



AgEcon SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Land Use Can Play Critical Role in Controlling Global Warming

It is generally believed that atmospheric greenhouse gases contribute to global warming. Agriculture emits greenhouse gases (for example, methane from livestock and nitrous oxide from fertilizer) and releases soil carbon through tillage and land use changes. But the agricultural and forestry sectors can also remove carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere and store it in soils and plant matter.

The quantity of carbon dioxide emitted to the atmosphere is critical because a portion of CO₂ emissions remains in the atmosphere for more than 1,000 years. Efforts to limit atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have traditionally focused on industries that refine or burn fossil fuels. But recent economic research suggests that limiting CO₂ concentrations to low levels will require strategies that manage carbon emissions/sequestration from land use change as well as from the combustion of fossil fuels.

A recent study by researchers at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory and ERS analyzed three hypothetical approaches

for dealing with CO₂ concentrations through 2095. Option 1 simulates land use if there were no policies limiting CO₂ concentrations. Land use changes over time as population, agricultural productivity, and income change, but not in response to CO₂ limitations. The other two options involve imposing taxes on CO₂ emissions to limit atmospheric concentrations.

Under option 2, only fossil fuel emissions of CO₂ would be taxed, with emissions from land use change ignored. The third option would tax all sources of CO₂, including emissions resulting from changes in land use and land management. The results compare land uses (such as using land to grow crops, livestock, and trees) and the cost of limiting CO₂ concentrations across the three options and for varying CO₂ concentration targets.

Overall, land use change (and costs) are reduced when all sources and sinks of CO₂ are considered, including agricultural soils and forests (option 3). Ignoring the value of carbon in forests and farmland (option 2) leads to pronounced changes in land use


as bioenergy is substituted for fossil fuels, and as cultivation expands to less productive soils.

Under all three policy alternatives, future improvements in crop productivity affect land use change and the cost of limiting CO₂ concentrations. Technological advances in growing crops can reduce CO₂ emissions as less cropland is needed to produce the same amount of food and bioenergy. Improved crop productivity has the potential to reduce CO₂ emissions on a scale similar to capturing and storing CO₂ emissions from electricity-generating plants. **W**

Ronald Sands, rsands@ers.usda.gov

This finding is drawn from . . .

"Implications of Limiting CO₂ Concentrations for Land Use and Energy," by Marshall Wise, Katherine Calvin, Allison Thomson, Leon Clarke, Benjamin Bond-Lamberty, Ronald Sands, Steven J. Smith, Anthony Janetos, and James Edmonds, in *Science*, Vol. 324, May 2009, pp. 1183-1186.



Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using MiniCAM model, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory's Joint Global Change Research Institute.