

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

WATER-SENSIBLE RESIDENTIAL LANDSCAPES: BUILDER VS. HOMEBUYER PREFERENCES FOR WATER CONSERVING URBAN RESIDENTIAL LANDSCAPES

Tracy A. Boyer, Associate Professor Department of Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma State University 321 Agriculture Hall Stillwater, OK 74078

tracy.boyer@okstate.edu (405) 744-6169

Chanjin Chung, Professor
Department of Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma State University
322 Agriculture Hall
Stillwater, OK 74078
Chanjin.chung@okstate.edu (405) 744-6164

Justin Q. Moss, Assistant Professor
358 Agricultural Hall
Department of Horticulture & Landscape Architecture
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078
mossjq@okstate.edu (405)-744-5729

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2012 AAEA Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012

Copyright 2012 by Boyer, Chung, and Moss. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



Water – Sensible Residential Landscapes: Builder vs. Homebuyer Preferences for Water Conserving Urban Residential Landscapes

ces

Tracy A. Boyer, ¹ Chanjin Chung, ² and Justin Q. Moss ³

Oklahoma State University

Background

Landscape watering has been estimated to use up to 50-70% of city water production during the summer months in the United States (Mayer et al., 1999; Kjelgren et al., 2000). Many citizens would consider landscape water use a low priority when compared with human consumption, health, safety, industrial, agricultural, and environmental quality uses. Yet, when citizens are asked to describe an ideal home, the majority will mention the importance of maintaining a nicely landscaped yard (Vickers, 2001).

Changing behavior patterns involves understanding economic motives, social customs, and traditional practices of both the builders who install turfgrass and homeowners who maintain or replace turfgrass in residential settings. Demand for urban water for residential use in new developments ultimately depends on builders' perception of buyers' demand for landscape type and desire for quick and cheap establishment of a lawn and plantings, whereas buyers in established neighborhoods may be more likely to adopt new conservation practices as part of refurbishing the existing landscape.

We survey homeowners and builders concerning landscape/turfgrass aesthetics and accompanying irrigation practices, how they make landscape irrigation decisions and assess willingness to pay, given probabilistic payback for, drought, cold, and pest tolerant, water conserving turf. We estimate differing willingness to pay amounts for builders and homeowners and differences in the favored attributes for adoption. In our study, the two potential groups, buyers and established builders, were given landscape turf type, rate structures, and water expenses.

Objectives

- To assess willingness to pay by homeowners for drought tolerant grass cultivar and irrigation practices in Oklahoma urban and suburban areas using contingent valuation.
- To assess builders' perception of willingness to pay of homeowners for new sod at the sale of a newly constructed home.
- To quantitatively assess whether builders of new homes are motivated by different sod attributes than homebuyers.

Methods

- •June 2011, two surveys, one to potential Oklahoma homebuyers and two to homebuilders. Two convenience samples were used, Survey Sampling International's Oklahoma Consumer Panel and three homebuilder organizations in Oklahoma. The response rate for the homebuilder was approximately 6%.
- •Probit Model Maximum Likelihood Estimation was used to obtain coefficient estimates.
- •Median willingness to pay was calculated. This is the dollar amount that corresponds to a 50% likelihood that the respondent will say "yes" to the randomized bid offered (Madalla 1983). Bids were randomly assigned from \$100 to \$1500 as an increase in the house price for installation of improved sod at purchase.
- •Attributes were ranked on a 10 point scale, a T test was conducted to test the null hypothesis that the difference in rankings between groups was zero.

Results

Table 1: Sample Statistics						
	Homebuyer		Builder			
	Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.		
Bid	826.00	388.25	650.00	399.23		
Accept	0.72	0.44	0.36	0.48		
Age	47.85	15.63	52.78	10.64		
Female	0.66	0.47	0.03	0.18		
Turf Attributes (1-10, incr	easing imp	ortance)			Buyer-Bui	lder
Green	7.61	2.35	8.43	1.71	-0.82	
Drought tolerant	7.83	2.11	6.97	2.18	0.86	
Low Pest/Herbicide Use	7.79	2.23	6.17	2.33	1.62	
nstall Cost	8.06	2.17	8.19	1.89	-0.13	
Maintenance	8.42	2.00	7.97	1.99	0.45	
Low Watering Effort	7.94	2.29	7.85	2.13	0.09	
Environ-friendly	7.70	2.28	5.19	2.45	2.51	
Native Plant	7.32	2.40	5.10	2.53	2.22	
Low Reoccur Cost	8.58	1.98	7.25	2.52	1.33	
	n=546		n=58		.90**	AVG
					1.11	STD
				Т	2.432	
				р	0.022	

Table 2: Probit Max Like						
	Home	Homebuyer		Homebuilder		
Parameter	Estimate	Error	Estimate	Error		
Intercept	1.1741***	0.2525	-0.0053	0.8740		
BID	-0.0003**	0.0001	-0.0007*	0.0005		
AGE	-0.0031	0.0037	0.0042	0.0162		
FEMALE	-0.2820**	0.1258	-5.0929	0.0002		
Likelihood ratio	9.688** n=546 4.746		n=58			
	***, **, * denote 99%, 95, and 90% confidence levels					

Conclusions

- •Perception by builders and buyers of willingness to pay for drought conserving sod differs.
- Median willingness to pay for drought tolerant sod with a 5 year payback period by potential homebuyers is \$2490 at home purchase.
- Builders believe median willingness to pay for drought tolerant sod is only \$215 in the home purchase, despite a given payback price.
- •Willingness to pay for drought tolerant sod decreased significantly among female homebuyers, compared to males, but age was insignificant.
- •Builder perceptions did not significantly differ by age or gender, however, few builders were female. Furthermore, builders were a very challenging group to survey or to accurately survey.
- Overall builders significantly differ from homebuyers in their desired turf attributes. Buyers value lower install and maintenance cost and effort, whereas builders value install cost and a green, lush appearance to the lawn at sale, as expected.
- •The basic builder model had a poor fit due to the low n and low response rate. Future examination of buyer characteristics and Monte Carlo estimates to be conducted to statistically test the difference in willingness to pay between groups. Builders, nonetheless, misperceive a potential marketing opportunity to buyers in more robust, drought, pest and cold tolerant bermudagrass.



References

Mayer P. W., W. B. DeOreo, E. M. Opitz, J. C. Kiefer, W. Y. Davis, B. Dziegielewski, and J. O. Nelson. 1999. Residential end uses of water. American Water Works Association, Denver, CO. Kjelaren, R., F. Fayek, C. Neale, J. Endter-Wada, and J. Kurzman. 2002. Quantifying potential urban landscape

Kjeigren, K., F. Fayek, C. Neale, J. Endter-Wada, and J. Kurzman. 2002. Quantifying potential urban landscs water conservation through billing data analysis in Layton, Utah. In: Proceedings, American Water Works Association Sources 2002: Reuse, Resources, Conservation, Las Vegas, NV.

Madalla, G.S. 1983. Limited Independent and Qualitative Variables in Econometrics. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Vickers, Á. 2001. Handbook of water use and conservation: homes, landscapes, businesses, industries, farms Water Plow Press, Amherst, Massachusetts.

1 (Contact author),2, Department of Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078 Phone: (405) 744-6169 email: tracy.boyer@okstate.edu

3. Justin Q. Moss: Department of Horticulture & Landscape Architecture, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078

•Funded by USGS and the Oklahoma Water Resources Research Initiative

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2012 AAEA
 Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012.. Copyright 2012 by Boyer, Chung, and Moss.