harnings by Tenure, 1943

| FARM EXPENSES | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \text { owners } \end{gathered}$ | 31 parto owners | $\begin{aligned} & 4 ट \\ & \text { renters } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy and dualopurpose cows bought \$ | \$16 | \$83 | \$40 |
| Other dairy \& dual-pur.cattle bought | 55 | 100 | 66 |
| Beef cattle bote(incl, feeders) | 371 | 966 | 1252 |
| Hogs bought | 444 | 322 | 444 |
| Sheep bought (including feeders) | 48 | 439 | 484 |
| Poultry bought (including turkeys) | 148 | 170 | 334 |
| Horses bought | 4 | 52 | 43 |
| Misc. livestock expenses | 203 | 203 | 156 |
| Misc, crop expenses | 417 | 636 | 361 |
| Feed bought | 2462 | 3691 | 2577 |
| Custom woric hired. | 229 | 241 | 214 |
| Mech. power mach. (farm share) (new) | 129 | 222 | 153 |
| Mech. power mach. (fam share) (upkp) | 140 | 133 | 135 |
| Mech. powey ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$ share) (gas,0i1, etc, ) | 459 | 516 | 360 |
| Crop and general mach. (new) | 176 | 261 | 136 |
| Crop and general mach. (upkeep) | 128 | 173 | 126 |
| Livestock equipnent (new) | 152 | 146 | 85 |
| Livestock equipment (upkeep) | 73 | 108 | 85 |
| Buildings and fencing (new) | 307 | 261 | 57 |
| Buildings and fencing (upkeep) | 249 | 160 | 40 |
| Hired labor | 654 | 956 | 465 |
| Taxes (real estate \& pers, property) | 291 | 251 | 33 |
| General farm and insurance | 120 | 124 | 73 |
| Cash rent | - | 486 | 503 |
| Interest paid | 511 | 420 | 129 |
| (1) Total fam purchases | \$8654 | \$11110 | \$8150 |
| (2) Decrease in fam capital | 973 | - | $\bigcirc$ |
| (3) Board furnished hired labor | 147 | 179 | 113 |
| (4) Interest on farm capital | 1225 | 1330 | 436 |
| (5) Unpaid family labor | 312 | 370 | 243 |
| (6) Total farm exp: (Sum of (1)to(5) FARM RECEIPTS | \$11311 | \$12989 | \$8942 |
| Dairy and dual-purpose cows ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$134 | \$327 | \$162 |
| Dairy products | 975 | 864 | 658 |
| Other dairy and dual-purpose cattle | 231 | 281 | 124 |
| Beef cattle (including feeders) | 3469 | 3184 | 2888 |
| Hoge | 5447 | 6788 | 4215 |
| Sheep and wool (including feeders) | 508 | 577 | 1089 |
| Poultry (including turkeys) | 394 | 698 | 477 |
| Eggs | 870 | 996 | 691 |
| Horses | 19 | 52 | 52 |
| Corn | 971 | 613 | 172 |
| Smail grain | 1067 | 1800 | 830 |
| Other crops | 363 | 1107 | 207 |
| Machinery \& equip, sold | 108 | 162 | 152 |
| Agricultural adjustment payments | 309 | 342 | 162 |
| Income from work off the farm | 348 | 324 | 171 |
| Misc. ${ }_{\text {P }}$ | $\frac{45}{4}$ | 46 | 47 |
| (7) Total farm sales | \$15258 | \$18161 | \$12097 |
| (8) Increase in farm capital |  | 451 | 166 |
| (9) Family living from the farm | 628 | 639 | 510 |
| (10) Tobal fam receipts (7)+(8)+(9) | \$15886. | \$19251 | \$12773 |
| (6) Total farm expenses | 21311 | 12989 | 8942 |
| (11) Operator's labor earnings (10) (6) | 4575 | 6262 | 3831 |
| (12) Ret, cap, famplab: (4)+(5)+(11) | 6112 | 796 垄 | 4510 |

Table 7. Famlly Living from the Farm, 1943

| Items $\begin{gathered}\text { Your } \\ \text { farm }\end{gathered}$ | Average 164 <br> farms | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { most } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { least } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Your farm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 164 \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { most } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { abde } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 33 least profit- <br> able. <br> farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of pers. Fam . | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| adult equiv. (Oth.* | . 6 | .8 | . 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Wholemilk | 1022 | qts. 1094 | 1084 | \$ | \$53.11 | \$58.96 | \$52.07 |
| Skim milk | 310 | qts. 354 | 479 |  | 2.65 | 2.51 | 3.00 |
| Cream | 227 p | pts. 248 | 231 |  | 37.04 | 41.95 | 36.77 |
| Farm made butter |  | ibs. 4 | - |  | 3.34 | 1.98 |  |
| Eggs | 229 | doz. 179 | 159 |  | 55.92 | 59.69 | 53.57 |
| Cattle | 4281 | lbs. 460 | 364 |  | 51.09 | 59.49 | 40.97 |
| Hogs | 5671 | Ibs. 677 | 586 |  | 77.36 | 92.02 | 79.74 |
| Sheep |  | Ibs. 8 | 2 |  | . 79 | . 82 | . 25 |
| Poultry | 1161 | lbs. 123 | 86 |  | 20.69 | 25.88 | 17.41 |
| Potatoes | 17 b | bu. 21 | 14 |  | 19.61 | 25.68 | 17.08 |
| Vegetables \& fruits |  |  |  |  | 47.79 | 50.56 | 39.32 |
| Farm fuel |  |  |  |  | 9.78 | 9.27 | 7.24 |
| Rental vl. of house |  |  |  |  | 208.93 | 233.96 | 180.54 |
| Misc. (wool, honey, etc.) |  |  |  |  | . 06 | . 29 | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$588.16 | \$663.06 | \$527.96 |

Table 8. Household and Personal Expenses for Those Farrns Which Kept Complete Accounts of These Expenses, 1943

| ItemsYour <br> farm | Average <br> of 99 <br> farns | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { most } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { least } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of persons - faraly | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| Number of persons, (Fanaily adult equivalent (Other* | 3.1 .6 | 3.2 .9 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.1 \\ .5 \end{array}$ |
| Food and neals bought \$ | \$434 | \$472 | \$411 |
| Operating and supplios | 155 | 152 | 160 |
| Clothing and clothing materials | 262 | 348 | 205 |
| Personal care, personal spending | 79 | 123 | 66 |
| Furmishings and equipment | 97 | 95 | 56 |
| Educetion, recreation and development | 105 | 274 | 39 |
| Medical care and health insurance | 140 | 136 | 93 |
| Church, welfare, gifts | 176 | 275 | 140 |
| Fersonal share of auto expense | 45 | 45 | 41 |
| Household share of elect. \& gis eng, exp. | 38 | 43 | 31 |
| H.H.dpers.shr.of new auto,gns eng. \&motors bot | 9 | 1 | 13 |
| Life insurance and other investments | 1124 | 2113 | 523 |
| Income tax | 754 | 1993 | 225 |
| Total household and personal cash expenses | \$3418 | \$6070 | \$2003 |
| Food furnished by the farm | 376 | 418 | 347 |
| Fuel furnished by the farm | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| House rental | 205 | 214 | 183 |
| Total houschold and personal expenses | \$4011 | \$6713 | \$2542 |

## ANALYSIS OF THE REASONS FOR DIFFBRENCES IN OPERATOR'S EARNINGS

The operator's labor earnings varied widely among the farmers included in this study. The average labor earnings of those farmers ranking in the upper 20 per cent in the range according to earnings was $\$ 10,858$ and of those in the lower 20 per cent was $\$ 1,525$. This is a range of $\$ 9,333$ between the average earmings of these two groups. Some of the causes for these differences in earnings may be beyond the control of the farmer. However, all of these farmers could make some changes in their farming operations which would increase earnings. A farmer can secure some ideas as to changes that could profitably be made on his farm by studying the facts about his business as presented in this report and comparing his accomplishments with other farmers following the same general type of farming. The more important management factors affecting earnings and their relationships with earnings are presented in the following tables. These factors vary from year to year in their relative influence on earnings. Because of the great importance of size of business in 1943 some of these factors do not show a significant relationship with earnings.

Table 9. Relation of Crop Yields to Farn Earnings

| Per cent crop yields were of the average for all 164 farms |  | No. of | Average operator's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Average | farns | labor earnings |
| Below 86 | 69 | 47 | \$3.895 |
| 86-113 | 100 | 64 | 4,185 |
| 114 and above | - 128 | 53 | 7,114 |

High production per acre, up to certain limits, tends to lower the cost per bushel of grain or per ton of hay. Any possible method of management that will: increase crop yields and therefore lower cost of production more than the extra expense incurred in securing the higher yields should be given consideration.

| Tercent 10. Relation of Choice of Crops to Fam Eamings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in tick yotus |  | No. of | Average operator's |
| Group | Arerage | farms | labor earnings |
| Below 35.0 | 31.3 | 37 | \$4,103 |
| $35.0-44.9$ | 40.4 | 92 | 5,251 |
| 45.0 \& above | 49.3 | 35 | 5.516 |

*Crops are marked on page l6 as $(A)$, $(B)$, ( $C$ ), and (D). All of
acres in $(A)$ crops, one-half of acres in ( $B$ crops, and one-
fourth of acres in ( $C$ ) crops are used in calculating per cent
of tillable land in high return crops.
Farmers' earnings are affected by the choice of crops as well as by the yields of crops. As a rule, on these farms, such crops as alfalfa, clover, canning crops, sugar beets, corn, and flax bring a higher net return per acre than other crops usually grown. Additions can be made to earnings by putting as high a percentage as possible of the tillable land into these higher return crops.

Table 11. Relation of Returns from Productive Livestock to Farm Earnings Index of returns for $\$ 100$ feed

| fed to productive $\frac{\text { Iivestock* }}{}$ | Average | No. of <br> farms | Average operator's <br> labor earnings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 72 | 33 | $\$ 2,859$ |
| Below 82 | 100 | 99 | 5,153 |
| $82-115$ | 130 | 32 | 6,985 |

*The index is weighted by the number of animal units.
The majority of these farms are livestock farms. A large proportion of the crops raised are fed on the farm and some additional feed is purchased. Feed is the major item of cost in livestock production and livestock constitutes an important source of income on these farms. Hence there is a marked relationship between returns for $\$ 100$ of feed and operator's labor earnings on these farms. There are a number of reasons for differences among farms in livestock returns. High productivity per animal and economy in the use of feed and labor are important. Other factors of considerable importance are kind of feed used, quality of pastures, balance of ration, degree of sanitation, and kind of shelter and equipment.

Table 12. Relation of Amount of Productive Livestock to Farm Eamings
Productive livestock
units per 100 acres* No. of Average operator's

| Group | Average | farms | labor earnings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 18.0 | 13.6 | 37 | $\$ 4,364$ |
| $18.0-30.9$ | 24.4 | 90 | 4,866 |
| 31.0 and above | 38.3 | 37 | 6,176 |

*Acres in timber not jastured, roads, waste and farmstead were not included.

On some farms the returns from livestock are so low that they do not cover feed and other costs. Such livestock is unprofitable, especially if there is more than enough to utilize what would otherwise be waste feed. If the livestock is yieldinge net return, an increased amount of livestock adds to size of business and the opportunity to increase the farm earnings. Livestock produces manure and aids in keeping up the fertility of the land, and utilizes waste products on the farm. Livestock also helps to provide productive employment throughout the year. Any method that aids in utilizing the available resources to full and efficient capacity should add to the farm income.

| No. of worls units |  | No. of | Average operator's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Average | farms | labor earnings |
| Below 425 | 363 | 38 | \$2,933 |
| 425-699 | 545 | 88 | 4.828 |
| 700 and above | 906 | 38 | 7.676 |

The size of the farming operations is one of the important factors affecting the eamings of farmers. On the average, the farmers with a large business had larger earnings than the farmers with a smell business. The size of the farm business is here measured in terms of the number of wopk units. For farmers operating their farms at a loss; the larger the volume of business, the larger will be the loss; but a farmer who is making a profit could make a larger profit if he increased his size of business, providing thet in so doing he does not lower materially the efficiency in sone one or more important branches of his business. Those farmers who have large businesses usually have more flexibility of their organization than does the man with a small business, and can utilize more efficiently and to better advantage available labor, power, machinery and buildings. The size of the farm business may be increased by farming more land, by keeping more livestock, or by keeping livestock or growing crops of a rore intensive type,

Table 14. Relation of Anount of Work Accomplished per Worker to Farm Earnings

| Work units per worker | No. of | Average operator's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Average | farms |


| Below 235 | 198 | 37 | $\$ 3,584$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $235-304$ | 270 | 77 | 5,382 |
| 305 and above | 354 | 50 | 5,619 |

Farmers' earnings are generally higher on those farms on which a large amount of work is accomplished per worker. More days of productive work accomplished per worker reduces the labor charge per unit of business. Higher labor accomplishment can be secured in several ways. In the first place, the business must be large enough so that there will be at least sufficient work available for the family labor. The farm should be so organized that the labor requirenents are well distributed throughout the year. Handling pastures in such a way that as large a proportion as possible of the year's feed for livestock may be obtained from them helps to reduce labor requirenents. Proper planning $Q f$ the farm work and economical use of labor-saving machinery help to increase the work accomplished per worker:

Table 15. Relation of Power, Machinery, Equipment and Building Expense to Farm Earmings*

| Expense per work unit | No. of | Average operator's <br> labor earnings |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Average | farms |  |
| $\$ 4.50$ and above | $\$ 5.18$ | 30 | $\$ 3.542$ |
| $\$ 2.65-\$ 4.49$ | 3.48 | 103 | 4.853 |
| Below $\$ 2.65$ | 2.22 | 31 | 7.155 |

*Includes building, fencing, all crop machinery and livestock equipment, horse feed, and miscellaneous horse expense.

The expense factor does not show as high relationship with earnings when prices are high as when they are low. Some ferms are under-equipped. On a few farms, excessive expenses constitute the main factor causing eamings to be very low.

Some of the cash expenses can be kept down by careful management. Often.. times necessary repairs and improvements can be made by using the available farm labor rather than by hiring extra help. Repoirs and overhauling should be done before spring work boging incofar as possiblo; or on rainy days or in other spere time during the dumer. Reducing the number of horses to the minimum required for offelant opertion of the fart helps reduoe the power exponser In some cases farmers cah offact some or all of the power and machinery expense by urne their equlpment for outside work.

## EFTEECI OF WELI-BALANCED EFFICIENCY ON FARM PROFIMS

It is quite evident from this report that few farmers have a monopoly on efficiency. Quite often farm operators show efficient management in one part of the farm business, which is offset by poor results in other phases. These farmers get medium returns while those who fall down all along the line get the lowest returns; and on the other hand those few who can manage to attain high efficiency in all parts of their organization receive returns well above the average. This is well illustrated in Table 16.

Table 16. Relation of Operator's Labor Earnings to the Number of Factors in Which the Farmer is Above Average

| No. of |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| factors in | No. |  | The length of the shaded lines | operage |
| which farm | of | Your | are in proportion to the average | labor |
| oxcels | farms | farm | operator's labor earnings | earnings |


| None | 6 | xxxxxxxxxxx | \$2379 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | 10 | xxxxyxxyxxmxx | 3211 |
| Two | 27 | xxxxxxxxxxxixxx | 3324 |
| Three | 47 | x $x$ xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 3809 |
| Four | 29 |  | 5279 |
| Five | 29 |  | 8021. |
| Six | 12 |  | 7516 |
| Seven | 4 |  | 9227 |

BXPLANATION OF "WORK UNITS"
The total "work units" for any one farm is a measure of the size of that farm business. A work unit as used.in this report is the average accomplishment of a ferm worker in a ten hour day working on crops and productive livestock at average efficiency or ten hours of work off the farm for pay. The number of work units for each class of livestock and each acre of crop are presented in Table 17.

Table 17. Number of Work Units for Each Class of Livestock and Each Acre of Crop

| Item | No. of work units | Item | No. of work units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy and dual pur. cows | 13.5 per cow | Small grain | .7 per acre |
| Other dairy \& duepur.cattle | 4.0 per an. unit* | Sugar beets | 3.0 per acre |
| Beef breeding herd | 4.0 per an. unit* | Sweet corn | 2.3 per acre |
| Feeder cattle | .35 per 100 lbs . | Corn, husked | 1.1 per acre |
| Sheep - fam flock | 1.6 per an. unit* | Corn, hogged | . 6 per acre |
| Sheep - feeders | .4 per 100 lbs . | Corn, shredded | 2.1 per acre |
| Hogs | . 25 per 100 lbs . | Corn silage | 1.7 per acre |
| Turkeys | . 7 pér $100 \mathrm{lbs}$. | Corn fodder | . 9 per acre |
| Hens | 26.0 per 100 hens | Alfalfa hay | 1.0 per acre |
| Canning peas | 2.0 per acre | Soybean hay | 1.4 per acre |
| Soybeans for grain | . 9 per acre | Other hay erops | . 6 per acre |

* Animal unit represents one cow, one bull, one feeder steer or heifer, two head of other cattle, seven head of sheep, fourteen lambs, five hogs, ten pigs, 100 hens or 1400 pounds of turkeys produced.

Taple 18. Measures of Fafm Offanization gan Manqument Eficiency, 1943
33 most 33 least

| Measures used in chart Your <br> on page 15 | Average of 164 farms | profitable farms | profit- <br> able <br> farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operator's labor earnings \$ | \$5049 | \$10858 | \$1525 |
| (1) Crop yields* | 100 | 110 | 82 |
| (2) \% of tillable land in high ret. crops** | 40.3 | 42.6 | 39.9 |
| (3) Ret. for $\$ 100$ feed to prod. livestock*** | 100 | 112 | 87 |
| (4) Prod. Livestock units per 100 acres**** | 25.1 | 27.8 | 25.3 |
| (5) Size of business - work units | 586 | 804 | 502 |
| (6) Work units per worker | 279 | 298 | 264 |
| (7) Pow., mach., equip., \& bldg.exp.per work unit | \$3.52 | \$3.15 | \$3.91 |

Items related to some of the above measures:
(3) Index of return for $\$ 100$ feed from -

Dairy cattle (See pages 20 \& 21) 100
Dual-purpose cattle (See pp. $22 \& 23$ ) 100
Beef cattle - breeding herd (See p.26) 100
$\begin{array}{lllrr}\text { Beef cattle - feeders (See page 25) } & \text { — } & 100 & 101 & 112 \\ \text { Hogs (See page 19) } & 100 & 110 & 82 \\ \text { Sheep - farm flock (See page 28) }\end{array}$
Sheep - feeders (See page 29) $\quad 100 \quad 115 \quad 91$
Turkeys (See page 26)
Chickens (See page 27)
(5) Work units on crops

Work units on productive livestock
Other work units
$\begin{array}{rr}205 \\ \square & 354 \\ \square\end{array}$
115
81
98
100
2.1
2.7
1.9

Number of fanaily workers
Number of hired workers
(7) Power expense per work unit Crop machinery expense per work unit Livestock equip. expense per work unit Bldgs. \& fencing exp. per work unit
$\square$
1.3

292
17.9

303
(6) Total number of workers

1
1.5
1.2
1.3

* Given as a percentage of the average.
** Crops are marked in Table 19 as (A), (B), (C) and (D). All of acres in (A) crops, one-half of acres in (B) crops, and one-fourth of acres in (C) crops are used in calculating per cent of tillable land in high return crops.
*** An index weighted by the animal units of livestock.
**** Acres in timber not pastured, roads, waste and farmstead were not included.

Using your figures from page 14, locate your standing with respect to the various measures of farm organization and management efficiency. The averages for the 164 farms included in this summary are located between the dotted lines across the center of this page.


Table 19. Distribution of Acres in Farm: 1943

| ```Crop: (A) (B) (C) and (D) refer to ranking used in calculating % of tillable land in High Return Crops (see page 14)``` | No growing this crop | Your farm | Average of 164 farms | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { most } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { least } \\ & \text { profit- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canning peas | (A) 11 |  | 1.4 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| Flax | (B) 136 |  | 35.8 | 57.3 | 31.2 |
| Barley | (C) 33 |  | 5.2 | 8.7 | 3.9 |
| Barley and oats | (c) 12 |  | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Wheat | (D) 14 |  | 1,1 | - | 1.8 |
| Oats | (D) 147 |  | 34.4 | 45.0 | 30.8 |
| Oats and wheat | (D) 5 |  | . 8 | - | - |
| Soybeans for grain | (D) 65 |  | 8.0 | 12.7 | 4.5 |
| Henp | 13 |  | 1.2 | - | .4 |
| Miscellaneous | (D) 6 |  | .3 | - | .2 |
| Total Small Grain, Peas, Beans | \& Hemp 163 |  | 90.6 | 127.0 | 79.6 |
| Sugar beets, hybrid seed corm, potatoes and truck crops | (A) 48 |  | 1.9 | 4.1 | 2.1 |
| Sweet corn. | (B) 14 |  | . 9 | .8 | . 5 |
| Corn grain | (B) 163 |  | 83.1 | 122.1 | 67.1 |
| Corn or sorghum silage | (C) 82 |  | 6.3 | 7.4 | 8.0 |
| Corn or sorghum fodder | (D) 18 |  | .8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Total cultivated crops | 164 |  | 93.0 | 136.0 | 79.0 |
| Alfalfa hay | (A) 147 |  | 18.6 | 28.8 | 15.2 |
| Sweet clover hay | (B) 6 |  | .4 | . 9 | . 5 |
| Soybean hay | (c) 6 |  | .2 | . 2 | -. 3 |
| Mixed legumes \& non-legumes | (c) 35 |  | 3.5 | - 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Legumes for seed. | (c) 5 |  | . 4 | . 6 | . 8 |
| Timothy and/or brome | (D) 20 |  | . 9 | 1.3 | . 3 |
| Other annual hay | (D) 4 |  | . 1 | . 1 | .2 |
| Total tillable land in hay | 159 |  | 24.1 | 33.7 | 19.4 |
| Alfalfa pasture | (A) 51 |  | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| Sweet clover pasture | (B) 41 |  | 4.8 | 10.8 | 4.7 |
| Mix. incl. alf., sweet cluy brome | (B) 38 |  | 5.1 | 7.5 | 1.7 |
| Other legumes and mixtures | (C) 25 |  | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| Sudan grass and/or rape | (C) 25 |  | 1.1 | . 6 | 1.7 |
| Other tillable pasture | (D) 86 |  | 7.4 | 10.3 | 4.5 |
| Total tillable land in pasture | 152 |  | 23.6 | 34.9 | 18.2 |
| Tillable land not cropped | (D) 40 |  | 3.0 | . 7 | 2.8 |
| Total tillable land |  |  | 234.3 | 332.3 | 199.0 |
| Phalaris hay (non-tillable) | 6 |  | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 |
| Wild hay (non-tillable) | 53 |  | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Non-tillable pasture | 104 |  | 21.4 | 30.8 | 20.5 |
| Timber (not pastured) | 18 |  | . 8 | . 5 | . 5 |
| Roads and waste |  |  | 10.2 | 14.3 | 9.6 |
| Farmsteed |  |  | 8.9 | 11.5 | 9.5 |
| Total acres in farm |  |  | 279.9 | 393.3 | 242.9 |
| \% land tillable |  |  | 84.7 | 87.0 | 82.4 |
| \% tillable land in high return crops |  |  | 40.3 | 42.6 | 39.9 |

Table 20. Crop Yields per Acre, 1943

| Crop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | Average of 164 farms | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { most } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { least } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canning peas, value above seed cost | \$ | \$21.67 | \$14.79 | \$10.16 |
| Flax, bu. |  | 9.5 | 11.0 | 7.8 |
| Barley, bu. |  | 10.7 | 11.8 | 14.4 |
| Barley and oats, bu. |  | 24.2 | 16.1 | 21.1 |
| Wheat, bu. |  | 12.2 | - | 10.1 |
| Oats, bu. |  | 34.3 | 37.8 | 27.6 |
| 0 ats and wheat, bu. |  | 23.0 | - | - |
| Rye, bu. |  | 16.6 | - | - |
| Soybeans for grain, bu. |  | 12.6 | 14.2 | 11.0 |
| Hemp, tons |  | 1.8 | - | - |
| Sweet corn, tons |  | 2.3. | - | - |
| Corn, grain, bu. |  | 39.6 | 43.5 | 30.7 |
| Corm silage, tons |  | 8.5 | 9.3 | 6.6 |
| Corn fodder, tons |  | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| Alfalfa hay, tons |  | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Sweet clover hay, tons |  | 1.2 | - | - |
| Soybean hay, tons |  | 1.2 | - | - |
| Mixed legume \& non-legume hay, tons |  | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Legumes for seed, lbs. |  | 32.8 | - | - |
| Timothy and/or brome hay, tons |  | 1.4 | 1.5 | - |
| Other annual hay, tons |  | 1.0 | - | - |
| Phalaris hay on non-tillable land, tons Wild hay, tons |  | . 5 | . 8 | . 4 |

Table 21. Average Price of Feed.s, 1943

|  |  | Value | Item |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Item |  | Value |  |
| Ear corn, per bu. | $\$ .88$ | Alfalfa hay, per ton | $\$ 11.00$ |
| Oats, per bu. | .60 | Red or alsike clover hay, per ton 9.50 |  |
| Barley, per bu. | .77 | Soybean hay, per ton | 9.50 |
| Wheat, per bu. | 1.19 | Timothy hay, per ton | 6.75 |
| Soybeans, per bu. | 1.73 | Brome hay, per ton | 6.75 |
| Bran, per cwt. | 2.10 | Sweet clover hay, per ton | 6.40 |
| Linseed oilmeal, per cwt. | 2.55 | Wild hay, per ton | 5.50 |
| Soybean oilmeal, per cwt. | 2.82 | Corn fodder, per ton | 4.95 |
| Tankage, per cwt. | 4.00 | Com silage, per ton | 3.62 |
| Skim milk, per cwt. | .26 | Pasture, per mo. per an. unit | 1.10. |

Table 22. Summary of Amount of Livestock, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 164 farms | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { most } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | 33 least profitable farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of horses |  | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| No. of colts |  | . 7 | 1.4 | . 8 |
| No. of dairy \& dual purpose cows |  | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 |
| Head of other dairy \& dual pur. cattle |  | 8.2 | 7.3 | 8.6. |
| Head of cattle in beef breeding herd |  | 10.7 | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| Pounds of feeder cattle produced |  | 8483 | 18251 | 4484 |
| Pounds of feeder sheep produced |  | 1312 | 4266 | 896 |
| Litters of pigs |  | 25.4 | 37.9 | 21.7 |
| Pounds of hogs produced |  | 39596 | 66275 | 28795 |
| Head of sheep ( 2 lambs = 1 head) |  | 20.5 | 23.9 | 24.5 |
| No. of hens |  | 223 | 208 | 192 |
| Total no.of prod.lvstk.animal units \% of total that are: |  | 63.9 | 100.3 | 53.8 |
| Dairy cows . |  | 9.4 | 7.0 | 10.1 |
| Other dairy cattle |  | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.2 |
| Dual purpose cows |  | 5.4 | 3.5 | 7.0 |
| Other dual purpose cattle |  | 4.1 | 2.1 | 4.7 |
| Beef breeding herd |  | 12.5 | 6.8 | 10.8 |
| Feeder cattle |  | 19.0 | 29.0 | 15.7 |
| Hogs |  | 30.8 | 30.5 | 29.9 |
| Sheep - farm flock |  | 4.8 | 4.0 | 7.5 |
| - feeders |  | 3.2 | 7.7 | 2.6 |
| Turkeys |  | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| Hens |  | 4.9 | 3.0 | 4.4 |

Table 23. Feed Costs for Horses' and Misc. Power and Machinery Expense, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 155 farms* | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { most } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { farms* } \end{aligned}$ | 31 least profitable farms* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed per horse,** lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Grain |  | 1747 | 1657 | 1653 |
| Hay |  | 2868 | 2559 | 2471 |
| Fodder and stover |  | 51 | 69 | 5 |
| Feed costs per horse: |  |  |  |  |
| Grain | \$ | \$30.28 | \$27.89 | \$28.00 |
| Roughage |  | 13.11 | 12.10 | 10.93 |
| Pasture |  | 4.48 | 4.79 | 4.86 |
| TOTAL FEHD COSTS |  | \$47.87 | \$44.78 | \$43.79 |
| Number of work horses |  | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Number of colts |  | . 8 | 1.5 | - 9 |
| Crop acres per farm |  | 211.9 | 300.7 | 181.8 |
| Tractor and horse exp. per crop acre | \$ | \$3.04 | \$2.50 | \$3.46 |
| Crop \& gen. mach.exp.per crop acres | \$ | \$1.89 | \$1. 53 | \$2.10 |

Table 24. Feed Costs and Returns from Hogs, 1943

| Items Your <br> farm | Average <br> of 161 <br> farms | 32 farms highest in returns above feed | 32 farms lowest in returns above feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed per cwt. hogs produced, Ibs.: |  |  |  |
| Corn | 439 | 310 | 625 |
| Small grain | 85 | 69 | 112 |
| Com. feeds - under $25 \%$ protein | 6 | 4 | 12 |
| Com. feeds - over $25 \%$ protein | 26 | 19 | 28 |
| Total concentrates | 556 | 402 | 777 |
| Skim milk, buttermilk and whey | 72 | 57 | 72 |
| Feed cost per cwt. hogs produced: |  |  |  |
| Concentrates \$ | \$9.55 | \$6.93 | \$13.25 |
| Skim milk, buttermilk and whey | .17 | . 14 | . 17 |
| Pasture | . 17 | . 16 | . 20 |
| TOTAI FESD COSTS | \$9.89 | \$7.23 | \$13.62 |
| Net increase in value per cwt.hogs prod. $\hat{S}^{\text {a }}$ | \$12.82 | \$13.84 | \$11.99 |
| RBTURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER CWT.HOGS PROD. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | \$2.93 | \$6.61 | \$-1.63 |
| RETURIS , FOR \$100 OF FEED | \$138 | \$193 | \$91 |
| Price received per cwt. hogs sold | \$13.80 | \$14.41 | \$13.48 |
| No. of spring litters raised | 20.2 | 24.8 | 16.5 |
| No. of fall litters raised | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| Total no. of litters raised | 25.9 | 29.6 | 21.0 |
| No. of pigs born per litter | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.1 |
| No. of pigs weaned per litter | 6.0 | 6.4 | 5.5 |
| Pounds of hogs produced | 40330 | 53061 | 26665 |

High returns are associated with high quality management. The combined effect on return over feed from excelling in a number of hog, managenent factors is shown in Table 25. The factors included are: (1) pounds of concentrates required to produce 100 pounds of hogs, (2) price received for hogs sold, (3) number of pigs born per litter, (4) number of pigs weaned per litter, and (5) sanitation. Fifteen farmers were below the average of the group in all five factors; their average return over feed was $\$-.71$ per 100 pounds of hogs. The 7 farmers who were above average in all five factors had an average return over feed of $\$ 5.52$ per 100 pounds. The difference between the two extremes amounts to $\$ 6.23$ per 100 pounds or $\$ 2513$ for the average production of 40,330 pounds of hogs on these farms.

Table 25. Relation of Return Over Feed Per 100 Pounds of Hogs to the Number of

| No. of factors in which farmer excels | No. of farms* | The length of the shaded lines are in proportion to the average return over feed per 100 pounds of hogs | Average return over feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 15 | xxxxx | \$-. 71 |
| 1 | 27 |  | 2.57 |
| 2 | 37 |  | 2.12 |
| 3 | 38 |  | 3.55 |
| 4 | 34 |  | 4.69 |
| 5 | 7 |  | 5.52 |

[^0]Table 26. Factors of Cost and Returns From Dairy Cows, 1943
11 farms 11 farms

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average of 55 farms | 11 farms <br> highest in <br> butteriat <br> per cow | II farms lowest in butterfat per cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pounds of butterfat per cow |  | 251 | 326 | 177 |
| Feeds per cow, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Corn |  | 1704 | 2293 | 1378 |
| Small grain |  | 1104 | 1142 | 804 |
| Com. feeds - under 25\% protein |  | 49 | 65 | 77 |
| Com. feeds - over 25\% protein' |  | 119 | 199 | 86 |
| Legume hay |  | 4117 | 4555 | 4050 |
| Other hay |  | 149 | 384 | 201 |
| Fodder and stover |  | 129 | 182 | 91 |
| Total concentrates |  | 2976 | 3699 | 2345 |
| Total dry roughage |  | 4395 | 5121 | 4342 |
| Silege |  | 4645 | 4466 | 3108 |
| Total digestible nutrients* |  | 5365 | 6229 | 4675 |
| T. D. N. per Ib. B.F. |  | 21.4 | 19.1 | 26.4 |
| \% T. D. N. that is protein |  | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.3 |
| Feed cost per cow: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | \$50.74 | \$63.98 | \$39.75 |
| Roughages |  | 31.48 | 34.21 | 29.03 |
| Pasture TOTAL FEBD COSTS |  | +5.81 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.77 \\ \$ 103.96 \end{array}$ | 6.03 $\$ 74.81$ |
| Value of produce per cow: |  |  |  |  |
| B. F. Sales | \$ | \$126.35 | \$172.85 | \$77.21 |
| Dairy produce used in house |  | 9.93 | 7.43 | 10.37 |
| Milk to livestock |  | 17.84 | 23.62 | 16.35 |
| Net increases in value of cows |  | 3.77 | 4.94 | 1.42 |
| TOTAL VAIUE PRODUCED |  | \$157.89 | \$208.84 | \$105.35 |
| RETURUS ABOVE FEED COST PER COW | \$ | \$ 69.86 | \$104.88 | \$ 30.54 |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OF FEED | \$ | \$188 | \$214 | \$146 |
| Price rec. per 1b. B.F. sold (cts.) |  | 56.2 | 57.6 | 52.8 |
| As manufacturing cream (cents) |  | 53.5 | 53.9 | 52.5 |
| Other |  | 72.8 | 72.1 | 63.9 |
| Feed cost per lb. B.F. (cents) |  | 35.1 | 31.9 | 42.3 |
| \% fall freshening |  | 38.7 | 52.2 | 35.2 |
| Number of cows** |  | 13.7 | 17.0 | 11.6 |

* Not including nutrients received from pasture.
** All dairy cows which have at some time in the past freshened are included in the dairy herd, and affect the average number of cows used in computing this table. There is some variation in the number of months of dry. period per cow; however, this variation is small for the majority of farms.

Table 27. Feed Costs and Returns From Other Dairy Cattle, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average <br> of 50 <br> farms* | 11 farms highest in butterfat per cow | 8 farms lowest in butterfat per cow* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feeds per head, Ibs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 888 | 819 | 800 |
| $H_{a y}$ and fodder |  | 1584 | 1800 | 1378 |
| Silage |  | 1969 | 1657 | 1940 |
| Skim milk |  | 1123 | 1147 | 1191 |
| Whole milk |  | 336 | 352 | 289 |
| Feed cost per head: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | \$ | \$15.03 | \$13.82 | \$13.63 |
| Roughages |  | 11.27 | 11.44 | 9.68 |
| Milk |  | 11.34 | 10.81 | 9.45 |
| Pasture |  | 2.08 | 1.62 | 2.84 |
| TOTAL FRED COSTS |  | \$39.72 | \$37.69 | \$35.50 |
| Net inc. in velue of other dairy cattle |  | \$43.41 | \$50.43 | \$38.27 |
| RETURNS ABOVE FTED COST PER HEAD |  | \$3.69 | \$12.74 | \$2.67 |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OF FEED |  | \$118 | \$143 | \$105 |
| Number of head of other dairy cattle |  | 15.1 | 17.4 | 15.9 |

Table 28. Feed Costs and Returns From All Dairy Cattle, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average <br> of 55 <br> farms | 11 farms highest in butterfat per cow | 11 farms lowest in butterfat per cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feeds per animal unit, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 2482 | 2920 | 2053 |
| Hay and fodder |  | 3844 | 4277 | 3738 |
| Silage |  | 4358 | 3845 | 3139 |
| Feed cost per animal unit: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | \$ | \$42.74 | \$50.23 | \$34.73 |
| Roughages |  | 27.79 | 29.31 | 25.14 |
| Pasture |  | 5.21 | 4.84 | 5.70 |
| TOTAL HEED COSTS |  | \$75.74 | \$84.38 | \$65.57 |
| Value of produce per animal unit: |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy products | \$ | \$97. 28 | \$125.14 | \$66.51 |
| Net increase in value of dairy cattle |  | 29.37 | 35.93 | 26.77 |
| TOTAL VALUE PRODUCED |  | \$126.65 | \$161.07 | \$93.28 |
| RETURNS ABOVE FEED PER ANIMAL UNIT |  | \$50.91 | \$76.69 | \$27.71 |
| RETURNS PER \$100 OF FEED |  | \$173* | \$197 | \$145 |
| Animal units of dairy cattle |  | 21.0 | 26.3 | 17.9 |

Table 29. Factors of Cost and Returns from Dual Purpose Cows, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 51 farms | 10 farms highest in butterfat per cow | 10 farms lowest in butterfat per cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pounds of butterfat per cow |  | 182 | 266 | 114 |
| Feeds per cow, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Corn |  | 1639 | 2021 | 1368 |
| Small grain |  | 532 | 666 | 314 |
| Com. feeds - under $25 \%$ protein |  | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| Com. feeds - over $25 \%$ protein |  | 51 | 151 | 9 |
| Legume hay |  | 3846 | 4219 | 3882 |
| Other hay |  | 137 | 21 | 91 |
| Fodder and stover |  | 270 | 182 | 839 |
| Total concentrates |  | 2227 | 2844 | 1691 |
| Total dry roughage |  | 4253 | 4422 | 4812 |
| Silage |  | 3325 | 3641 | 2282 |
| Total digestible nutrients* |  | 4568 | 5454 | 4158 |
| T.D.N. per lb. B.F. |  | 25.1 | 20.5 | 36.5 |
| \% T.D.N. that is protein |  | 14.2 | 14.3 | 13.8 |
| Feed cost per cow: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | \$ | \$36.84 | \$47.97 | \$26.86 |
| Roughages |  | 27.15 | 28.84 | 26.56 |
| Pasture |  | 6.10 | 6.07 | 6.00 |
| TOTAL FEED COSTS |  | \$70.09 | \$82.88 | \$59.42 |
| Value of produce per cow: |  |  |  |  |
| B.F. sales | \$ | \$77.93 | \$124.00 | \$42.44 |
| Dairy produce used in house |  | 12.08 | 15.25 | 11.34 |
| Milk to livestock |  | 14.89 | 18.26 | 10.70 |
| Net increases in value of cows |  | 6.40 | 10.81 | -3.22 |
| TOTAL VALUE PRODUCED | \$ | \$111.30 | \$168.32 | \$61.26 |
| RETURIS ABOVE FIGED COST PER COW |  | \$41.21 | \$85.44 | \$1.84 |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OF FEED | \$ | \$167 | \$214 | \$108 |
| Price received per lb. B.F. sold (cts.) |  | 52.5 | 53.4 | 51.8 |
| Feed cost per Ib. B. F. (cents) |  | 38.5 | 31.2 | 52.1 |
| \% fall freshening |  | 41.7 | 43.2 | 33.2 |
| Number of cows |  | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.0 |

[^1]| Table 30. Feed Costs and Returns from Other Dual-Purpose Cattle, 1943 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Table 31. Feed Costs and Returns From All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* Fourteen farmers having both a dual-purpose and a beef herd used a beef bull ani included all the young stock in the beef herd.

The farmer who excels in all phases of the management of his dairy cows receives a larger return than one who excels in none or only a few of the management factors. The combined effect on return over feed per dairy cow from excelling in a number of management factors is shown in Table 32. The factors included are (1) pounds of butterfat per cow, (2) total digestible nutrients per pound of butterfat, (3) percentage of protein in the T.D.N., (4) price received for butterfat, (5) feed cost per pound of butterfat, and (6) percentage of fall freshening. Four famers were below the aterage of the group in all six factors; their return over feed amounted to $\$ 32.58$ per cow. Five farmers who were above the average of the group in either five or six factors received a return over feed of $\$ 116.97$ pea cow: The difference between these two extremes amounts to $\$ 84.39$ per cow or $\$ 115$ for the average herd of 13.7 cows.

Table 32. Relation of Return Dver Feed per Dalry Cow to Number of Managenent Factors in Which Farmers Excelled

| No. of factors in which faymer excels | No. of farms | The length of the shaded lines are in proportion to the average refurn over feed per dairy cow | Average return over feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 |  | \$32.58 |
| 1 | 9 |  | 43.77 |
| 2 | 13 |  | 50.12 |
| 3 | 16 |  | 78.64 |
| 4 | 8 |  | 102.93 |
| 5 or 6 | 5 |  | 116.97 |

Similar variations occur in the returns socured from dual purpose cows. The data in Table 33 show the combined effect from excelling in the six factors liste above. Four farmers were below the average of the group in all six factors. The failed to recelve a retum large enough to covet the cost of feed Ten farmers who excelled in five or six factors received a return of $\$ 66.58$ per cow. The difference betwoen these two extremes amounts to $\$ 71.75$ per cow or $\$ 603$ for the average milking herd of 8.4 cows.

Table 33. Relation of Return Over Feed per Dual Purpose Cow to Number of Management Factors in Which Farmers Bxcelled

| No. of factors in which <br> famer excels | No. of farms | The length of the shaded lines are in proportion to the average return over feed per dual purpose cow | Average return over feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 | Ixx | \$-5.17 |
| 1 | 10 | xxxixx | 12.55 |
| 2 | 8 | xxxxxxx |  |
| 3 | 10 |  | 57.44 |
| 4 | 9 |  | 72.23 |
| 5 or 6 | 10 |  | 66.58 |

Table 34. Feed Costs and Returns from Feeder Cattle, 1943

| Items $\begin{array}{r}\text { Your } \\ \text { farm } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Average of 84 <br> farms | 17 farms highest in returns above feed | 17 farms lowest in returns above feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feeds per cwt. beef produced, Ibs.: |  |  |  |
| Corn $\quad \because$, | 809 | 644 | 1038 |
| Small grain | 44 | 37 | 89 |
| Com. feeds - under $25 \%$ protein | 7 | 1 | 14 |
| Com. feeds - over $25 \%$ protein | 34 | 20 | 40 |
| Legume hay | 305 | 321 | 336 |
| Other hay | 44 | 4 | 45 |
| Fodder and stover | 17 | 27 | 2 |
| Total concentrates | 894 | 702 | 1181 |
| Total dry roughages | 366 | 352 | 383 |
| Silage | 437 | 464 | 461 |
| Per cent protein in the T.D.IT. | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
| Feed cost per cwt. beef produced: |  |  |  |
| Concentrates \$ | \$14.39 | \$10.8\% | \$19.14 |
| Roughages | 2.49 | 2.45 | 2.69 |
| Pasture <br> TOTAL FEED COSTS | - 9.37 | - 513.5 | . 3.38 |
|  | $\$ 1.25$ | 913.55 | \$22.2l |
| Net increase in value of feeders \$ | \$18.68 | \$22.94 | \$15.90 |
| RET.ABOVE FEED COST PER CWT. BEEF DROD. $\$$ | \$1.43 | \$9.39 | \$-6.31 |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OF FGED | \$119 | \$188 | \$72 |
| Price received per 100 lbs . beef sold $\hat{\$}$ | \$13.68 | \$13.73 | \$13.32 |
| Price paid per 100 lbs . bought | \$11. 57 | \$ 9.91 | \$11.85 |
| \% death loss | . 8 | . 3 | 1.6 |
| No. of animal units | 34.4 | 17.5 | 31.1 |
| Pounds of beef produced | 16534 | 10716 | 14536 |
| Lbs. gain in woight per day | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 |

Superior management in the cattle feeding onterprise results in a comparatively high return just as superior management in the dairy herd resulted in a high return over feed per cow. The combined effect on return over feed per 100 pounds produced from excelling in five factors is shown in Table 35. The factors included are: (1) feed cost per 100 pounds of cattle produced, (2) the percentage of protein in the totel digestible nutrients, (3) the price received per 100 pounds sold, (4) death loss, and (5) gain in wejght per day. The farmers who excelled in only one factor failed to secure a return large enough to cover the cost of the feed. Six farmers were above the average in the five foctors and their return over feed amounted to $\$ 5.27$. The difference between the two extremes is $\$ 9.00$ or $\$ 1488$ for the average production of 16,534 pounds per farm.

Table 35. Relation of Return Over Feed Per 100 Founds of Beef Cattle Produced to Number of Management Factors in Which Farmers Excelled

| No. of factors in which <br> farmer excels | No. of farms | Length of shaded lines are in proportion to the average return over feed per 100 pounds of beef cattle | Avernge return over foed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | xxxxxxxxxxxmxx | \$-3.73 |
| 2 | 25 |  | -. 08 |
| 3 | 23 | xxxxxxxxxx | 2.46 |
| 4 | 20 | xxxmxxxixyxxxx | 3.54 |
| 5 | 6 |  | 5.27 |

Taile 36. Feed Costs and Returns from Beef Breeding Herd, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 50 farms | 12 farms highest in returns above feed | 7 farms lowest in returns above feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feeds per animal unit, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 1271 | 1186 | 1921 |
| Legume hay |  | 2429 | 2243 | 2472 |
| Other hay |  | 245 | 103 | 266 |
| Fodder and stover |  | 155 | 240 | 204 |
| Silage |  | 3155 | 2503 | 3686 |
| Skim milk* |  | 246 | 211 | 694 |
| Whole milk* |  | 19 | 22 | 50 |
| Feed cost per animal unit: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates. | \$ | \$20.76 | \$19.34 | \$31. 45 |
| Roughages |  | 19.16 | 16.58 | 20.68 |
| Milk* |  | 1.09 | 1.06 | 2.98 |
| Pasture |  | 5.57 | 6.12 | 5.39 |
| TOTAL FEED COSTS | \$ | \$46.58 | \$43.10 | \$60.50 |
| Value of produce per animal unit: |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy products | \$ | \$12.84 | \$17.96 | \$ 1.77 |
| Net increase in value of animals |  | 52.28 | 79.04 | 42.26 |
| TOTAL VALUE PRODUCED | \$ | \$65.12 | \$97.00 | \$44.03 |
| RIT. ABOVE FEED COST PER ANIMAL UNIT | \$ | \$18.54 | \$53.90 | \$-16.47 |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OF FHED |  | \$156 | \$247 | \$. 73 |
| Number of cows and herd bulls |  | 14.9 | 19.3 | 9.0 |
| Number of animal units in the herd |  | 24.8 | 31.3 | 16.9 |

* Several farmers had both dairy or dual purpose cows and beef cows and fed some milk produced by the milking herd to beef calves.

Table 37. Feed Costs and Returns for Turkeys, 1943

| Items $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Your } \\ \text { farm }\end{array}$ | Average of 6 <br> farms | 3 farms highest in returns above feed | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { farms } \\ & \text { lowest in } \\ & \text { returns } \\ & \text { above feed } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed per cwt. turkeys produced, 1 lbs .1 |  |  |  |
| Grain | 452 | 341 | 563 |
| Com. feeds - under $25 \%$ protein | 11 | 17 | 5 |
| Com. feeds - over $25 \%$ protein | 150 | 146 | 154 |
| Total concentrates | 613 | 504 | 722 |
| Feed cost per cwt. turkeys produced | \$14.96 | \$13.14 | \$16.78 |
| NET INCREASES IN VALUE OF TURKEYS $\$$ | \$27.27 | \$27.91 | \$26.64 |
| RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER OUT. TURKEYS |  |  |  |
| ¢ | \$12.31 | \$14.77 | \$ 9.86 |
| RETURNS FOR \$ 100 OF FEED | \$186 | \$213 | \$159 |
| Price rec ${ }^{\prime}$ d per 1 b . turkey sold (cts.) | 32.0 | 31.6 | 32.4 |
| Pounds of turkeys produced | 29062 | 43917 | 14207 |

Table 38. Feed Costs and Returns from Chickens, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 152 farms | 30 farms highest in return above feed | 30 farms lowest in return above feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed per hen, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Grain |  | 119 | 128 | 135 |
| Comercial feeds |  | 30 | 33 | 24 |
| Total concentrates |  | 149 | 161 | 159 |
| Skin nilk and buttermilk |  | 18 | 20 | 12 |
| Feed cost per hen: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | \$ | \$3.12 | \$3.38 | \$3.24 |
| Skin milk |  | . 05 | . 05 | . 03 |
| TOTAL FEED COST |  | \$3.17 | \$3.43 | \$3.27 |
| Value of produce per hen: |  |  |  |  |
| Eggs sold and used in house | \$ | \$4. 23 | \$5.34 | \$3.01 |
| Net increase in value of chickens |  | 1.42 | 2.56 | . 83 |
| TOTAL VALUE PRODUCED | \$ | \$5.65 | \$7.90 | \$3.84 |
| RETURNS ABOVE FWED COST PER HRN |  | \$2.48 | \$4.47 | \$. 57 |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OT FTEED | \$ | \$189 | \$250 | \$122 |
| Price rec'd. per doz. eggs sold (cents) |  | 34.9 | 35.1 | 34.3 |
| $\%$ of eggs sold on grade basis |  | 33 | 41 | 20 |
| Eggs laid per hen |  | 146 | 183 | 105 |
| Ave, no. of hens on farm during the yr. |  | 240 | 214 | 204 |
| \% of hens that are pullets |  | 82 | 92 | 70 |
| \% death loss of hens |  | 14 | 13 | 18 |

Superior managenent leads to high returns. The combined effect on return over feed from excelling in a number of poultry management factors is shown in Table 39. The factors included are (1) pounds of concentrates per hen, including $10 \%$ of skim milk, (2) price received per dozen of eggs sold, (3) number of eggs laid per hen, (4) percentage of the hens that are pullets, and (5) death loss. Two farmers were below the average in all the factors; their average return over feed was $\$ 1.16$ per head. The 5 farmers who excelled in all five factors had an average return over feed of $\$ 3.72$ per hen. The difference between the two extremes anounts to $\$ 2.56$ or $\$ 614$ for the average flock of 240 hens.
Table 39. Relation of Return Over Feed Per Hen to the Number of Management Factors in Which Farners Excelled

| No. of factors | No. | The length of the shaded lines are | Average rem |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| in which farmer | of | in proportion to the average return | turn over |
| excels | farms | over feed per hen | feed per hen |
| 0 | 2 | xxxxxxxxxxx | $\$ 1.16$ |
| 1 | 22 | xxxxxxxxxxx | 1.17 |
| 2 | 46 | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 2.09 |
| 3 | 46 | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 2.81 |
| 4 | 31 | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 3.39 |
| 5 | 5 | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 3.72 |

Table 40 . Feed Costs and Returns from a Farm Flock of Sheep, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 53 farms | 11 farms highest in returns above feed | 11 farms lowest in returns above feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feeds per head,* lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 102 | 58 | 142 |
| Legume hay |  | 233 | 131 | 220 |
| Other hay |  | 27. | 31 | 50 |
| Fodder and stover |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Silage |  | 87 | 20 | 59 |
| Feed cost per head: $\quad$ - |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | \$1.68 | \$. 97 | \$2.28 |
| Roughages |  | 1.46 | . 81 | 1.40 |
| Pasture |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1604 |
| TOTAL FEED COSTS | \$ | \$4.14 | \$2.78 | \$4.72 |
| Value of produce per head: |  |  |  |  |
| Wool | \$ | \$2.81 | \$2.95 | \$2.42 |
| Net increase in value of sheep |  | 4.70 | 8.74 | . 59 |
| TOTAL VALUE PRODUCED |  | \$7.51 | \$11.69 | \$3.01 |
| REIURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEAD | \$ | \$3.37 | \$ 8.91 | \$-1.71 |
| RERURNS FOR \$100 OF FEED | \$ | \$222 | \$484 | \$63 |
| Price per cwt. of lambs sold | \$ | \$13.02 | \$13.03 | \$13.58 |
| Price per lb, wool sold (cts.) |  | 41.1 | 41.6 | 40.0 |
| Pounds of wool per sheep sheared |  | 8.6 | 9.2 | 7.8 |
| Number of ewes kept for lambing |  | 35 | 22 | 43 |
| \% lamb crop** |  | 105 | 124 | 89 |
| \% death loss** |  | 9.8 | 7.4 | 13.8 |
| No. of head of sheep* |  | 62 | 34 | 80 |

* Two lambs under six months of age considered as one head.
** Lambs which die during month of birth are not included.
Superior management in the sheep enterprise results in a comparatively high return over feed just as superior management in the dairy herd or poultry flock resulted in a high return over feed per cow or per hen. The effect on return over feed from excelling in 6 factors is shown in Table 41. The factors included are (I) feed cost per head, (2) price received per 100 lbs . of lambs sold, (3) price received per lb. of wool sold, (4) lbs. of wool per sheep sheared, (5) per cent lamb crop, and (6) per cent death loss. The 12 farmers who were above the average in only one or two factors received a return above feed cost of $\$ 1.94$ per head, while 12 farmers who excelled in 5 or 6 of the factors received a return of $\$ 5.30$ per head. The difference between the two extremes is $\$ 3.36$ or $\$ 208$ for the average flock of 62 head.

Table 41. Relation of Return Over Feed Per Head of Sheep to Number of Management Factors in Which Farmers Excelled

| To. of factors in which farmer excels | No. of farms* | Length of shaded lines are in prom portion to the average return over feed per head of sheep | Average return over feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 or 2 | 12 | xxxyxxyxxx | \$1.94 |
| 3 or 4 | 16 | xxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 2.79 |
| 5 or 6 | 12 |  | 5.30 |

* The records of 16 farmers who did not sell lambs or wool were omitted.

Table 42. Feed Costs and Returns from Feeder Sheep, 1943

| Items | Your farm | Average of 22 <br> farms | 7 farms highest in returns above feed. | 7 farms lowest in returns above feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feeds per cwt. sheep produced, lbs.: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates |  | 646 | 612 | 813 |
| Legume hay |  | 272 | 384 | 267 |
| Other hay |  | 38 | 26 | 68 |
| Fodder and stover |  | 49 | 6 | 117 |
| Silage |  | 93 | 52 | 82 |
| Feed cost per cwt.: |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | \$ | \$10.61 | \$10.03 | \$13.16 |
| Roughages |  | 1.91 | 2.29 | 2.08 |
| Pasture |  | 1.33 | . 75 | 1.62 |
| TOTAL FEED COSTS |  | \$13.85 | \$13.07 | \$16.86 |
| Net increase in value of sheep |  | \$18.09 | \$23.63 | \$14.16 |
| RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST FER CWT. PRO- |  |  |  |  |
| RETURNS FOR \$100 OF FEED | \$ | \$140 | \$187 | \$82 |
| Price per cwt. sheep sold | \$ | \$14.52 | \$14.86 | \$14.13 |
| Price per cwt. for sheep bot in 1943 | \$ | \$13.59 | \$13.12 | \$14.02 |
| \% death loss |  | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| Pounds of sheep produced |  | 9500 | 9930 | 9018 |

The effect on return over feed from feeder sheep from excelling in three factors is shown in Table 43. The factors included are (1) feed cost per 100 lbs. of sheep produced, (2) price received per 100 lbs. of sheep sold, and (3) death loss. Two farmers failed to excel in any of the three factors; their return over . feed was $\$ 1.32$ per 100 lbs. produced. Three farmers excelled in all three factors and had an average return over feed of $\$ 7.87$ per 100 lbs . The difference between the two extremes is $\$ 6.55$ or $\$ 622$ for the average production of 9500 lbs . of sheen.

Table 43. Relation of Return Over Feed per 100 Lbs. of Feeder Sheep Produced to Number of Management Factors in Which Farmers Excelled

| No. of factors in which farmer excels | No. of farms | Iength of shaded Iines are in proportion to the average return over feed per 100 lbs. produced | Average <br> return over <br> feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | xxxxx | \$1.32 |
| 1 | 9 | xxxxxixxy | 2.30 |
| 2 | 8 |  | 5.78 |
| 3 | 3 |  | 7.87 |

Table 44. Summary of Farm Eamings - Averaged by Counties, 1943

|  | Brown \& Watonwan | Cottonwood \& Murray | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fari- } \\ & \text { bault } \end{aligned}$ | Jackson | Iincoln \& Iyon | Martin | Nobles | Pipestone \& Rock | Redwood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FARM EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle bought | \$386 | \$1,293 | \$666 | \$1,497 | \$924 | \$717 | \$2,727 | \$859 | \$1,458 |
| Hogs bought | 182 | 800 | 562 | 119 | 267 | 371 | 499 | 423 | 308 |
| Sheep bought | 621 | 318 | 530 | 646 | - | 201 | 2,113 | 773 | 6 |
| Poultry bought | 117 | 138 | 161 | 128 | 80 | 95 | 341 | 155 | 119 |
| Misc. livestock exp. | 182 | 142 | 198 | 178 | 164 | 197 | 244 | 366 | 151 |
| Crop expense | 413 | 400 | 458 | 422 | 761 | 510 | 602 | 482 | 586 |
| Feed | 1,714 | 3.151 | 2,056 | 3,343 | 3,734 | 1.521 | 4,000 | 3.699 | 4,021 |
| Custom work hired | 232 | 221 | 258 | 174 | 125 | 294 | 226 | 191 | 175 |
| Power expense | 829 | 711 | 783 | 863 | 790 | 884 | 806 | 934 | 900 |
| Crop mach. \& livestock equip. | 540 | 593 | 524 | 562 | 635 | 511 | 596 | 614 | 810 |
| Buildings | 316 | 318 | 370 | 323 | 418 | 394 | 292 | 316 | 829 |
| Iabor | 469 | 514 | 649 | 788 | 978 | 771 | 878 | 745 | 884 |
| Taxes, insurance, \& misc. | 395 | 406 | 413 | 406 | 449 | 348 | 503 | 491 | 555 |
| (I) Total purchases | \$6,396 | \$9,005 | \$7,628 | \$9,449 | \$9.325 | \$6,814 | \$13.827 | \$10,048 | \$10,802 |
| (2) Decrease in cap. | 457 | 34 | 2,050 | 467 |  | 536 | - | - | 142 |
| (3) Board to hired Jabor | 173 | 95 | 100 | 128 | 247 | 161 | 130 | 228 | 166 |
| (4) Unpaid family labor | 351 | 242 | 393 | 309 | 258 | 309 | 343 | 384 | 391 |
|  | 1,613 | 1,529 | 1,926 | 1.832 | -1,702 | 1,830 | 2,198 | 1,963 | 2,041 |
| (6) Total expenses | \$8,990 | \$10,905\$ | 12,097 | \$12,185 | \$11,532 | \$9,650 | \$16,498 | $\$ 12,623$ | \$13,542 |
| FARM RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle sales | \$1,687 | \$3.726 | \$3,369 | \$4,660 | \$2,674 | \$3,281 | \$5,109 | \$3,758 | \$4,388 |
| Dairy rroducts | 1,232 | 633 | 999 | 743 | 1,229 | 1,262 | 815 | 1,243 | 614 |
| Hogs | 4,727 | 4,938 | 6.770 | 5.383 | 5,497 | 4,662 | 5,002 | 7,244 | 6,842 |
| Sheep | 527 | 234 | . 678 | 1,091 | 109 | 256 | 3.042 | 1,153 | 145 |
| Poultry \& eggs | 1,047 | 1,333 | 1, 242 | 1.579 | 1,061 | 944 | 2,777 | 1,457 | 1,267 |
| Crop | 2,137 | 2,668 | 2,332 | 1,970 | 2,945 | 2,960 | 2,55? | 1,782 | 3,854 |
| AAA payment | 263 | 229 | 341 | 162 | 255 | 233 | 262 | 315 | 321 |
| Work off the farm | 267 | 321 | 114 | 168 | 251 | 363 | 234 | 384 | 266 |
| Misc. cash receipts | 125 | 218 | 336 | 150 | 208 | 148 | 243 | 300 | 444 |
| (7) Total farm seles | \$12,012 | \$14.300\$ | 16,181 | \$15,906 | \$14,229 | \$14,111 | \$21,036 | \$17,636 | \$18,171 |
| (8) Increase in cap. | - |  |  |  | 1,553 | 565 | 1,527 | 958 | 55 |
| (9) Family livine from farm | $\frac{623}{}$ | \$74 524 | 618.799 | - 620 |  | \$14.676 | \$23,153 | \% $\quad 639$ | \$18,723 |
| (10) Total receipts | \$12,635 | \$14,524 | 16,799 | \$16.526 | \$16.478 | \$14,676 | \$23,153 | \$19,233 | \$18,723 |
| (6) Total expenses (11) Oper labor earnings | \$ $\$ 3,990$ | $\$ 10,905$ $\$ 3.919$ | $\begin{array}{r}12,097 \\ 84,702 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 12,185$ $\$ 4.341$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 17,532 \\ \hline 4.946 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$9,550 | \$16,498 | $\$ 12,623$ $\$ 6,610$ | \$13,542 |

Table 45. Miscellaneous Information - Averaged by Counties, 1943

|  | Brown \& Watonwan | Cottonwood \& Murray | Faribault | Jackson | Lincoln <br> \& Lyon | Martin | Nobles | Pipestone \& Rock | Redwood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FABM INVENTORIES (Beginning of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Productive livestock | \$ 5,819 | \$5,440 | \$ 7,535 | \$7,283 | \$5,662 | \$ 6.156 | \$ 9.797 | \$8,128 | \$8,367 |
| Horses | 401 | 285 | 340 | 326 | 298 | 403 | 431 | 306 | 307 |
| Crop, seed and feed | 4.327 | 3.669 | 4,491 | 3.369 | 3,831 | 4.360 | 4,260 | 4,376 | 5.246 |
| Mach. and equipment | 2,620 | 3,056 | 3,324 | 3,246 | 3,924 | 3.368 | 3,848 | 3,306 | 3,866 |
| Buildings | 7,825 | 6,238 | 8,650 | 7,926 | 6,850 | 7.496 | 7,569 | 7.791 | 6.732 |
| Iand | 11,483 | 11,912 | 15,199 | 14,715 | 12,657 | 15,078 | 17.281 | 14,872 | 16.376 |
| Total farm capital | . $\$ 32,475$ | \$30,600 | \$39,539 | \$36,865 | \$33,222 | \$36,861 | \$43.186 | \$38,779 | \$40,894 |
| MEAS. OF FARM ORG. AND MANAGEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grop yields - \% of ave. |  | 89 | 110 | 96 | 97 | 119 | 110 | 105 | 80 |
| \% high return crops | 38.4 | 40.5 | 40.6 | 39.5 | 42.5 | 38.8 | 40.4 | 43.9 | 39.9 |
| Index ret. from livestock | 97 | 100 | 96 | 98 | 110 | 102 | 97 | 106 | 102 |
| A. U. livestock per 100 A . | 23.7 | 22.7 | 28.9 | 25.1 | 18.9 | 24.2 | 30.1 | 28.3 | 19.8 |
| Work units | 538 | 522 | 562 | 569 | 648 | 536 | 631 | 659 | 632 |
| Work units per worker | 276 | 294 | 272 | 274 | 292 | 256 | 285 | 294 | 276 |
| Exp. per work unit | \$3.46 | \$3.45 | \$3.65 | \$3.60 | \$2.96 | \$4.02 | \$3.33 | \$2.92 | \$3.84 |
| DISTRIBUTION OF ACRES IN FARM |  |  |  |  | 116.1 | 67.8 | 96.7 | 87.9 | 135.2 : |
| Small grain | 59.3 | 89.1 | 72.7 | 85.3 | 116.1 | 87.8 | 96.7 104.9 | 88.4 | 119.2 ¢ |
| Qultivated crops | 69.5 | 85.3 | 86.9 | 87.4 | 91.2 | 87.1 | 104.9 | 88.4 | 119.2 |
| Tillable hay land | 20.7 | 23.1 | 18.3 | 25.1 | 37.8 | 17.2 | 29.9 | $26.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 22.8 |
| Tillable pasture | 24.5 | 19.9 | 31.7 | 20.0 | 21.5 | 23.8 | 29.8 | 18.9 | 17.9 |
| Total acres.in farm | 230.1 | 218.9 | 244.7 | 262.3 | 367.5 | 219.4 | 301.3 | 286.5 | 360.3 |
| \% land tillable | 78.0 | 84.2 | 86.6 | 85.5 | 75.8 | 89.2 | 36.4 | 80.6 | 87.8 |
| GROP YIEEDS PER ACRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flax, bu. | 8.9 | 9.5 71.3 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 9.9 17.1 | 9.6 11.4 | 11.0 13.2 | 9.9 16.5 | 8.5 9.0 |
| Barley, bu. | 14.2 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 3.5 | 17.1 | 11.4 | 13.2 40.9 | 16.5 | 24.2 |
| Oats, bu. | 34.2 | 30.1 | 34.1 | 37.0 | 31.3 | 38.3 | 40.9 | 33.7 | 24.2 |
| Soybeans, bu. | 10.7 | 10.3 | 18.6 | 12.9 | 17.9 | 21.9 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 10.2 |
| Corn, grain, bu. | 39.6 | 33.7 | 46.6 | 39.1 | 31.5 | 49.0 | 43.8 | 44.6 | 27.6 |
| Corn silage, tons | 7.9 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 6.1 |
| Alfalfa hay, tons | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| AN. UNITS OF IITESTOCK | 49.5 | 51.4 | 62.9 | 60.3 | 60.1 | $45 \cdot 3$ | 84.2 | 74.6 | 72.5 |
| \% dairy and du. pur. caitle | 32.5 | 23.9 | 23.3 | 25.6 | 32.1 | 29.6 | 16.2 | 22.1 | 19.8 |
| \% in beef breeding herd | 14.2 | 20.0 | 13.3 | 4.5 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 10 | 9.2 |
| \% feeder cattle | . 3 | 17.6 | 14.7 | 23.0 | 15.3 | 17.3 | 30.3 | 10.3 | 26.9 |
| \% sheep-farm flock | 3.6 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 3.5 |
| \% sheep-feeders | 4.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 4.5 | - | 2.0 | 8.1 | 2.0 | - 4 |
| \% hogs | 39.3 | 25.7 | 34.5 | 31.9 | 31.2 | 32.0 | 24.2 | 30.9 | 32.4 |
| \% turkeys |  | 5 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 8.2 |

Table 46. Summary of Farm Earnings by Years*

| Items | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 | 1943 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of farms | 165 | 166 | 165 | 164 |
| FARM EXPENSES - . . |  |  |  |  |
| Horses bought | \$ 32 | \$ 32 | \$ 49 | \$ 33 |
| Dairy and dual-purpose cattle bought | 76 | 138 | 141 | 135 |
| Beef cattle bought (including feeders) | 1,243 | 1.766 | 1,718 | 1,187 |
| Hogs bought | 103 | 209 | 339 | 408 |
| Sheep bought (including feeders) | 414 | 686. | 866 | 694 |
| Poultry bought (including turkeys) | 99. | 96 | 138 | 165 |
| Misc. livestock expense | 72 | 109 | 148 | 199 |
| Miscellanequs crop expenses | 243 | 303 | 377 | 507 |
| Feed bought | 1,007 | 1.718 | 2,235 | 3,080 |
| Custom work hired | 150 | 140 | 199 | 215 |
| Power machinery (farm share) (new) | 379 | 446 | 256 | 180 |
| Power machinery (farm share) (upkeep) | 411 | 497 | 533 | 617 |
| Crop and general machinery (new) | 319 | 416 | 387 | 221 |
| Crop and general machinery (upkeep) | 69 | 84 | 135 | 157 |
| Iivestock equipment (new) | 74 | 123 | 134 | 138 |
| Livestock equipnent (upkeep) | 20 | 32 | 57 | 87 |
| Buildings and fencing (new) | 412 | 434 | 327 | 236 |
| Buildings and fencing (upkeep) | 88 | 141 | 156 | 168 |
| Hired labor | 392 | 561 | 622 | 739 |
| Taxes | 313 | 337 | 355 | 335 |
| Insurance | 15 | 32 | 35 | 40 |
| General farm | 59 | 55 | -60 | -72 |
| (1) Total farm purchases | \$5.990 | \$8.355 | \$9,267 | \$9.613 |
| (2) Decrease in farm capital | - | - | - | - |
| (3) Board fumished hired labor | 131 | 171 | 143 | 147 |
| (4) Interest on farm capital | 1,635 | 1.831 | 1,886 | 1,880 |
| (5) Unpaid family labor | - 252 | 288 | 360 | 335 |
| (6) Total farm expenses (Sum of (1) to (5) | \$8,008 | \$10,645 | \$11.656 | \$11,975 |
| FARM RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$ 42 | \$ 41 | \$ 47 | \$ 45 |
| Dairy and dual-purpose cattle | 265 | 392 | 446 | 419 |
| Dairy products | 570 | 758 | 804 | 916 |
| Beef cattle (including feeders) | 2,373 | 3,399 | 3.860 | 3,590 |
| Hogs | 1,162 | 2,306 | 4,336 | 5,630 |
| Sheep and wool (including feeders) | 470 | 1,032 | 1,402 | 968 |
| Poultry (including turkeys) | 372 | 396 | 598 | 622 |
| Eges | 244 | 334 | 589 | 905 |
| Corn | 516 | 477 | 625 | 724 |
| Small grain | 849 | 1,133 | 1,120 | 1,382 |
| Other crops | 239 | 283 | 365 | 510 |
| Machinery and equipment sold | 249 | 278 | 133 | 137 |
| Agricultural adjustment payment | 506 | 503 | 503 | 264 |
| Income from labor off the farm | 193 | 196 | 163 | 137 |
| Miscellaneous | 394 | 176 | 166 | 185 |
| (7) Total farm sales | \$8.444 | \$11,704 | \$15,158 | \$16,434 |
| (8) Increase in farm capital | 1,179 | 2,613 | 2,102 | - 2 |
| (9) Family living from farm | $\begin{array}{r}1.1783 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,538 | - 5884 | 5\%8 |
| (10) Total farm receipts (7) $+(8)+(9)$ (6) Total farm expenses | \$10,106 | \$14,860 | \$17,844 | \$17.024 |
| (11) Operator's labor earnings (10) - (6) | 8,008 2,098 | 10,645 4,215 | 11,656 6,198 | 11,975 5,049 |

* The financial statements differ in that the unpaid family labor rate was $\$ 45$ per month in 1940, $\$ 50$ in 1941, $\$ 60$ in 1942, and $\$ 75$ in 1943; and the board for hired labor was calculated at $\$ 18$ per month in 1940. \$20 in 1941, \$25 in 1942 and 1943.

Table 47. Summary of Miscellaneous Items by Years

| Items | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 | 1943 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total fam capital | \$32,724 | \$36,613 | \$37.728 | \$37,602 |
| MEAS. OF FARM ORG. AND MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY |  |  |  |  |
| \% tillable land in high retum crops | 35.9 | 36.5 | 38.9 | 40.3 |
| Animal units prod. livestock per 100 A . | 22.1 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 25.1 |
| Work units | 569 | 631 | 624 | 586 |
| Work units per worker | 263 | 264 | 281 | 279 |
| Expenses per work unit | \$2.17 | \$2.30 | \$2.90 | \$3.52 |
| ACRES PER FARM | 279 | 295 | 291 | 280 |
| Crop acres per farm | 213 | 223 | 219 | 212 |
| CROP YIELDS PFRR ACRE |  |  |  |  |
| Flax, bu. | 13.7 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 9.5 |
| Barley, bu. | 42.3 | 29.6 | 24.0 | 10.7 |
| Oats, bu. | 60.1 | 25.4 | 44.8 | 34.3 |
| Corn, grain, bu. | 46.2 | 55.9 | 57.4 | 39.6 |
| Corn silage, tons | 8.5 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 8.5 |
| Alfalfa hay, tons | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| RETURN ABOVE FRED COST PER: |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy cow | \$43.03 | \$56.89 | \$70.13 | \$69.86 |
| Dual-purpose cow | 26.49 | 39.13 | 54.28 | 41.21 |
| Animal unit in beef breeding herd | 18.20 | 25.06 | 35.53 | 18.54 |
| 100 pounds feeder cattle produced | 2.92 | 3.99 | 3.64 | 1.43 |
| Head of sheep in farm flock | 3.27 | 5.96 | 5.61 | 3.37 |
| 100 pounds feeder sheep produced. | 2.13 | 8.01 | 6.67 | 4.24 |
| 100 pounds hogs produced | 1.23 | 5.15 | 7.61 | 2.93 |
| Hen | .96 | 1.35 | 2.07 | 2.48 |
| 100 pounds turkeys produced | 5.74 | 9.63 | 14.09 | 12.31 |
| FEEDD COST PER: - |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy cow | \$46.50 | \$53.11 | \$62.99 | \$88.03 |
| Dual-purpose cow | 34.85 | 44.19 | 48.55 | 70.09 |
| Animal unit in beef breeding herd | 29.86 | 33.57 | 34.55 | 46.58 |
| 100 pounds of feeder cattle produced | 8.00 | 9.21 | 13.27 | 17.25 |
| Head of sheep in farm flock | 2.60 | 2.76 | 3.01 | 4.14 |
| 100 pounds feeder sheep produced | 7.16 | 8.38 | 14.23 | 13.85 |
| 100 pounds hogs produced | 4.29 | 5.55 | 6.76 | 9.89 |
| Hen | 1.11 | 1.50 | 2.15 | 3.17 |
| 100 pounds turkeys produced | 7.27 | 8.26 | 11.40 | 14.96 |
| Horse | 29.74 | 31.80 | 37.06 | 47.87 |
| MISC. LIVESTOCK INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |
| No. of work horses | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| No. of colts . | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 7 |
| No. of dairy or dual-purpose cows | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 7.6 |
| Head of cattle in beef breeding herd | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 10.7 |
| Pounds feeder cattle produced . | 8,678 | 14,087 | 10,119 | 8,483 |
| Litters of pigs | 13.6 | 16.9 | 20.1 | 25.4 |
| Pounds of hogs produced | 21,335 | 27.550 | 34,522 | 39,596 |
| No. of hens | 161 | 173 | 196 | 223 |
| Pounds of butterfat per dairy cow | 250 | 254 | 250 | 251 |
| Pounds of butterfat per dual-purpose cow | 179 | 190 | 190 | 182 |
| No. of pigs weaned per litter. | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| \% lamb crop. | 110 | 110 | 109 | 105 |
| Eggs per hen | 113 | 117 | 135 | 146 |

Table 47. Summary of Miscellaneous Items by Years (Continued)

| Items | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 | 1943 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRICE RECEIVED PER: |  |  |  |  |
| Pound butterfat sold to creameries | \$. 31 | \$ .37 | \$ . 42 | \$ . 53 |
| 100 pounds feeder cattle | 8.81 | 10.13 | 12.22 | 13.68 |
| 100 pounds feeder sheep | 8.74 | 10.08 | 12.47 | 14.52 |
| Pound of wool. | . 29 | . 38 | . 41 | . 41 |
| 100 pounds of hogs | 5.15 | 9.07 | 13.13 | 13.80 |
| Dozen eggs | . 15 | . 21 | . 28 | . 35 |
| Pound of turkeys | .14 | . 18 | . 29 | . 32 |
| PRICE OF FBED |  |  |  |  |
| Shelled corm, bu. | \$ . 47 | \$ . 54 | \$. 68 | \$. 91 |
| Oats, bu. | . 26 | . 32 | . 41 | . 60 |
| Barley, bu. | . 31 | . 39 | . 52 | . 77 |
| Alfalfa hay, ton | 7.50 | 8.50 | 8.00 | 11.00 |
| Timothy hay, ton | 4.80 | 5.45 | 5.15 | 6.75 |
| Corn silage, ton | 2.10 | 2.55 | 2.75 | 3.62 |
| Bran, cwt. | 1.20 | 1.50 | 2.10 | 2.10 |
| Linseed oilmeal, cwt. | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.40 | 2.55 |
| Tankage, cwt. | 2.50 | 3.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 |
| Meat scraps, cwt. | 2.55 | 3.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 |

Suggestions for Improvements


[^0]:    * The data from 3 farmers who purchased feeder pigs were omitted from this table.

[^1]:    * Not including nutrients received from pasture.

