



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

**Re-evaluating the role of biomass and dead organic matter in the optimal forest harvest  
decision with carbon sequestration**

**Craig Johnston and G. Cornelis van Kooten**  
**University of Victoria**  
**Department of Economics**  
**Contact author: C. Johnston [craig@uvic.ca](mailto:craig@uvic.ca)**

*Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's  
2012 AAEA Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012*

*Copyright 2012 by Johnston and van Kooten. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim  
copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright  
notice appears on all such copies.*

## INTRODUCTION

- July 8, 2009: G8 leaders set target to reduce global GHG emissions by 50% from 1990 levels by 2050; rich countries to reduce aggregate emissions by 80%. EU target – reduce emissions 20% by 2020; UK Climate Change Act (2008) – cut them by 34% by 2022, 80% by 2050.
- Carbon offsets have become important, especially forest ecosystem offsets. BUT questions linger regarding forest activities, esp. those to Reduce Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation (REDD) and the expansion of REDD to include activities aimed at sustainable forest management and biological conservation (REDD+), thus combining UNFCCC with the UN Convention on Biological Conservation [1].
- When a dead organic matter (soil) carbon pool plays a large role in a forest ecosystem, optimal forest rotation age criteria may change [2].

## GOALS/OBJECTIVES

1. Examine issues related to the use of forest ecosystem carbon sinks.
2. Consider the effect on optimal forest harvest times as initial levels of carbon in the dead organic matter (DOM) pool and carbon prices change. Identify the impact of a carbon tax and initial DOM level on the projected trajectory of carbon in the DOM pool and overall.

## THEORY




FIGURE: Emission cap  $OE$  met by carbon offsets from forestry equal to  $OC^* = OE^*$ , saving  $EE^*ba - OdeC^* = deP > 0$  [3].

## METHODS: DETERMINING HARVEST AGES

- Building on [2] and [4], we develop a single-stand forest harvest model that takes into account  $CO_2$  emissions from harvesting and carbon stored in products, as well as that stored in the forest ecosystem (including dead organic matter). At a given year, landowner chooses to clearcut stand or defer decision to the following year. Original equations in discrete form:

$$(1) \quad PV_{timber\&carbon} = \frac{(P_{logs} - C_{harvest}) v(t) - C_{regeneration}}{(1+r)^t - 1} + \frac{\alpha (1+r)^t P_{CO_2} [\beta v(t) + r \sum_{a=1}^t v(a)(1+r)^{-a}]}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

where  $v_t$  timber volume at  $t$ ,  $\beta$  converts volume into above ground biomass measured in  $CO_2$ ,  $r$  discount rate,  $\alpha$  pickle factor

- Let  $k=0$  no harvest,  $k=1$  harvest),  $f$  rate of litter fall,  $\delta$  is the decay rate of dead organic matter ( $D$ ),  $\mu$  is proportion of biomass left on site after harvest. Then

$$(2) \quad \Delta C_{t+1}^{k=0} = \beta[v_{t+1} - (1-f)v_t] - \delta D_t \quad \Delta C_{t+1}^{k=1} = \beta(v_t - \mu v_t) - \delta D_t$$

where addition of (2) modifies fixed rotation age derived in (1) so that the rotation age might vary from one harvest to next


## RESEARCH FINDINGS

### Results




- A  $CO_2$  tax ( $P_{CO_2}$  in eq.1) affects the optimal harvest age as does the initial amount of carbon stored in the DOM pool (eq.2)
- Accompanying figures indicate what happens.

### Further Areas of Research

- Need to model explicitly tradeoffs between conservation (e.g., DOM) and climate mitigation costs through logging/forest product efforts. In essence, what are the tradeoffs between REDD+ and  $CO_2$ , and costs.



Optimal Harvest Age for Different  $CO_2$  Prices and Initial DOM



Optimal rotation pattern for 10 consecutive harvests for fixed rotation (black line) and the variable rotation (red line), starting dead organic matter of 400 tC per ha and carbon taxes of \$0, \$15 and \$30 per  $tCO_2$  (left to right).