



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

A Heuristic Optimization Model for Vegetable Production and Marketing Decisions

Carl R. Dillon and Michael Vassalos

Department of Agricultural Economics

403 C.E. Barnhart Building

University of Kentucky

Lexington, KY 40546-0276

cdillon@uky.edu and vassalosmichael@uky.edu

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association's 2012 Meeting, Seattle, Washington, August 12-14, 2012.

Copyright 2012 by Carl Dillon and Michael Vassalos. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



A Heuristic Optimization Model for Vegetable Production and Marketing Decisions

Carl R. Dillon and Michael Vassalos

Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Kentucky



INTRODUCTION

- People often use heuristics, or experience-based methods, to make decisions
- Farm managers face the paradox of needing simple decision-making tools while facing inherently complex problems
- The purpose of this study is to provide an innovative research method combining the power of optimization with the practicality of heuristics
- One form of heuristics is a “rule-of-thumb” approach wherein a manager takes different course of actions depending on some criteria or trigger information
- Rather than solve for a strategy to be followed every year, this study will solve for two optimal strategies: one for years above and one below an optimal trigger

OBJECTIVES

- Formulate and briefly describe a mathematical programming model which determines an optimal “rule-of-thumb”
- Demonstrate the use of this model with an empirical example of Kentucky vegetable production and marketing
- Determine the best trigger criteria (e.g., rainfall), optimal trigger level and optimal decisions above and below the trigger level

METHODS

- A mixed integer programming model is formulated with an endogenous trigger variable as well as logical binary variables
- Production and marketing decision variables above and below the trigger are included
- Standard technical constraints as well as Boolean conditional constraints are reflected
- A five acre Kentucky tomato, bell pepper and sweet corn producer with 38 years simulated yield data serves as the empirical example
- A random half of the years are used in optimization (referred to as sample) and the remaining half is for out-of-sample evaluation
- A “perfect” heuristics model is optimized for comparison- no specific trigger criteria is considered thereby allowing any “above” and “below” years

BELL PEPPER MODEL RESULTS

	No Heuristics	Temp	Rain	Perfect Heuristics
Sample Mean Net Returns (\$)	82,398 0.0 %	82,766 +0.42%	85,126 +2.58%	85,389 +3.60%
Out-of-Sample Net Returns (\$)	82,431 0.0%	83,710 +2.73%	84,130 +3.24%	89,277 +9.56%
Trigger Level	NA	50.6° F	14.5”	NA
Transplant Date	June 26	June 26 & July 10	June 19 & July 10	June 5 & June 26

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- Heuristics on the tomatoes selected under the full model made little difference
- Bell pepper results presented herein illustrate potential more than sweet corn
- Using the annual precipitation through April 24th enabled a 2.58% increase in optimal mean net returns or a 3.24% increase for the out-of-sample years
- Greater rain than the 14.5 inch optimal trigger level called for earlier transplanting with less rainfall leading to later transplanting
- As a check, a “perfect” two category heuristics criteria would lead to a 3.60% net returns increase (9.56% out-of-sample)
- The rainfall results achieved 91% of the “perfect” heuristics potential gain (25% for out-of-sample)

CONCLUSIONS

- Sometimes heuristics will provide a substantive improvement but not always
- Knowing the upper bound of potential improvement (“perfect” heuristics) is helpful
- There is seemingly endless potential applications and opportunities for this model but mixed integer programming models are notoriously difficult to solve in practice