



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Accounting for Uncertainty Affecting Technical Change in an Economic-Climate Model

Valentina Bosetti and Laurent Drouet

NOTA DI LAVORO 147.2005

DECEMBER 2005

CCMP – Climate Change Modelling and Policy
--

Valentina Bosetti, *Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei*
Laurent Drouet, *LOGILAB-HEC, Université de Genève*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=871442>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

Accounting for Uncertainty Affecting Technical Change in an Economic-Climate Model

Summary

The key role of technological change in the decline of energy and carbon intensities of aggregate economic activities is widely recognized. This has focused attention on the issue of developing endogenous models for the evolution of technological change. With a few exceptions this is done using a deterministic framework, even though technological change is a dynamic process which is uncertain by nature. Indeed, the two main vectors through which technological change may be conceptualized, learning through R&D investments and learning-by-doing, both evolve and cumulate in a stochastic manner. How misleading are climate strategies designed without accounting for such uncertainty? The main idea underlying the present piece of research is to assess and discuss the effect of endogenizing this uncertainty on optimal R&D investment trajectories and carbon emission abatement strategies. In order to do so, we use an implicit stochastic programming version of the FEEM-RICE model, first described in Bosetti, Carraro and Galeotti, (2005). The comparative advantage of taking a stochastic programming approach is estimated using as benchmarks the expected-value approach and the worst-case scenario approach. It appears that, accounting for uncertainty and irreversibility would affect both the optimal level of investment in R&D –which should be higher– and emission reductions –which should be contained in the early periods. Indeed, waiting and investing in R&D appears to be the most cost-effective hedging strategy.

Keywords: Stochastic Programming, Uncertainty and Learning, Endogenous Technical Change

JEL Classification: D62, D63, H23, Q29

This paper is part of the research work being carried out by the Climate Change Modelling and Policy Research Programme at Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei. Comments and support from Carlo Carraro, Marzio Galeotti and Alain Haurie are gratefully acknowledged.

Address for correspondence:

Valentina Bosetti
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
C.so Magenta 63
20123 Milano
Italy
Phone: +39 02 520 36983
Fax: +39 02 520 36946
E-mail: valentina.bosetti@feem.it

1. Introduction

As underlined in a recent work by Manne *et al.* (2004) and according to the International Energy Agency, investment in energy R&D declined by approximately 50% worldwide between 1980 and 1999.¹ However, considering the recent boost in the price of oil, the widespread concern over the issue of energy security and the comeback (return) of the Kyoto Protocol, it now seems quite reasonable to expect a growing interest in the issue of energy technological change, R&D spending and its idiosyncrasies.

One of the two recognized main driving forces of technological evolution is the investment in research and development of new technologies, disembodied technological change in Grubler and Gritsevskiy (1996). The second vector of technological change is the accumulation of experience deriving from the change in hardware and actual implementation, the so-called learning-by-doing or embodied technological change.

In general, the decision-making processes involved in this type of investment are significantly influenced by uncertainty. This is due to the fact that research may fail to produce the desired results, because of technological-engineering barriers or because the new technology may turn out to be economically inconvenient. Economic unfeasibility often can be related to the lock-in structure of these investments with no flexibility and ways out (e.g., option to abandon the project if it is not profitable). Or, the new technology may immediately appear to be unattractive for final users (as in the case of technologies requiring huge initial efforts). In addition to this, learning is strongly affected by uncertainty on future policy. In the specific case of energy technological progress, the uncertain shape of future climate policy regimes is a decisive factor. Indeed, the definition of a strict stabilisation target or of a global carbon tax would imply faster hardware turnover, which in turn would imply faster learning rates.

¹ In a recent publication of the PEW Center on ITC and climate policy (2004), Larry Goulder shows how in the US Energy technology R&D expenditures started decreasing dramatically much earlier, namely in the late seventies, and they represent nowadays one fifth of what they were then.

Finally, the actual role played by technological change in energy and carbon intensities is also uncertain. This might be because of lock-in lock-out effects and because of the evolution in cluster of technologies, in one word because of the inertia which is in the nature of these dynamic phenomena, see Grubb (1996) for a detailed discussion. Also, political or social issues may be relevant, because they may strongly affect adoption rates - or else pure fate - which has historically proven to have a great deal of responsibility².

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the effect of uncertainty on short-term R&D investments and abatement strategies and its role in the transition towards more energy-efficient economies and less carbon-rich energy sources. First, sensitivity analysis is performed on crucial parameters to test for model stability and relative importance of different sources of uncertainty. The analysis is performed on the parameter accounting for crowding out of other investments due to investment in energy R&D (modeled here as in Popp, 2004); on the parameters controlling for the effect of endogenous technical change on the carbon and energy intensities; and on the effective learning accumulation parameters controlling for the rate of accumulation into a stock of effective knowledge/experience of the two learning processes.

Crucial variables appear to be extremely sensitive to the effective learning accumulation parameters. While other parameters are chosen in order to calibrate model baseline to the SRES B2 scenario³, effective learning factors are estimated for the base year using real data. We also assume that in subsequent periods, returns on R&D investments and cost savings due to experience will evolve stochastically. We also assume incremental learning concerning these effective R&D and LbD accumulation rates. As an example we consider two possible states of the world. One

² A well known example is that of the QWERTY keyboard. "The first typewriters featured the awkward QWERTY keyboard, meant to slow typists down so as not to jam the then-primitive typing mechanism. But so many typists learned QWERTY, and passed it on to future typists, that it remains entrenched even though electronic word processing permits more ergonomic keyboard arrays. Just so, suggests Prof. Diamond, many of the "idiosyncrasies" that may bias some cultures against innovation may be due to accidents that arose for "trivial, temporary local reasons," and became fixed as "influential, long-lasting cultural features." Pure chance is thus assigned a place in the fate of cultures, but not the talents of the individuals who make them up." Squaring the Circle by Michael Levin, a review of Jared Diamond, *Guns, Germs, and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies*, W. W. Norton, 1997

³ For a detailed discussion of the model calibration procedure the reader is referred to Bosetti, Carraro and Galeotti [2005].

characterized by an imminent technology breakthrough followed by a period of fast learning and fast decrease in the cost of the technology. The other characterized by technology stagnation, lock-out of new technologies and delay in learning phenomena. What is the effect of this uncertainty on immediate strategies? Should the optimal reaction be a decrease in short-term optimal investment in knowledge? These questions are investigated both in a cost-benefit and a cost-minimization framework.

In order to perform such analysis, a stochastic version of the FEEM RICE model has been developed and solved for a scenario tree representing the combined uncertain evolution of the two learning rates.

The deterministic model, an extended version of Nordhaus and Boyer's RICE 99 (2000), is composed by a multi-region optimal economic growth module connected with a very simple climate module, which, in turn, feedbacks through damage function on the economic module. Moreover, as discussed in greater detail in Bosetti, Carraro and Galeotti (2005), the model has been extended in order to account for both learning-by-researching and learning-by-doing; the former vector of endogenous technical change is modelled through an R&D investment decision variable which cumulates deterministically through time; the latter is modelled as the stock of cumulated emissions reduction. The two inputs are aggregated to compose an index of technical progress which in turn affects both carbon and energy intensities.

This paper gives a twofold contribution. First it contributes to the climate policy debate, addressing crucial issues such as the optimality of delaying/anticipating R&D investment strategies and/or abatement efforts in the face of uncertainty affecting learning processes and future policy actions (what is the value of prior announcements of climate policies). Second, the paper contributes to the literature on modeling endogenous technical change, in the way R&D and LbD processes are modeled as incremental learning processes. To the best of the authors' knowledge it is the first application of a stochastic programming framework to the field, and as we will discuss, the

methodology appears very well-suited to deal with a climate-economic model when endogenizing uncertainty.

The rest of the paper is structured in four sections, as follows. In the next section we briefly review literature on modeling uncertainty and endogenous technical change in climate-economic models. This is followed by a brief description of how the model is used for the simulation experiments. Section 4 discusses the stochastic formulation of the model and presents main results. Section 5 concludes.

2. Modeling Uncertainty in a Climate-Economic Model with Learning

When considering the role played by uncertainty, conceived both as parameter uncertainty and inherent stochasticity of involved processes, two policy-relevant effects arise pointing in opposite directions. We know that when facing irreversible decisions in the presence of uncertainty the possibility of waiting and learning has a positive value. However, the effect of this theoretic understanding is unclear (and strongly depends on probabilistic assumptions) when applied to the reality of climate change policy designing. If the effect of climate change irreversibility prevails, waiting will imply slowing down global emissions until clearer information concerning the potential damage becomes known; on the other hand, if irreversibility of immediate abatement action (and the relative costs) prevails, then an option value will be attached to the deferment of any abatement activity. In Nordhaus (1993) evidence is produced in favor of a greater concern for the first type of irreversibility, environmental irreversibility, while in Kolstad (1994) irreversibility of abatement sunk costs appears to have a crucial role. Furthermore, Peck and Teisberg (1993) found that none of these two sources of irreversibility has a definite role in shaping optimal abatement strategies.

On a similar line of ambiguity stands the debate on the issue of endogenizing technical change and its effect on climate policy: does technical change play in favor of postponing emission reductions until new discoveries will make it cheaper to abate, as argued by Wigley, Richels and

Edmonds (1996)? Or does technological change represent an incentive to undertake at least some abatement in order to increase the stock of experience thus decreasing abatement costs, as discussed, among others, by Grubb (1996)? The answer generally depends on whether one believes learning-by-doing will prevail on the effect of R&D investments.

Within this controversy stands the present paper which delves into learning phenomena and how uncertainty affecting them is reflected on optimal climate policy decisions, in terms of timing and shaping abatement strategies and R&D investments.

In most cases climate-economic models are deterministic mainly for the sake of modeling and computation simplicity. However, the use of a set of deterministic equations in order to describe phenomena which are strongly affected by uncertainty often offers a poor representation of the actual events, thus providing poor accuracy in forecasting power. Sensitivity analysis on key random parameters is the most commonly adopted methodology in the direction of including uncertainty, as for example in Nordhaus and Popp (1997) and Gerlagh and van der Zwaan (2004). However, not assigning probability weights to different realizations of random variables or parameters often doesn't shed enough light on the issue at stake and leaves the decision-maker with the unresolved question of what strategy to pick among those calculated for each of the different states of nature. Haurie (2003) represents the changes of states of nature by some stochastic jump processes where the system is deterministic between two successive random jumps. Monte Carlo simulation represents a step further in the representation of uncertainty, because well-defined probability measures (deduced from real data or assessed by experts) are assigned to different states of the world; see for example Webster *et al.* (2001). The decision-maker can work with probability distributions of optimal strategies and can concentrate in minimizing the probability of undesired events. But even when probability can be assigned to each individual scenario, separate prescription obtained for one of them may be inconsistent with the other and hedging strategies cannot be designed. This, together with the need for modeling the evolution of the available set of information, has led to a vast literature, mainly based on two-stage dynamic programming models

and focused on the comparison between deferring strategies and immediate action, given the role of learning processes. Two strands of literature can be outlined. The first mainly dealing with the issue of the value of perfect information, among others the work by Manne and Richels (1994), Nordhaus and Popp (1997) and Min Ha Duong, Grubb and Hourcade (1997); the second concerns the optimal timing of the abatement effort, see for example Hammit, Lempert and Schlesinger (1992).

The present paper focuses on the incremental arrival of information concerning the process of knowledge accumulation, modeled as a multi-stage stochastic programming problem.

3. Learning in the FEEM Model

The analysis is conducted by means of a numerical climate-economy model, i.e. the FEEM-RICE. The FEEM-RICE model, briefly outlined here in its deterministic features, is an extended version of Nordhaus and Boyer (2000)'s RICE model. This is a Ramsey-Koopmans multi-region, single-sector optimal-growth model suitably extended to incorporate the interactions between economic activities and climate. Within each region a central planner chooses the optimal paths of two controls, fixed investment and carbon energy input, so as to maximize welfare, defined as the present value of per capita consumption. The value added, absorbed from production (net of climate change) according to a constant returns technology, is used for investment, consumption and R&D investments, after subtraction of energy spending. The technology is Cobb-Douglas and combines the inputs from capital, labor and carbon energy together with the level of technology. Population (taken to be equal to full employment) and technology levels grow over time in an exogenous fashion, whereas capital accumulation is governed by the optimal rate of investment. The carbon-energy input is modeled as being the source of GHGs emissions in the production process, and cumulated emissions (i.e. concentrations) cause an increase in the worldwide temperature. To close the circle, global temperature (relative to pre-industrial levels) is responsible for the wedge between gross output and output net of climate change effects.

In FEEM-RICE each region plays a non-cooperative Nash game in a dynamic setting leading to an Open Loop Nash equilibrium. This is a situation where, in each region, the planner maximizes the utility subject to the individual resource and capital constraints and the climate module for a given emission production of all the other players. The objective function for each region is:

$$(1) \quad W(n) = \sum_t U[C(n,t), L(n,t)] R(t) = \sum_t L(n,t) \{\log[c(n,t)]\} R(t)$$

where the pure time preference discount factor is given by $R(t) = \prod_{v=0}^t [1 + \rho(v)]^{-10}$, $c(n,t)$ is per capita consumption, $C(n,t)$ is total consumption and $L(n,t)$ is population.

The process of technical change (TC) is endogenous in the model and in particular it is assumed to take two distinct forms: the evolution of energy intensity of production and of carbon intensity of consumed energy. These two features of the economy are assumed to be affected through time by a Technical Progress index, which accounts for both Learning-by-Researching and Learning-by-Doing. Innovation is brought about by R&D spending which contributes to the accumulation of the stock of existing knowledge. R&D is a further strategic variable of the model that contributes to output productivity and emission reduction. Learning-by-doing is an external effect, deriving from the efforts made in the past in order to reduce emissions and it is modelled in terms of cumulated past abatement efforts. Abatement flows each year are measured as the difference between optimal emissions and emissions you would have without endogenous technical change. Thus, Technical Progress, TP , is defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad TP(n,t) = K_{LbD}(n,t)^c K_{know}(n,t)^d,$$

where $K_{know}(n,t)$ is the stock of knowledge and $K_{LbD}(n,t)$ represents the stock of cumulated abatement, defined as:

$$(3) \quad K_{know}(n,t+1) = \xi_{know}(I_{know}(n,t)) + (1 - \delta_{know})K_{know}(n,t),$$

δ_{know} being the depreciation rate of knowledge, I_{know} the yearly expenditure research and $\xi_{know} \in [0,1]$ being the effective learning factor for R&D accumulation.

$$(4) \quad K_{LbD}(n, t+1) = \xi_{LbD}(I_{LbD}(n, t)) + (1 - \delta_{LbD})K_{LbD}(n, t),$$

δ_{LbD} being the depreciation rate of cumulated experience, I_{LbD} the abatement flow with respect to emissions in the exogenous baseline and $\xi_{LbD} \in [0,1]$ being the effective learning factor for experience accumulation.

Let us first consider the effect of Technical Progress on factor productivity (the energy intensity effect). The production function is the following:

$$(5) \quad Q(n, t) = A(n, t)[K_C(n, t)^{1-\alpha_n(TP)-\gamma} CE(n, t)^{\alpha_n(TP)} L(n, t)^\gamma] - p_{CE}(n, t) * CE(n, t),$$

where Q is output (gross of climate change effects), A the exogenously given level of technology, K_F , CE and L are the inputs from physical capital, carbon energy and labor, respectively, and p_{CE} is the price of carbon energy. Moreover:

$$(6) \quad \alpha_n = \alpha_n[TP(n, t)] = \frac{\beta_n}{2 - \exp[-\phi TP(n, t)]},$$

where β_n is a region specific parameter and ϕ controls for the intensity of the TP effect. Thus, an increase in the endogenously determined Technical Progress variable reduces – *ceteris paribus* – the output elasticity of the energy input. It is worth noting that the output technology in (1) also accounts for TC evolving exogenously.

Let us now turn to the effect of Technical Progress on the carbon intensity of energy consumption. Effective energy, $E(n, t)$, results from fossil fuels input use, from the exogenous evolution of TC in the energy sector and from the endogenous component of TC. Indeed, TP serves the purpose of reducing, *ceteris paribus*, the level of carbon emissions:

$$(7) \quad E(n, t) = h[CE(n, t), TP(n, t)] = \varsigma(n, t) \left[\frac{1}{2 - \exp[-\psi TP(n, t)]} \right] CE(n, t),$$

where E are industrial CO₂ emissions, while ς is an idiosyncratic carbon intensity ratio which *exogenously* declines over time and ψ is the parameter controlling for the effect of the endogenous TC. Here an increase in TP reduces progressively the amount of emissions generated by a unit of fossil fuel consumed.

Finally, R&D spending absorbs some resources, that is:

$$(8) \quad Y(n, t) = C(n, t) + I_C(n, t) + I_{know}(n, t)$$

where Y is output net of climate change effects, C is consumption, I_C gross fixed capital formation, I_{know} research and development expenditures. As in Popp (2004), in order to account for the difference between private and public return to investments in R&D, four dollars of private investment are subtracted from the physical capital stock for each dollar of R&D crowded out by energy R&D, so that the net capital stock for final good production is:

$$(9) \quad K_C(n, t+1) = K_C(n, t)(1 - \delta_C) + (I_C(n, t) - 4*\lambda*I_{know}(n, t)),$$

where λ , the crowd out parameter, represents the percentage of other R&D crowded out by energy R&D.

4. Including Uncertainty in the Picture

Let us now consider uncertainty affecting the endogenous mechanisms of technological evolution and its effect on the economic system. In particular, the central value of parameters is chosen in order to approximate baseline emissions of the SRES B2 scenario and following a set of assumptions on carbon and energy intensity trends described in Bosetti, Carraro and Galeotti (2005). We investigate the sensitivity of crucial variables to different uncertain parameters. In particular, we concentrate on parameters controlling for effectiveness of learning accumulation of both R&D investments and experience, ξ_{know} and ξ_{LbD} ; on the crowd out parameter, λ ; on the two parameters controlling for the effectiveness of technical progress in affecting the energy and the carbon intensities, ψ and ϕ .

We report the sensitivity of two endogenous variables (emissions and stock of knowledge) to changes in the five parameters within the ranges specified in Table 1. Results are given as percentage changes with respect to the central value case, and are reported both as short-term effects (for period 2045) and long-term effects (for period 2095).

The impact on emissions of changes in the effectiveness of R&D investments, ξ_{know} , (see chart on the left hand side of Figure 1) is highly dependent on when the effect is measured, this reflects the modelled lag time dividing the time when investment in research is undertaken from the time when the discovery affects the level of emissions. Indeed, emissions in 2095 vary from +9% to -19% depending on the value of ξ_{know} whereas emissions at mid term, in 2050, are less affected with a decrease of 3% for the highest value of ξ_{know} . Predictably, the value of the total world knowledge (see right hand side chart in Figure 1) is highly sensitive to the effectiveness of R&D spending accumulation.

Follows, in the left hand side chart of Figure 2, the analysis of the impact on emission levels exerted by different assumptions on the effectiveness of learning by doing, ξ_{LbD} . When compared to that of ξ_{know} , the effect is relatively stronger, although similarly more evident in later periods, when emissions range between +7.6% and -34.8% their central value. Changes in the value of ξ_{LbD} also dramatically affect the stock of knowledge (see right hand side chart of Figure 2). In particular, in the long run the effect becomes visible even for very small perturbations of the value.

The effect of different assumptions on λ , the parameter accounting for the crowding out effect, is asymmetric (see Figure 3). Below its central value, λ implies higher relative changes in emissions and world knowledge than above the central value. The direction of the effect follows our expectations.

The results of the sensitivity analysis relative to parameters controlling for the effectiveness of technical progress on the carbon and energy intensities of the economy, ψ and ϕ are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Given higher sensitivity to changes in the effective learning accumulation rates, we concentrate our analysis on those parameters. While λ , ψ and ϕ are assumed to remain constant to their calibrated base year value, a different emphasis is given to these two learning factors. Indeed, while base year values are calibrated on real data, we assume that they evolve in time in a stochastic manner, following a distribution inferred analysing historical data. Economy may eventually be locked in a technological path, so that for a certain amount of time investments in R&D will ply almost no effect. Else, it may well be that some relatively younger energy-generating technology enters a virtuous path of fast learning. Or any combination of these effects may occur. We develop a stochastic approach in order to take into account the fact that the economic system, and in particular the decisions concerning emissions and investments in R&D, will react in response to the future technological path that the economy will actually enter into. Indeed, what is particularly relevant in policy terms is the effect on the actual strategies -undertaken prior to the revelation of such information- to account for these multiple possible futures.

Formally, uncertainty is represented by a multilevel event tree which defines the possible sequences over the whole planning horizon of finite realizations of the random parameter, $\xi(t)$, having a discrete probability distribution, $p(\xi(t))$, which are named scenarios. In other words, a scenario, s , is represented in the event tree by a path from the root to the leaf (see Figure 4).

More specifically, note that nodes in the event tree are associated with decision points while arcs represent realizations of random parameters. In particular, the root is associated with the first-stage decision variables while leaves are related to all the possible last-stage ones (also corresponding to the total number of represented scenarios). Levels in the tree are associated to time stages. In particular, if we denote with $\Omega(t)$ the set of nodes at the t -th level, then each node

$\omega_s(t) \in \Omega(t)$ represents a particular realization sequence $\{\xi(\tau)\}_{\tau=2}^t$ of the data process and it can be thought as a particular state of the system at a given time. A probability p_ω is associated with each node, $\omega_s(t)$, such that $p_\omega = p\{\xi(t) | \xi(t-1), \dots, \xi(2)\}$. Hence, arcs in the tree represent the probability distribution of $\xi(t)$. In order to deal with the growing numerical complexity of the problem and for the sake of compactness, the stochastic problem is formulated implicitly. Indeed, there exist two different ways of writing the deterministic equivalent (or projected problem) of a stochastic programming model, namely implicit and explicit formulations. They differ in the way they deal with the issue of non anticipativity, i.e. the issue of preventing a decision being taken now by using information that may become available in the future. If, instead of modeling non anticipativity constraint explicitly, the information given by the scenario tree structure is exploited, a reduced set of decision variables can be defined for which the non-anticipativity constraints are implicitly satisfied. This more compact formulation proves very useful when dealing with an increasingly large number of variables.

Therefore, to build the implicit stochastic formulation of the problem, we redefine model decision variables on each bundle, B_n , of scenarios passing through node $\omega_s(t) \in \Omega(t)$ at any stage t . Moreover, we introduce a relation that maps a bundle at stage t to the bundles in stage $t+1$ which are composed of the same scenarios, namely we define antecedent and subsequent relationships among nodes.

Moreover, the objective function stated in (1) becomes:

$$(8) \quad W(n) = \sum_{\omega_s(t) \in \Omega} p_\omega \{L(n, \omega_s(t)) \{\log[c(n, \omega_s(t))]\} R(\omega_s(t))\}$$

Random elements which are defined on the event tree are, in our case, the stochastic evolutions of the two effective learning accumulation parameters. In particular, the stochastic evolutions of the stocks of knowledge and experience, previously expressed in equation (2) and (3), now become:

$$(9) \quad K_i(t+1, \omega_s(t)) = \xi_i(t, \omega_s(t)) * I_i(t, \omega_s(t)) + (1 - \delta_i) K_i(t, \omega_s(t)), \text{ for } i = \text{know}, \text{LbD}.$$

The scenario tree describing the values of the parameters in the root and in the subsequent nodes depicted in Figure 4, shows four alternate scenarios which result from the combination of high versus low values of the two effective learning accumulation parameters.

They combine: high ξ_{LbD} , for a fast learning-by-doing, meaning a fast decrease of abatement price with increased capacity; low ξ_{LbD} , for a slow learning-by-doing, slow decrease of abatement price with increased installed capacity; high ξ_{know} , where investment in R&D is very efficient and translates into useful discoveries and there is little inertia in technology diffusion; low ξ_{know} , where learning is slow and the system is characterized by high inertia. The event tree is decomposed in two stages: a first stage where the parameters ξ_{know} and ξ_{LbD} are fixed to their central values and a second stage combining the four scenarios. Decisions in the first three periods are thus taken under knowledge accumulation and learning-by-doing uncertainty. The values of the parameters and the description of the scenarios are given in Table 4.

Values and probabilities associated with nodes in the tree are chosen in order to replicate moments of the estimated distribution of parameters, which has been inferred by historical data. In the estimation process more recent data and trends play a more important role, accounting for the fact that an increased rapidity has characterized learning in more recent years. ξ_{know} time-series is built for years 1974-1990 using two historical datasets, namely the US Research & Development Stocks (Coe and Helpman, 1995) and the US Energy Research & Development investments (Dooley and O'Sullivan, 2001). Moreover, following Popp (2004) we assume that 2% of the total stock of knowledge capital is dedicated to the energy sector. Assuming for knowledge accumulation a dynamic as stated in (3), then the value of ξ_{know} lies in the range 0.018-0.58; the mean value is 0.22 and the standard deviation is 0.14. The distribution for ξ_{know} is plotted in Figure 5, scaled by the density probabilities. Values of parameter ξ_{LbD} are estimated assuming learning in energy technology, in terms of progress ratio, of approximately 21%.

The results of the stochastic problem are discussed below. We focus on short-term strategies, and in particular on R&D investments and emissions reductions, as these are the main policy levers accounted for in the model. In Figure 6 and 7, the stochastic problem is compared to the expected value problem -i.e. the deterministic problem solved for expected values of the stochastic parameters, named “*exp*” and to the pessimistic problem -i.e. the deterministic problem solved assuming pessimistic realization for both parameters, referred to as “*pes*” in the following discussion. The comparison exercise tells us how misleading it is to leave uncertainty out of forecasting exercises. Different approaches and solutions have been tested on two specific scenarios: the Business as Usual, BaU in Figure 6, case with no limit on carbon emissions but that is implicitly defined by the embedded damage function (cost-benefit scenario); and a stabilization scenario in Figure 7, where atmospheric carbon concentrations are limited below 550 ppmv (cost minimizing scenario).

Table 5 shows how much first-stage solutions of the expected value problem and of the pessimistic problem differ from the first-stage stochastic solution, both in the baseline and in the stabilization scenarios. It is immediately clear that formulating the problem in stochastic programming terms is crucial when a cost-minimizing stabilization scenario is considered. Specifically, the difference between the stochastic solution and other benchmark solutions represents the error we would incur into if we did not include in our analysis the possibility of revising our decision variables when better information becomes available. In particular, we tend to underestimate optimal first stage investment in R&D, whether we take a pessimistic approach on effective learning of R&D and experience, or we consider that parameters will take their expected value. Knowing that we can revise our positions on investments in R&D, once they are found to be less productive than we had expected, then the optimal level of expenditure in new knowledge should be higher in the short-run. Conversely, we would overestimate immediate optimal emission reductions if relying on the “*exp*” formulation solution. Indeed, policy-makers should undertake some immediate action because there is a possibility that experience will pay back in terms of lower abatement costs; but abatement has a

cost, thus it may pay off to moderate abatement and to wait and see how effective learning-by-doing is. On the other hand, should policy-makers choose optimal abatement strategies on the basis of a pessimistic approach, they would underestimate the necessity of at least some immediate action and almost completely dismiss the issue of learning-by-doing.

These conclusions are drawn from an analysis necessarily based on assumptions and simplifications (e.g. on how to describe uncertainty surrounding the two learning factors). However, results have been tested and proved robust to changes in the way the probability distribution is discretized and on the date of arrival of information.

5. Conclusions.

We can now respond to some of the major questions that have been driven our research. Can R&D expenditures be always considered as a hedge against climate change damages? Or does uncertainty of learning processes delay and/or decrease R&D investments? It appears that, accounting for uncertainty and for the future arrival of new and better information, optimal total R&D investment should be higher, especially when considering a cost minimization (stabilization) scenario. Accounting for the flexibility of stepping back in the case research is not driving towards technological breakthroughs, immediate R&D investments turn to be crucial. Indeed, they might produce important discoveries or they might induce, through the reduction of emissions, some Learning-by-Doing effects, or both.

In addition, how does Learning-by-Doing interact with these effects? Should we wait before curbing emissions? Or act now in order to decrease abatement costs? It appears that, accounting for an uncertain Learning-by-Doing effect, some near-future curbing efforts should be undertaken. However, given that in the immediate the cost of reducing emissions are high and the effectiveness of the Learning-by-Doing effect is uncertain, emission reduction should be moderate. In the meantime, waiting and investing in R&D appears to be more effective, in cost-benefit terms.

7. References

- Bosetti, V., Carraro, C. Galeotti, M. The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change. FEEM Working Paper 06. Milan: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei. 2005. Forthcoming in Energy Journal
- Coe, D.T. and E. Helpman. 'International R&D Spillovers', European Economic Review, 39, pp. 859-887, 1995.
- Dooley L.; O'Sullivan D. Structuring Innovation: A Conceptual Model and Implementation Methodology. Enterprise and Innovation Management Studies, 1, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 177-194(18) 2001.
- Gerlagh R., and B.C.C. van der Zwaan (2004), "A sensitivity analysis on timing and costs of greenhouse gas abatement, calculations with DEMETER", Climatic Change 65:39-71
- Grubb, M., 1996. Technologies, Energy Systems, and the Timing of CO2 Emissions Abatement. *Energy Policy*. Vol. 25. No. 2. pp. 159-172
- Grubler, A. and Gritsevskiy, A. 1996. A Model of Endogenous Technological Change through Uncertain Returns on Innovation. In: Grubler, A., Nakicenovic, N. Nordhaus, W. D. Technological Change and the Environment. A co-publication of IIASA and Resources for the Future 1616 P Street NW, Washington DC 20036-1400 October 2002, pp. 280–319.
- Haurie, A. Integrated Assessment Modeling for Global Climate Change: An Infinite Horizon Optimization Viewpoint. Environmental Modeling and Assessment Volume 8, Issue 3, Sep 2003. Pages: 117-132
- Hammit, J.K., R.J. Lempert and M.E. Schlesinger, 1992: A sequential-decision strategy for abating climate change. *Nature*, 357: 315-18.
- Kolstad, C. D. George Bush versus Al Gore. Irreversibilities in the greenhouse gas accumulation and emission control investment. *Energy Policy*, 22(9):771–778, 1994.
- Manne, A.S. and Richels, R.G. 1994. The Costs of Stabilizing Global CO2 Emissions: A Probabilistic Analysis Based on Expert Judgments. *The Energy Journal*.
- M. Ha-Duong, M. J. Grubb, and J.-C. Hourcade. Influence of socioeconomic inertia and uncertainty on optimal CO2-emission abatement. *Nature*, 390:270–274, 1997.
- Nordhaus, W. D.: 1993, 'Rolling the "DICE": An Optimal Transition Path for Controlling Greenhouse Gases', *Resource and Energy Economics* 15, 27–50.
- Nordhaus, W.D. and Popp, D. "What is the Value of Scientific Knowledge? An Application to Global Warming Using the PRICE Model", *The Energy Journal*, vol. 18, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-45.
- Nordhaus, W.D., Boyer, J. *Warming the World: Economic Modeling of Global Warming*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., Summer 2000.
- Peck, S. C. and Teisberg, T. J. 1993, 'Global Warming Uncertainties and the Value of Information: An Analysis using CETA', *Resource and Energy Economics* 15, 71–97.
- Popp, D. (2004), "ENTICE: Endogenous Technological Change in the DICE Model of Global Warming", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 48, 742-768.

- Webster, M.D., Babiker, M.H., Mayer, M., Reilly J.M., Harnish, J., Hyman, R., Sarofim, M.C. and Wang, C. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2001. Vol. 36, Issue 22:3659-3670.
- Wigley, T.M.L., Richels, R. and Edmonds, J.A., 1996: Economic and environmental choices in the stabilization of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Nature* 379, 240-243.

8. Appendix: Model Equations

In this appendix we reproduce model equations. In each region, n , there is a social planner who maximizes the following utility function (n indexes the world's regions, t are 10-years time spans).

$$(A1) \quad W(n) = \sum_t U[C(n,t), L(n,t)] R(t) = \sum_t L(n,t) \{\log[c(n,t)]\} R(t),$$

where the pure time preference discount factor is given by:

$$(A2) \quad R(t) = \prod_{v=0}^t [1 + \rho(v)]^{-10},$$

and the pure rate of time preference $\rho(v)$ is assumed to decline over time. Moreover, $c(n,t) = \frac{C(n,t)}{L(n,t)}$. The

maximization problem is subject to:

Economic Module:

$$(A3) \quad Q(n,t) = A(n,t) [K_F(n,t)^{1-\alpha_n(TP)-\gamma} CE(n,t)^{\alpha_n(TP)} L(n,t)^\gamma] - p_e(n,t) * CE(n,t)$$

$$(A4) \quad \alpha_n(TP) = \frac{\beta_{1n}}{2 - \exp^{-\beta_{0n} * TP(n,t)}}$$

$$(A5) \quad Q(n,t) = C(n,t) + I(n,t) + 4 * crowdout * R \& D(n,t)$$

$$(A6) \quad K_F(n,t+1) = (1 - \delta_K) K_F(n,t) + I(n,t+1)^4$$

$$(A7) \quad E(n,t) = \varsigma(n,t) \left[\frac{1}{2 - \exp^{-\psi_n * TP(n,t)}} \right] CE(n,t)$$

$$(A8) \quad TP(n,t) = [Abat_S(n,t)^c * K_R(n,t)^d]$$

$$(A9) \quad Abat_S(n,t+1) = \xi_{LbD} Abat_F(n,t) + (1 - \delta_A) Abat_S(n,t)$$

$$(A10) \quad K_R(n,t+1) = \xi_{R\&D} * R \& D(n,t) + (1 - \delta_R) K_R(n,t)^5$$

$$(A11) \quad Q(n,t) = C(n,t) + I(n,t) + R \& D(n,t)$$

$$(A12) \quad p_n^E(t) = q(t) + markup_n^E$$

⁴ Second, the potential effects of crowding out must be considered. The opportunity cost of a dollar of energy R&D is that one less dollar is available for any of three possible activities: consumption, physical investment, or investment in other R&D. The opportunity costs of the first two are simply valued at one dollar. However, since the social rate of return on R&D is four times higher than that of other investment, losing a dollar of other R&D has the same effect as losing four dollars of other investment. Thus, the price of any research that crowds out other research is four dollars. To implement this, four dollars of private investment are subtracted from the physical capital stock for each dollar of R&D crowded out by energy R&D, so that the net capital stock is given by (A) where crowdout represents the percentage of other R&D crowded out by energy R&D. The base ENTICE model assumes 50% crowding out.

⁵ Here it is not clear whether we can find in literature some reliable estimate for the initial value p_n^E (we want to be published!). If not we could change specification and use Popp's, as in (A10). I already inserted the parameter values he suggests.

Climate Module:

$$(A13) \quad M_{AT}(t+1) = \sum_n [E_n(t) + LU_j(t)] + \phi_{11}M_{AT}(t) + \phi_{21}M_{UP}(t)$$

$$(A14) \quad M_{UP}(t+1) = \phi_{22}M_{UP}(t) + \phi_{12}M_{AT}(t) + \phi_{32}M_{LO}(t)$$

$$(A15) \quad M_{LO}(t+1) = \phi_{33}M_{LO}(t) + \phi_{23}M_{UP}(t)$$

$$(A16) \quad F(t) = \eta \left\{ \log \left[M_{AT}(t) / M_{AT}^{PI} \right] - \log(2) \right\} + O(t)$$

$$(A17) \quad T(t+1) = T(t) + \sigma_1 \{ F(t+1) - \lambda T(t) - \sigma_2 [T(t) - T_{LO}(t)] \}$$

$$(A18) \quad \Omega_n(t) = \frac{1}{1 + (\theta_{1,n}T(t) + \theta_{2,n}T(t)^2)}$$

List of variables:

W = welfare

U = instantaneous utility

C = consumption

c = per-capita consumption

L = population

R = discount factor

NIP = net import of permits

$R\&D$ = investment in R&D

Q = production

Ω = damage

A = productivity or technology index

K_F = capital stock

CE = carbon energy

p^E = cost of carbon energy

I = fixed investment

E = carbon emissions

M_{AT} = atmospheric CO₂ concentrations

LU = land-use carbon emissions

M_{UP} = upper oceans/biosphere CO₂ concentrations

M_{LO} = lower oceans CO₂ concentrations

F = radiative forcing

T = temperature level

q = costs of extraction of industrial emissions

List of parameters:

α, γ = parameters of production function

δ_K = rate of depreciation of capital stock

ζ = exogenous technical change effect of energy on CO₂ emissions (carbon intensity)

$\phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{21}, \phi_{22}, \phi_{23}, \phi_{32}, \phi_{33}$ = parameters of the carbon transition matrix

η = increase in radiative forcing due to doubling of CO₂ concentrations from pre-industrial levels

σ_1, σ_2 = temperature dynamics parameters

λ = climate sensitivity parameter

$markup^E$ = regional energy services markup

θ_1, θ_2 = parameters of the damage function

M_{AT}^{PI} = pre-industrial atmospheric CO₂ concentrations

p_{NIP} = price of permits

O = increase in radiative forcing over pre-industrial levels due to exogenous anthropogenic causes

ρ = discount rate

T_{LO} = lower ocean temperature

Parameter	Range of Values	Default Value
ξ_{know}	0.0-1.0	0.25
ξ_{LbD}	0.0-1.0	0.1
λ	0.1-1.0	0.5
ψ	0.9-1.5	1
ϕ	0.1-1.0	0.1

Table 1. Range of values of the uncertain parameters.

ψ	2025	2045	2095
1 - 0.4	0.18%	0.78%	8.73%
1 - 0.2	0.10%	0.42%	4.18%
1 + 0.2	-0.12%	-0.46%	-3.72%
1 + 0.4	-0.25%	-0.96%	-6.98%

Table 2. Sensitivity analysis wrt to ψ . Long and short-term percentage changes in emissions relative to the standard case with default values.

ϕ	2025	2045	2095
0.5 - 0.05	0.64%	1.04%	7.89%
0.5 + 0.05	-0.70%	-1.03%	-3.48%
0.5 + 0.1	-1.44%	-2.46%	-8.98%

Table 3. Sensitivity analysis wrt to ϕ . Long and short-term percentage changes in emissions relative to the standard case with default values.

	ξ_{know}	ξ_{LbD}
Stage 1: central values	0.25	0.13
Stage 2: Scenario HH	0.4	0.15
Stage 2: Scenario HL	0.4	0.09
Stage 2: Scenario LH	0.1	0.15
Stage 2: Scenario LL	0.1	0.09

Table 4. Values of ξ_{know} and ξ_{LbD} in the scenario tree.

	<i>BaU</i>		<i>550 ppmv</i>	
	<i>EXP</i>	<i>PES</i>	<i>EXP</i>	<i>PES</i>
<i>R&D expenditures (in trillions 1990 USD)</i>	0.00%	11.11%	5.26%	57.89%
<i>World carbon emissions (GTC per year)</i>	-0.01%	-0.02%	-0.13%	0.46%

Table 5. Difference in “*exp*” and “*pes*” first stage values of R&D expenditures and emissions wrt stochastic solution.




Figure 1. Sensitivity analysis wrt to ξ_{know} . Long and short-term percentage changes in emissions and stock of world knowledge relative to the standard case with default values.




Figure 2. Sensitivity analysis wrt to ξ_{LbD} . Long and short-term percentage changes in emissions and stock of world knowledge relative to the standard case with default values.




Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis wrt to λ . Long and short-term percentage changes in emissions and stock of world knowledge relative to the standard case with default values.




Figure 4. The four scenarios as in the simulation experiment.






Figure 5. Distribution of observed values of the effective cumulative learning for R&D investments, ξ_{know} , based on reference data with its best fitted Gaussian density function.




World Energy Knowledge stock (in trillions \$)




World carbon Emissions (in GtC)

Figure 6. The BaU scenario.



World knowledge stock (in trillions \$)



World carbon Emissions (in GtC)

Figure 7. The 550 ppmv stabilization scenario.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

<http://www.repec.org>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u>
ETA	2.2004	Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u>
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u>
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u>
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u>
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u>
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u>
PRA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u>
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u>
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u>
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u>
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u>
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u>
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arie GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u>
PRA	15.2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u>
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u>
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u>
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u>
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u>
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u>
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u>
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u>
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u>
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u>
NRM	26.2004	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u>
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u>
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u>
NRM	29.2004	Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u>
NRM	30.2004	Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u>
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u>
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u>
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u>
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u>
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u>

KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u></i>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (Ixviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u></i>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (Ixviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u></i>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE: <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u></i>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u></i>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS (Ixix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u></i>
KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI: <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u></i>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u></i>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u></i>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (Ixvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u></i>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLES MARK THORSEN (Ixvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u></i>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU (Ixvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u></i>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYÓVAI and Melinda SMALE (Ixvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy</u></i>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u></i>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u></i>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u></i>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI: <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u></i>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u></i>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF (Ixvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u></i>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (Ixvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u></i>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (Ixvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u></i>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u></i>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u></i>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u></i>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (Ixvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u></i>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u></i>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u></i>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ (Ixvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u></i>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN (Ixvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u></i>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK (Ixvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u></i>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u></i>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u></i>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS: <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u></i>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO: <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u></i>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u></i>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u></i>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u></i>
SIEV	73.2004	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u></i>

CCMP	74.2004	<i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u>
ETA	75.2004	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	<i>Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u>
CTN	77.2004	<i>Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERÀ and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u>
CTN	78.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u>
CTN	79.2004	<i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u>
CTN	80.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u>
CTN	81.2004	<i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>
CTN	82.2004	<i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u>
CTN	83.2004	<i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u>
CTN	84.2004	<i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u>
CTN	85.2004	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u>
IEM	86.2004	<i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u>
CCMP	88.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u>
IEM	89.2004	<i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u>
GG	90.2004	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u>
PRA	91.2004	<i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxv): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KTHC	92.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	<i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u>
CCMP	94.2004	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u>
CCMP	95.2004	<i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u>
CCMP	96.2004	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u>
CTN	97.2004	<i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u>
CTN	98.2004	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u>
GG	99.2004	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u>
SIEV	100.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u>
SIEV	101.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u>
NRM	102.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u>
CCMP	103.2004	<i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u>
PRA	105.2004	<i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URG</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u>
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u>
PRA	107.2004	<i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u>
SIEV	108.2004	<i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u>
CTN	109.2004	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u>
NRM	110.2004	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u>
KTHC	112.2004	<i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u>
SIEV	113.2004	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u>
IEM	114.2004	<i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : <u>Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact on the Security of Supply?</u>
IEM	115.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies</u>

ITEM	116.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: <u>Social Costs of Energy Disruptions</u></i>
ITEM	117.2004	<i>Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Market-Based Options for Security of Energy Supply</u></i>
ITEM	118.2004	<i>David FISK: <u>Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?</u></i>
ITEM	119.2004	<i>Giacomo LUCIANI: <u>Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?</u></i>
ITEM	120.2004	<i>L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: <u>The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets</u></i>
KTHC	121.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy</u></i>
NRM	122.2004	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslav MYSLIAK and Anita FASSIO: <u>An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application</u></i>
NRM	123.2004	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach</u></i>
ETA	124.2004	<i>Paul MENSINK: <u>Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited</u></i>
NRM	125.2004	<i>Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca PALMISANI: <u>Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach</u></i>
PRA	126.2004	<i>Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: <u>The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence from Poland and the Czech Republic</u></i>
CCMP	127.2004	<i>Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>A General Equilibrium Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism</u></i>
CCMP	128.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy Savings</u></i>
NRM	129.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth</u></i>
PRA	130.2004	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: <u>Reluctant Privatization</u></i>
SIEV	131.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: <u>Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation</u></i>
SIEV	132.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: <u>Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models</u></i>
ITEM	133.2004	<i>Santiago J. RUBIO: <u>On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited</u></i>
ETA	134.2004	<i>Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: <u>Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma</u></i>
SIEV	135.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI: <u>Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i>
CCMP	136.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The Influence of World Energy Prices</u></i>
ETA	137.2004	<i>Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: <u>Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an Environmental Taxation Game</u></i>
CCMP	138.2004	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China</u></i>
CCMP	139.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy</u></i>
NRM	140.2004	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: <u>The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis</u></i>
PRA	141.2004	<i>Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): <u>Bidding for Incomplete Contracts</u></i>
PRA	142.2004	<i>Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): <u>Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions</u></i>
PRA	143.2004	<i>David GOLDREICH (lxxi): <u>Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions</u></i>
PRA	144.2004	<i>Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): <u>Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics</u></i>
PRA	145.2004	<i>Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): <u>Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions</u></i>
PRA	146.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): <u>How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets</u></i>
PRA	147.2004	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): <u>Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i>
PRA	148.2004	<i>John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): <u>Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions</u></i>
PRA	149.2004	<i>Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): <u>Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions</u></i>
PRA	150.2004	<i>François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): <u>Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	151.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: <u>Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process</u></i>
CCMP	152.2004	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model</u></i>
PRA	153.2004	<i>Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): <u>Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly</u></i>
ETA	154.2004	<i>Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: <u>Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?</u></i>
CTN	155.2004	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with Externalities</u></i>
CCMP	156.2004	<i>Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants</u></i>

CCMP	157.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: <u>How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?</u></i>
ETA	158.2004	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply</u></i>
ETA	159.2004	<i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling</u></i>
KTHC	160.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons</u></i>
IEM	161.2004	<i>Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: <u>Microsimulating the Effects of Household Energy Price Changes in Spain</u></i>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005

CCMP	1.2005	<i>Stéphane HALLEGATTE: <u>Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change</u></i>
CCMP	2.2005	<i>Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: <u>Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China</u></i>
CCMP	3.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: <u>A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level</u></i>
CCMP	4.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice</u></i>
ETA	5.2005	<i>Hubert KEMPF: <u>Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?</u></i>
CCMP	6.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change</u></i>
IEM	7.2005	<i>David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: <u>The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution</u></i>
ETA	8.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption Democracy and Environmental Policy</u></i>
CCMP	9.2005	<i>Angelo ANTOCI: <u>Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities in a Growth Model</u></i>
CTN	10.2005	<i>Frédéric DEROLAN: <u>Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers</u></i>
NRM	11.2005	<i>Francesco SINDICO: <u>The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment Debate</u></i>
KTHC	12.2005	<i>Carla MASSIDDA: <u>Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors</u></i>
KTHC	13.2005	<i>Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: <u>Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms</u></i>
PRCG	14.2005	<i>Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: <u>Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board Structure</u></i>
CSRM	15.2005	<i>Parashar KULKARNI: <u>Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry</u></i>
KTHC	16.2005	<i>Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence</u></i>
KTHC	17.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms</u></i>
KTHC	18.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer</u></i>
KTHC	19.2005	<i>Mombert HOPPE: <u>Technology Transfer Through Trade</u></i>
PRCG	20.2005	<i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming</u></i>
CCMP	21.2005	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes</u></i>
IEM	22.2005	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO: <u>An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An Application of the Promethee Method</u></i>
CTN	23.2005	<i>Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria</u></i>
IEM	24.2005	<i>Wietze LISE: <u>Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey</u></i>
CTN	25.2005	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas</u></i>
SIEV	26.2005	<i>Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection</u></i>
NRM	27.2005	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: <u>The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities</u></i>
CCMP	28.2005	<i>Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: <u>Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information</u></i>
NRM	29.2005	<i>Paul SARFO-MENSAH: <u>Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations</u></i>
CCMP	30.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Effect of Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism</u></i>
NRM	31.2005	<i>Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies</u></i>
NRM	32.2005	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility</u></i>
CCMP	33.2005	<i>Joseph HUBER: <u>Key Environmental Innovations</u></i>
CTN	34.2005	<i>Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (Ixxii): <u>Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation</u></i>
CTN	35.2005	<i>Francesco FERI (Ixxii): <u>Network Formation with Endogenous Decay</u></i>
CTN	36.2005	<i>Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (Ixxii): <u>Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and Network Formation Games</u></i>

CTN	37.2005	<i>Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAKI</i> (lxxii): <u>Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the Resilience of Referral Hiring</u>
CTN	38.2005	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS</i> (lxxii): <u>Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated Games</u>
CTN	39.2005	<i>Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER</i> (lxxii): <u>The Egalitarian Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects</u>
CTN	40.2005	<i>Francesco FERI</i> : <u>Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay</u>
CTN	41.2005	<i>Aart de ZEEUW</i> (lxxii): <u>Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
NRM	42.2005	<i>C. Martijn van der HEIDE, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH, Ekko C. van IERLAND and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Measuring the Economic Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The Netherlands</u>
PRCG	43.2005	<i>Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA</i> : <u>Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of Portuguese Firms</u>
SIEV	44.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO</i> : <u>Combining Actual and Contingent Behavior to Estimate the Value of Sports Fishing in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
CTN	45.2005	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The Role of Timing and Regulation</u>
CCMP	46.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New Members States?</u>
IEM	47.2005	<i>Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison</u>
CTN	48.2005	<i>Olivier TERCIEUX and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxx): <u>A Characterization of Stochastically Stable Networks</u>
CTN	49.2005	<i>Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxxii): <u>R&D Networks Among Unionized Firms</u>
CTN	50.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in International Environmental Agreements</u>
KTHC	51.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI</i> : <u>From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey</u>
CCMP	52.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI</i> : <u>Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of the Doha Proposal</u>
SIEV	53.2005	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach</u>
ETA	54.2005	<i>Alessandra del BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI, Charles P. HIMMELBERG and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Investment and Time to Plan: A Comparison of Structures vs. Equipment in a Panel of Italian Firms</u>
CCMP	55.2005	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>Emissions Trading, CDM, JI, and More – The Climate Strategy of the EU</u>
ETA	56.2005	<i>Maia DAVID and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>Environmental Regulation and the Eco-Industry</u>
ETA	57.2005	<i>Alain-Désiré NIMUBONA and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>The Pigouvian Tax Rule in the Presence of an Eco-Industry</u>
NRM	58.2005	<i>Helmut KARL, Antje MÖLLER, Ximena MATUS, Edgar GRANDE and Robert KAISER</i> : <u>Environmental Innovations: Institutional Impacts on Co-operations for Sustainable Development</u>
SIEV	59.2005	<i>Dimitra VOUVAKI and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> (lxxiii): <u>Criteria for Assessing Sustainable Development: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Case of Greece</u>
CCMP	60.2005	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE</i> : <u>Impure Public Goods and Technological Interdependencies</u>
PRCG	61.2005	<i>Christoph A. SCHALTEGGER and Benno TORGLER</i> : <u>Trust and Fiscal Performance: A Panel Analysis with Swiss Data</u>
ETA	62.2005	<i>Irene VALSECCHI</i> : <u>A Role for Instructions</u>
NRM	63.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Gianni LOCATELLI</i> : <u>A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach to the Assessment of Natural Parks' Economic Efficiency and Sustainability. The Case of Italian National Parks</u>
SIEV	64.2005	<i>Arianne T. de BLAEIJ, Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH</i> : <u>Modeling 'No-choice' Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys</u>
CTN	65.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Applications of Negotiation Theory to Water Issues</u>
CTN	66.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Advances in Negotiation Theory: Bargaining, Coalitions and Fairness</u>
KTHC	67.2005	<i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (lxxiv): <u>Network Capital and Social Trust: Pre-Conditions for 'Good' Diversity?</u>
KTHC	68.2005	<i>Asimina CHRISTOFOROU</i> (lxxiv): <u>On the Determinants of Social Capital in Greece Compared to Countries of the European Union</u>
KTHC	69.2005	<i>Eric M. USLANER</i> (lxxiv): <u>Varieties of Trust</u>
KTHC	70.2005	<i>Thomas P. LYON</i> (lxxiv): <u>Making Capitalism Work: Social Capital and Economic Growth in Italy, 1970-1995</u>
KTHC	71.2005	<i>Graziella BERTOCCHI and Chiara STROZZI</i> (lxxv): <u>Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical Perspective</u>
KTHC	72.2005	<i>Elsbeth van HYLCKAMA Vlieg</i> (lxxv): <u>Accommodating Differences</u>
KTHC	73.2005	<i>Renato SANSA and Ercole SORI</i> (lxxv): <u>Governance of Diversity Between Social Dynamics and Conflicts in Multicultural Cities. A Selected Survey on Historical Bibliography</u>
IEM	74.2005	<i>Alberto LONGO and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Identification of Options and Policy Instruments for the Internalisation of External Costs of Electricity Generation. Dissemination of External Costs of Electricity Supply Making Electricity External Costs Known to Policy-Makers</u> <u>MAXIMA</u>

ITEM	75.2005	<i>Margherita GRASSO and Matteo MANERA: <u>Asymmetric Error Correction Models for the Oil-Gasoline Price Relationship</u></i>
ETA	76.2005	<i>Umberto CHERUBINI and Matteo MANERA: <u>Hunting the Living Dead A “Peso Problem” in Corporate Liabilities Data</u></i>
CTN	77.2005	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD: <u>Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule</u></i>
ETA	78.2005	<i>Joëlle NOAILLY, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Cees A. WITHAGEN (lxxvi): <u>Local and Global Interactions in an Evolutionary Resource Game</u></i>
ETA	79.2005	<i>Joëlle NOAILLY, Cees A. WITHAGEN and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH (lxxvi): <u>Spatial Evolution of Social Norms in a Common-Pool Resource Game</u></i>
CCMP	80.2005	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: <u>Economic Instruments and Induced Innovation: The Case of End-of-Life Vehicles European Policies</u></i>
NRM	81.2005	<i>Anna LASUT: <u>Creative Thinking and Modelling for the Decision Support in Water Management</u></i>
CCMP	82.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Assess the Relative Efficiency of Different Climate Policy Portfolios</u></i>
ETA	83.2005	<i>Ignazio MUSU: <u>Intellectual Property Rights and Biotechnology: How to Improve the Present Patent System</u></i>
KTHC	84.2005	<i>Giulio CAINELLI, Susanna MANCINELLI and Massimiliano MAZZANTI: <u>Social Capital, R&D and Industrial Districts</u></i>
ETA	85.2005	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI, Michele MORETTO and Vincenzo REBBA: <u>Quality and Investment Decisions in Hospital Care when Physicians are Devoted Workers</u></i>
CCMP	86.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Laurent GILOTTE: <u>Carbon Capture and Sequestration: How Much Does this Uncertain Option Affect Near-Term Policy Choices?</u></i>
CSRM	87.2005	<i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Value Through Diversity: Microfinance and Islamic Finance and Global Banking</u></i>
ETA	88.2005	<i>A. MARKANDYA and S. PEDROSO: <u>How Substitutable is Natural Capital?</u></i>
ITEM	89.2005	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Security of Energy Supply: Comparing Scenarios From a European Perspective</u></i>
CCMP	90.2005	<i>Vincent M. OTTO, Andreas LÖSCHEL and Rob DELLINK: <u>Energy Biased Technical Change: A CGE Analysis</u></i>
PRCG	91.2005	<i>Carlo CAPUANO: <u>Abuse of Competitive Fringe</u></i>
PRCG	92.2005	<i>Ulrich BINDSEIL, Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAIEV (lxv): <u>Bidding and Performance in Repo Auctions: Evidence from ECB Open Market Operations</u></i>
CCMP	93.2005	<i>Sabrina AUCI and Leonardo BECCHETTI: <u>The Stability of the Adjusted and Unadjusted Environmental Kuznets Curve</u></i>
CCMP	94.2005	<i>Francesco BOSELLO and Jian ZHANG: <u>Assessing Climate Change Impacts: Agriculture</u></i>
CTN	95.2005	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Jean-Christophe PEREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: <u>Bargaining with Non-Monolithic Players</u></i>
ETA	96.2005	<i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS (lxxvi): <u>Optimal Control and Spatial Heterogeneity: Pattern Formation in Economic-Ecological Models</u></i>
CCMP	97.2005	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL (lxxvii): <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Human Health</u></i>
CCMP	98.2005	<i>Rob DELLINK, Michael FINUS and Niels OLIEMAN: <u>Coalition Formation under Uncertainty: The Stability Likelihood of an International Climate Agreement</u></i>
CTN	99.2005	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI, Riccardo CRESCENZI, Fabrizio De FILIPPIS, and Luca SALVATICI: <u>Bargaining Coalitions in the Agricultural Negotiations of the Doha Round: Similarity of Interests or Strategic Choices? An Empirical Assessment</u></i>
ITEM	100.2005	<i>Giliola FREY and Matteo MANERA: <u>Econometric Models of Asymmetric Price Transmission</u></i>
ITEM	101.2005	<i>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: <u>Oil Prices, Inflation and Interest Rates in a Structural Cointegrated VAR Model for the G-7 Countries</u></i>
KTHC	102.2005	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Roberto CAMAGNI: <u>Sustainability of Urban Sprawl: Environmental-Economic Indicators for the Analysis of Mobility Impact in Italy</u></i>
ETA	103.2005	<i>Livingstone S. LUBOOBI and Joseph Y.T. MUGISHA: <u>HIV/AIDS Pandemic in Africa: Trends and Challenges</u></i>
SIEV	104.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Erik LICHTENBERG, Dominic MANCINI, and Gregmar I. GALINATO: <u>Was It Something I Ate? Implementation of the FDA Seafood HACCP Program</u></i>
SIEV	105.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: <u>Urban Environmental Health and Sensitive Populations: How Much are the Italians Willing to Pay to Reduce Their Risks?</u></i>
SIEV	106.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Aline CHIABAI and Lucija MUEHLENBACHS: <u>Using Expert Judgment to Assess Adaptive Capacity to Climate Change: Evidence from a Conjoint Choice Survey</u></i>
CTN	107.2005	<i>Michele BERNASCONI and Matteo GALIZZI: <u>Coordination in Networks Formation: Experimental Evidence on Learning and Salience</u></i>
KTHC	108.2005	<i>Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: <u>Migration Dynamics</u></i>
NRM	109.2005	<i>Antonio MUSOLESI and Mario NOSVELLI: <u>Water Consumption and Long-Run Urban Development: The Case of Milan</u></i>
SIEV	110.2005	<i>Benno TORGLER and Maria A. GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>The Determinants of Individuals’ Attitudes Towards Preventing Environmental Damage</u></i>
SIEV	111.2005	<i>Alberto LONGO and Anna ALBERINI: <u>What are the Effects of Contamination Risks on Commercial and Industrial Properties? Evidence from Baltimore, Maryland</u></i>
SIEV	112.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI and Alberto LONGO: <u>The Value of Cultural Heritage Sites in Armenia: Evidence from a Travel Cost Method Study</u></i>
CCMP	113.2005	<i>Mikel GONZÁLEZ and Rob DELLINK: <u>Impact of Climate Policy on the Basque Economy</u></i>
NRM	114.2005	<i>Gilles LAFFORGUE and Walid OUESLATI: <u>Optimal Soil Management and Environmental Policy</u></i>

NRM	115.2005	<i>Martin D. SMITH and Larry B. CROWDER (lxxvi): <u>Valuing Ecosystem Services with Fishery Rents: A Lumped-Parameter Approach to Hypoxia in the Neuse River Estuary</u></i>
NRM	116.2005	<i>Dan HOLLAND and Kurt SCHNIER (lxxvi): <u>Protecting Marine Biodiversity: A Comparison of Individual Habitat Quotas (IHQs) and Marine Protected Areas</u></i>
PRCG	117.2005	<i>John NELLIS: <u>The Evolution of Enterprise Reform in Africa: From State-owned Enterprises to Private Participation in Infrastructure — and Back?</u></i>
PRCG	118.2005	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: <u>Italy's Privatization Process and Its Implications for China</u></i>
SIEV	119.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Marcella VERONESI and Joseph C. COOPER: <u>Detecting Starting Point Bias in Dichotomous-Choice Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i>
CTN	120.2005	<i>Federico ECHENIQUE and Mehmet B. YENMEZ: <u>A Solution to Matching with Preferences over Colleagues</u></i>
KTHC	121.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI and Corrado MOLteni: <u>Dissipation of Knowledge and the Boundaries of the Multinational Enterprise</u></i>
KTHC	122.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI: <u>Firm's Intangible Assets and Multinational Activity: Joint-Venture Versus FDI</u></i>
CCMP	123.2005	<i>Socrates KYPREOS: <u>A MERGE Model with Endogenous Technological Change and the Cost of Carbon Stabilization</u></i>
CCMP	124.2005	<i>Fuminori SANO, Keigo AKIMOTO, Takashi HOMMA and Toshimasa TOMODA: <u>Analysis of Technological Portfolios for CO2 stabilizations and Effects of Technological Changes</u></i>
CCMP	125.2005	<i>Fredrik HEDENUS, Christian AZAR and Kristian LINDGREN: <u>Induced Technological Change in a Limited Foresight Optimization Model</u></i>
CCMP	126.2005	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>The Value of ITC under Climate Stabilization</u></i>
PRCG	127.2005	<i>John NELLIS: <u>Privatization in Africa: What has happened? What is to be done?</u></i>
PRCG	128.2005	<i>Raphaël SOUBEYRAN: <u>Contest with Attack and Defence: Does Negative Campaigning Increase or Decrease Voters' Turnout?</u></i>
PRCG	129.2005	<i>Pascal GAUTIER and Raphael SOUBEYRAN: <u>Political Cycles : The Opposition Advantage</u></i>
ETA	130.2005	<i>Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Nicola ACOCCELLA and Andrew HUGHES HALLETT: <u>Dynamic Controllability with Overlapping targets: A Generalization of the Tinbergen-Nash Theory of Economic Policy</u></i>
SIEV	131.2005	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Institutional Explanations of Economic Development: the Role of Precious Metals</u></i>
ETA	132.2005	<i>Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO and Nicola ACOCCELLA: <u>Tinbergen and Theil Meet Nash: Controllability in Policy Games</u></i>
IEM	133.2005	<i>Adriana M. IGNACIUK and Rob B. DELLINK: <u>Multi-Product Crops for Agricultural and Energy Production – an AGE Analysis for Poland</u></i>
IEM	134.2005	<i>Raffaele MINIACI, Carlo SCARPA and Paola VALBONESI: <u>Restructuring Italian Utility Markets: Household Distributional Effects</u></i>
SIEV	135.2005	<i>Valentina ZANATTA, Paolo ROSATO, Anna ALBERINI and Dimitrios REPPAS: <u>The Impact of Speed Limits on Recreational Boating in the Lagoon of Venice</u></i>
NRM	136.2005	<i>Chi-CHUR CHAO, Bharat R. HAZARI, Jean-Pierre LAFFARGUE, Pasquale M. SGRO, and Eden S. H. YU (lxxviii): <u>Tourism, Jobs, Capital Accumulation and the Economy: A Dynamic Analysis</u></i>
NRM	137.2005	<i>Michael MCALEER, Riaz SHAREEF and Bernardo da VEIGA (lxxviii): <u>Risk Management of Daily Tourist Tax Revenues for the Maldives</u></i>
NRM	138.2005	<i>Guido CANDELA, Paolo FIGINI and Antonello E. SCORCI (lxxviii): <u>The Economics of Local Tourist Systems</u></i>
NRM	139.2005	<i>Paola De AGOSTINI, Stefania LOVO, Francesco PECCI, Federico PERALI and Michele BAGGIO (lxxviii): <u>Simulating the Impact on the Local Economy of Alternative Management Scenarios for Natural Areas</u></i>
NRM	140.2005	<i>Simone VALENTE (lxxviii): <u>Growth, Conventional Production and Tourism Specialisation: Technological Catching-up Versus Terms-of-Trade Effects</u></i>
NRM	141.2005	<i>Tiago NEVES SEQUEIRA and Carla CAMPOS (lxxviii): <u>International Tourism and Economic Growth: a Panel Data Approach</u></i>
NRM	142.2005	<i>Francesco MOLA and Raffaele MIELE (lxxviii): <u>An Open Source Based Data Warehouse Architecture to Support Decision Making in the Tourism Sector</u></i>
NRM	143.2005	<i>Nishaal GOOROOCHURN and Adam BLAKE (lxxviii): <u>Tourism Immiserization: Fact or Fiction?</u></i>
NRM	144.2005	<i>S. MARZETTI Dall'ASTE BRANDOLINI and R. MOSETTI (lxxviii): <u>Social Carrying Capacity of Mass Tourist Sites: Theoretical and Practical Issues about its Measurement</u></i>
NRM	145.2005	<i>Sauveur GIANNONI and Marie-Antoinette MAUPERTUIS (lxxviii): <u>Environmental Quality and Long Run Tourism Development a Cyclical Perspective for Small Island Tourist Economies</u></i>
NRM	146.2005	<i>Javier LOZANO, Carlos GÓMEZ and Javier REY-MAQUIEIRA (lxxviii): <u>An Analysis of the Evolution of Tourism Destinations from the Point of View of the Economic Growth Theory</u></i>
CCMP	147.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Laurent DROUET: <u>Accounting for Uncertainty Affecting Technical Change in an Economic-Climate Model</u></i>

- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL) , Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004
- (lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004
- (lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10th Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.
- (lxxiii) This paper was presented at the 2nd Workshop on "Inclusive Wealth and Accounting Prices" held in Trieste, Italy on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics
- (lxxiv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Trust and social capital in multicultural cities” Athens, January 19-20, 2004
- (lxxv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Diversity as a source of growth” Rome November 18-19, 2004
- (lxxvi) This paper was presented at the 3rd Workshop on Spatial-Dynamic Models of Economics and Ecosystems held in Trieste on 11-13 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics
- (lxxvii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on Infectious Diseases: Ecological and Economic Approaches held in Trieste on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics.
- (lxxviii) This paper was presented at the Second International Conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development - Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari and Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank, Chia, Italy, 16-17 September 2005.

2004 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

2005 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRCG	<i>Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>