



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

AE 92005

August 1992

**Economic Impact of Leafy Spurge on
Grazingland and Wildland in North Dakota**

**F. Larry Leistritz, Dean A. Bangsund,
Nancy M. Wallace, and Jay A. Leitch**

**Presented at the 12th Annual Meeting of
International Association for Impact Assessment
Washington, D.C.
August 19-22, 1992**

**Department of Agricultural Economics
North Dakota State University
Fargo, North Dakota**

**Economic Impact of Leafy Spurge on
Grazingland and Wildland in North Dakota**

ABSTRACT

A variety of undesirable plants pose problems for managers of grazingland and/or wildland because they reduce the land's usefulness for livestock grazing or are detrimental to its other functions, such as wildlife habitat or watershed protection. The purpose of this study was to estimate the direct and secondary economic impacts of leafy spurge infestations in North Dakota. This involved estimating the extent of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland and wildland, estimating the effects of the infestation on the outputs of both types of land, estimating the direct economic effects of these changes in outputs, and using input-output analysis to estimate the secondary economic effects accruing to other sectors of the state economy.

Economic Impact of Leafy Spurge on Grazingland and Wildland in North Dakota

**F. Larry Leistritz, Dean A. Bangsund,
Nancy M. Wallace, and Jay A. Leitch**

A variety of undesirable plants, especially invasive, exotic species, pose problems for managers of grazingland and/or wildland because they reduce the land's usefulness for livestock grazing or are detrimental to its other functions (e.g., wildlife habitat, watershed). Leafy spurge, a perennial weed, is widely established in the northwestern United States and western Canada. Within North Dakota, the most affected state, over 1.1 million acres were estimated to be infested in 1990. A variety of chemical control alternatives have been employed to control leafy spurge (Chow 1984; Lym and Messersmith 1985; Lym and Messersmith 1986), and biocontrol technologies are now a primary focus of research (Messersmith and Lym 1990; Carlson and Littlefield 1983). To evaluate the economic feasibility of either available chemical controls or future biocontrols, however, requires a better understanding of the economic effects of weed infestations. Such information also may be useful for allocating resources to develop or refine new control technologies.

Economic effects of undesirable range plants include direct effects, such as those experienced by landowners and ranchers, plus secondary effects on other sectors of the rural economy. A change in an area's resource base or agricultural production practices can affect both agribusiness firms and local trade and service sectors (Leistritz and Ekstrom 1986; Leistritz and Murdock 1981). Decisions regarding public sector support for research to develop better control methods and/or public sector cost sharing for treatment efforts should take into account such secondary effects.

The purpose of this study was to estimate the direct and secondary economic impacts of leafy spurge infestations in North Dakota. This involved estimating the extent of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland and wildland, estimating the effects of the infestation on the outputs of both types of land, estimating the direct economic effects of these changes in outputs, and estimation of the secondary economic effects accruing to other sectors of the state economy that result from the direct effects.

PROCEDURES

Estimating the economic impact of weed infestations requires consideration of both biological and economic parameters. A bioeconomic model for estimating the impacts of leafy spurge infestations was developed (Figure 1). Implementing the model required data from a number of sources. Secondary data sources were used to estimate the acreage of grazingland and wildland in the state

Leistritz is professor, Bangsund is research associate, and Leitch is professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo. Wallace is Cooperative Extension Agent, University of Wisconsin Extension Service, Manitowoc, Wisconsin.




FIGURE 1
A Bioeconomic Model of Leafy Spurge Infestation

(U.S. Bureau of the Census 1989; U.S. Soil Conservation Service 1991, 1987). An estimate of the total acreage affected by leafy spurge in each North Dakota county was obtained from the state Department of Agriculture. The proportions of the leafy spurge infested acres occurring on grazingland and on wildland were obtained from a survey of county weed board representatives (Wallace 1992). Leafy spurge infestation rates provided the basis for estimating the biophysical impacts of the weed on the outputs of the two types of land; and the estimated changes in outputs in turn were the basis for estimating the direct economic impacts. The secondary impacts of leafy spurge infestations on the state economy were then estimated using input-output analysis (Coon et al. 1985; Coon et al. 1990).

RESULTS

The results of the analysis are presented in the sections that follow. These sections deal with impacts of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland and wildland, respectively.

Impacts on Grazingland

A critical step in estimating the economic impact of any weed is to estimate the amount of lost forage or crop yield reduction due to the infestation. Forage production of grazing land is usually measured by the number of animals the land can safely support (i.e., its carrying capacity or maximum stocking rate). Carrying capacity is the highest sustainable stocking rate possible without incurring damage to vegetation or related resources.

Carrying capacity is generally expressed as the number of animal unit months (AUMs) a tract can provide. For private grazinglands, the carrying capacity was estimated based on standards from the Soil Conservation Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. AUMs of grazing capacity available from state and federal lands were obtained from recent records of the responsible agencies. North Dakota grazinglands were estimated to total about 10,075,000 acres with a carrying capacity of about 5,250,000 AUMs (Bangsund and Leistritz 1991).

The value of grazing was estimated using cash rental rates for grazing land. Average per acre cash rental rates were obtained from the Economic Research Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. A five-year (1986-1990) average cash rental rate for grazingland was calculated for each county, adjusted for inflation to reflect 1990 price levels, and converted to a rental rate per AUM using the carrying capacity estimates discussed earlier. The average estimated value per AUM for North Dakota was \$15.90. This value was used as the estimate of the reduction in stockgrowers' net incomes resulting from reduced carrying capacity.

A carrying capacity reduction model (CCRM), developed by Thompson (1990), was used to estimate the lost forage from leafy spurge infestations. The CCRM estimates the potential AUM reduction for cattle only. Leafy spurge reduces carrying capacity for cattle by (1) inhibiting normal herbage production from direct competition of the

spurge plant and (2) reducing available herbage since cattle totally or partially avoid range sites infested with leafy spurge (this effect is accentuated during spring grazing).

The relationship between lost grazing capacity and amount of leafy spurge infestation is approximated by the linear function:

$$RCC = CC * [1 - (1.25 * PI / 100)]$$

where RCC = reduced carrying capacity (AUMs/acre)

CC = normal carrying capacity (AUMs/acre)

PI = level of infestation expressed as a percent of land area covered by leafy spurge

A 40 percent leafy spurge infestation would reduce carrying capacity by 50 percent from a practical range management position (Figure 2).




FIGURE 2
Reduced Carrying Capacity Associated With Various Levels of Leafy
Spurge Infestation

The economic impacts of leafy spurge to ranchers and landowners included reduced income from reductions in grazing capacity, foregone livestock sales (resulting from lost grazing capacity), and reduced grazing land values from leafy spurge infestations. The CCRM was used with the estimated leafy spurge infestation rates to estimate the number of lost AUMs. The value of lost grazing capacity was estimated by applying the value per AUM to the number of lost AUMs. Of the 1.1 million acres infested with leafy spurge in North Dakota in 1990, about 632,000 acres were estimated to be grazingland. An estimated 583,000 AUMs were estimated to be lost as a result of the leafy spurge infestation, resulting in an estimated reduction in ranchers' and landowners' incomes of about \$8.7 million.

The value of lost livestock sales was derived from the number of lost AUMs. In 1990, the grazing capacity lost to leafy spurge infestations in North Dakota would have supported a herd of about 63,100 cows, which would be expected to generate about \$28.2 million in livestock sales and \$14.5 million in production expenditures annually.

Leafy spurge infestations reduce the productivity of grazing lands, which leads to lower land values in the absence of alternative uses. Potential decreases in land values from leafy spurge infestations were estimated assuming all other determinants of land values remained unchanged. Potential decreases in land values that could be expected from current levels of leafy spurge infestations were estimated using a value-to-rent ratio (1986 to 1990) for private grazingland. Grazingland values in North Dakota were estimated to be reduced by \$123.4 million.

The direct impact of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland to the state economy can be summed from (1) the reduced income to ranchers and landowners (\$8.7 million) and (2) decreases in production outlays associated with ranchers' herd reductions (\$14.5 million).

The secondary impacts of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland were estimated using the North Dakota Input-Output Model (Coon et al. 1985). Direct impacts of \$23.2 million annually from leafy spurge infestations on grazingland generated about \$53.1 million in secondary impacts to the state's economy. Total direct plus secondary impacts were about \$76.3 million or about \$131 per lost AUM.

Impacts on Wildland

Wildland can be broadly defined as land *not* used for industrial, urban, or agricultural purposes and includes forests, range, recreation areas, and wilderness (Randall and Peterson 1984). Leafy spurge is frequently observed in wildland habitats such as ungrazed grassland, rocky forestland, railway embankments, road and drainage ditches, and riverbanks. The acreage of wildland in North Dakota was estimated by subtracting from the estimated total land area of the state the acreage of cropland, grassland, rangeland and pasture, urban and built-up land, and water. Wildland was estimated at about 4.9 million acres, approximately 10 percent of the total acres in North Dakota. Based on a 1991 survey of county weed board representatives,

it was estimated that there were about 468,000 acres of leafy spurge on North Dakota wildland (Wallace 1992).

Wildland can be either publicly or privately owned and provides a variety of goods and services, including such non-market goods as recreation, wildlife production and habitat, erosion control, and watershed benefits (Randall and Peterson 1984). Wildlife-associated recreation and soil and water conservation were identified as the primary wildland benefits that were likely to be affected by leafy spurge infestations in North Dakota.

The effects of leafy spurge infestations on wildland outputs (benefits) result from the plant's ability to literally choke out other existing vegetation (Watson 1985; Belcher and Wilson 1989; Messersmith et al. 1985). Leafy spurge patch expansion leads to a decline in native prairie plants, and the reduction in plant diversity can substantially reduce a site's value as wildlife habitat and may lead to increased water runoff and soil erosion. An impact function was estimated to describe the relationship between leafy spurge and wildland habitat value (Figure 3). Because of a lack of natural




FIGURE 3

Estimates of Reduced Wildland Wildlife Habitat Value Caused by Various Leafy Spurge Infestation Rates*

* Shading along the function indicates there is uncertainty associated with the assumed relationship.

science research outlining the specific effects of leafy spurge on wildland wildlife habitat value, the function was based on the expert opinion of a few selected wildlife managers and plant ecologists together with published data reporting the shortcomings of monocultures as wildlife habitat. The estimates of reduced wildland wildlife habitat value from leafy spurge infestations were used to estimate the economic impact of leafy spurge on wildlife-associated recreation.

As leafy spurge displaces native and existing vegetation, changing the character and composition of wildland vegetative cover, runoff and soil erosion may be affected. Both on-site and off-site soil erosion damages may result. On-site soil erosion damages consist primarily of losses in soil productivity from loss of soil structure and plant nutrients. Off-site erosion damages are experienced through degradation of surface water by runoff carrying sediment, nutrients, and pesticides (Rodgers et al. 1990; Ribaudo 1986 and 1989). While some research has documented the effect of other invasive weed species on surface runoff and sediment yield (Lacey et al. 1989), an extensive literature search revealed no studies addressing the effects of leafy spurge on these wildland outputs. As a result, the authors engaged in reasoning by analogy, based on the soil and water conservation effects of enrolling highly erodible cropland in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

Enrollment of cropland in the CRP has led to increased off-site water quality benefits because shifting land with less diverse vegetative cover (monoculture cropland) to more diverse cover (grassland and trees) reduces runoff and soil erosion (Ribaudo 1989). Conversely, as leafy spurge infestation on wildland increases, moving the vegetative cover toward a monoculture, runoff and soil erosion may increase, resulting in reduced off-site water quality benefits. For the purpose of this study, wildland is assumed to provide soil and water conservation benefits comparable to those offered by CRP acres, and a 100 percent leafy spurge infestation is assumed to reduce wildland off-site water conservation benefits by one-fourth (Figure 4).

Direct economic impacts from changes in wildlife-associated recreation are the changes in wildlife-associated recreationist expenditures that impact local suppliers of related goods and services. The reduction in expenditures from leafy spurge infestation can be expressed as

$$R = (E \times C) (H \times W) (S)$$

where R = change in wildlife-associated recreation expenditures due to leafy spurge infestation on wildland

E = total wildlife-associated recreation expenditures

C = species/land use coefficient

H = percentage reduction in wildlife habitat value

W = percentage of leafy spurge infested wildland

S = percentage of expenditures lost to state economy




FIGURE 4
Conceptual Relationship of Highly Erodible Land/ Conservation Reserve Program
and Wildland/ Wildland with Leafy Spurge

Total North Dakota wildlife-related recreation expenditures (consumptive and nonconsumptive) were estimated at over \$219 million in 1990 (E = \$219 million) (Wallace 1992). The 468,000 acres of leafy spurge on wildland are assumed to be 100 percent infested, thus reducing wildlife habitat value by 80 percent. The species/land use coefficient (C) represents the relative importance of different land uses in supporting current wildlife populations. The species/land use coefficient for wildland is estimated to be 0.4 or 40% (Wallace 1992). If wildlife-associated recreation opportunities within the state decrease, some funds previously spent on wildlife-associated recreation will be reallocated to other in-state recreational activities, but some may be spent in other states (S) and thus represent a loss to the state economy. A recent study reports that 42 percent of North Dakota outdoor recreationists would pursue their favorite recreation activity out of state if it was not available in North Dakota (Baltezare and Leitch 1992). When these factors are combined in the equation, the direct economic impact of reduced wildlife-associated recreation due to the current leafy spurge infestation on wildland is estimated to be about \$2.9 million.

Direct economic impacts from changes in wildland soil and water conservation benefits are changes in user expenditures to mitigate damages from runoff and soil erosion. For example, water is generally treated before household or commercial use. Changes in treatment costs represent the benefits (costs) of increased (decreased) water quality. The erosion control benefits of CRP land had been estimated to be \$5.87 per acre for the Northern Plains region (Ribaud 1989). Applying the assumed 25 percent reduction in wildland erosion control benefits due to leafy spurge infestation to the \$5.87 per acre value gives an estimate of \$1.47 per acre for this component of the direct economic impact. Multiplying the \$1.47 per acre reduction in wildlands soil and water conservation benefits by the 468,000 acres of wildland infested with leafy spurge results in nearly \$0.7 million in impacts of decreased water quality statewide.

As discussed with respect to grazingland impacts, the secondary economic impacts of leafy spurge infestations on wildland were estimated using an input-output model. The specific model used in this phase of the research had been modified to incorporate a recreation and tourism sector (Coon et al. 1990). The direct impacts of leafy spurge on wildland were estimated to total \$3.6 million (\$2.9 million from reduced wildlife associated recreation and \$0.7 million from reduced soil and water conservation). When these impacts were applied to the input-output model, they generated secondary impacts of about \$7.4 million. The total impact of leafy spurge infestations on wildland was estimated to be about \$11 million, or about \$23.54 per infested acre (Wallace et al. 1992).

The economic impacts (direct plus secondary) of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland and wildland in North Dakota were estimated to total \$87.3 million (Figure 5). Estimates of the direct and total impacts by economic sector demonstrate the pervasiveness of the effects of leafy spurge infestation. While the bulk of impacts occurs in the household sector, retail trade sector, and the agricultural--crops sector, all sectors except the petroleum exploration and extraction and petroleum refining sectors are affected. The impacts occurring in the household sector alone represent \$28.7 million, or about \$26 per acre infested. The current level of leafy spurge infestation also leads to a reduction in employment of more than 1000 jobs (Table 1).

In the analysis presented here, the impacts of leafy spurge on grazingland and wildland have been treated as being additive and mutually exclusive. This is admittedly a simplification, and one that, because of the multiple uses and products obtained from both grazingland and wildland, may lead to some understatement or overstatement of impacts. For example, leafy spurge infestations on grazingland may reduce the wildlife habitat or watershed values obtained from these lands. These effects were not included in the estimates presented here, however, because of uncertainty regarding the interaction of grazing, leafy spurge infestations, and other land values/products. For example, leafy spurge patches reduce habitat value compared to ungrazed grassland, but it is unclear how their habitat value would compare to heavily grazed rangeland. Better understanding of these interactions could improve the confidence in future estimates of leafy spurge impacts.




FIGURE 5
 Bioeconomic Impact Assessment of Leafy Spurge in North Dakota

TABLE 1. DIRECT AND TOTAL ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF LEAFY SPURGE INFESTATION ON NORTH DAKOTA GRAZINGLAND AND WILDLAND BY ECONOMIC SECTOR AND LAND TYPE

Impacts by Sector	Land Type		Total
	Grazingland	Wildland	
	----- \$000 -----		
Direct Impacts:			
Ag livestock	903	--	903
Ag crops	8,244	200	8,444
Transportation	410	--	410
Communications and public utilities	442	--	442
Retail trade	2,445	--	2,445
Finance, insurance, and real estate	2,024	--	2,024
Households	8,723	--	8,723
Government	--	481	481
Electricity generation	--	7	7
Tourism and recreation	--	2,953	2,953
Total Direct Impacts	23,191	3,641	26,832
Total (Direct Plus Secondary) Impacts:			
Agriculture--livestock	2,694	240	2,934
Agriculture--crops	9,757	785	10,542
Nonmetal mining	135	13	148
Construction	1,790	178	1,968
Transportation	657	40	697
Communication and public utilities	2,610	274	2,884
Agricultural processing and miscellaneous manufacturing	2,485	1,522	4,007
Retail trade	18,735	1,430	20,165
Finance, insurance, and real estate	5,540	345	5,885
Business and personal service	1,379	177	1,556
Professional and social services	1,728	154	1,882
Households	26,528	2,184	28,712
Government	2,283	714	2,997
Coal mining	--	1	1
Electricity generation	--	7	7
Petroleum exploration and extraction	--	0	0
Petroleum refining	--	0	0
Recreation and tourism	--	2,953	2,953
Total Impacts	76,322	11,016	87,338
Secondary Employment	819	187	1,006

The accuracy of future estimates also could be improved through additional biological and physical science research and through more precise inventories of leafy spurge infestations. Specific needs include

1. More precise description of the physical relationships between leafy spurge infestations and wildlife populations
2. Examination of the relationship of leafy spurge infestations to runoff and soil erosion
3. More detailed estimates of the state's leafy spurge infestation by the land use/cover on which the infestation occurs (e.g., grazingland, road ditches) and land ownership (e.g., private, state, federal).

Whatever the actual amount of economic impacts resulting from the current level of leafy spurge infestation, policy makers should be aware that these impacts are substantial and are almost certain to increase substantially in the near future. Since 1962, the reported acreage of leafy spurge in North Dakota has been approximately doubling every 10 years, and recent estimates indicate that the acreage would be expected to increase by 37 percent over the next 5 years, in the absence of more effective control measures (Bangsund and Leistriz 1991). Leafy spurge has been reported in 26 states and 4 Canadian provinces, so the problem is not unique to North Dakota or even the Great Plains states.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Invasive weeds constitute a major problem for both public and private land managers and for policymakers. Weed infestations can have detrimental effects on a number of the outputs from grazingland or wildland. Decisions regarding resource allocation, either to use existing techniques to achieve weed control or to develop improved control technologies for future use, require an understanding of the economic effects of these undesirable plants. In this study, estimates were developed of the direct and secondary economic impacts of leafy spurge infestations on grazingland and wildland in North Dakota. The results indicate this weed has substantial economic impacts and that there is a potential for large increases in these impacts in the near future unless more effective control can be achieved. The study results indicate that, although pasture weeds are commonly regarded as a problem that affects only livestock producers, leafy spurge infestations result in direct effects to other groups as well (e.g., recreationists, water users). Further, the findings indicate that the secondary effects of leafy spurge infestations are substantial for many sectors of the state economy. Implementing more effective control of the weed should be an issue of concern to state policymakers generally, rather than just to those representing the livestock industry.

References

- Baltezore, James F., and Jay A. Leitch. 1992. *Extent and Impact of Resident and Nonresident Hunter and Angler Expenditures in North Dakota in 1989*. Fargo: North Dakota Agr. Exp. Sta.
- Bangsund, Dean A., and F. Larry Leistritz. 1991. *Economic Impacts of Leafy Spurge on Grazing Lands in the Northern Great Plains*. Agr. Econ. Rpt. No. 275-S. Fargo: North Dakota State University, Dept. of Agricultural Economics.
- Belcher, Joyce W., and Scott D. Wilson. 1989. "Leafy Spurge and the Species Composition of a Mixed-Grass Prairie." *Journal of Range Management* 42(2): 172-175.
- Carlson, R.B., and L. J. Littlefield. 1983. "The Potential for Biological Control of Leafy Spurge." *North Dakota Farm Research* 40:14-15.
- Chow, Paul N. 1984. "Control of Leafy Spurge in Pastures using Dicamba and 2,4-D." *Journal of Range Management* 37: 159-162.
- Coon, Randal C., Theresa K. Golz, and Jay A. Leitch. 1990. *Expanding the North Dakota Input-Output Model to Include Recreation and Tourism*. Agr. Econ. Rpt. No. 255. Fargo: North Dakota Agr. Exp. Sta.
- Coon, Randal C., F. Larry Leistritz, Thor A. Hertsgaard, and Arlen G. Leholm. 1985. *The North Dakota Input-Output Model: A Tool for Analyzing Economic Linkages*. Agr. Econ. Rpt. No. 187. Fargo: North Dakota Agr. Exp. Sta.
- Lacey, John R., Clayton B. Marlow, and John R. Lane. 1989. "Influence of Spotted Knapweed (*Centaureas maculosa*) on Surface Runoff and Sediment Yield." *Weed Technology* 3: 627-631.
- Leistritz, F. Larry, and Brenda L. Ekstrom. 1986. *Interdependencies of Agriculture and Rural Communities: An Annotated Bibliography*. New York: Garland Publishing Co.
- Leistritz, F. Larry, and Steve H. Murdock. 1981. *Socioeconomic Impact of Resource Development: Methods for Assessment*. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.
- Lym, Rodney G., and Calvin G. Messersmith. 1985. "Cost Effectiveness of Leafy Spurge Control During a 5-year Management Program." *North Dakota Farm Research* 42:7-9.
- Lym, Rodney G., and Calvin G. Messersmith. 1986. "Economic Leafy Spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.) Control and Forage Production in Pasture and Rangeland." *Proceedings of the Western Society of Weed Science* 38:176.
- Messersmith, Calvin G., and Rodney G. Lym. 1990. "Leafy Spurge Control: 10 Years of Research Enhancement." *North Dakota Farm Research* 47(6):3-6.

- Messersmith, Calvin G., Rodney G. Lym, and Donald S. Galitz. 1985. "Biology of Leafy Spurge." pp. 42-56 in *Leafy Spurge*, A. K. Watson, ed. Champaign, Illinois: Weed Science Society of America.
- Randall, Alan, and George L. Peterson. 1984. "The Valuation of Wildland Benefits: An Overview." pp. 1-52 in *Valuation of Wildland Resource Benefits*. G. Peterson and A. Randall, eds. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.
- Ribaudo, Marc O. 1986. *Reducing Soil Erosion: Offsite Benefits*. Agr. Econ. Rpt. No. 561. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Ribaudo, Marc O. 1989. *Water Quality Benefits from the Conservation Reserve Program*. Agr. Econ. Rpt. No. 606. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Rodgers, Charles K., K. William Easter, and Ted Graham-Tomasi. 1990. *The Off-Site Economic Benefits of Soil Conservation: A Review and Discussion of Recent Literature on the Recreational Demand for Water Quality Improvement*. Staff Paper No. P90-45. St. Paul, Minnesota: University of Minnesota, Dept. of Agr. and Applied Economics.
- Thompson, Flint. 1990. "Economic Impact of Leafy Spurge on North Dakota Grazing Land." Masters thesis. Fargo: North Dakota State University.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1989. *1987 Census of Agriculture, North Dakota*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Soil Conservation Service. 1987. *Basic Statistics -- 1982 National Resources Inventory*. Soil Conservation Service Stat. Bull. No. 765. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.
- U.S. Soil Conservation Service. 1991. *North Dakota -- 1987 National Resources Inventory*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.
- Wallace, Nancy M. 1992. "Economic Impact of Leafy Spurge on North Dakota Wildland." Masters thesis. Fargo: North Dakota State University.
- Wallace, Nancy M., Jay A. Leitch, and F. Larry Leistritz. 1992. *Economic Impact of Leafy Spurge on North Dakota Wildland*. Agr. Econ. Rpt. 281. Fargo: North Dakota State University, Dept. of Agricultural Economics.
- Watson, A. K. 1985. "Integrated Management of Leafy Spurge." pp. 93-103 in *Leafy Spurge*, A. K. Watson, ed. Champaign, Illinois: Weed Science Society of America.