



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

**Economic Feasibility of Bio-Butanol on Marginal Agricultural Lands in Western Colorado**

**Catherine Keske<sup>1</sup>**  
**Andrew Brandess<sup>2</sup>**  
**Dana Hoag<sup>2</sup>**  
**Calvin Pearson<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil and Crop Science, Colorado State University

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Colorado State University

*Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2012 AAEA Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012*

*Copyright 2012 by Catherine Keske, Andrew Brandess, Dana Hoag, and Calvin Pearson. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.*

# Economic Feasibility of Bio-Butanol on Marginal Agricultural Lands in Western Colorado

Catherine Keske<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Brandess<sup>2</sup>, Dana Hoag<sup>2</sup>, and Calvin Pearson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Soil and Crop Science, Colorado State University

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Colorado State University

The authors extend appreciation to USDA AFRI-NIFA for supporting this project through Grant#2009-02813, "Interdisciplinary Graduate Research for the Production and Marketing of Oilseed Biofuel Products".



## Introduction

As is the case with most rural agricultural communities, western Colorado is dependent on fossil fuels transported from distant sources. This results in vulnerability to fuel supply disruptions and price shocks (Ederington et al., 2011; Yu, Wang, and Lai, 2008). A predictable and locally derived fuel source may provide stability to the agricultural production supply chain as well as to local commerce (Tareen, Wetzstein, and Duffield, 2000; Western Organization of Research Councils, 2009). This research project evaluates the economic feasibility of bio-butanol as a locally grown biofuel in western Colorado as a means to encourage farm-level and regional energy sovereignty.

## Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to develop a budgeting tool that can be used to determine the most economically advantageous feedstock for bio-butanol processing. Choosing the most economical feedstock is the first step in a regional feasibility study aimed towards answering the question, "Does it make sense economically to develop a bio-butanol industry in western Colorado?" This can be accomplished with the implementation of the budgeting tool.

## Methods

The tool allows for cost comparisons between four feedstock scenarios in the establishment phase of a perennial cropping system. The scenarios include switchgrass, tall fescue, a "native mix scenario" (mainly wheatgrass species), and an "introduced mix (including alfalfa) scenario." Each production scenario is customizable and can be tuned to reflect fertilizer quantity, number of cuttings, and other variable costs.

### STEP 1 Choose Parameters

Fill in all white boxes from drop-down list.

Labor Rate (per hour)	\$ 12.00
Diesel Fuel Price (per gallon)	\$ 3.50
Irrigation Cost (per acre)	\$ 75.00
Operating Interest Rate (based on 6 month annual loan repayment)	3%

### STEP 2 Choose Crop Mix Scenario to Further Examine

Fill in all white boxes from drop-down list. User can choose any or all scenarios to examine.

#### Native Mix Scenario:

Yield in Tons:	2
Fertilizer Input:	High
Number of Cuttings:	Single

Efficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 191.37

Efficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 95.68

Inefficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 192.62

Inefficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 96.31

#### Introduced Mix Scenario:

Yield in Tons:	2
Fertilizer Input:	High
Number of Cuttings:	Single

Efficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 188.89

Efficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 94.44

Inefficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 190.14

Inefficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 95.07

#### Tall Fescue Scenario:

Yield in Tons:	2
Fertilizer Input:	High
Number of Cuttings:	Single

Efficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 186.20

Efficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 93.10

Inefficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 187.46

Inefficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 93.73

#### Switchgrass Scenario:

Yield in Tons:	2
Fertilizer Input:	High
Number of Cuttings:	Single

Efficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 228.10

Efficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 114.05

Inefficient Producer Cost Per Acre: \$ 229.35

Inefficient Producer Break Even Cost (per ton): \$ 114.68



## Results

The native mix, introduced mix, and tall fescue crop scenarios are all cost competitive at various input parameters. Without factoring in variations in processing conversion rates, only switchgrass presents a significantly higher breakeven cost as a Colorado-grown feedstock. The performance of these species may change as additional years of production occur.

## Conclusions

The evaluation tool is a step towards implementing a regional feasibility study. The tool is specific to western Colorado, but can be changed to match the agronomic needs of any region. Assuming constant yields, the tool identifies that switchgrass is more costly to grow than other bio-butanol feedstocks. Otherwise, there is little economic difference between the other scenarios.

## References and Author Contact

Ederington et al., 2011. "Factors Influencing Oil Prices: A Survey of the Current State of Knowledge in the Context of the 2007-2008 Oil Price Volatility." U.S Energy Information Agency.  
 Tareen, I., M. Wetzstein, and J. Duffield. 2000. "Biodiesel as a Substitute for Petroleum Diesel in a Stochastic Environment." *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* 32(2):373-381.  
 Western Organization of Resource Councils. 2009. "Homegrown Prosperity from the Bottom Up." Elizabeth Hughes Wood and Wilbur Wood.  
 Yu, L., S. Wang, and K.K. Lai. 2008. "Forecasting Crude Oil Price with an EMD-based Neural Network Ensemble Learning Paradigm." *Energy Economics* 30(5):2623-2635.

Author Contact: [Catherine.Keske@colostate.edu](mailto:Catherine.Keske@colostate.edu)