



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

AE90012

November 1990

MACHINERY COSTS IN
CROP BUDGETS

Randall S. Sell and David L. Watt

Prepared for Northern Plains Sustainable Agriculture Society 1990 Annual
Convention, Jamestown, North Dakota.

ABSTRACT

Producers of specialized crops often have unique requirements for calculating their costs of production. This paper provides a description of how to include machinery cost in crop budgets. Costs of several tractors, combines and tillage implements are provided, a sample budget is developed. The need for and methods of including nonmarketable crops in the crop rotation are discussed.

MACHINERY COSTS IN PRODUCTION BUDGETS

Farmers producing alternative crops or traditional crops in specialized ways need to estimate costs of production for planning expenses or for contracting output. The North Dakota State University Extension Service "Farm Management Planning Guide" provides budgets for major crops using conventional production practices. Many direct cash costs like seed or property taxes are easily determined. Costs of machinery and field operations are not so easily determined. This paper provides guidance for developing a crop budget with particular attention to machinery cost calculation.¹ The paper is divided into the following sections: fuel, repair and labor costs; field operations; building a budget; and adjusting for crop rotation.

A complete crop budget is presented after the separate sections dealing with the parts of the budget. The concepts of cash costs and economic costs are introduced along with breakeven price calculation. The last portion of the paper explains the process of adjusting the enterprise budget for unmarketable crops within a cropping rotation.

Fuel, Repair, and Labor Costs

All tractors and combines listed are diesels. The price of diesel was \$.80/gallon. Gasoline cost can be estimated by multiplying diesel fuel consumption by 1.36 times the price of gasoline. Repair expense was estimated by classifying the equipment into one of 14 categories and estimating the repair cost/acre based on the machine list price and the type of equipment

¹The University of Minnesota Extension Service publication "Minnesota Farm Machinery Economic Cost Estimates for 1989" was used as a guide in constructing the labor requirements and costs. Estimated acres/hour and the recommended size of power unit are from that publication. Another way of calculating costs is to use a computer package like the North Dakota State University Extension Service computer spreadsheet program called COMPBUD. That computer program uses the same method of calculation as is in this report.

(Hughes and Edwardson, 1988).² Labor costs were calculated by dividing the labor charge of \$5/hour by the field capacity (acres/hour). Although \$5/hour was used as a cost of labor, its value can vary significantly. For example, rocks are usually picked when all other jobs having a higher value per hour have been completed. The value of labor used for picking rock may be less than \$5/hour. On the other hand, labor has a high value during calving, planting, and harvesting seasons because of the high value of the jobs competing for labor.

Field Operations

Tables 1 and 2 provide information for variable cost of field operations for the smaller 2-wheel-drive tractors (40 hp - 120 hp) and three different size combines. Each of the power units in Table 1 is numbered to correspond to the "Tractor Used" number listed in the variable cost summary (Table 2).

TABLE 1. SMALL TRACTORS AND COMBINES WITH FUEL USE AND LIST PRICES FOR 1990.

| TRACTOR NO. | DESCRIPTION | FUEL USE (G/HR) | LIST PRICE |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|
| 11 | 40 HP 2WD | 2.4 | \$13,541 |
| 12 | 60 HP 2WD | 3.6 | \$18,093 |
| 13 | 75 HP 2WD | 4.5 | \$21,678 |
| 14 | 100 HP 2WD | 6.0 | \$36,324 |
| 15 | 120 HP 2WD | 7.2 | \$39,555 |
| 16 | SM Combine | 6.0 | \$57,780 |
| 17 | Med Combine | 7.2 | \$68,161 |
| 18 | Lrg Combine | 8.7 | \$84,015 |

²Repair cost per hour = (list price * total accumulated repairs as a percentage of list price)/hours of use over estimated machine life.

TABLE 2. VARIABLE COST BY TILLAGE OPERATION.

| Machine No. | Description ^a | Size ^b | Tractor Used | Fld Cap (AC/HR) | Fuel Cost | Est. Repairs | Labor Charge | Total Cost |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| -----\$/Acre----- | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | Moldboard plow | 4 | 12 | 1.7 | 1.65 | 1.03 | 2.94 | 5.62 |
| 202 | Moldboard plow | 5.3 | 13 | 2.3 | 1.56 | 1.30 | 2.16 | 5.02 |
| 203 | Moldboard plow | 6.7 | 14 | 2.9 | 1.64 | 1.47 | 1.71 | 4.82 |
| 204 | Moldboard plow | 8 | 15 | 3.5 | 1.65 | 1.44 | 1.43 | 4.52 |
| 205 | Chisel plow | 10 | 13 | 4.4 | 0.83 | 0.47 | 1.15 | 2.45 |
| 206 | Chisel plow | 15 | 15 | 6.5 | 0.88 | 0.47 | 0.76 | 2.11 |
| 207 | Field cult. | 12 | 13 | 5.8 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.86 | 1.80 |
| 208 | Field cult. | 18 | 14 | 8.7 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 1.50 |
| 209 | Tandem disk | 10 | 12 | 4.8 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 1.04 | 2.09 |
| 210 | Tandem disk | 16 | 13 | 7.8 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.64 | 1.50 |
| 211 | Tandem disk | 20 | 14 | 9.7 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.50 | 1.53 |
| 212 | Harrow | 30 | 12 | 16.0 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.59 |
| 213 | Harrow | 48 | 13 | 27.9 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.38 |
| 214 | Corn plntr. | 12 | 11 | 3.7 | 0.52 | 1.49 | 1.34 | 3.35 |
| 215 | Corn plntr. | 18 | 12 | 5.6 | 0.52 | 1.57 | 0.90 | 2.99 |
| 216 | Corn plntr. | 15 | 12 | 4.8 | 0.60 | 1.70 | 1.04 | 3.34 |
| 217 | Corn plntr. | 20 | 13 | 6.8 | 0.53 | 1.60 | 0.74 | 2.87 |
| 218 | Mintil.plnt.(4) | 12 | 12 | 3.1 | 0.92 | 1.98 | 1.59 | 4.49 |
| 219 | Mintil.plnt.(6) | 18 | 13 | 4.7 | 0.76 | 1.87 | 1.06 | 3.69 |
| 220 | Mintil.plnt.(6) | 15 | 13 | 3.9 | 0.92 | 2.09 | 1.28 | 4.29 |
| 221 | Mintil.plnt.(8) | 20 | 14 | 5.2 | 0.92 | 2.30 | 0.96 | 4.18 |
| 222 | Grain drill | 12 | 11 | 4.7 | 0.41 | 0.96 | 1.06 | 2.43 |
| 223 | Grain drill | 14 | 11 | 5.4 | 0.35 | 0.91 | 0.71 | 1.46 |
| 224 | Row cult.(4) | 12 | 11 | 4.7 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 1.06 | 2.43 |
| 225 | Row cult.(6) | 18 | 12 | 7.0 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.71 | 1.46 |
| 226 | Row cult.(6) | 15 | 12 | 5.8 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.86 | 1.76 |
| 227 | Row cult.(8) | 20 | 13 | 7.8 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.64 | 1.42 |
| 228 | Rotary hoe | 16 | 11 | 10.9 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.78 |
| 229 | Grain drill | 20 | 13 | 7.8 | 0.46 | 1.08 | 0.64 | 2.18 |
| 230 | Grain drill | 24 | 13 | 9.3 | 0.39 | 1.11 | 0.54 | 2.04 |
| 231 | Grain drill | 28 | 14 | 11.1 | 0.43 | 1.10 | 0.45 | 1.98 |
| 232 | Sprayer | 30 | 11 | 14.5 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.64 |
| 233 | Sprayer | 50 | 12 | 24.2 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.46 |
| 234 | Combine sm.gr. | 14 | 18 | 6.3 | 1.10 | 3.12 | 0.79 | 5.01 |
| 235 | Combine sm.gr. | 14 | 16 | 4.1 | 1.18 | 3.40 | 1.23 | 5.81 |
| 236 | Combine sm.gr. | 16 | 16 | 4.8 | 1.01 | 2.98 | 0.92 | 4.91 |
| 237 | Combine soybn. | 16 | 16 | 3.5 | 1.36 | 4.13 | 1.43 | 6.92 |
| 238 | Combine soybn. | 20 | 17 | 4.0 | 1.43 | 4.19 | 1.25 | 6.87 |
| 239 | Combine soybn. | 20 | 17 | 4.7 | 1.24 | 3.69 | 1.07 | 6.00 |
| 240 | Combine corn | 12 | 17 | 3.1 | 1.86 | 5.81 | 1.61 | 9.28 |
| 241 | Combine corn | 10 | 17 | 2.8 | 2.06 | 6.40 | 1.79 | 10.25 |
| 242 | Combine corn | 15 | 18 | 3.9 | 1.79 | 5.77 | 1.29 | 8.85 |
| 243 | Combine corn | 20 | 18 | 4.8 | 1.44 | 4.93 | 1.03 | 7.40 |
| 244 | Corn pick | 6 | 11 | 1.5 | 1.32 | 3.71 | 3.44 | 8.47 |
| 245 | Mower/condit. | 9 | 11 | 4.1 | 0.47 | 0.57 | 1.22 | 2.26 |
| 246 | Rotary mower | 9 | 13 | 4.4 | 0.83 | 0.66 | 1.15 | 2.64 |
| 247 | Loaf stack | 3-Tons | 13 | 4.8 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 2.87 |
| 248 | Round bale | 1500# | 12 | 4.5 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 1.10 | 2.43 |
| 249 | Round bale | 1000# | 12 | 2.9 | 0.99 | 1.32 | 1.72 | 4.03 |
| 250 | Forage chop | 6 | 14 | 1.6 | 3.09 | 4.06 | 3.22 | 10.37 |
| 251 | Swather | 20 | - | 7.8 | 0.31 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 1.72 |

^aThe number in parentheses following the description of some field operations is the number of rows for row crop implements.

^bThe "Size" column is the width of the tillage implement or the size of the bale or stack.

Table 3 lists the larger 2-wheel-drive tractors (120 hp - 190 hp) and the 225-hp, 4-wheel-drive tractor. Table 4 summarizes the variable costs of respective tillage activities according to implements.

Building a Budget

All costs are divided into two categories: cash costs and economic costs. Cash costs are out-of-pocket expenses; i.e., seed, fertilizer, fuel, property taxes, and interest on short-term debt. In this example, we use economic cost as a way to describe noncash costs that still must be covered in order to continue to operate. They result because capital tied up in buildings, equipment, and land ownership may not be used in alternative investments.

Many of the cash costs per acre can be calculated easily. The cost of seed and other materials put on the field can be calculated directly as can land tax. Fuel, lubrication, repairs, and labor costs are cash costs that often are not calculated directly on a per acre basis from farm records. The previous section allows comparisons to be made between different tillage and field operations; however, many other things need to be taken into account when calculating costs of production.

A good tool to aid farmers in calculating expected costs is the enterprise budget, which lists all items that contribute to the total cost of the enterprise.

TABLE 3. LARGE TRACTORS WITH FUEL USE AND LIST PRICES FOR 1990.

| No. | Description | Fuel Use (g/hr) | List Price |
|-----|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| 31 | 225 HP 4WD | 13.5 | \$69,663 |
| 32 | 140 HP 2WD | 8.4 | \$45,790 |
| 33 | 160 HP 2WD | 9.6 | \$54,710 |
| 34 | 180 HP 2WD | 10.8 | \$64,696 |
| 35 | 190 HP 2WD | 11.4 | \$64,940 |
| 36 | 120 HP 2WD | 7.2 | \$39,555 |

TABLE 4. VARIABLE COST FOR LARGE IMPLEMENTS BY FIELD OPERATION.

| Machine No. | Description | Feet Wide | Tractor Used | Fld Cap (ac/hr) | Fuel Cost | Est. Repairs | Labor Charge | Total Cost |
|-------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | | | -----\$/acre----- | | | |
| 401 | Moldboard plow | 9.3 | 32 | 4.1 | 1.66 | 1.44 | 1.22 | 4.32 |
| 402 | Moldboard plow | 10.7 | 33 | 4.7 | 1.64 | 1.52 | 1.06 | 4.22 |
| 403 | Moldboard plow | 13.5 | 31 | 5.9 | 1.83 | 1.40 | 0.85 | 4.08 |
| 404 | Moldboard plow | 15 | 31 | 6.5 | 1.65 | 1.38 | 0.76 | 3.79 |
| 405 | Chisel plow | 17 | 32 | 7.4 | 0.91 | 0.48 | 0.68 | 2.07 |
| 406 | Chisel plow | 20 | 33 | 8.7 | 0.88 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 2.08 |
| 407 | Chisel plow | 24 | 31 | 10.5 | 1.03 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 1.86 |
| 408 | Field cult. | 28 | 33 | 13.6 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 1.35 |
| 409 | Field cult. | 37 | 31 | 17.9 | 0.60 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 1.24 |
| 410 | Tandem disk | 24 | 36 | 11.6 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.43 | 1.66 |
| 411 | Tandem disk | 28 | 32 | 13.6 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 1.52 |
| 412 | Tandem disk | 32 | 33 | 15.5 | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 1.48 |
| 413 | Offset disk | 14 | 32 | 6.1 | 1.10 | 0.90 | 0.82 | 2.82 |
| 414 | Offset disk | 16 | 33 | 7.0 | 1.10 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 2.69 |
| 415 | Offset disk | 18 | 34 | 7.9 | 1.10 | 0.87 | 0.63 | 2.60 |
| 416 | Offset disk | 21 | 31 | 9.2 | 1.18 | 0.76 | 0.54 | 2.48 |
| 417 | Sweep | 25 | 33 | 10.9 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 1.80 |

Table 5 contains an example of a field operation sequence for hard red spring wheat. The tractors and tillage implements correspond to the tractors and implements listed in the previous tables. The 28-foot field cultivator pulled by the 160-horsepower tractor will cover approximately 13.6 acres per hour. This tillage operation will burn \$.57 of fuel and accumulate \$.41 and \$.37 of repairs and labor cost, respectively. The total fuel, repairs, and labor cost are obtained by summing the cost for all field operations. These totals, from the Table 5 example, are reflected in the cash cost section of the hard red spring wheat enterprise budget (Table 6). Other costs found in the cash cost section are seed, lubrication, hauling and interest. Seed cost is 1.5 bushels per acre times \$4.50 per bushel. Lubrication cost is 15 percent of the fuel costs. Labor costs equal machinery time multiplied by 110 percent times the labor charge per hour.

TABLE 5. 1990 VARIABLE COST ESTIMATES OF FIELD OPERATIONS FOR SPRING WHEAT

| Op. No. | Description | Tractor Used | Machine No. | Fld Cap | Fuel | Repairs | Labor Charge |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | | | -----\$/acre----- | | |
| 1 | Field cult. | 33 | 408 | 13.6 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.37 |
| 2 | Field cult. | 33 | 408 | 13.6 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.37 |
| 3 | Plant | 13 | 230 | 9.3 | 0.39 | 1.11 | 0.54 |
| 4 | Harrow | 13 | 213 | 27.9 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.18 |
| 5 | Swath | - | 251 | 7.8 | 0.31 | 0.77 | 0.64 |
| 6 | Combine | 18 | - | 6.3 | 1.10 | 3.12 | 0.79 |
| 7 | Sweep | 33 | 417 | 10.9 | <u>0.70</u> | <u>0.64</u> | <u>0.46</u> |
| Total Cash Costs | | | | | <u>3.77</u> | <u>6.53</u> | <u>3.35</u> |

Economic costs are split into categories according to the type of asset, equipment, and land. The farm used to calculate economic costs in Table 6 had 1,132 acres. A 7 percent interest rate multiplied by one-half the new value of the machine plus a 10 percent salvage value is used to calculate the ownership cost of the machinery complement. Seven percent was used because capital in machinery could be put into a savings account to earn a market rate of interest. One-half the new value of machinery plus 10 percent salvage value is used because this is the average value over the machine's lifetime.

Depreciation expense is another portion of economic costs. The depreciation is calculated using straight line depreciation, a 10 percent salvage value and a 10-year machine life. This is calculated by multiplying the new price of the machine times 9 percent. The economic cost of land ownership was calculated using a 7 percent interest rate and a land value of \$263 per acre. The total cost per acre of \$77.39 is found by summing all cash and economic costs.

TABLE 6. CROP BUDGET FOR HARD RED SPRING WHEAT, 1990

| Production Costs | | per acre |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| Cash Costs | | |
| -Seed | | \$6.75 |
| -Fuel | | 3.77 |
| -Lubrication | | 0.58 |
| -Repairs | | 6.53 |
| -Hauling | | 2.00 |
| -Labor charge (machine time + 10%) | | 3.69 |
| -Land taxes | | 2.75 |
| -Interest on operating: | 6 MONTHS | 1.47 |
| TOTAL CASH COSTS | | <u>\$27.54</u> |
| Economic costs | | |
| -Tractor investment | | \$ 3.83 |
| -Self propelled imp. investment | | 3.62 |
| -Pulled implement investment | | 1.97 |
| -Depreciation on machinery | | 22.02 |
| -Land investment | | <u>\$18.41</u> |
| TOTAL ECONOMIC COSTS | | <u>\$49.85</u> |
| TOTAL ALL COSTS | | <u>\$77.39</u> |
| * COSTS ON A PER BUSHEL BASIS * | | |
| (assuming a 20 bushel per acre yield) | | |
| -Cash costs | | \$1.38 |
| -Economic costs | | \$2.49 |
| -Cash and economic costs | | <u>\$3.87</u> |

Adjusting for Nonmarketable Crops in the Rotation

In crop rotations with a fallow or green manure crop, costs are incurred even though no crop is marketed. These costs must be allocated to a marketable enterprise. For example, a 4-year rotation may include one year of a sweet clover green manure crop which costs \$30.00 per acre. That \$30.00 is a cost to the marketable crops in the rotation. If the benefits accrue equally to other marketable crops in the rotation, the cost of the sweet clover (\$10/year) can be added equally to the production cost of the marketable crops. Alternatively, the cost could be assigned in proportion to the value of the other crops in the rotation. Either way, the important thing is to include all costs when calculating profitability.

REFERENCES

- Farm Management Planning Guide, Estimated 1990 Crop Budgets. January 1990. North Dakota State University Extension Service. North Dakota State University, Fargo.
- Fuller, Earl, Bill Lazarus, and Dave Nordquist. 1989. Minnesota Farm Machinery Economic Cost Estimates For 1989. Minnesota Extension Service, University of Minnesota.
- Hughes, Harlan G., and Steven E. Edwardson. March 1988. Compbud. North Dakota Extension Service, North Dakota State University.
- Johnson, Roger G., Mir B. Ali, David M. Saxowsky, and Randall D. Little. January 1986. Cost of Producing Farm Commodities in North Dakota. Department of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University.