



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

## **The Economics of Local Tourist Systems**

Guido Candela, Paolo Figini  
and Antonello E. Scorcu

NOTA DI LAVORO 138.2005

**NOVEMBER 2005**

NRM – Natural Resources Management

Guido Candela, Paolo Figini, and Antonello E. Scorcu, *University of Bologna*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:  
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:  
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=855965>

# The Economics of Local Tourist Systems

## Summary

In this paper we analyse the Local Tourist System (LTS) as a particular case of Marshallian Industrial District. The LTS allows the identification of more effective policy tools for managing tourism. First, through the concept of LTS, the policy maker can take into account the complexity of tourism, characterised by a strong heterogeneity of goods, services and subjects involved; second, LTS helps promote a stronger co-ordination between the public and the private sector, by identifying a homogeneous territory and recognising its importance in tourists' decisions; third, through the LTS the policymaker can analyze the externalities and promotes the idea of collaborating networks in a context of local development. In the LTS framework, the *anticommon* problem can be analysed and contrasted. As the tourist has to buy different but intertwined goods which compose the holiday package, the failure in one of the markets can lead to the overall failure of the package. A LTS policy has to: i) co-ordinate the price policy of the different firms supplying "single components" of the tourist product; ii) fix the price of the whole product; iii) impute a price to each component. We demonstrate that, through price policy co-ordination and under general conditions, the LTS can increase the size of tourism and the firms' profits, thereby reaching a more effective and efficient target in tourism policy. The recent introduction of LTS in the Italian legislation can be seen as a positive attempt of improving co-ordination in a complex sector such as tourism.

**Keywords:** Local tourist systems, Tourism policy

**JEL Classification:** L83, Q26

*This paper was presented at the Second International Conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development - Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari and Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank, Chia, Italy, 16-17 September 2005. The usual disclaimers apply.*

*Address for correspondence:*

Guido Candela  
Department of Economics  
University of Bologna  
Piazza Scaravilli 2  
40126 Bologna  
Italy  
Phone: +39 051 2098020  
Fax +39 051 221968  
E-mail: [guido.candela@unibo.it](mailto:guido.candela@unibo.it)

## 1. Introduction

The theoretical approach to Tourism Economics is based on the systemic nature of tourism, stemming both from the heterogeneity of the tourist package, and from the existing complex interactions among firms, tourists and residents. The tourist product is composed by several heterogeneous but complementary goods and services supplied by firms belonging to different industries which are mainly, but not exclusively, located in the tourist destination.

Recently, this systemic nature has also been recognised by the Italian legislation (*Legge Quadro sul Turismo*, No. 135/2001) by means of the creation of an economic policy instrument, namely *Local Tourist System*:

“We call local tourist systems, homogeneous or integrated tourist environments, which comprise territories also belonging to different regions, and which are characterized by the integrated supply of cultural, environmental goods and tourist attractions, including typical agricultural and local handicraft products, or those characterised by a widespread presence of individual or associated tourist firms” (National Tourist Law Reform, *Law 29 March 2001 No. 135*, Our Translation).

Moving from the assumption that a tourist firm has some specific characteristics which distinguish it from other typologies of firm, on one hand such a public intervention in the tourist sector assures support and development to tourist firms but, on the other hand, opens up potential consequences on market competition and equilibrium.

The systemic nature of tourism and the identification with its geographical representation, that is, the destination, calls for the introduction of what we can call *Local Tourist System* (LTS). The LTS, as a matter of fact, highlights a potential *trade-off* problem: on one hand they potentially increase effectiveness of the “tourist system” as a whole, by raising their competitiveness with respect to other tourist destinations; on the other hand, they bring about a possible increase in prices and a fall in demand. These consequences are due to the fact that the LTS shares some similarities to both the *Industrial District* (ID) model, that complies with firms' tendency of localizing in the same region,<sup>1</sup> and the *Cultural District* (CD) model based on the integration in the same region (that can be of very different sizes, from metropolitan to neighbourhoods) of artistic and cultural activities that, given the territorial identification, acquire their own idiosyncrasy.<sup>2</sup>

Each type of district aims to increase the efficiency of production and promotes the overall development of the local territory<sup>3</sup> (wherein the territory can be identified with the municipality, the county or the region); however – as it will be shown – although there are common goals, there are relevant differences, too.

Therefore, for the theoretical analysis, a model which allows to emphasize the differences and the similarities between LTS, ID and CD has to be introduced. This will be done in the Section 2, where these three different forms of district are introduced and analyzed in terms of prices, profits

---

<sup>1</sup> Among the several works on industrial districts, see Becattini (1989 and 2000). Industrial districts are very relevant to the Italian economy, whose industrial organisation, particularly in the Centre-North, is characterised by a high number of small and medium size firms which are geographically located in limited and hyper-specialised territories. Such a characteristic is considered one of the successful features of the Italian economic development of the last decades. Among the most known industrial districts, footwear in the Marche region, Sassuolo and Carpi pottery, Prato textiles, iron rods of Brescia, furniture factory in Brianza, eyeglasses in Cadore have to be mentioned as few of the many examples.

<sup>2</sup> On this point see Cuccia and Santagata (2003), and Santagata (2004). The development of the cultural *filière* as a possible (economic) specialisation of a geographical area is due to the Greater London Council case, based upon the integration between cultural activities and relevant sectors of the tourist system. The birth of CD may be a spontaneous process, as in the West End of London, the Greenwich Village in New York or the Rive Gauche in Paris; else, they may be the result of a development program or an urban refurbishment, as in Glasgow or in the Museum Quarter of Vienna (see Candela and Scorcu, 2004).

<sup>3</sup> Someone calls them “territorial policies” and especially “territorial policies for tourism” (Costa *et al.*, 2001), pp. 38-39.

and local population welfare – will be outlined. In Section 3, the reasons for State intervention in LTS will be analyzed. The focus will be, in particular, on coordination failures since, due to the systemic nature of the tourist good, an *anticommon* puzzle, similar but specular to the *common* one, arises. Section 4 outlines the economic policy discussion.

## 2 A simplified model of districts

### 2.1 Definitions and symbols

In order to study the theoretical aspects of industrial districts (ID), cultural districts (CD) and Local Tourist Systems (LTS), a simplified model with two regions,  $k = 1, 2$ , and two goods,  $j = x, y$ , has to be introduced. Moreover, two working hypothesis as regards geographical organisation of production, has to be considered:

a) *non systemic production*: Region 1 only produces good  $x$ , with  $y_1 = 0$ , while region 2 only produces good  $y$ , with  $x_2 = 0$ ;

b) *system*: the whole Region (1,2) produces both goods, and it is defined a system.

Assuming that every externality of production is being internalized by the local policy maker, the group of firms, which coincides with the region itself, can be considered a regional monopolist.

The local demand for goods  $x$  and  $y$ , approximated in a linear and separable form, in the case of non systemic production, is:

$$x = f(p_x) \approx a - bp_x \quad \text{demand for good } x, \text{ with price } p_x \quad [1a]$$

$$y = g(p_y) \approx m - np_y \quad \text{demand for good } y, \text{ with price } p_y. \quad [1b]$$

Three district typologies previously are introduced.

A) *The Industrial District*. In the ID constituted by the whole Region (1,2), demand functions for goods  $x$  and  $y$  – which are represented by eqs. [1] – do not change. However, an effect occurs with respect to costs; namely, *externalities* due to Marshallian agglomeration economies are internalised into the cost function of both goods. Hence, total costs  $C_j(\cdot)$  of firms pertaining to a sector also depend on the production level of firms of the other sector, as in eq. [2] that we assume for both goods  $x$  and  $y$  in these particular specifications:

$$C_x = H(x, y) \approx x^2 - y^2 \quad [2a]$$

$$C_y = K(x, y) \approx y^2 - x^2 \quad [2b]$$

B) *The Cultural district*. On the contrary, if Regions (1,2) constitutes a CD, there is a change in demand for goods  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to case of separation between Regions 1 and 2. This is due to the idiosyncratic effect stemming from the quality surplus identified into the cultural good. Effects on the cost side, on the contrary, can be ignored since externalities are a typical industrial effect, not a characteristic of cultural production; by promoting the comparison and competition among artists, the CD increases quality and creativity of its own artistic good, but it would not necessarily increase productivity. Therefore, it follows that eqs. [1] can be augmented with by a parameter  $\theta$  in the consumer's reserve price, as in eqs. [3]:

$$x = f'(p_x; \theta) \approx \theta a - bp_x \quad [3a]$$

$$y = g'(p_y; \theta) \approx \theta m - np_y \quad [3b]$$

where we assume that the effect  $\theta > 1$  is common and equal for both goods characterizing the CD.

Since we assumed that interaction does not produce any effect on the production side, cost functions in CD are separated, as in [4] that we assume for both goods  $x$  and  $y$  in these particular specifications:

$$C_x = H(x) \approx x^2 \quad [4a]$$

$$C_y = K(y) \approx y^2 \quad [4b]$$

C) *The Local Tourist System.* Tourist demand can be represented by the quantity of goods demanded in the destination by tourists, function of  $N$ , for example the number of nights (or stays) spent in the destination. Of course, if there are two separate regions, tourists will have at their disposal a tourist good “monotonous” in each region, while the tourist product in LTS will include both goods offered, becoming *heterogeneous*. According to these different hypotheses, we have:

a) tourist demand in Region 1 is:  $N_x = A - Bv_1$ , with  $v_1 = hp_x$ , since the region offers in its tourist product just  $h$  unity of good  $x$  per night spent;

b) tourist demand in Region 2 is:  $N_y = A - Bv_2$ , with  $v_2 = kp_y$ , since the region offers in its tourist product just  $k$  unity of good  $y$  per night spent;

c) tourist demand in the LTS composed of Region (1, 2) is:  $N_x^{LTS} = \sigma A - Bv_1$  and  $N_y^{LTS} = \sigma A - Bv_2$ .

Since the region offers a tourist product of higher quality compared to the one supplied by the two separate regions, one can assume that:

$$\sigma > 1 \quad [5]$$

Since LTS firms produce goods ( $x$  and  $y$ ) that enter into the tourist product, respectively for the amount of  $h$  and  $k$ ,<sup>4</sup> total demand for each good is the sum of indigenous and tourist demands, which we assume independents.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, the overall demand for goods  $x$  and  $y$ , in Regions 1 and 2 respectively, are the following:

$$X = x + hN_1 = f(p_x) + hN_1 = (a + hA_1) - (b + h^2B)p_x \quad [6]$$

$$Y = y + kN_2 = g(p_y) + kN_2 = (m + kA_2) - (n + k^2B)p_y \quad [7]$$

In the LTS composed by the Region (1,2), because of the higher quality hypothesis, local plus tourist demands are:

$$X = x + hN_x^{LTS} = f(p_x) + hN_x^{LTS} = (a + h\sigma A) - (b + h^2B)p_x \quad [6a]$$

$$Y = y + kN_y^{LTS} = g(p_y) + kN_y^{LTS} = (m + k\sigma A) - (n + k^2B)p_y \quad [7a]$$

Obviously, since the tourist product is a combination of different goods, local firms enjoy Marshallian agglomeration economies; hence, the positive externality effect on production costs occurs in the LTS, as well as within the ID. Therefore, total production costs of firms of one sector also depend on the production of the other sector, confirming for the LTS the same cost structure [2a] and [2b] of the ID, for which we assume the same specification:

<sup>4</sup> An example might illustrate this assumption. If the good  $x$  is the “board”,  $p_x$  is the price of daily board price and includes two meals a day. We can assume that tourists consume one meal per day in a restaurant (for instance, in case of a “half-board” stay, because the other meal is already included in the lodging): the demand  $N_1 = A_1 - Bv_1$ , with  $v_1 = hp_x$ , takes on, in that case, the value  $h = 1/2$ . The overall demand  $X = x + hN$  is consequently made up by a local component ( $x$ ) and by the tourist component given by nights spent  $N$  weighted for  $h = 1/2$  taking into consideration that tourists consume just one meal per day and not two meals.

<sup>5</sup> That is, we leave out externalities between tourists and residents (see Candela, Castellani and Dieci, 2004).

$$H = x^2 - y^2 ; K = y^2 - x^2.$$

All the key elements to assess the optimal policy of ID, CD and LTS are at a glance, since regions can be considered as monopolistic firms where the policy maker aims at maximising the overall profit. Moreover, we can also assess the welfare effect on the local community of each of the three cases considered. The simplest way to do so it is to introduce the *i-th* representative agent's utility function, a Cobb-Douglas, defined on both goods *x* and *y*:

$$U_i = \Theta_i x_i^\alpha y_i^{1-\alpha} \quad [8]$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and  $\Theta_i > 0$  is a quality parameter which measures the satisfaction that the *i-th* agent gets from the goods. Given disposable income  $Y_i$  and prices  $p_x$  and  $p_y$ , the consumer maximises eq. [8] by allocating a fixed share of his own income to each good, according to the elasticity of the utility function:<sup>6</sup>

$$U_i = \Theta_i (\alpha Y_i / p_x)^\alpha [(1 - \alpha) Y_i / p_y]^{1-\alpha} = \Theta_i Y_i (\alpha / p_x)^\alpha [(1 - \alpha) / p_y]^{1-\alpha}$$

To estimate the change in welfare for the *i-th* agent, we compute the total differential of the  $U_i$ :

$$dU_i = (U_i / \Theta_i) d\Theta_i + (U_i / Y_i) dY_i - \alpha (U_i / p_x) dp_x - (1 - \alpha) (U_i / p_y) dp_y \quad [9]$$

## 2.2 Industrial regions and industrial district

Let us consider model A of previous section, for industrial regions, organised or not as industrial districts, and compute market equilibria and welfare of the local population in the different cases.

Referring to the more general case of an *Industrial district (1,2) producing goods x and y*, production goal for the ID coincides with the joint profit maximisation of both firms which, being a district, avoid the market failure by internalizing the externality effect:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{p_x, p_y} \Pi_{12} &= x f(p_x) + y g(p_y) - H(x, y) - K(x, y) = \\ &= ap_x - bp_x^2 + mp_y - np_y^2 - (x^2 - y^2) - (y^2 - x^2) \end{aligned} \quad [10]$$

If *Region 1 produces only good x* (for  $y \equiv 0$ ,  $K(.) \equiv 0$ ) the production goal for Region 1 reduces to the profit maximisation of firms producing good *x*:

$$\max_{p_x} \Pi_1 = ap_x - bp_x^2 - x^2 \quad [10a]$$

If *Region 2 produces only good y* (for  $x \equiv 0$ ,  $H(.) \equiv 0$ ) the production goal for Region 2 reduces to the profit maximisation of firms producing good *y*

$$\max_{p_y} \Pi_2 = mp_y - np_y^2 - y^2 \quad [10b]$$

From the first order conditions of eqs [10] we obtain the prices of regions:

- in Region 1:  $p_x = a / 2b + x$
- in Region 2:  $p_y = m / 2n + y$
- in the ID (1, 2):  $p_x^{ID} = a / 2b$  and  $p_y^{ID} = m / 2n$

<sup>6</sup> The Cobb-Douglas has constant utility elasticities therefore the consumer spends for each good a constant income share.

Obviously the emergence of the ID brings a reduction in prices with respect to the non systemic production;  $p_x > p_x^{ID}$  e  $p_y > p_y^{ID}$ , and hence an increase in the quantity of the two goods supplied by the market.

Such results are due to the positive *externality effect on both production costs* stemming from geographical agglomeration, an effect that can not be internalised if the two industrial regions are separated and independent.

With respect to the welfare effect, eq. [9] shows that consumers always gain by the emergence of the ID, since the lower prices and the consequent increase in quantity is a sufficient condition bringing to (with  $d\Theta_i = dY_i = 0$ ) an increase in the consumer indirect utility level,  $dU_i > 0$ .

### 2.3 Cultural regions and cultural district

Let us consider model B of section 2.1, for regions which can be either organised or not as cultural districts, and compute market equilibrium and welfare of the local population in the different cases.

In the case of the *Cultural district (1,2) producing goods x and y under the same brand*, the production goal for the CD is the joint profit maximisation of (cultural) firms, which discount a positive quality effect  $\theta$  on their respective products, recognised as parts of the same cultural package:

$$\text{Max}_{p_x, p_y} \Pi_{12} = xf''(p_x) + yg'(p_y) - H(x) - K(y) = \theta ap_x - bp_x^2 + \theta mp_y - np_y^2 - x^2 - y^2 \quad [11]$$

If *Region 1 specializes in cultural cultural good x* (for  $y \equiv 0$ ,  $K(.) \equiv 0$  and  $\theta = 1$ ) the previous expression reduced to:

$$\text{max}_{p_x} \Pi_1 = ap_x - bp_x^2 - x^2 \quad [11a]$$

whereas if *Region 2 specializes in good y* (for  $x \equiv 0$ ,  $H(.) \equiv 0$  and  $\theta = 1$ ) the corresponding expression is:

$$\text{max}_{p_y} \Pi_2 = mp_y - np_y^2 - y^2 \quad [11b]$$

From the first order conditions of eqs [11] we obtain the prices of regions and CD:

- in Region 1:  $p_x = a / 2b + x$
- in Region 2:  $p_y = m / 2n + y$
- in the CD (1, 2):  $p_x^{CD} = \theta a / 2b + x$  and  $p_y^{DC} = \theta m / 2n + y$

In this case the emergence of the CD brings about an increase in prices with respect to the non systemic production,  $p_x < p_x^{CD}$  e  $p_y < p_y^{CD}$ , due to *the idiosyncratic effect recognised for cultural goods of the district*. In the CD, prices increase as a result of the quality improvement,  $dp_x > 0$  e  $dp_y > 0$ , hence bringing about a reduction in the consumer's utility. However, the quality improvement brings about an increase in utility,  $d\Theta_i > 0$ . Then, in case income remains unchanged,  $dY_i = 0$ , consumers gain from CD only if the following condition is verified:

$$(U_i / \Theta_i) d\Theta_i > \alpha(U_i / p_x) dp_x + (1 - \alpha)(U_i / p_y) dp_y \quad [12]$$

Nevertheless, [12] is often the expected outcome, since it is the micro-economic precondition supporting the assumption that the CD “protects” the market for cultural goods. If [12] is not verified, the representative consumer would “reject” the district organisation and demand functions



[3a] and [3b] would show  $\theta < 1$ . Therefore, the existence of a CD is likely to be related to an higher consumer's welfare.

#### 2.4 Tourist-industrial destinations and Local Tourist Systems

Consider now model C in section 2.1, for regions which can be either organised or not as Local Tourist Systems. The goal of *Local Tourist System* (1,2) is profit maximisation of the joint production of goods  $x$  and  $y$ , supplied to both tourists and residents:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{p_x, p_y} \Pi_{12} &= Xp_x + Yp_y - H(X, Y) - K(X, Y) = \\ &= (a + h\sigma A)p_x - (b + h^2B)p_x^2 + (m + k\sigma A)p_y - (n + k^2B)p_y^2 - X^2 + Y^2 - Y^2 + X^2 \end{aligned} \quad [13]$$

If we have the *Tourist-industrial region 1* ( $Y \equiv 0, K(.) \equiv 0$ ) the overall goal for Region 1 is profit maximisation from the production of good  $x$ , supplied to tourists and residents:

$$\max_{p_x} \Pi_1 = (a + hA)p_x - (b + h^2B)p_x^2 - X^2 \quad [13a]$$

whereas in the *Tourist-industrial region 2* ( $X \equiv 0, H(.) \equiv 0$ ) the overall goal is profit maximisation from the production of good  $y$ , supplied to tourists and residents:

$$\max_{p_y} \Pi_2 = (m + kA)p_y - (n + k^2B)p_y^2 - Y^2 \quad [13b]$$

From the first order conditions of eqs [13] we obtain the prices of tourist-industrial regions and LTS:

- in Tourist-industrial region 1:  $p_x = [(a + hA) / 2(b + h^2B)] + X$
- in Tourist-industrial region 2:  $p_y = [(m + kA) / 2(n + k^2B)] + Y$
- in the LTS (1, 2):  $p_x^{LTS} = (a + h\sigma A) / 2(b + h^2B)$  and  $p_y^{LTS} = (m + k\sigma A) / 2(n + k^2B)$

In this case the LTS does not produce any certain effect on prices, with respect to the two independent destinations. In fact, the following differences are not univoquely defined:

$$\begin{aligned} p_x^{LTS} - p_x &= hA(\sigma - 1) / 2(b + h^2B) - X \\ p_y^{LTS} - p_y &= kA(\sigma - 1) / 2(n + k^2B) - Y \end{aligned}$$

since, for eq. [5],  $\sigma > 1$ . This conclusion stems from a twofold effect of LTS: on one hand, agglomeration economies reduce the average cost of goods; on the other hand, the *quality improvement in the tourist product brought about by its completion* increases tourist demand.

Therefore, the LTS shows similarities to both ID (the tourist good is a bundle of goods that enjoys the advantages of external returns to scale) and CD (the tourist good can be assimilated to a cultural good and hence it takes profit from the idiosyncratic image of the destination).<sup>7</sup> The LTS is therefore a complex system: it is not only an ID, since the territorial aggregation also has an effect on the demand side; it is not only a CD, since the aggregation also has an effect on the average costs of production. Therefore, the LTS does not provide neither a unique result on the price system, nor – as we now highlight – on total welfare of local population.

In principle, we have to distinguish two cases: if the LTS brings about a price reduction, the local consumer – as in the ID case – surely takes advantage of it. But if prices increases, the change in utility of the agent  $i$  depends on whether or not she takes part in the distribution of profits generated by tourism itself; in fact if we assume that resident  $i.th$  of the destination, which demands

<sup>7</sup> Nevertheless, unlike industrial districts, tourism districts need a widespread supply also of cultural and environmental goods, as well as tourist attractions, typical crafts and agricultural products, etc.

are [1a] and [1b], do not consume the local tourist product, she do not take advantage from its quality improvement,  $d\Theta_i = 0$ .

Alternatively, if the representative agent receives income from the Local Tourist System,  $dY_i > 0$ , she will have an increase in her own indirect utility if:

$$(U_i / R_i)dY_i > \alpha(U_i / p_x)dp_x + (1 - \alpha)(U_i / p_y)dp_y \quad [14]$$

Otherwise, if the resident gets a job in some other sector and does not get any income from the Local Tourist System,  $dY_i = 0$ , there exists not such a condition to make eq. [9] positive: the local consumer who does not take part in the distribution of income generated by tourism – or who receives an insignificant share such that eq. [14] would not be fulfilled – does not get any improvement from the LTS and suffers a reduction in his welfare,  $dU_i < 0$ .

To sum up, while in our framework ID increases consumer's welfare, and while we may suppose that CD is likely to produce an increase in welfare, with respect to LTS the answer is not clear. When LTS is associated with an increase in prices, the welfare change is not uniquely defined and *local families' gains depend on the way income, generated from extra-tourism attracted by the better quality of the tourist product in the destination organised as a LTS,<sup>8</sup> is distributed.*

### 3. The state role in LTS: coordination of tourist firms

#### 3.1 The role of the destination authority

Public intervention in the tourist sector is needed for two fundamental reasons: i) to finance tourist public goods: those structures and infrastructures needed to complete the tourist product and to increase the competitiveness of the whole destination and for which the private market fails;<sup>9</sup> ii) to solve a potential coordination problem arising when private firms supply different goods and services, that compose the whole tourist product. This latter issue, which can be considered an *anticommon* problem, is analysed in this section, with particular reference to the role that the public authority of the tourist destination may play.

We develop a very simple model. In the destination there are just two types of firms: hotels, offering accommodation but any board, and restaurants, offering board, but which are not in a position to offer accommodation. Under these conditions, tourists intending to spend a holiday in the destination have to buy services from both firms, which can individually exclude the tourists by denying them board or accommodation. In the tourism sector, whose product combines heterogeneous goods supplied by various and different firms, this situation easily occurs. This condition is known as *anticommon*, a situation in which there is property fragmentation<sup>10</sup> – opposed to the *common* condition, characterised by the lack of property rights.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>8</sup> This rationale leads to the issue of tourist *enclaves* and to the income distribution of local communities in developing countries specialized in tourism. We know that these communities do not enjoy the advantages of the tourist multiplier, but we have now demonstrated that they may also suffer a damage from the increase in prices due to tourist arrivals. Nevertheless, this effect may also occur in developed country destinations: families of local workers employed in sectors which are not connected to tourism do not get any additional income; on the contrary, they may suffer the increase in prices of, for example, real estates and building lands. Hence, the LTS leads us directly to the inequality issue and, from here, to the problem of social sustainability of the development model based on tourist specialization.

<sup>9</sup> See Candela and Figini (2005), chap. 11.

<sup>10</sup> Obviously, this problem arises to where different firms produce “components” which contribute to the production of the final good.

<sup>11</sup> The *anticommon* concept has been introduced by Michelman (1982) and has been developed by Heller (1998 and 1999). See Parisi *et al.* (2000) and Parisi *et al.* (2004). The *common* good is a good for which property rights are not precisely defined, being this way at everyone's disposable (Hardin 1968).

If  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  are respectively the accommodation and the board price, the tourist product, composed by one unit of each service per day, will have the price  $v$  equal to  $v = p_a + p_b$ . Tourist demand is expressed by the number of nights spent  $N$  and, in this case, can be considered equal to:

$$N = a - v = a - p_a - p_b$$

If we assume, by the sake of simplification, that production costs are null, the profit maximisation problem for the accommodation and restaurant firms are, respectively:

$$\max_{p_a} \pi_a = p_a N = p_a(a - p_a - p_b) \quad [15]$$

$$\max_{p_b} \pi_b = p_b N = p_b(a - p_a - p_b) \quad [15a]$$

and the corresponding first order conditions from which we get the accommodation equilibrium and the board equilibrium prices are:

$$p_a = (a - p_b) / 2 \quad [16]$$

$$p_b = (a - p_a) / 2 \quad [16a]$$

We can solve system [16] and [16a] by substitution and, in the specification of our example, we get the following equilibrium prices:

$$p_b^* = p_a^* = a / 3 \quad [17]$$

Therefore, a tourist demanding the tourist product  $N$  supplied by the destination, and composed by one unit of accomodation and board per day, pays a total price  $v$  equal to:

$$v^* = 2a / 3 \quad [18]$$

which implies a quantity level of equilibrium equal to  $N^* = a / 3$ . In this situation, firms get the following profits:

$$\pi_a^* = \pi_b^* = (a / 3) (a / 3) = a^2 / 9 \quad [19]$$

Can there be any improvement from this situation? Let us assume now that there is a unique firm offering board and accommodation at the same time, eventually coordinated by a destination authority, that assume the role of a policy maker for tourism sector. That is as much as to say that the supply problem can be analysed focussing on the profit maximisation of the whole destination. By considering the same demand function  $N = a - v$  we get:

$$\max_v \pi = vN = v(a - v) \quad [20]$$

and from the first order condition we get the equilibrium price for the destination is:

$$v^{**} = a / 2 \quad [21]$$

Comparing eq. [21] with eq. [18], we note that  $v^* > v^{**}$  whereas  $N^{**} = a / 2 > a / 3 = N^*$ . Therefore, *without the coordination of the destination authority, the price of the tourist product is as a whole too high* and consequently tourist nights spent in the destination are too low.

Since we assume that both hotels and restaurants of this example are symmetric as regards costs (both null), the policy maker of the destination can decide the optimal structure of prices for

both accommodation and board,  $p_a^{**} = p_b^{**} = a / 4$ . These *posted prices* imply, by means of eqs. [15], that profits for both firms are:

$$\pi_a^{**} = \pi_b^{**} = (a / 4)(a / 2) = a^2 / 8 \quad [22]$$

Profits shown in eq. [22] are obviously higher than those in eq. [19] since  $a^2 / 8 > a^2 / 9$ ; we can conclude that in the LTS, when the local government solves the coordination problem by imposing (or proposing) the optimal price structure, both the accommodation and the catering firms increase their profits, together with a positive effect on tourists, who can gain from lower prices.

Therefore, in the LTS, the policymaker should: i) coordinate firms producing the goods which enter the tourist package; ii) fix the price of the whole product; iii) impute the price for each component of the tourist product, such as to suggest a coordination of posted prices. Coordination is needed since the tourist product turns out to be an *anticommon*, in which property is shared among several firms supplying different parts of the final good. In other words, there is an excess of property rights in the economic sense. Coordination intervention by the policy maker leads to both an increase in profits for all firms, and to a greater number of nights spent by tourists.

### 3.2 The role of the tour operator between the destination authority and the tourist firm

The positive role in solving the coordination problem is not an exclusive prerequisite of the public authority: if the policy maker refuses the coordination responsibility, the *anticommon* problem could find a solution in the establishment of a “centralised” tourist firm: the *tour operator*.<sup>12</sup>

The *tour operator* offers to local firms a “vuoto per pieno” contract at the discounted price ( $p_j - d$ ), with the same discount  $d$  applied to both tourist firms. The “full board” package is offered directly by the tour operator at price  $v$ . The non-coordinated equilibrium price constitutes a constraint for the tour operator in determining the contract, which must be accepted by the local tourist firm. Its program is therefore:<sup>13</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{v,d} \pi_{to} &= vN - (p_a - d)N - (p_b - d)N = (v - p_a - p_b + 2d)N & [23] \\ \text{s.c.} & \\ N &= a - v \\ (p_a - d)N &\geq a^2 / 9 \quad \text{participation constraint for the accommodation firm} \\ (p_b - d)N &\geq a^2 / 9 \quad \text{participation constraint for the restaurant} \end{aligned}$$

as  $a^2 / 9$  is the profit which the firms will gain in the case of a direct offer to the tourists, without intermediation (and in the case of rent of their full production capacity).

Without the tour operator, the equilibrium price [17] will be  $p_a = p_d = a / 3$  and the two different participation constraints collapse into the same inequality. As usual in the agency models, if we assume that the principal gives the agency the minimum acceptable profit, from the constraints we get the (provisional) value of  $d$ :

$$d = a / 3 - a^2 / 9(a - v) \quad [24]$$

Having substituted [24] in the *tour operator* profit function, we obtain:

<sup>12</sup> The *tour operator* signs “insurance” contracts with hotels, restaurants, air companies, etc., which accept a lower but certain price by transferring risk to the tour operator (see Mussoni (2004), Castellani and Mussoni (2004)). Firms accept the coordination role of the tour operator if, although accepting a lower price, they end up with equal or higher profits. Therefore, also the *tour operator* can play a positive role in the LTS, by imposing a private solution – automatic and with no public intervention – to the *anticommon* failure. The analogy with the case of common goods, which “tragedy” may be avoided by giving property rights to either public or private monopoly, is therefore complete.

<sup>13</sup> On the optimal tourist contract in stochastic environment, see Mussoni (2004) and Castellani and Mussoni (2004).

$$\max_v \pi_{to} = v(a - v) - 2a^2 / 9 \quad [25]$$

The first order condition [25] defines the solution  $v_{to} = a / 2 = v^{**}$ , the same optimal offer selected by the policymaker (see [21]). However, even if the *tour operator* solution mimics the social optimum, the profit for the two firm is different: by construction the *tour operator* reaps of the whole increase in profits:<sup>14</sup>

$$\pi_a = \pi_b = a^2 / 9 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{to} = 5a^2 / 9 \quad \text{being} \quad d = 2a / 9$$

Obviously, several intermediate solutions are possible, but always arises a distributive conflict between the local tourist firm and the tour operator, as this latter agent tries to fill the gap left by the policymaker.

#### 4 Conclusion

Industrial, Cultural and Local Tourist Systems all emerge for one reason: to create an economic advantage due to a geographical integration. Nevertheless, the simple models presented in this paper demonstrate that these common objectives should not hide crucial differences with regard to characteristics, effects and strategies.<sup>15</sup>

The ID arises as a consequence of important positive externalities, in terms of reduction of the average costs enjoyed by firms located in the same area. Firms perceive the advantage of a common localisation, cost reduction and profit increase: economic rationality leads firms to such industrial agglomeration. In the Marshallian perspective, public intervention is unnecessary to manage industrial districts, since congestion and decay of the territory (external diseconomies) would replace economies, making unprofitable the over-localisation in an ID. As ID allow firms to access more efficient production organisations and techniques, they also advantage consumers, who share the average cost reduction by means of a price reduction.

CD, on the contrary, arise from the need of cultural identification of the territory; consequently the territory recognises a idiosyncratic aspect in its cultural product; the CD goal is to make the product promptly recognizable (and certified) by connoisseurs and cultural consumers, therefore increasing their reservation price.<sup>16</sup>

“One of the institutional conditions that favoured the economic growth of highly integrated territories, has been the assignment of collective property rights on local resources. Collective *property rights* (territorial, product, as well as cultural labels, etc.) are a way to offer efficient economic incentives and better development perspectives to local producers. Furthermore, these collective rights protect from counterfacing and dumping. In the end, they represent a great contribution to the construction of the public perception of the site” (Santagata *et al.*, 2004, p. 60. Our own translation).

Nevertheless, the establishment of a CD does not automatically break out as in the ID case. Actually, the CD develops a territorial brand from which all local cultural firms wish to be covered, with the risk of “playing down”, through overproduction, the image itself of the cultural district: the

<sup>14</sup> In the more general case the solution requires the Khun-Tucker approach..

<sup>15</sup> The previous quantitative results are not robust, being dependent upon very specific (and ad hoc) assumptions. (separability, specific functional forms,...). A natural extension of this framework should be the computation of a GE model, grounded on strong microeconomic principles. Most of our qualitative results, however, should remain unaffected.

<sup>16</sup> See Candela and Scorcu (2004).

CD typically presents a *common* problem.<sup>17</sup> From this point of view, CD needs public intervention to deal with the distribution of rights to enjoy product brand (Cuccia and Santagata 2003). In the end, CD, if successful, leads to an increase in the consumer surplus, since the positive change in utility induced by a better quality more than compensate for the sacrifice of higher prices.

The LTS comes out from the observation that the tourist product is composed by a bundle of heterogeneous different goods and services, complementing to each other in the tourist destination; hence, the LTS solves production coordination and completion. Compared to other industries, coordination rules are needed in tourism since “no activity is more difficult than the tourist one”. However, as shown in this paper, such a combination may not automatically develop, since tourist production presents an *anticommon* problem and the policy maker intervention is needed: LTS needs intervention for its definition and implementation, although also a private intervention by a *tour operator* could solve the problem.

In a LTS the role of the public authority is twofold: coordinate interaction among firms, and complete the tourist product by supplying the infrastructures and services needed.

Since the ultimate effect of LTS on prices – pushed down by agglomeration externalities but pushed up by extra demand in tourism due to higher quality – is not uniquely defined, it may happen that total welfare in the destination depends on the way revenue from tourism is locally distributed.

Table 1 sums up our conclusions; it can be argued that there are more diversities than similarities among the three models of local production organization presented in this paper.

**Table 1 – A Comparison of industrial, cultural and Local Tourist Systems**

Type of district	Reasons for the birth	Need for public intervention	Rationale for public intervention	Local community welfare
<b>Industrial district</b>	Externalities	No	---	Welfare increases
<b>Cultural district</b>	Product idiosyncrasy	Yes	Remedy to the problem of <i>commons</i>	Welfare increases in case of success
<b>Local Tourist System</b>	Goods and services combination	Yes	Remedy to the problem of <i>anticommons</i>	Uncertain result depending on the income distribution

ID and CD importance in real world economies has been widely recognised for a long time, but also LTS are gaining importance and highlight the relevance of a policy approach based on the destination. In Italy, a sort of LTS has been introduced by the law, explicitly denominated *Sistema Turistico Locale* (STL) in the National Tourist Act (No. 135 of 2001). STLs represent a policy tool aimed at favouring the integrated development of tourism, by taking into consideration the heterogeneity and complexity of the phenomenon, and by favouring firms aggregation and the public and private linkages.

STLs represent a new way to conceive and administrate the territory aiming at overcoming the existing fragmentations of the Italian territory, enhancing the integration between tourism and other industries, and by looking endogenously for the optimal size of the destination. In opting for the institutional introduction of the STL, the policymaker aims at stimulate the development of tourism even to the detriment of possible drawbacks on competition or, rather, competition between STL is favoured to the detriment of competition between single tourist firms.

<sup>18</sup> Common goods (Hardin, 1968) risk depletion if access is not regulated. To investigate this issue see Balducci *et al.* (2001).

## Bibliography

- Antonetto, P., 2001. La nuova legge quadro: luci e ombre, *La Rivista del Turismo*, 5/6:15.
- Balducci, R., G. Candela, and A.E. Scorcu, 2001. *Introduzione alla Politica Economica*, Zanichelli, Bologna.
- Becattini, G., 1989. *Modelli locali di sviluppo*, Il Mulino, Bologna.
- Becattini, G., 2000. *Distretti industriali e sviluppo locale*, Bollati Boringhieri, Turin.
- Candela, G., M. Castellani and R. Dieci, 2005. *Technology of the Externalities and Economic Policy*, Collana "Note e ricerche", Biblioteca Centralizzata del Polo Scientifico-Didattico di Rimini, University of Bologna.
- Candela, G. and P. Figini, 2005. *Economia dei Sistemi Turistici*, McGraw-Hill, Milan.
- Candela, G., e A.E. Scorcu, 2004. *Economia delle Arti*, Zanichelli, Bologna.
- Castellani, M., and M. Mussoni, 2004. An Economic Analysis of the Tourism Contracts: Free Sale and Allotment, Collana "Note e ricerche", Biblioteca Centralizzata del Polo Scientifico-Didattico di Rimini, University of Bologna.
- Costa, P., M. Manente, and M.C. Furlan, 2001. *Politica economica del turismo*, Touring University Press, Milan.
- Cuccia, T., and W. Santagata, 2004. Adhesion - Exit: Incentivi e diritti di proprietà collettivi nei distretti culturali, *Studi Economici*, 80:5-30.
- Hardin, G., 1968. The Tragedy of the Commons, *Science*, 162:1243-48.
- Heller, M.A., 1998. The Tragedy of the Anticommons: Property in the Transition from Marx to Markets, *Harvard Law Review*, 111:621.
- Heller, M.A., 1999. The Boundaries of Private Property, *Yale Law Review*, 108:1163-223.
- Michelman, F. I., 1982. Ethics, Economics, and the Law of Property, in J. R. Pennock and J.W. Chapman (eds.), *Nomos XXIV: Ethics, Economics and the Law*, New York University Press, New York.
- Mussoni, M. 2004. Il contratto vuoto per pieno: un'analisi economica, *Sistemaeconomico*, 2:17-51.
- Myrdal, G., 1957. *Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Regions*, London 1957.
- Parisi, F., B. Depoorter, and N. Schultz, 2000. Duality in Property: Commons and Anticommons, *Law and Economics Research Paper Series*, N. 00-32, University of Virginia School of Law.
- Parisi, F., N. Schultz, and B. Depoorter, 2004. Simultaneous and Sequential Anticommons, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 17:175-90.
- Santagata, W., 2004. Cultural Districts and Economic Development, in V. Ginsburgh e D. Thorsby (eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Art and Culture*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Santagata, W., P.L. Scandizzo, and P. Valentini, 2004. Ora ci vogliono i numeri per rimanere nella lista Unesco del patrimonio mondiale, *Il Giornale dell'arte*, Settembre, p. 60.

## NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

### Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

<http://www.repec.org>

### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u></i>
ETA	2.2004	<i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u></i>
PRA	3.2004	<i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u></i>
ETA	4.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u></i>
ETA	5.2004	<i>Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u></i>
CCMP	6.2004	<i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u></i>
PRA	7.2004	<i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u></i>
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u></i>
PRA	9.2004	<i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u></i>
PRA	10.2004	<i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u></i>
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u></i>
PRA	12.2004	<i>Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u></i>
PRA	13.2004	<i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u></i>
PRA	14.2004	<i>Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u></i>
PRA	15.2004	<i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u></i>
PRA	16.2004	<i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	17.2004	<i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&amp;D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u></i>
NRM	18.2004	<i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u></i>
SIEV	19.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u></i>
NRM	20.2004	<i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u></i>
NRM	21.2004	<i>Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u></i>
NRM	22.2004	<i>Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u></i>
NRM	23.2004	<i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u></i>
NRM	24.2004	<i>Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u></i>
NRM	25.2004	<i>Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u></i>
NRM	26.2004	<i>Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u></i>
NRM	27.2004	<i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u></i>
CSRM	28.2004	<i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u></i>
NRM	29.2004	<i>Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u></i>
NRM	30.2004	<i>Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u></i>
CCMP	31.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u></i>
CCMP	32.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u></i>
CTN	33.2004	<i>Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u></i>
KTHC	34.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u></i>
KTHC	35.2004	<i>Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u></i>



KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI</i> (Ixviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE</i> (Ixviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE</i> (Ixviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE</i> : <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST</i> : <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS</i> (Ixix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u>
KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI</i> : <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRHUELA-VILLAR</i> : <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL</i> (Ixvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU</i> (Ixvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy</u>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG</i> : <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI</i> : <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF</i> (Ixvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON</i> : <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN</i> : <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA</i> (Ixvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI</i> (Ixvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO</i> : <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ</i> (Ixvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK</i> (Ixvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> : <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA</i> : <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO</i> : <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER</i> : <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u>
SIEV	73.2004	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA</i> : <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u>

CCMP	74.2004	<i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u>
ETA	75.2004	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	<i>Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u>
CTN	77.2004	<i>Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERA and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u>
CTN	78.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u>
CTN	79.2004	<i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u>
CTN	80.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u>
CTN	81.2004	<i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>
CTN	82.2004	<i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u>
CTN	83.2004	<i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u>
CTN	84.2004	<i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u>
CTN	85.2004	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u>
IEM	86.2004	<i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPHAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u>
CCMP	88.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u>
IEM	89.2004	<i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u>
GG	90.2004	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u>
PRA	91.2004	<i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxv): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KTHC	92.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	<i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u>
CCMP	94.2004	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u>
CCMP	95.2004	<i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u>
CCMP	96.2004	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u>
CTN	97.2004	<i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u>
CTN	98.2004	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u>
GG	99.2004	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u>
SIEV	100.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u>
SIEV	101.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u>
NRM	102.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u>
CCMP	103.2004	<i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u>
PRA	105.2004	<i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URGA</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u>
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u>
PRA	107.2004	<i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u>
SIEV	108.2004	<i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u>
CTN	109.2004	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u>
NRM	110.2004	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u>
KTHC	112.2004	<i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u>
SIEV	113.2004	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u>
IEM	114.2004	<i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : <u>Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact on the Security of Supply?</u>
IEM	115.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies</u>

ITEM	116.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: <u>Social Costs of Energy Disruptions</u></i>
ITEM	117.2004	<i>Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Market-Based Options for Security of Energy Supply</u></i>
ITEM	118.2004	<i>David FISK: <u>Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?</u></i>
ITEM	119.2004	<i>Giacomo LUCIANI: <u>Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?</u></i>
ITEM	120.2004	<i>L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: <u>The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets</u></i>
KTHC	121.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy</u></i>
NRM	122.2004	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSLAK and Anita FASSIO: <u>An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application</u></i>
NRM	123.2004	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach</u></i>
ETA	124.2004	<i>Paul MENSINK: <u>Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited</u></i>
NRM	125.2004	<i>Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca PALMISANI: <u>Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach</u></i>
PRA	126.2004	<i>Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: <u>The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence from Poland and the Czech Republic</u></i>
CCMP	127.2004	<i>Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>A General Equilibrium Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism</u></i>
CCMP	128.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy Savings</u></i>
NRM	129.2004	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth</u></i>
PRA	130.2004	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: <u>Reluctant Privatization</u></i>
SIEV	131.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: <u>Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation</u></i>
SIEV	132.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: <u>Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models</u></i>
ITEM	133.2004	<i>Santiago J. RUBIO: <u>On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited</u></i>
ETA	134.2004	<i>Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: <u>Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma</u></i>
SIEV	135.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI: <u>Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i>
CCMP	136.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The Influence of World Energy Prices</u></i>
ETA	137.2004	<i>Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: <u>Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an Environmental Taxation Game</u></i>
CCMP	138.2004	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China</u></i>
CCMP	139.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy</u></i>
NRM	140.2004	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: <u>The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis</u></i>
PRA	141.2004	<i>Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): <u>Bidding for Incomplete Contracts</u></i>
PRA	142.2004	<i>Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): <u>Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions</u></i>
PRA	143.2004	<i>David GOLDREICH (lxxi): <u>Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions</u></i>
PRA	144.2004	<i>Roberto BURGNET (lxxi): <u>Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics</u></i>
PRA	145.2004	<i>Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): <u>Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions</u></i>
PRA	146.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): <u>How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets</u></i>
PRA	147.2004	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): <u>Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i>
PRA	148.2004	<i>John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): <u>Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions</u></i>
PRA	149.2004	<i>Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): <u>Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions</u></i>
PRA	150.2004	<i>François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): <u>Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	151.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: <u>Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process</u></i>
CCMP	152.2004	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model</u></i>
PRA	153.2004	<i>Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): <u>Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly</u></i>
ETA	154.2004	<i>Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: <u>Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?</u></i>
CTN	155.2004	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with Externalities</u></i>
CCMP	156.2004	<i>Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants</u></i>

CCMP	157.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: <u>How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?</u></i>
ETA	158.2004	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply</u></i>
ETA	159.2004	<i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling</u></i>
KTHC	160.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons</u></i>
IEM	161.2004	<i>Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: <u>Microsimulating the Effects of Household Energy Price Changes in Spain</u></i>

#### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005

CCMP	1.2005	<i>Stéphane HALLEGATTE: <u>Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change</u></i>
CCMP	2.2005	<i>Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: <u>Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China</u></i>
CCMP	3.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: <u>A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level</u></i>
CCMP	4.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice</u></i>
ETA	5.2005	<i>Hubert KEMPF: <u>Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?</u></i>
CCMP	6.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change</u></i>
IEM	7.2005	<i>David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: <u>The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution</u></i>
ETA	8.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption Democracy and Environmental Policy</u></i>
CCMP	9.2005	<i>Angelo ANTOCI: <u>Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities in a Growth Model</u></i>
CTN	10.2005	<i>Frédéric DEROLAN: <u>Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers</u></i>
NRM	11.2005	<i>Francesco SINDICO: <u>The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment Debate</u></i>
KTHC	12.2005	<i>Carla MASSIDDA: <u>Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors</u></i>
KTHC	13.2005	<i>Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: <u>Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms</u></i>
PRCG	14.2005	<i>Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: <u>Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board Structure</u></i>
CSRM	15.2005	<i>Parashar KULKARNI: <u>Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry</u></i>
KTHC	16.2005	<i>Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence</u></i>
KTHC	17.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms</u></i>
KTHC	18.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer</u></i>
KTHC	19.2005	<i>Mombert HOPPE: <u>Technology Transfer Through Trade</u></i>
PRCG	20.2005	<i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming</u></i>
CCMP	21.2005	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes</u></i>
IEM	22.2005	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO: <u>An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An Application of the Promethee Method</u></i>
CTN	23.2005	<i>Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria</u></i>
IEM	24.2005	<i>Wietze LISE: <u>Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey</u></i>
CTN	25.2005	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas</u></i>
SIEV	26.2005	<i>Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection</u></i>
NRM	27.2005	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: <u>The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities</u></i>
CCMP	28.2005	<i>Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: <u>Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information</u></i>
NRM	29.2005	<i>Paul SARFO-MENSAH: <u>Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations</u></i>
CCMP	30.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Effect of Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism</u></i>
NRM	31.2005	<i>Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies</u></i>
NRM	32.2005	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility</u></i>
CCMP	33.2005	<i>Joseph HUBER: <u>Key Environmental Innovations</u></i>
CTN	34.2005	<i>Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (Ixxii): <u>Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation</u></i>
CTN	35.2005	<i>Francesco FERI (Ixxii): <u>Network Formation with Endogenous Decay</u></i>
CTN	36.2005	<i>Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (Ixxii): <u>Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and Network Formation Games</u></i>

CTN	37.2005	<i>Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAOKI</i> (lxxii): <u>Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the Resilience of Referral Hiring</u>
CTN	38.2005	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS</i> (lxxii): <u>Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated Games</u>
CTN	39.2005	<i>Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER</i> (lxxii): <u>The Egalitarian Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects</u>
CTN	40.2005	<i>Francesco FERI</i> : <u>Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay</u>
CTN	41.2005	<i>Aart de ZEEUW</i> (lxxii): <u>Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
NRM	42.2005	<i>C. Martijn van der HEIDE, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH, Ekko C. van IERLAND and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Measuring the Economic Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The Netherlands</u>
PRCG	43.2005	<i>Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA</i> : <u>Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of Portuguese Firms</u>
SIEV	44.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO</i> : <u>Combining Actual and Contingent Behavior to Estimate the Value of Sports Fishing in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
CTN	45.2005	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The Role of Timing and Regulation</u>
CCMP	46.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New Members States?</u>
IEM	47.2005	<i>Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison</u>
CTN	48.2005	<i>Olivier TERCIEUX and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxx): <u>A Characterization of Stochastically Stable Networks</u>
CTN	49.2005	<i>Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxxii): <u>R&amp;D Networks Among Unionized Firms</u>
CTN	50.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in International Environmental Agreements</u>
KTHC	51.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI</i> : <u>From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey</u>
CCMP	52.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI</i> : <u>Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of the Doha Proposal</u>
SIEV	53.2005	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach</u>
ETA	54.2005	<i>Alessandra del BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI, Charles P. HIMMELBERG and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Investment and Time to Plan: A Comparison of Structures vs. Equipment in a Panel of Italian Firms</u>
CCMP	55.2005	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>Emissions Trading, CDM, JI, and More – The Climate Strategy of the EU</u>
ETA	56.2005	<i>Maia DAVID and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>Environmental Regulation and the Eco-Industry</u>
ETA	57.2005	<i>Alain-Désiré NIMUBONA and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>The Pigouvian Tax Rule in the Presence of an Eco-Industry</u>
NRM	58.2005	<i>Helmut KARL, Antje MÖLLER, Ximena MATUS, Edgar GRANDE and Robert KAISER</i> : <u>Environmental Innovations: Institutional Impacts on Co-operations for Sustainable Development</u>
SIEV	59.2005	<i>Dimitra VOUVAKI and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> (lxxiii): <u>Criteria for Assessing Sustainable Development: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Case of Greece</u>
CCMP	60.2005	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE</i> : <u>Impure Public Goods and Technological Interdependencies</u>
PRCG	61.2005	<i>Christoph A. SCHALTEGGER and Benno TORGLER</i> : <u>Trust and Fiscal Performance: A Panel Analysis with Swiss Data</u>
ETA	62.2005	<i>Irene VALSECCHI</i> : <u>A Role for Instructions</u>
NRM	63.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Gianni LOCATELLI</i> : <u>A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach to the Assessment of Natural Parks' Economic Efficiency and Sustainability. The Case of Italian National Parks</u>
SIEV	64.2005	<i>Arianne T. de BLAEIJ, Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH</i> : <u>Modeling 'No-choice' Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys</u>
CTN	65.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Applications of Negotiation Theory to Water Issues</u>
CTN	66.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Advances in Negotiation Theory: Bargaining, Coalitions and Fairness</u>
KTHC	67.2005	<i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (lxxiv): <u>Network Capital and Social Trust: Pre-Conditions for 'Good' Diversity?</u>
KTHC	68.2005	<i>Asimina CHRISTOFOROU</i> (lxxiv): <u>On the Determinants of Social Capital in Greece Compared to Countries of the European Union</u>
KTHC	69.2005	<i>Eric M. USLANER</i> (lxxiv): <u>Varieties of Trust</u>
KTHC	70.2005	<i>Thomas P. LYON</i> (lxxiv): <u>Making Capitalism Work: Social Capital and Economic Growth in Italy, 1970-1995</u>
KTHC	71.2005	<i>Graziella BERTOCCHI and Chiara STROZZI</i> (lxxv): <u>Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical Perspective</u>
KTHC	72.2005	<i>Elsbeth van HYLCKAMA Vlieg</i> (lxxv): <u>Accommodating Differences</u>
KTHC	73.2005	<i>Renato SANSA and Ercole SORI</i> (lxxv): <u>Governance of Diversity Between Social Dynamics and Conflicts in Multicultural Cities. A Selected Survey on Historical Bibliography</u>
IEM	74.2005	<i>Alberto LONGO and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Identification of Options and Policy Instruments for the Internalisation of External Costs of Electricity Generation. Dissemination of External Costs of Electricity Supply Making Electricity External Costs Known to Policy-Makers</u> <u>MAXIMA</u>

IEM	75.2005	<i>Margherita GRASSO and Matteo MANERA: <u>Asymmetric Error Correction Models for the Oil-Gasoline Price Relationship</u></i>
ETA	76.2005	<i>Umberto CHERUBINI and Matteo MANERA: <u>Hunting the Living Dead A “Peso Problem” in Corporate Liabilities Data</u></i>
CTN	77.2005	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD: <u>Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule</u></i>
ETA	78.2005	<i>Joëlle NOAILLY, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Cees A. WITHAGEN (lxxvi): <u>Local and Global Interactions in an Evolutionary Resource Game</u></i>
ETA	79.2005	<i>Joëlle NOAILLY, Cees A. WITHAGEN and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH (lxxvi): <u>Spatial Evolution of Social Norms in a Common-Pool Resource Game</u></i>
CCMP	80.2005	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: <u>Economic Instruments and Induced Innovation: The Case of End-of-Life Vehicles European Policies</u></i>
NRM	81.2005	<i>Anna LASUT: <u>Creative Thinking and Modelling for the Decision Support in Water Management</u></i>
CCMP	82.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Assess the Relative Efficiency of Different Climate Policy Portfolios</u></i>
ETA	83.2005	<i>Ignazio MUSU: <u>Intellectual Property Rights and Biotechnology: How to Improve the Present Patent System</u></i>
KTHC	84.2005	<i>Giulio CAINELLI, Susanna MANCINELLI and Massimiliano MAZZANTI: <u>Social Capital, R&amp;D and Industrial Districts</u></i>
ETA	85.2005	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI, Michele MORETTO and Vincenzo REBBA: <u>Quality and Investment Decisions in Hospital Care when Physicians are Devoted Workers</u></i>
CCMP	86.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Laurent GILOTTE: <u>Carbon Capture and Sequestration: How Much Does this Uncertain Option Affect Near-Term Policy Choices?</u></i>
CSRM	87.2005	<i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Value Through Diversity: Microfinance and Islamic Finance and Global Banking</u></i>
ETA	88.2005	<i>A. MARKANDYA and S. PEDROSO: <u>How Substitutable is Natural Capital?</u></i>
IEM	89.2005	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Security of Energy Supply: Comparing Scenarios From a European Perspective</u></i>
CCMP	90.2005	<i>Vincent M. OTTO, Andreas LÖSCHEL and Rob DELLINK: <u>Energy Biased Technical Change: A CGE Analysis</u></i>
PRCG	91.2005	<i>Carlo CAPUANO: <u>Abuse of Competitive Fringe</u></i>
PRCG	92.2005	<i>Ulrich BINDSEIL, Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lxv): <u>Bidding and Performance in Repo Auctions: Evidence from ECB Open Market Operations</u></i>
CCMP	93.2005	<i>Sabrina AUCI and Leonardo BECCHETTI: <u>The Stability of the Adjusted and Unadjusted Environmental Kuznets Curve</u></i>
CCMP	94.2005	<i>Francesco BOSELLO and Jian ZHANG: <u>Assessing Climate Change Impacts: Agriculture</u></i>
CTN	95.2005	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Jean-Christophe PEREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: <u>Bargaining with Non-Monolithic Players</u></i>
ETA	96.2005	<i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS (lxxvi): <u>Optimal Control and Spatial Heterogeneity: Pattern Formation in Economic-Ecological Models</u></i>
CCMP	97.2005	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL (lxxvii): <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Human Health</u></i>
CCMP	98.2005	<i>Rob DELLINK, Michael FINUS and Niels OLIEMAN: <u>Coalition Formation under Uncertainty: The Stability Likelihood of an International Climate Agreement</u></i>
CTN	99.2005	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI, Riccardo CRESCENZI, Fabrizio De FILIPPIS, and Luca SALVATICI: <u>Bargaining Coalitions in the Agricultural Negotiations of the Doha Round: Similarity of Interests or Strategic Choices? An Empirical Assessment</u></i>
IEM	100.2005	<i>Giliola FREY and Matteo MANERA: <u>Econometric Models of Asymmetric Price Transmission</u></i>
IEM	101.2005	<i>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: <u>Oil Prices, Inflation and Interest Rates in a Structural Cointegrated VAR Model for the G-7 Countries</u></i>
KTHC	102.2005	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Roberto CAMAGNI: <u>Sustainability of Urban Sprawl: Environmental-Economic Indicators for the Analysis of Mobility Impact in Italy</u></i>
ETA	103.2005	<i>Livingstone S. LUBOOBI and Joseph Y.T. MUGISHA: <u>HIV/AIDS Pandemic in Africa: Trends and Challenges</u></i>
SIEV	104.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Erik LICHTENBERG, Dominic MANCINI, and Gregmar I. GALINATO: <u>Was It Something I Ate? Implementation of the FDA Seafood HACCP Program</u></i>
SIEV	105.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: <u>Urban Environmental Health and Sensitive Populations: How Much are the Italians Willing to Pay to Reduce Their Risks?</u></i>
SIEV	106.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Aline CHIABAI and Lucija MUEHLENBACHS: <u>Using Expert Judgment to Assess Adaptive Capacity to Climate Change: Evidence from a Conjoint Choice Survey</u></i>
CTN	107.2005	<i>Michele BERNASCONI and Matteo GALIZZI: <u>Coordination in Networks Formation: Experimental Evidence on Learning and Saliency</u></i>
KTHC	108.2005	<i>Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: <u>Migration Dynamics</u></i>
NRM	109.2005	<i>Antonio MUSOLESI and Mario NOSVELLI: <u>Water Consumption and Long-Run Urban Development: The Case of Milan</u></i>
SIEV	110.2005	<i>Benno TORGLER and Maria A. GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>The Determinants of Individuals’ Attitudes Towards Preventing Environmental Damage</u></i>
SIEV	111.2005	<i>Alberto LONGO and Anna ALBERINI: <u>What are the Effects of Contamination Risks on Commercial and Industrial Properties? Evidence from Baltimore, Maryland</u></i>
SIEV	112.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI and Alberto LONGO: <u>The Value of Cultural Heritage Sites in Armenia: Evidence from a Travel Cost Method Study</u></i>
CCMP	113.2005	<i>Mikel GONZÁLEZ and Rob DELLINK: <u>Impact of Climate Policy on the Basque Economy</u></i>
NRM	114.2005	<i>Gilles LAFFORGUE and Walid OUESLATI: <u>Optimal Soil Management and Environmental Policy</u></i>

NRM	115.2005	<i>Martin D. SMITH and Larry B. CROWDER (lxxvi): <u>Valuing Ecosystem Services with Fishery Rents: A Lumped-Parameter Approach to Hypoxia in the Neuse River Estuary</u></i>
NRM	116.2005	<i>Dan HOLLAND and Kurt SCHNIER (lxxvi): <u>Protecting Marine Biodiversity: A Comparison of Individual Habitat Quotas (IHQs) and Marine Protected Areas</u></i>
PRCG	117.2005	<i>John NELLIS: <u>The Evolution of Enterprise Reform in Africa: From State-owned Enterprises to Private Participation in Infrastructure — and Back?</u></i>
PRCG	118.2005	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: <u>Italy's Privatization Process and Its Implications for China</u></i>
SIEV	119.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Marcella VERONESI and Joseph C. COOPER: <u>Detecting Starting Point Bias in Dichotomous-Choice Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i>
CTN	120.2005	<i>Federico ECHENIQUE and Mehmet B. YENMEZ: <u>A Solution to Matching with Preferences over Colleagues</u></i>
KTHC	121.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI and Corrado MOLteni: <u>Dissipation of Knowledge and the Boundaries of the Multinational Enterprise</u></i>
KTHC	122.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI: <u>Firm's Intangible Assets and Multinational Activity: Joint-Venture Versus FDI</u></i>
CCMP	123.2005	<i>Socrates KYPREOS: <u>A MERGE Model with Endogenous Technological Change and the Cost of Carbon Stabilization</u></i>
CCMP	124.2005	<i>Fuminori SANO, Keigo AKIMOTO, Takashi HOMMA and Toshimasa TOMODA: <u>Analysis of Technological Portfolios for CO2 stabilizations and Effects of Technological Changes</u></i>
CCMP	125.2005	<i>Fredrik HEDENUS, Christian AZAR and Kristian LINDGREN: <u>Induced Technological Change in a Limited Foresight Optimization Model</u></i>
CCMP	126.2005	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>The Value of ITC under Climate Stabilization</u></i>
PRCG	127.2005	<i>John NELLIS: <u>Privatization in Africa: What has happened? What is to be done?</u></i>
PRCG	128.2005	<i>Raphaël SOUBEYRAN: <u>Contest with Attack and Defence: Does Negative Campaigning Increase or Decrease Voters' Turnout?</u></i>
PRCG	129.2005	<i>Pascal GAUTIER and Raphael SOUBEYRAN: <u>Political Cycles : The Opposition Advantage</u></i>
ETA	130.2005	<i>Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Nicola ACOCELLA and Andrew HUGHES HALLETT: <u>Dynamic Controllability with Overlapping targets: A Generalization of the Tinbergen-Nash Theory of Economic Policy</u></i>
SIEV	131.2005	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Institutional Explanations of Economic Development: the Role of Precious Metals</u></i>
ETA	132.2005	<i>Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO and Nicola ACOCELLA: <u>Tinbergen and Theil Meet Nash: Controllability in Policy Games</u></i>
IEM	133.2005	<i>Adriana M. IGNACIUK and Rob B. DELLINK: <u>Multi-Product Crops for Agricultural and Energy Production – an AGE Analysis for Poland</u></i>
IEM	134.2005	<i>Raffaele MINIACI, Carlo SCARPA and Paola VALBONESI: <u>Restructuring Italian Utility Markets: Household Distributional Effects</u></i>
SIEV	135.2005	<i>Valentina ZANATTA, Paolo ROSATO, Anna ALBERINI and Dimitrios REPPAS: <u>The Impact of Speed Limits on Recreational Boating in the Lagoon of Venice</u></i>
NRM	136.2005	<i>Chi-CHUR CHAO, Bharat R. HAZARI, Jean-Pierre LAFFARGUE, Pasquale M. SGRO, and Eden S. H. YU (lxxviii): <u>Tourism, Jobs, Capital Accumulation and the Economy: A Dynamic Analysis</u></i>
NRM	137.2005	<i>Michael MCALEER, Riaz SHAREEF and Bernardo da VEIGA (lxxviii): <u>Risk Management of Daily Tourist Tax Revenues for the Maldives</u></i>
NRM	138.2005	<i>Guido CANDELA, Paolo FIGINI and Antonello E. SCORCI (lxxviii): <u>The Economics of Local Tourist Systems</u></i>

- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4<sup>th</sup> BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004
- (lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004
- (lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.
- (lxxiii) This paper was presented at the 2nd Workshop on "Inclusive Wealth and Accounting Prices" held in Trieste, Italy on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics
- (lxxiv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Trust and social capital in multicultural cities” Athens, January 19-20, 2004
- (lxxv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Diversity as a source of growth” Rome November 18-19, 2004
- (lxxvi) This paper was presented at the 3rd Workshop on Spatial-Dynamic Models of Economics and Ecosystems held in Trieste on 11-13 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics
- (lxxvii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on Infectious Diseases: Ecological and Economic Approaches held in Trieste on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics.
- (lxxviii) This paper was presented at the Second International Conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development - Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari and Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank, Chia, Italy, 16-17 September 2005.



**2004 SERIES**

<b>CCMP</b>	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
<b>GG</b>	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>SIEV</b>	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
<b>NRM</b>	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
<b>KTHC</b>	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
<b>IEM</b>	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
<b>CSRM</b>	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
<b>PRA</b>	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
<b>ETA</b>	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>CTN</b>	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

**2005 SERIES**

<b>CCMP</b>	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
<b>SIEV</b>	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
<b>NRM</b>	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
<b>KTHC</b>	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
<b>IEM</b>	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
<b>CSRM</b>	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
<b>PRCG</b>	<i>Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
<b>ETA</b>	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>CTN</b>	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>