



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Originally published Vol. 1, Issue 1 (February 2003)

Photo by Daniel Hellerstein

JULY 2006

3

AMBER WAVES

# RURAL AMENITIES: A KEY REASON FOR FARMLAND PROTECTION

While conversions of farmland to urban uses represent less than 0.1 percent of U.S. farmland per year, local farmland losses continue to cause concern and motivate growing public support for farmland protection. The Federal Government, all 50 States, many local jurisdictions, and over 1,200 land trusts and nonprofit conservation programs seek to maintain more land in farming uses than would otherwise be the case.

Measures used to protect farmland include zoning, preferential tax assessments, agricultural districts, right-to-farm laws, and purchase of development rights (PDR) programs. Currently, 19 States and 41 local jurisdictions operate PDR programs, which pay farmers to give up rights to develop their land. To date, State PDR programs have spent over \$1.8 billion to protect almost 1.4 million acres of farmland, while local PDR programs have spent \$762 million to protect an additional 241,000 acres. At the Federal level, the 2002 farm bill authorized more than a tenfold increase in funding for the Federal Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program from about \$53 million spent during 1996-2001 to \$597 million authorized for 2002-07. Through 2005, the Federal program had helped protect about 430,000 acres.

ERS analysts found various objectives mentioned in the authorizing legislation for State farmland protection programs, including protecting "rural amenities," local food supplies, water and air quality, and

natural resource jobs, and reducing urban sprawl (36, 30, 29, 23 and 18 States, respectively). Rural amenities include open space, scenic views, rural agrarian character, and wildlife habitat that are enjoyed through viewing or recreation, depending upon the degree of access permitted. The presence of "natural amenities," such as varied topography, trees, bodies of water, and temperate climate in rural areas, may contribute to rural amenities. States and counties use several criteria to select land parcels for preservation in PDR programs. Of 13 programs examined by ERS, 10 assigned the most weight to lands with high-quality soils often used for crop farming. Nine PDR programs assigned the second-most weight to larger farms or blocks of farms, a strategy that favors clustering of farming-related amenities. Five programs favored a "least cost" strategy, which can result in a more scattered pattern of protected land, or in protection of lands distant from urban centers. These differences in strategies reflect different objectives but also highlight the difficult decisions faced by policymakers and program managers.


ERS also found that State farmland protection measures are generally tied to State-specific circumstances, such as the amount of land remaining in agriculture, types of agricultural industries, and lands in parks, forests, and other protected areas. While parks and protected lands provide many rural and open-space amenities, State legislators and the people they represent believe farmland, too, provides unique and valuable attributes worth protecting. *W*

**Cynthia J. Nickerson, [cnickerson@ers.usda.gov](mailto:cnickerson@ers.usda.gov)  
Daniel Hellerstein, [danielh@ers.usda.gov](mailto:danielh@ers.usda.gov)**

**This finding is drawn from . . .**

*Farmland Protection: The Role of Public Preferences for Rural Amenities*, by Daniel Hellerstein, Cynthia Nickerson, Joseph Cooper, Peter Feather, Dwight Gadsby, Daniel Mullarkey, Ababayehu Tegene, and Charles Barnard, AER-815, November 2002, USDA, Economic Research Service, available at: [www.ers.usda.gov/publications/aer815/](http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/aer815/)  
See also the ERS Briefing Room on Land Use, Value, and Management: [www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/landuse/](http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/landuse/)

**Cumulative expenditures and acreage in State PDR programs have recently jumped**



PDR = Purchase of Development Rights.

Source: Analysis by USDA, Economic Research Service of data from American Farmland Trust. Data for some years are interpolated.