

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.


50

Farm. Rural, and Natural Resource Indicators

						Annual percent change			
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-1
Cash receipts (\$ bil.)	288.5	318.3	283.4	312.3f	340.4f	10.3	-11.0	10.2	18.6
Crops	150.1	176.8	163.7	170.9f	206.5f	17.8	-7.4	4.4	20.8
Livestock	138.5	141.5	119.8	141.5f	163.8f	2.2	-15.3	18.1	15.8
Direct government payments (\$ bil.)	11.9	12.2	12.3	12.2f	10.2f	2.5	0.8	-0.8	-16.4
Gross cash income (\$ bil.)	318.0	352.0	317.6	345.6f	399.1f	10.7	-9.8	8.8	15.5
Net cash income (\$ bil.)	77.7	90.4	69.1	91.3f	114.8f	16.3	-23.6	32.1	25.7
Net value added (\$ bil.)	117.2	136.6	112.1	129.0f	157.0f	16.6	-17.9	15.1	21.7
Farm equity (\$ bil.)	1,841.2	1,780.6	1,811.8	1,880.8f	2,082.1f	-3.3	1.7	3.8	10.7
Farm debt-asset ratio	10.4	12.0	11.9	11.3f	10.4f	15.4	-0.8	-5.0	-8.0
Farm household income (\$/farm household)	88,796	79,796	77,169	83,021f	86,352f	-10.1	-3.3	7.6	4.0
Farm household income relative to average U.S. household income (%)	131.3	116.6	113.5	na	na	na	na	na	na
Nonmetro-metro difference in poverty rate (% points) ¹	3.5	2.2	2.7	na	na	na	na	na	na
Cropland harvested (million acres)	312	316	310	315p	na	1.3	-1.9	1.6	na
USDA conservation program expenditures (\$ bil.) ^{1,2}	4.5	5.2	4.9	5.7	6.2p	15.6	-6.0	16.1	8.7
U.S. gross domestic product (\$ bil.)	14,062	14,369	14,119	14,698f	na	2.2	-1.7	4.1	na
Share of agriculture & related industries in GDP (%) ¹	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6f	na	na	na	na	na
Share of agriculture in GDP (%) ¹	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9f	na	na	na	na	na
Total agricultural imports (\$ bil.) ²	70.1	79.3	73.4	79.0	94.5f	13.1	-7.4	7.6	19.6
Total agricultural exports (\$ bil.) ²	82.2	114.9	96.3	108.7	137.0f	39.8	-16.2	12.9	26.0
Export share of the volume of U.S. agricultural production (%) ¹	21.8	21.7	19.8f	21.0f	na	na	na	na	na
CPI for food (1982-84=100)	202.9	214.1	218.0	219.7	227.8f	5.5	1.8	0.8	3.7
Share of U.S. disposable income spent on food (%)	9.6	9.5	9.4	na	na	na	na	na	na
Share of total food expenditures for at-home consumption (%)	52.8	53.4	53.5	na	na	na	na	na	na
Farm-to-retail price spread (1982-84=100)	248.1	267.0	269.3	269.3	na	7.6	3.6	-2.6	na
Total USDA food and nutrition assistance spending (\$ bil.) ²	54.3	60.9	79.2	95.4	na	12.2	30.0	20.5	na

f = Forecast. p = Preliminary. na = Not available. All dollar amounts are in current dollars.

Sources and computation methodology are available at: www.ers.usda.gov/amberwaves/indicatorsnotes.htm




For more information, see www.ers.usda.gov/amberwaves/

¹The methodology for computing these measures has changed. These statistics are not comparable to previously published statistics.


²Based on October-September fiscal years ending with year indicated.

Markets and Trade



Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using USDA, Foreign Agricultural Service, Grain: World Markets and Trade (Grain Circular).


Diet and Health



¹Other cheeses include Colby, Monterey Jack, Romano, Parmesan, blue, Gruyere, Emmenthaler, Gorgonzola, and miscellaneous cheeses. Source: USDA, Economic Research Service, Food Availability data.

Resources and Environment

More hog operations adopted nutrient management plans and received EQIP payments in 2009




CNMP = Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan; EQIP = Environmental Quality Incentives Program. Note: Animal units (AU) are defined as 1,000 pounds of live animal weight, and the inventory of AUs is based on an estimate of the average number of hogs and pigs on the operation in each year.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using USDA's 2004 and 2009 Agricultural Resource Management Surveys.

Farms, Firms, and Households

Fuel expenses were lower for yield monitor adopters than for nonadopters



*= statistically significant difference of means at 10-percent level.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using USDA's Agricultural Resource

Management Survey.

Demand for U.S. Edible Pork Byproduct Exports Is High

STATISTICS

U.S. pork byproduct exports totaled \$700 million in 2010, almost 15 percent of the total value of U.S. pork exports. Fresh or chilled offal is the leading edible byproduct export (13 percent). Other exports include hog feet (11 percent), rinds (8 percent), guts, bladders, and stomachs (8 percent), frozen intestines (7 percent) and all other frozen pork offal (45 percent). China and Mexico accounted for 68 percent of U.S. edible pork byproduct exports in 2010. In foreign markets, demand for U.S. edible offal is high because of its superior quality and low prices relative to domestic products.

Daniel Marti dmarti@ers.usda.gov

U.S. edible pork byproduct export markets, 2010 Other \$7m Total value of U.S. exports Intestines \$18m to China/Hong Kong is \$269 million/year (38%) Tongue \$22m Feet \$29m Guts, Bladders, or Stomachs \$80m Total value of U.S. exports to Mexico is \$213 million/year (30%) Other Frozen Offal \$113m Other \$28m Skins \$32m Guts, Bladders Stomachs \$36m resh or Chilled Other major U.S. Offal export destinations \$53m \$70m (10%) Japan Russia \$47m (7%) Other Froze Offal Philippines \$30m (4%) South Korea \$22m (3%)

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using USDA Foreign Agricultural Service, Global Agricultural Trade System.

In the Long Run

Prevalence of Food Insecurity Remained Essentially Unchanged in U.S. Households

After a sharp increase from 2007 to 2008, the prevalence of food insecurity remained essentially unchanged in 2009 and 2010 at 14.5 percent. Food-insecure households had difficulty at times during the year providing enough food for all their members due to a lack of resources. The prevalence of very low food security, the more severe range of food insecurity characterized by reduced food intake and disrupted eating patterns, declined from 5.7 percent in 2009 to 5.4 percent in 2010. In the 2001 recession, food insecurity rose and continued to increase through 2004, even though economic growth resumed in 2002.


Alisha Coleman-Jensen

acjensen@ers.usda.gov

Mark Nord

marknord@ers.usda.gov

Prevalence rates of food insecurity and very low food security



 $Source: USDA, Economic \ Research \ Service \ using \ Current \ Population \ Survey \ Food \ Security \ Supplement \ data.$