



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

Data may have been updated since publication. For the most current information, see [www.ers.usda.gov/publications/agoutlook/aotables/](http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/agoutlook/aotables/).

## Farm, Rural, and Natural Resource Indicators

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Annual percent change			
						2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Cash receipts (\$ bil.)	195.0	215.5	237.9	238.9	242.0 f	10.5	10.4	0.4	1.3
Crops	101.0	109.9	114.3	114.0	121.2 f	8.8	4.0	-0.3	6.3
Livestock	94.0	105.6	123.6	125.0	120.7 f	12.3	17.0	1.1	-3.4
Direct government payments (\$ bil.)	12.4	16.5	13.0	24.3	16.5 f	33.1	-21.2	86.9	-32.1
Gross cash income (\$ bil.)	222.2	247.8	267.8	280.9	277.1 f	11.5	8.1	4.9	-1.4
Net cash income (\$ bil.)	50.7	70.0	81.5	81.2	66.6 f	38.1	16.4	-0.4	-18.0
Net value added (\$ bil.)	82.2	102.0	128.9	120.4	107.6 f	24.1	26.4	-6.6	-10.6
Farm equity (\$ bil.)	1,110.7	1,180.8	1,383.1	1,589.8	1,701.5 f	6.3	17.1	14.9	7.0
Farm debt-asset ratio	14.8	14.4	12.7	11.9	11.4 f	-2.7	-11.8	-6.3	-4.2
Farm household income (\$/farm household)	65,761	68,597	81,596	81,420	80,703 f	4.3	18.9	-0.2	-0.9
Farm household income relative to average U.S. household income (%)	113.7	116.1	134.8	128.5	na	2.1	16.1	-4.7	na
Nonmetro-metro difference in poverty rate (% points) <sup>1</sup>	2.6	2.1	na	2.3	na	-19.2	na	na	na
Cropland harvested (million acres)	307	315	312	312p	na	2.6	-1.0	0.0	na
USDA conservation program expenditures (\$ bil.) <sup>2</sup>	4.2	4.3	5.1	na	na	2.4	18.6	na	na

## Food and Fiber Sector Indicators


U.S. gross domestic product (\$ bil.)	10,470	10,971	11,734	12,487	na	4.8	7.0	6.4	na
Share of GDP in agriculture & related industries (%) <sup>1</sup>	4.8	4.8	4.8	na	na	0.0	0.0	na	na
Share of GDP in agriculture (%) <sup>1</sup>	0.7	0.8	1.0	na	na	11.1	19.2	na	na
Total agricultural imports (\$ bil.) <sup>2</sup>	41.0	45.7	52.7	57.7	64.0	11.5	15.3	9.5	10.9
Total agricultural exports (\$ bil.) <sup>2</sup>	53.3	56.0	62.4	62.5	68.7	5.1	11.4	0.2	9.9
Export share of the volume of U.S. agricultural production (%) <sup>1</sup>	20.8	21.1	20.9	20.1 p	na	1.4	-0.9	-3.8	na
CPI for food (1982-84=100)	176.2	180.0	186.2	190.7	195.3	2.2	3.4	2.4	2.4
Share of U.S. disposable income spent on food (%)	9.8	9.8	9.7	9.9	na	0.0	-1.0	2.1	na
Share of total food expenditures for at-home consumption (%)	52.2	52.0	51.5	51.5	na	-0.4	-1.0	0.0	na
Farm-to-retail price spread (1982-84=100)	221.2	225.6	232.1	239.2	na	2.0	2.9	3.1	na
Total USDA food and nutrition assistance spending (\$ bil.) <sup>2</sup>	38.0	41.8	46.2	50.9	na	10.0	10.5	10.2	na

f = Forecast. p = Preliminary. na = Not available. All dollar amounts are in current dollars.


<sup>1</sup> The methodology for computing these measures has changed. These statistics are not comparable to previously published statistics. sources and computation methodology are available at: [www.ers.usda.gov/amberwaves/indicatorsnotes.htm](http://www.ers.usda.gov/amberwaves/indicatorsnotes.htm)

<sup>2</sup> Based on October-September fiscal years ending with year indicated.


Revenue from farm commodities, 1992-2006



U.S. per capita meat consumption, 1950-2004




Top destinations for U.S. agricultural exports, 2005



For more information, see [www.ers.usda.gov/AmberWaves](http://www.ers.usda.gov/AmberWaves)

**Markets and Trade**


**U.S. export share continues to rise for pork, recovering for poultry and beef**



Source: Production, Supply, and Distribution data; USDA, Foreign Agricultural Service.

**Diet and Health**

**American's favorite beverage: Soft drinks followed by coffee and bottled water**




Note: In 2004, 138.3 gallons of selected non-alcoholic beverages per capita were available for consumption.

Source: Industry data and USDA, Economic Research Service, Food Availability (Per Capita) data (a proxy for consumption).

**Farms, Firms, and Households**

**Farm sector assets continue 20-year rise**





Note: Farm sector assets, debts, and equity, 1980-2005, and 2006 forecast in current dollars.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service.

**Rural America**

**Nonmetro educational attainment improved across all racial groups between 2000 and 2005**



Note: Hispanics can identify themselves as part of any race.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the 2000 Census and 2005 American Community Survey.


**On the Map**

**EQIP funds vary by ERS Region**

About \$2.5 billion has been obligated under the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) from its inception in fiscal 1997 through the end of fiscal 2004. EQIP is the Nation's largest program that pays farmers for conservation efforts on working lands, in terms of obligated funds. Payments are distributed among ERS Farm Resource Regions based on Federal, State and local priorities for protecting environmental resources, including water quality, water conservation and soil conservation. ERS Farm Resource Regions express geographic variation in terms of the natural resource base, products produced, and financial performance of farms.

**Cynthia Nickerson,**  
cnickerson@ers.usda.gov

**Regional distribution of EQIP funds, 1997-2004**



Source: USDA, Farm Service Agency, EQIP program data.


**In the Long Run**

**Per capita government transfer payments to individuals are rising faster in nonmetro areas than in metro areas**

Government transfer payments to individuals increased steadily in both metro and nonmetro areas between 1969 and 2004. In 2004, payments in nonmetro areas averaged \$5,238 while payments in metro areas averaged \$4,515 per person. Medical benefits (Medicare and Medicaid) represent the largest component of the increases, rising from 21.2 percent of total transfer payments in 1969 to 45.1 percent in 2004 for metro areas and from 16.4 percent to 43.3 percent for nonmetro areas. The faster increase in government transfer payments in nonmetro areas is mainly due to a higher share of elderly and disabled persons among nonmetro residents.

**Timothy Parker,**  
tparker@ers.usda.gov

**Per capita government transfer payments to individuals, 1969-2004**



Source: Calculated by USDA, Economic Research Service using data from Bureau of Economic Analysis.