



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

When Inertia Generates Political Cycles

Raphaël Soubeyran

NOTA DI LAVORO 91.2006

JUNE 2006

PRCG – Privatisation, Regulation, Corporate Governance

Raphaël Soubeyran, *GREQAM*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=912457>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

When Inertia Generates Political Cycles

Summary

We propose a simple infinite horizon of repeated elections with two candidates. Furthermore we suppose that the government policy presents some degree of inertia, i.e. a new government cannot completely change the policy implemented by the incumbent. When the policy inertia is strong enough, no party can win the election a consecutive infinite number of times.

Keywords: Political Cycles, Inertia

JEL Classification: D72, H7

Address for correspondence:

Raphaël SOUBEYRAN
GREQAM
Château Lafarge
Route des Milles
13290 Les Milles
France
Email: raphsoub@univ-aix.fr

When Inertia generates Political Cycles

Raphaël SOUBEYRAN *

April 6, 2006

Abstract

We propose a simple infinite horizon of repeated elections with two candidates. Furthermore we suppose that the government policy presents some degree of inertia, i.e. a new government cannot completely change the policy implemented by the incumbent. When the *policy inertia* is strong enough, no party can win the election a consecutive infinite number of times.

Journal of Economics Literature Classification Numbers: D72, H7.

Keywords: Political Cycles, Inertia.

1 Introduction

In modern democracies, the alternation of political parties in power is a frequent phenomenon. Why isn't there a greater persistence of parties in power ? How can one explain the turnover of parties in government ? How can one explain political cycles ? In a dynamic setting, we introduce two imperfections to the model of Downs (1957). As other scholars (see Casamatta and De Donder (2005)), we consider a *programs inertia* assumption. As Heckelman (2000), we consider that parties have (different) fixed programs. The second, we call *policy inertia* represents imperfections in changing the government policy. This effect has various origins in real political life. Indeed, a majority of policies can not be changed without a cost. To modelize this stylized fact, we distinguish *effective policy*, which is the objective state of the policy, and the *government policy*, which is the policy of the incumbent during his legislature. We suppose that the effective policy at time t is a convex combination

*GREQAM, Château Lafarge, Route des Milles, 13290, Les Milles. Email: raphsoub@univ-aix.fr

of the effective policy at date $t - 1$ (past policy) and of the government policy at time t . This says that the government can not freely change completely the policy implemented in the past. We argue that is a reason why similar countries with different histories implement very different policies. We say that the model exhibits political cycles when no party can win the election a consecutive infinite number of times. That is parties indefinitely alternate (not necessarily regularly) in power.

The main result states that if *policy inertia* is high enough, political cycles appear. Furthermore, we show that the dynamic can be history dependent. Indeed, if in an election, the median voter is indifferent between the two programs, the future dynamic will be dramatically affected. Scholars generally explain political cycles with psychological arguments (see Goertzel (2005) for a review of the American voters mood changes literature). Schlesinger (1949, 1986, 1992) consider that the electorate is inevitably disappointed by the party or the ideology that is in power. Klinberg (1952) suggests that American mood in public opinion balances between introversion and extroversion. This could explain why domestic and foreign concerns alternate through time and parties turnover in power. The main explanation is certainly disappointment. The “Negativity effect” theory (see Aragones (1997) for a survey) is built on the following remark: voters’ decisions are based on the incumbent’s past performance and negative pieces of information have a greater impact than positive pieces of information. In the light of the negativity effect, Aragones (1997) obtains a result of systematic alternation of the two parties implementing different policies. In our analysis, there is no uncertainty and electorate decisions are not based on past performance, but as usually in political models, for their preferred party at each election. We propose a simple model suggesting that political cycles can be generated by inertia only.

2 The model

The constrained policy setting: Two candidates R and L compete in an infinite horizon repeated elections setting. The set of policies is the interval $P = [-1, 1]$. Each citizen is represented by a bliss point $\hat{\alpha}_i$ in the set of policies and voters bliss points are distributed over P . according to the cumulative distribution function F . The utility function of voter i is defined over the set of effective policies, $U(\hat{\alpha}^i, p_t) = -|\hat{\alpha}^i - p_t|$ where p_t is the

effective policy at time t . We suppose that candidates programs are fixed. Candidate j proposes a policy z^j .

Policy inertia: We suppose that a policy implemented at date t has an influence on the effective policy at date $t + 1$. Let p_{t+1} be the effective policy at date $t + 1$. We suppose this policy results from the government policy at date $t + 1$ and from the effective policy at date t . Let $\delta \in [0, 1]$ be the "inertia" degree of past policies. Let $z^{W(t+1)}$ denotes the program implemented in period $t + 1$. The effective policy at date $t + 1$ is then:

$$p_{t+1} = (1 - \delta) z^{W(t+1)} + \delta p_t,$$

In the case without policy inertia ($\delta = 0$) there is no linkage between the successive elections. In the second polar case with full policy inertia ($\delta = 1$), the policy is completely fixed and voting has no influence on the policy implemented. In the following, we will consider that $\delta < 1$. Between the two polar situations, a new government will have to face an inertia force δp_t , which can be interpreted in many ways.

Although the preferred effective policy of a voter is fixed, his preferred program changes from an election to the following. To illustrate the dynamic, let compute voter i preferred program (noted p_{t+1}^i) at election $t + 1$:

$$p_{t+1}^i = \frac{\hat{\alpha}^i - \delta p_t}{1 - \delta},$$

Then, the more the effective policy of the previous period was leftist, the more the median voter will move to the right, and the more the effective policy of the previous period was rightest, the more the median will move to the left. This intuition underline the swing of the voters.

Policy history: We suppose that the first election take place in period 1, the influence of period 0 depend on a degree of inertia δ_0 not necessarily equal to δ and a past policy p_0 . These parameters can represent different histories. For example $\delta_0 = 0$ could refer to a revolution preceding the first democratic election in $t = 1$ and be interpreted as the fact that past policy is completely removed.

3 Political Cycles

We say that the set of parameters $(\delta, \hat{\alpha}^m, z^L, z^R)$ exhibits *Political cycles* if no party can win the elections an infinite consecutive number of times, formally:

Definition 1 A set of parameters $(\delta, p_0, \hat{\alpha}^m, z^L, z^R) \in]0, 1[\times [0, 1]^3$ exhibits **Political cycles** if and only if W ($W(t) \in \{L, R\}$) does not converge when t goes to infinity.

A first important remark, is that in situations where the median voter is indifferent between the two policies, the dynamic strongly depends on the randomized winner. Indeed, if the median voter is indifferent between the two parties in election t , he will not be indifferent in election $t + 1$. Let v_t^m be the utility of voter m over the set of programs at date t :

$$v_t^m(z) = u^i((1 - \delta)z + \delta p_{t-1}),$$

The following result is straightforward at the light of the previous remark.

Lemma 2 z_{t+1}^m can not converge to \tilde{z}^m such that: $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v_t^m(z^L) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v_t^m(z^R)$.

Hence, the situation where the median voter is indifferent between both parties cannot be stable in the long run. Now we characterize the set of parameters such that political cycles appear:

Proposition 3 The set of parameters $(\delta, p_0, \hat{\alpha}^m, z^L, z^R)$ exhibits Political cycles if and only if $\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \leq \frac{z^R - \hat{\alpha}^m}{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}$, W converge to L if and only if $\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} < \frac{z^R - \hat{\alpha}^m}{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L}$, and W converge to R if and only if $\frac{z^R - \hat{\alpha}^m}{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L} < \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}$.

Proof. Suppose $\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} \geq \frac{z^R - \hat{\alpha}^m}{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L} \geq \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}$.

step 1: Suppose that W converge to L . Then, there exists an election k such that $\forall t \geq k, W(t) = L$. This is implies $\forall t \geq k, |p_{t+1}^m - z^L| \leq |z^R - p_{t+1}^m|$. Furthermore,

$$p_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)z^L + \delta p_t,$$

Then,

$$p_{t+1} - z^L = \delta(p_t - z^L),$$

Since $\delta \in]0, 1[$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (p_{t+1} - z^L) = 0$. Finally, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p_{t+1} = z^L$. Now, we must have that the median voter (strictly, because of the Lemma) prefers L to R :

$$u^i(z^L) - u^i(z^R) = - \left| \frac{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L}{1 - \delta} \right| + \left| z^R - \hat{\alpha}^m - \delta \frac{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L}{1 - \delta} \right| > 0,$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$\frac{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L}{1 - \delta} < z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m - \delta \frac{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L}{1 - \delta} \text{ or } z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m - \delta \frac{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L}{1 - \delta} < \frac{z^L - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta},$$

Equivalent to:

$$\frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta} < \frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L},$$

Contradiction.

step 2: Suppose that W converge to R . Then, there exists an election k such that $\forall t \geq k, W(t) = R$. This implies $\forall t \geq k, |z^R - p_{t+1}^m| \leq |p_{t+1}^m - z^L|$. Furthermore,

$$p_{t+1} = (1 - \delta) z^R + \delta p_t,$$

Then,

$$p_{t+1} - z^R = \delta (p_t - z^R),$$

Since $\delta \in]0, 1[$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (p_{t+1} - z^R) = 0$. Finally, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p_{t+1} = z^R$. Now, we must have that the median voter prefers R to L (strictly, because of the Lemma).

$$u^i(z^R) - u^i(z^L) = - \left| \frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta} \right| + \left| \widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L - \delta \frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta} \right| > 0,$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$\frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta} < \widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L - \delta \frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta} \text{ or } \widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L - \delta \frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta} < \frac{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^R}{1 - \delta},$$

Equivalent to:

$$\frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L} < \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta},$$

Contradiction.

Now, suppose $\frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta} < \frac{z^R - \widehat{\alpha}^m}{\widehat{\alpha}^m - z^L}$, this is equivalent to $\widehat{\alpha}^m < \frac{z^R(1 - \delta) + z^L(1 + \delta)}{2}$. Furthermore,

$$p_t = (1 - \delta) \sum_{k=1}^t \delta^{t-k} z^{W(k)} + \delta^t p_0,$$

Then,

$$z_{t+1}^m \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \frac{\widehat{\alpha}^m}{1 - \delta} - \sum_{k=1}^t \delta^{t+1-k} z^{W(k)},$$

Thus,

$$z_{t+1}^m \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{<} \frac{z^R + z^L \frac{(1+\delta)}{1-\delta}}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^t \delta^{t+1-k} z^{W(k)} < \frac{z^R + z^L}{2},$$

Then $W(t)$ converge to L .

Finally, suppose $\frac{z^R - \hat{\alpha}^m}{\hat{\alpha}^m - z^L} < \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}$, this is equivalent to $\hat{\alpha}^m > \frac{z^R(1+\delta) + z^L(1-\delta)}{2}$.

Then,

$$z_{t+1}^m \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{>} \frac{z^R + z^L}{2} + \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} z^R - \sum_{k=1}^t \delta^{t+1-k} z^{W(k)},$$

Furthermore, $\forall k$, $z^{W(k)} \leq z^R$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^t \delta^{t+1-k} z^{W(k)} \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\leq} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} z^R,$$

Thus,

$$p_{t+1}^m \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{>} \frac{z^R + z^L}{2},$$

Then $W(t)$ converge to R . ■

Finally, when the policy inertia degree is high enough $\left(\frac{|z^R + z^L - 2\hat{\alpha}^m|}{z^R - z^L} \leq \delta \right)$, parties alternate in power. Indeed, if party L is the incumbent, he will necessary loose one future election. Then R wins the power and he will necessary loose one future election, and so on...

We have claimed that the dynamic can take two different paths when the median voter is indifferent between L and R . Indeed, suppose the median voter is indifferent in election t , formally, $|p_t^m - z^L| = |p_t^m - z^R|$. Since $z^R \neq z^L$, we have $p_t^m = \frac{z^L + z^R}{2}$. Now we compare the case where L wins the election to the case where R wins the election.

If L wins the election, $p_t = \hat{\alpha}^m + (1-\delta) \frac{z^L - z^R}{2}$. If R wins the election $p_t = \hat{\alpha}^m + (1-\delta) \frac{z^R - z^L}{2}$. Then the dynamic can change dramatically.

4 Conclusion

We have proposed a simple infinite horizon dynamic model of repeated elections where candidates have fixed programs and policies present some degree of inertia. We have shown that inertia can generate political cycles.

References

- [1] Aragones, E., 1997, "Negativity Effect and the emergence of ideologies", *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 9, 2: 198-210.
- [2] Casamatta, G. and P. De Donder, 2005, On the influence of extreme parties in electoral competition with policy-motivated candidates", *Social Choice and Welfare* 25 (1), pp 1-29.
- [3] Downs, A., 1957, *An Economic Theory of Democracy*, New York: Harper.
- [4] Goertzel, T., 2005, "Cycles in the Mass Psychology of American Electoral Behavior", forthcoming in *Journal of Psicología Política*.
- [5] Heckelman, J.C., 2000, "Sequential elections and overlapping terms: voting for U.S. Senate", *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 42 (1), pp 97-108.
- [6] Klinberg, F., 1952, "The historical alternation of moods in American foreign policy", *World Politics* 4, pp 239-273.
- [7] Schlesinger, A., 1992, "The turn of the cycle", *The New Yorker* 68 (November 16), pp 46-54.
- [8] Schlesinger, A., 1986, *The Cycles of American History*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- [9] Schlesinger, A., 1949, *Paths to the Present*, New York: MacMillan.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

<http://www.repec.org>

<http://agecon.lib.umn.edu>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2006

SIEV	1.2006	<i>Anna ALBERINI: Determinants and Effects on Property Values of Participation in Voluntary Cleanup Programs: The Case of Colorado</i>
CCMP	2.2006	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Stabilisation Targets, Technical Change and the Macroeconomic Costs of Climate Change Control</i>
CCMP	3.2006	<i>Roberto ROSON: Introducing Imperfect Competition in CGE Models: Technical Aspects and Implications</i>
KTHC	4.2006	<i>Sergio VERGALLI: The Role of Community in Migration Dynamics</i>
SIEV	5.2006	<i>Fabio GRAZI, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Piet RIETVELD: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial Welfare Economics versus Ecological Footprint</i>
CCMP	6.2006	<i>Olivier DESCHENES and Michael GREENSTONE: The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Agricultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather</i>
PRCG	7.2006	<i>Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESE: Firm Regulation and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach</i>
SIEV	8.2006	<i>Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs</i>
CTN	9.2006	<i>Jon X. EGUILA: United We Vote</i>
CTN	10.2006	<i>Shao CHIN SUNG and Dinko DIMITRO: A Taxonomy of Myopic Stability Concepts for Hedonic Games</i>
NRM	11.2006	<i>Fabio CERINA (Ixxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis</i>
NRM	12.2006	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm</i>
CCMP	13.2006	<i>Jens HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources</i>
KTHC	14.2006	<i>Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy</i>
KTHC	15.2006	<i>Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective</i>
CSRM	16.2006	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</i>
CCMP	17.2006	<i>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</i>
IEM	18.2006	<i>Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards</i>
CTN	19.2006	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems</i>
CCMP	20.2006	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</i>
SIEV	21.2006	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</i>
CCMP	22.2006	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</i>
NRM	23.2006	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?</i>
NRM	24.2006	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</i>
SIEV	25.2006	<i>Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective</i>
SIEV	26.2006	<i>Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland</i>
KTHC	27.2006	<i>Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach</i>
CCMP	28.2006	<i>Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions</i>
IEM	29.2006	<i>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</i>
KTHC	30.2006	<i>Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy</i>
ETA	31.2006	<i>Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret</i>
IEM	32.2006	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy</i>
NRM	33.2006	<i>Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia</i>
CTN	34.2006	<i>Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual Discussion</i>
IEM	35.2006	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth</i>
ETA	36.2006	<i>Ariel RUBINSTEIN (Ixxix): Instinctive and Cognitive Reasoning: A Study of Response Times</i>

ETA	37.2006	<i>Maria SALGADO</i> (lxxix): <u>Choosing to Have Less Choice</u>
ETA	38.2006	<i>Justina A.V. FISCHER and Benno TORGLER</i> : <u>Does Envy Destroy Social Fundamentals? The Impact of Relative Income Position on Social Capital</u>
ETA	39.2006	<i>Benno TORGLER, Sascha L. SCHMIDT and Bruno S. FREY</i> : <u>Relative Income Position and Performance: An Empirical Panel Analysis</u>
CCMP	40.2006	<i>Alberto GAGO, Xavier LABANDEIRA, Fidel PICOS And Miguel RODRÍGUEZ</i> : <u>Taxing Tourism In Spain: Results and Recommendations</u>
IEM	41.2006	<i>Karl van BIERVLIET, Dirk Le ROY and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>An Accidental Oil Spill Along the Belgian Coast: Results from a CV Study</u>
CCMP	42.2006	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Endogenous Technology and Tradable Emission Quotas</u>
KTHC	43.2006	<i>Giulio CAINELLI and Donato IACOBUCCI</i> : <u>The Role of Agglomeration and Technology in Shaping Firm Strategy and Organization</u>
CCMP	44.2006	<i>Alvaro CALZADILLA, Francesco PAULI and Roberto ROSON</i> : <u>Climate Change and Extreme Events: An Assessment of Economic Implications</u>
SIEV	45.2006	<i>M.E. KRAGT, P.C. ROEBELING and A. RUIJS</i> : <u>Effects of Great Barrier Reef Degradation on Recreational Demand: A Contingent Behaviour Approach</u>
NRM	46.2006	<i>C. GIUPPONI, R. CAMERA, A. FASSIO, A. LASUT, J. MYSIAK and A. SGOBBI</i> : <u>Network Analysis, Creative System Modelling and DecisionSupport: The NetSyMod Approach</u>
KTHC	47.2006	<i>Walter F. LALICH</i> (lxxx): <u>Measurement and Spatial Effects of the Immigrant Created Cultural Diversity in Sydney</u>
KTHC	48.2006	<i>Elena PASPALANOVA</i> (lxxx): <u>Cultural Diversity Determining the Memory of a Controversial Social Event</u>
KTHC	49.2006	<i>Ugo GASPARINO, Barbara DEL CORPO and Dino PINELLI</i> (lxxx): <u>Perceived Diversity of Complex Environmental Systems: Multidimensional Measurement and Synthetic Indicators</u>
KTHC	50.2006	<i>Aleksandra HAUKE</i> (lxxx): <u>Impact of Cultural Differences on Knowledge Transfer in British, Hungarian and Polish Enterprises</u>
KTHC	51.2006	<i>Katherine MARQUAND FORSYTH and Vanja M. K. STENIUS</i> (lxxx): <u>The Challenges of Data Comparison and Varied European Concepts of Diversity</u>
KTHC	52.2006	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> (lxxx): <u>Rethinking the Gains from Immigration: Theory and Evidence from the U.S.</u>
KTHC	53.2006	<i>Monica BARNI</i> (lxxx): <u>From Statistical to Geolinguistic Data: Mapping and Measuring Linguistic Diversity</u>
KTHC	54.2006	<i>Lucia TAJOLI and Lucia DE BENEDICTIS</i> (lxxx): <u>Economic Integration and Similarity in Trade Structures</u>
KTHC	55.2006	<i>Suzanna CHAN</i> (lxxx): <u>“God’s Little Acre” and “Belfast Chinatown”: Diversity and Ethnic Place Identity in Belfast</u>
KTHC	56.2006	<i>Diana PETKOVA</i> (lxxx): <u>Cultural Diversity in People’s Attitudes and Perceptions</u>
KTHC	57.2006	<i>John J. BETANCUR</i> (lxxx): <u>From Outsiders to On-Paper Equals to Cultural Curiosities? The Trajectory of Diversity in the USA</u>
KTHC	58.2006	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE</i> (lxxx): <u>Cultural Diversity A Glimpse Over the Current Debate in Sweden</u>
KTHC	59.2006	<i>Emilio GREGORI</i> (lxxx): <u>Indicators of Migrants’ Socio-Professional Integration</u>
KTHC	60.2006	<i>Christa-Maria LERM HAYES</i> (lxxx): <u>Unity in Diversity Through Art? Joseph Beuys’ Models of Cultural Dialogue</u>
KTHC	61.2006	<i>Sara VERTOMMEN and Albert MARTENS</i> (lxxx): <u>Ethnic Minorities Rewarded: Ethnostratification on the Wage Market in Belgium</u>
KTHC	62.2006	<i>Nicola GENOVESE and Maria Grazia LA SPADA</i> (lxxx): <u>Diversity and Pluralism: An Economist’s View</u>
KTHC	63.2006	<i>Carla BAGNA</i> (lxxx): <u>Italian Schools and New Linguistic Minorities: Nationality Vs. Plurilingualism. Which Ways and Methodologies for Mapping these Contexts?</u>
KTHC	64.2006	<i>Vedran OMANOVIC</i> (lxxx): <u>Understanding “Diversity in Organizations” Paradigmatically and Methodologically</u>
KTHC	65.2006	<i>Mila PASPALANOVA</i> (lxxx): <u>Identifying and Assessing the Development of Populations of Undocumented Migrants: The Case of Undocumented Poles and Bulgarians in Brussels</u>
KTHC	66.2006	<i>Roberto ALZETTA</i> (lxxx): <u>Diversities in Diversity: Exploring Moroccan Migrants’ Livelihood in Genoa</u>
KTHC	67.2006	<i>Monika SEDENKOVA and Jiri HORAK</i> (lxxx): <u>Multivariate and Multicriteria Evaluation of Labour Market Situation</u>
KTHC	68.2006	<i>Dirk JACOBS and Andrea REA</i> (lxxx): <u>Construction and Import of Ethnic Categorisations: “Allochthones” in The Netherlands and Belgium</u>
KTHC	69.2006	<i>Eric M. USLANER</i> (lxxx): <u>Does Diversity Drive Down Trust?</u>
KTHC	70.2006	<i>Paula MOTA SANTOS and João BORGES DE SOUSA</i> (lxxx): <u>Visibility & Invisibility of Communities in Urban Systems</u>
ETA	71.2006	<i>Rinaldo BRAU and Matteo LIPPI BRUNI</i> : <u>Eliciting the Demand for Long Term Care Coverage: A Discrete Choice Modelling Analysis</u>
CTN	72.2006	<i>Dinko DIMITROV and Claus-JOCHEM HAAKE</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Simple Games: The Semistrict Core</u>
CTN	73.2006	<i>Ottorino CHILLEM, Benedetto GUI and Lorenzo ROCCO</i> : <u>On The Economic Value of Repeated Interactions Under Adverse Selection</u>
CTN	74.2006	<i>Sylvain BEAL and Nicolas QUÉROU</i> : <u>Bounded Rationality and Repeated Network Formation</u>
CTN	75.2006	<i>Sophie BADE, Guillaume HAERINGER and Ludovic RENOU</i> : <u>Bilateral Commitment</u>
CTN	76.2006	<i>Andranik TANGIAN</i> : <u>Evaluation of Parties and Coalitions After Parliamentary Elections</u>
CTN	77.2006	<i>Rudolf BERGHAMMER, Agnieszka RUSINOWSKA and Harrie de SWART</i> : <u>Applications of Relations and Graphs to Coalition Formation</u>
CTN	78.2006	<i>Paolo PIN</i> : <u>Eight Degrees of Separation</u>
CTN	79.2006	<i>Roland AMANN and Thomas GALL</i> : <u>How (not) to Choose Peers in Studying Groups</u>

CTN	80.2006	<i>Maria MONTERO: Inequity Aversion May Increase Inequity</i>
CCMP	81.2006	<i>Vincent M. OTTO, Andreas LÖSCHEL and John REILLY: Directed Technical Change and Climate Policy</i>
CSRM	82.2006	<i>Nicoletta FERRO: Riding the Waves of Reforms in Corporate Law, an Overview of Recent Improvements in Italian Corporate Codes of Conduct</i>
CTN	83.2006	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK: Coalition Governments in a Model of Parliamentary Democracy</i>
PRCG	84.2006	<i>Raphaël SOUBEYRAN: Valence Advantages and Public Goods Consumption: Does a Disadvantaged Candidate Choose an Extremist Position?</i>
CCMP	85.2006	<i>Eduardo L. GIMÉNEZ and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: Pigou's Dividend versus Ramsey's Dividend in the Double Dividend Literature</i>
CCMP	86.2006	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Impact of Climate Change on Domestic and International Tourism: A Simulation Study</i>
KTHC	87.2006	<i>Fabio SABATINI: Educational Qualification, Work Status and Entrepreneurship in Italy an Exploratory Analysis</i>
CCMP	88.2006	<i>Richard S.J. TOL: The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of Fund</i>
CCMP	89.2006	<i>Philippe TULKENS and Henry TULKENS: The White House and The Kyoto Protocol: Double Standards on Uncertainties and Their Consequences</i>
SIEV	90.2006	<i>Andrea M. LEITER and Gerald J. PRUCKNER: Proportionality of Willingness to Pay to Small Risk Changes – The Impact of Attitudinal Factors in Scope Tests</i>
PRCG	91.2006	<i>Raphaël SOUBEYRAN: When Inertia Generates Political Cycles</i>

(lxxviii) This paper was presented at the Second International Conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development - Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENOS (Università di Cagliari and Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank, Chia, Italy, 16-17 September 2005.

(lxxix) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Economic Theory and Experimental Economics" jointly organised by SET (Center for advanced Studies in Economic Theory, University of Milano-Bicocca) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, Milan, 20-23 November 2005. The Workshop was co-sponsored by CISEPS (Center for Interdisciplinary Studies in Economics and Social Sciences, University of Milan-Bicocca).

(lxxx) This paper was presented at the First EURODIV Conference "Understanding diversity: Mapping and measuring", held in Milan on 26-27 January 2006 and supported by the Marie Curie Series of Conferences "Cultural Diversity in Europe: a Series of Conferences.

2006 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRCG	<i>Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>