

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Conclusions
Jim Peacock
Paper prepared for presentation at the "Food for the Future: Opportunities for a Crowded Planet" conference conducted by the Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parliament House, Canberra, Australia, August 8, 2002
Copyright 2002 by Jim Peacock. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Conclusions

JIM PEACOCK

This morning we received a challenge from Chris Gallus as to how the conclusions from this conference could improve the effectiveness of Australian aid. Earlier today we all heard about Mrs Namurunda, who is a very important lady, and a farmer. We got a clear message that Mrs Namurunda is very much interested in food security for her family. She is interested in increasing crop yield and guaranteeing food production every year. She is also interested in gaining an income from her work on her farm.

Gordon Conway made a very strong argument against simple redistribution of food surpluses from developed to developing countries to resolve food shortages in the latter countries. The clear conclusion was that agriculture does provide the way forward, and that it does depend upon the sustainable use of natural resources. We can't get away from using natural resources but these can be used and replaced in a sustainable system. In this way we can provide food for the world and provide economic growth, even for the rural poor. A fair conclusion is that biotechnology – I'm not equating that to genetically modified crops – is important. It provides an important new set of tools for plant and animal improvement.

It generates faster and smarter conventional improvement programs and the knowledge gained

DR JIM PEACOCK AC is Chief of CSIRO Plant Industry, which is recognised internationally in the field of plant molecular biology. In the Australia Day Honours, 1994, he was made a Companion of the Order of Australia for his contribution to the nation. In 2000 he was a co-recipient of the Inaugural Prime Minister's Science Prize and in May 2000 he was elected President of the Australian Academy of Science. Jim Peacock has active research programs in the induction of flowering, a major developmental decision in plants; in the molecular genetics of seed development; in plant haemoglobin; and in the molecular biology of stress responses in plants. Jim Peacock is prominent in the interfacing of plant science with modern agribusiness.

with the new power of biological research is clearly giving us a healthier environment; and human health will be improved too.

In the course of discussion, there was a small debate about agro-ecology. The important thing is that biotechnology is now adding a powerful new tool to the science of agro-ecology and the synergies of those sciences is generating new options for agro-ecology which will provide the basis of sustainable agricultural systems. We must strive for integrated total crop or total farm management. In Australia, the most important thing about the use of the *Bt* gene in cotton is not the immediate reduction in insecticide use, but that for the first time we have a real platform for integrated pest management.

Mrs Namurunda would clearly like to have access to the new knowledge and the improvements derived from that knowledge. Jim Ryan pointed out that new developments in agriculture, using these tools, are reducing the time lag from research to practice. What wasn't said today, however, is that some of those improvements are taken to the farmer in the friendliest possible way, as a seed. This has built-in intelligence, and is a great partner for Mrs Namurunda, providing an instant-adoption technology.

Now, is it safe for humans? There are 53 million ha of transgenic crops around the world; they probably yield about 170 million tonnes of produce per year – a business of about \$50 billion Australian per year. There are at least a hundred billion – perhaps a thousand billion – meals consumed each year that include transgenic crop produce. As far as I know, there has never been a single reported case of harm to any human on the planet. I wonder just how far must we go with the precautionary principle. The regulatory attention given to transgenics is perhaps even greater than that given to any introduced conventional foods. Although there may be distrust of scientists and of

governments as Louise Sylvan said, for the most part we depend upon our regulatory bodies, and so we must strive to see that their performance is absolutely top class.

With regard to the environment, just as for food, developments must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and so broad generalisations are often not very useful. Each case has its own particular questions that must be answered.

Liz Dennis defined transgenic plants as those having had genetic material inserted into their genome in a laboratory. Now the greatest laboratory that we have on this planet is nature, including evolution: evolution has given us some of the most supreme solutions to problems that we could hope for. You have seen for example the use of the *Bt* solution in crops and how much better we might expect to manage the environment in agriculture if we wisely use that gene: surely we must use the results of nature's experiments.

I draw attention to the necessity for partnerships. Gordon Conway emphasised the importance of choice, and that what you decide is the really important thing. One message we should take home – not only to the Australian AID agencies but also to all the AID agencies – is the importance of the objective: 'what is the most important thing we are trying to achieve?' Whether by conventional breeding or transgenic breeding, genetic improvement is very complex, as you've seen. To develop new varieties and get them into

use in developing countries, for the benefit of those countries, requires a great deal of cooperation by many, many institutions. One of the major downfalls of AID agencies at the moment is that there is too much ad hoc, singleagency operation.

My conclusion is that biotechnology research has greatly increased the rate at which we acquire new knowledge about how living things develop and function. It has already led to substantial improvements in yield and more sustainable food production. Biotech-driven improvements, whether transgenic or conventional, that lead to greater yields and surety of food supply, should be adopted and made available to developing countries as soon as possible if proven to be safe and positive to human health and the environment. 'Made available' means working with the people of the developing countries and Harry Nesbitt gave us a truly wonderful example of how this can work if done properly.

Finally, the introduction of transgenic technologies needs to be not only adequately regulated but also properly managed. If we don't bring the management aspect in as a package, we risk failure. We simply cannot have failure of this new technology in any aspect – social, environmental or economic – successful enhancement of food supply is our goal.

OTHER CRAWFORD FUND PUBLICATIONS

- Lloyd, Alan, Harris, Michael and Tribe, Derek 1990. Australian Agricultural Research: Some Policy Issues. Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parkville, Vic. vi + 42 pp. ISBN 0 73168804 X (corrected).
- Tribe, Derek E. 1991. *Doing Well by Doing Good:*Agricultural Research: Feeding and Greening the World. Pluto Press, Sydney, in association with the Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research. 135 pp. ISBN 0 94913872 X
- Tribe, D. 1991. The many benefits of international agricultural research. *Search* **22**, 225-227.
- Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research 1993. Food Comes First for Asia: Proceedings of the Australia-Irri Day events on 16 April 1993 in Canberra. Seminar at Parliament House. Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parkville, Vic. v + 82 pp.
- Reade, C. 1993. The food time bomb in Asia. *Search* **24**, 169-172.
- Tribe, D.E. 1994. Feeding and Greening the World:

 The Role of International Agricultural
 Research. CAB International, Wallingford, in
 association with the Crawford Fund for
 International Agricultural Research, Parkville.
 xiii + 274 pp. ISBN 0 851 98920 9
- Lawrence, Janet (ed.) 1994. A Profit in Our Own Country. Record of a seminar conducted by the Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parliament House, Canberra, 17 May 1994. ACIAR Monograph No. 30, 139p. ISBN 1 86320 119 X
- Falvey, F.J. 1996. Food, Environment, Education:
 Agricultural Education in Natural Resource
 Management. The Crawford Fund for
 International Development and the Institute for
 International Development, Parkville. xv + 261
 pp. ISBN 0 646 26363 X
- Haldane, Julie (ed.) 1996. Global Food Security: Implications for Australia. Record of a seminar conducted by the Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parliament House, Canberra, 28 May 1996. ACIAR Monograph No. 42. 76 pp. ISBN 1 86320 193 9
- Lawrence, Janet (ed.) 1997. Partners in the Harvest.

 Record of a seminar, 'NGOs, scientists and the
 poor: competitors, combatants or
 collaborators?', conducted by the Crawford
 Fund for International Agricultural Research
 and World Vision Australia, Parliament House,

- *Canberra*, 8 *April* 1997. ACIAR Monograph No. 47. iv + 98 pp. ISBN 1 86320 206 4
- Anon. 1998. Sharing the best-kept secret national support for international agricultural research.

 Conference report. The Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research and The Rockefeller Foundation. 6-10 April 1998. The Crawford Fund, Parkville.16 pp.
- Brown, A.G. (ed.) 1999. Sustainable Forest
 Management. Proceedings of the Hermon Slade
 International Workshop, Melbourne, 30
 November 4 December 1998. The Crawford
 Fund, Parkville, Vic. vi + 71 pp. ISBN 0 643
 06316 1
- Slater, H. 1999. International agricultural research: a fertile field for global progress. *Agricultural Science* **12**(3), 29-32.
- Cadman, Hiliary (ed.) 2000. The Food and Environmental Tightrope. Proceedings of a seminar conducted by the Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parliament House, Canberra, 24 November 1999. ACIAR Monograph No. 63, 154 pp. ISBN 1 86320 279 X
- Crawford Fund 2000. Food, Water and War: Security in a World of Conflict. Record of a conference conducted by the Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parliament House, Canberra, 15 August 2000. ACIAR Monograph No. 173, 114 pp. ISBN 0 64245 023 4
- Brown, A.G. (ed.) 2001. Prosper or Perish: Asian Poverty and the Australian Economy. Record of a conference conducted by The Crawford Fund for International Agricultural Research, Parliament House, Canberra, 28 June 2001. iii + 58 pp. ISBN 1875618678
- Brown, A.G. (ed.) 2002. Pathways to Sustainable Forest Management. Proceedings of the second Hermon Slade International Workshop, Ubud, Bali, 5-8 June 2001. The ATSE Crawford Fund, Parkville, Vic. iv + 88 pp. ISBN 1 875618 72 4
- The publication below discusses the global setting for international agricultural research. The website of the Cooperative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) (http://www.cgiar.org/) provides other information.
- Alston, J.M., Pardey, P.G. and Taylor, M.J. (eds) 2001.

 **Agricultural Science Policy: Changing global agendas. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 285 pp. ISBN 0 8018 6603 0