

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

#### This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

## Welfare Analysis in International Sugar Trade: The Case of the EU-ACP Sugar Protocol

# Sibusiso Moyo, Thomas H. Spreen and Zhifeng Gao. Food and Resource Economics Department, University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611 Email: <a href="mailto:smoyo@ufl.edu">smoyo@ufl.edu</a>

### Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2012 AAEA Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012

Copyright 2012 by Moyo, Spreen and Gao. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

#### Welfare Analysis in International Sugar Trade: The Case of the EU-ACP Sugar Protocol Sibusiso Moyo, Dr Thomas H Spreen & Dr Zhifeng Gao Food and Resource Economics Department University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

Since its formation the European Union (EU) has employed a rather complicated policy to ensure high prices to domestic sugar growers and trade preferences to certain sugar exporting countries. One result of this policy is that the EU has been both the second largest importer and second largest exporter in the world market. Under pressure from the World Trade Organization (WTO), the EU agreed to reform its policies toward sugar in 2001, with the full effect of the reforms being fully implemented in 2006. In this paper, the impact of the EU sugar reform on global production, consumption, imports, and exports is examined. With a particular emphasis on the African Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) nations.

#### **Problem Statement**

 $P_{s} = \lambda_{s} - w_{s} y_{s}$ 

ACP and the Least Developed Countries under the Everything But Arms agreements are about to lose some rural income (agricultural production and export revenues, rural labor income) as the EU is further reforming its sugar policy. The loss of guaranteed high sugar prices could exacerbate rural poverty in ACP (and LDC) countries.

At stake is to investigate how to compensate them or what policies could be put in place to mitigate these potential losses.

#### **Research Objectives**

What are the effects of EU sugar policy reform on world production?

How will this affect sugar production in the ACP countries and the rest of the world?
To understand the welfare impacts of EU policies on ACP farmers

#### Abstract

#### Methodology

Step 1: Estimation of supply and demand equations for<br/>DemandDemand $P_i = \lambda_i - w_i$ Supply $P^i = v_i + \eta_i$ Where P is price,  $y_i$  is quantity demanded,  $x_i$  is quantStep 2: Building a social welfare function that allows to<br/>countries

$$W_i(y_i, x_i) = \int_{\hat{y}_i}^{y_i} (\lambda_i - w_i y_i) \, dy$$

Accounting for transport costs and tariffs/subsidies we

$$NW = \frac{1}{1 + AD_i} W_i(y_i, x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i(y_i, x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i(y_i, x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i(y_i, x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i(y_i,$$

Where AD is ad-valorem tariff,  $t_{ij}$  is unit transport cost is per unit import tariff and  $\sigma_{ij}$  is a per unit subsidy pa **Step 3:** Incorporating the EU price floor into the mode programming problem

$$Max \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1 + AD_i} \right) W_i(y_i, x_i) + \underline{P}_i U_i \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + AD_i} V_i(y_i, x_i) + \underline{P}_i U_i = 1$$

Subject to

$$y_i - x_i - \sum (x_{ji} - x_{ij}) + U_i = 0 \text{ f}$$
  
and  $x, y, t, U \ge 0$ 

Where  $\underline{P}_i$  is the price floor in the *i*<sup>th</sup> region, while  $U_i$  denotes a possible excess supply in region *i*.

for each country in the mod $y_i y_i$ $y_i x_i$ ntity supplied. s us to compute total surplu	(1) (2)	Solut export supply and in and th
$y_i - \int_{\hat{x}_i}^{x_i} (v_i + \eta_i x_i) dx_i$	(3.1)	demar Coun compu pre-re reform
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{ij} + \pi_{ij} - \sigma_{ij}) x_{ij}$	(3.2)	<b>Refer</b> Takaya
osts of moving sugar from region <i>i</i> to <i>j</i> , $\pi_{ij}$ baid by <i>i</i> <sup>th</sup> country. del, we can form a mathematical		Spat Allo Pub Thore
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_{ij} + \pi_{ij} - \sigma_{ij}) x_{ij}$	(3.3)	Dise Scie <b>For fu</b>
ior(i = 1,, I)	(3.4)	Please

#### Results

ation provides equilibrium rt quantities and prices for each ly region. Equilibrium imports mport prices for demand regions the optimal shipments between and and supply regions. Intry welfare changes will be puted for different time periods eform (2006) compared to postm.

#### rences

yama, T., Judge, G.G. (1971). atial and Temporal Price and location Models. North-Holland blishing Company.

e, S. (1986). Spatial sequilibrium. Journal of Regional ience, Vol. 26, No. 4.

**urther information** 

e contact smoyo@ufl.edu

