



**AgEcon** SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

Alla Golub (golub@purdue.edu), Thomas Hertel (hertel@purdue.edu), Steven Rose (srose@epri.com)

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association's 2012 Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012

## Motivation

- International agricultural and forestry based greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation
  - Reduce emissions abatement costs in developed countries
  - Provide revenue to developing countries in exchange for modifying land management for mitigation
- Biofuels boom driven by
  - Higher oil prices
  - Concerns about energy security
  - Farm income
  - Mitigation of climate change
- Changes in land-use induced by the land-based GHG mitigation policies run counter to the changes induced by biofuels
  - Carbon tax
    - Substantial GHG mitigation potential in non-US forests
    - Input substitution in agricultural production away from land and fertilizer
  - Biofuels
    - Deforestation and intensification in agriculture

## Objective

- Analyze land-use change at the global scale over the long run in the context of environmental and energy policies

## Methodology

- GDyn-E-AEZ: new dynamic computable general equilibrium model of global economy
  - Endogenous capital accumulation, adaptive expectations theory of investment, international capital mobility (Ianchovichina and McDougall, 2001)
  - Capital-energy and interfuel substitution (Burniaux and Troung, 2002)
  - Substitution between biofuels and gasoline in private consumption (Birur et al., 2008)
  - GHG mitigation in agriculture and forestry is calibrated to results of partial equilibrium studies (Golub et al., 2009)
  - Mitigation of emissions from fossil fuel combustion
- Integrated data base components
  - Foreign income receipts and payments (McDougall et al. 2012)
  - Biofuels and their by-products v.7 GTAP-BIO (Taheripour and Tyner, 2011)
    - Grain based ethanol, sugarcane ethanol, soybean biodiesel and other oilseeds biodiesel are included in this modeling
  - Heterogeneous land: 18 Agro-Ecological Zones (Lee et al., 2005)
  - Forest carbon stock data by species, vintage and AEZ (Sohngen et al., 2009)
  - Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data for all sectors of the economy (Rose and Lee, 2009)
  - Fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Lee, 2007).




Figure 1 Heterogeneous land: 6 growing periods x 3 climatic zones




Figure 2 Annual GHG emissions by region (mill tCO<sub>2</sub>eq)  
Note: Net forest emissions include emissions from accessible and inaccessible forests




Figure 3 Carbon leakage due to unilateral Annex I emission reduction decomposed into structural, energy prices and international investment reallocation components  
Scenario: Annex I CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion reduction according to Copenhagen commitments

## Baseline

- Starting point is world economy in 2004
  - 19 regions x 36 sectors
- Exogenous population and labor growth (GDyn baseline, Chappuis and Walmsley, 2011)
- GDP growth
  - Exogenous 2004-2011 historical rates
  - Endogenous 2012-2030, driven by assumption about non-accumulable factor productivity growth rate in industrial sectors
- TFP growth in agriculture (Fischer et al., 2009) from 0.86%/year in Sub Saharan Africa to 2.62%/year in Asia
- Forest input saving technical change to target timber price projection (Sohngen and Mendelsohn, 2003)
- Crude oil price projections (EIA AEO 2012)




Figure 4 2004-2030 baseline land cover, mill ha (HY = higher crop yield, LY = lower crop yield)




Figure 5 Changes in US harvested area due to expanded production of US corn ethanol, 1000 ha deviation from baseline  
Scenario: US ethanol production achieves 15 billion gallons per year in 2015 and stays at this level




Figure 6 2011-2030 changes in crop harvested area due to imposition of carbon tax on emissions from fossil fuel combustion, 1000 ha deviation from baseline  
Global 30\$/tCO<sub>2</sub> tax on fossil fuels encourage expansion of biofuels production and feedstock harvested area

## Environmental and energy policy interaction

- Static analysis
- Set up scenario 1 (S1): impose global carbon tax
  - Tax on CO<sub>2</sub> and non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - Forest carbon sequestration subsidy
- Set up scenario 2 (S2): increase in US ethanol production from 2001 level up to 15 bg/y
- Interaction scenario (I): impose global carbon tax on top of 15 bg/y
  - Flexible ethanol production level
- Compare abatement potential in S1 and I

Non agriculture	Forests	Agriculture	Private Consumption	Global
5663	4634	1214	462	11973




Figure 7 S1: GHG GE annual abatement with \$30/tCO<sub>2</sub>eq global tax/seq. subsidy (mill tCO<sub>2</sub>eq)




Figure 8 S2: Change in global GHGs from increase in US ethanol production to 15 bg/y

- “One time” LUC 900 MtCO<sub>2</sub>eq are amortized over 30 and 20 years
- Central ±50% for the elasticity of substitution in hh liquid fuel mix




Figure 9 S1 and I: Comparison of GHG GE global annual abatement without and with 15 bg/y of US ethanol, mill tCO<sub>2</sub>eq




Figure 10 S1 and I: Decomposition of differences in GHG abatement between interaction scenario (I) and set up scenario (S1), mill tCO<sub>2</sub>eq

## Conclusions

- The new dynamic modeling framework integrates land based and fossil fuel based GHG mitigation and allows analysis of environmental and energy policies impacts on patterns of land use
- GDyn disequilibrium mechanism for determining the regional supply of investments is critical for analysis of carbon leakage due to unilateral GHG mitigation policy
- Future crop yields improvements affect patterns of land use and policy impacts
- When biofuels are not penalized for emissions from LUC, global carbon tax encourages their and agricultural land expansion
- Assumption about how easy biofuels can substitute for gasoline in liquid fuel mix affects biofuels quantities produced and changes in emissions from gasoline
- Static analysis shows 15 bg/y US ethanol mandate reduces global forest carbon sequestration potential by about 100 MtCO<sub>2</sub>eq (1% of global 12 GtCO<sub>2</sub>eq abatement at 30\$/tCO<sub>2</sub>eq)
- Next step is dynamic analysis of energy and environmental policies interactions