

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.


Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. ئ

August 1984

Expansion and Adaptation of the North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM) For Minnesota: Technical Description



by Randal C. Coon Carlena F. Vocke F. Larry Leistritz

Department of Agricultural Economics North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station North Dakota State University Fargo,North Dakota 58105-5636

Preface

The purpose of the research on which this report was based was to test the adaptability of economic-demographic projection models to alternative geographic settings. This report describes the data sources and procedures employed in adapting an existing North Dakota modeling framework for use in Minnesota. A companion document (Agricultural Economics Report No. 158) describes the logic, structure, data bases, and operational procedures of the North Dakota model.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to several individuals who made significant contributions to the successful completion of the study. In particular, we gratefully acknowledge Ms. Lori Cullen for her skillful and expeditious typing of the report, Ms. Carol VavRosky for preparing the graphic materials, and Ms. Brenda Ekstrom for editorial assistance. The authors gratefully acknowledge the manuscript reviews and valuable suggestions contributed by various faculty members of the Department of Agricultural Economics. Finally, we express our appreciation to the state government officials who provided information essential to the analysis.

Table of Contents

محق

خك

فك

لى

ڪ

لغت

-39

الان

S1 P1	roduction tudy Area roblem St utline of	ateme	nt.	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 3 5 8
De	hodology efinition Communit Function escriptio Economic I-O Tech Baseline	of t y Sel- al Ec- on of Sect unical	he S ecti onom the or D and	itudy on lic f Ecor Delir I Inf	y A Reg nom nea ter	io ic ti de	a ns Mo on per	odu nde	le	e	Coe	eff res	ic	ie		• • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • • •	9 10 10 11 11 18 19 23 29
D	Producti County a Project- escriptio Populati Birth Ra Survival Migratic escriptic odificati Revenue State Local Cost Est State	Ind Mu Specion of on by tes Rate on Rate on of Estim Reven costs	nici fic the Age es the the nue sue son	Res Sour	Em nom ogr d G ide sca ces ces	ipicapien	oyn Pa hic der ial Imp	nen ara M M M M M M	it ime lod	Al te ul oc Mo	loc rs e dul	at		`S ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	29 36 38 41 42 44 45 46 48 50 52 61 66 67 68
Eva	luation o	of the	e Moc	iel	•	٠	•	•	•	• •	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	69
Con	clusions	and I	impli	icat	ior	IS	•	•	•	• •	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	75
A B	endices A: Proces B: Tables	s for	Regi	ions	2-	-11	. a	nd	Mi	inn	es	ota	1	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	79 91 286
טוס	πτυχιαμα	y			٠	٠	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•		•.	-	•	•	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	2	-	-

<u>Page</u>

<u>List of Tables</u>

Ň

t

١

4

١

á

¢

i

١

Table No.		Page
1.	COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES FOR MODEL INCLUSION	12
2.	FUNCTIONAL ECONOMIC REGIONS FOR MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL	17
3.	ECONOMIC SECTORS OF INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL AND ASSOCIATED STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION CODES FOR MINNESOTA EXTENSION OF NEDAM .	20
4.	INPUT-OUTPUT TECHNICAL COEFFICIENTS FOR 20-SECTOR MODEL, MINNESOTA ECONOMY	21
5.	INPUT-OUTPUT INTERDEPENDENCE COEFFICIENTS FOR 20-SECTOR MODEL, MINNESOTA ECONOMY	24
6.	SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	27
7.	REGRESSION EQUATIONS FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 1, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	28
8.	PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	28
9.	GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	30
10.	EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982	32
11.	GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	34
12.	REGRESSION EQUATIONS FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 1 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	36
13.	PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	37
14.	PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1980 to 2005	39
15.	FERTILITY RATES FOR KITTSON COUNTY, MINNESOTA, 1980	44
	MINNESOTA SURVIVAL RATES BY AGE AND GENDER	45

List of Tables

کلیک

S)

لات

کن ک

محقت

کران

لنک

مختنه

- 30

- 30

لان

Table No.		Page
17.	MIGRATION RATES FOR KITTSON COUNTY, MINNESOTA, 1980	46
18.	STATE TAX COLLECTIONS AND PERCENT OF TOTAL, MINNESOTA, FISCAL YEARS 1980-1982	51
19.	LOCAL TAX COLLECTIONS AND PERCENT OF TOTAL, MINNESOTA, FISCAL YEARS 1980-1982	53
20.	BASE AND RATE FOR TAXES LEVIED AGAINST MINERALS, AND DISPOSITION OF FUNDS, MINNESOTA, 1982	57
21.	TAX BASE AND RATE FOR LOCAL SALES TAX, AND DISPOSITION OF REVENUES, BY CITY, MINNESOTA, 1982	64
22.	ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)	72
23.	COMPARISON OF STATISTICAL TESTS FOR THE ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL PERSONAL INCOME ESTIMATION, MINNESOTA REGIONS 1-11 AND STATE, 1960-1982	73
24.	HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005	76

<u>List</u> of Figures

Figure No.						-										<u>Page</u>
1.	The	Eleven	Minnesota	Functional	Economic	Regions	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4

·

Expansion and Adaptation of the North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM) for Minnesota:

Technical Description

bγ

Randal C. Coon, Carlena F. Vocke, and F. Larry Leistritz

Introduction

Minnesota has experienced significant changes in its economic base in recent years. Some of the economic changes have resulted in declines, while others caused growth. Economic declines have occurred more frequently in the outer-state areas as a result of downturns in industries such as taconite mining and snowmobile manufacturing, coupled with the loss of border businesses due to an unfavorable tax climate. On the other hand, recent growth in processing and manufacturing industries, overall, have added to the economic base of the larger urban centers. The prospect of coal-fired electric generation facilities being built to help ease Minnesota's energy dependency would result in a significant economic impact.

Policymakers are requesting and requiring an increasing number of social and economic impact assessments. Federal, state, and local governments are using impact assessments to estimate and evaluate social and economic effects resulting from changes in an area's economic base. In response, a number of socioeconomic impact assessment models have been developed to aid in the evaluation of public and private policies, to estimate the impacts of development or decline of a specific project or industry, and to lend assistance in guiding local and regional planning.

This report describes the modified structure and data base of an existing computerized socioeconomic impact assessment model (North Dakota

Economic-Demographic Assessment Model or NEDAM)¹ for adaptation to Minnesota. NEDAM originally was designed to provide regional and local estimates of economic, demographic, and fiscal changes resulting from alternative scenarios of energy resource development in North Dakota. NEDAM has been adapted successfully for impact assessments in Montana and Wyoming.² These adapted versions of the model may be used to project personal income at the multicounty regional level and estimate employment, population, and public sector costs and revenues at county and community levels. Projections are made on an annual basis for the period 1985 to 2005.

Adapting NEDAM to bordering states allows more thorough analysis of socioeconomic impacts of development or declines having interstate effects. NEDAM offers the potential to analyze economic impacts if the agriculturally intensive Red River Valley, located on the North Dakota-Minnesota border, were to experience development of additional processing facilities for its diverse commodities. Impacts accruing to border cities such as Wahpeton-Breckenridge, Fargo-Moorhead, and Grand Forks-East Grand Forks could also be handled more

²Chase, Robert A., Randal C. Coon, Connie L. Chase, Carlena F. Vocke, Rebecca J. Vuchetich, F. Larry Leistritz, Thor A. Hertsgaard, William Ransom-Nelson, Steve H. Murdock, Pai-Sung Yang, and Rakesh Sharma, <u>Expansion</u> and <u>Adaptation of the North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model</u> (<u>NEDAM</u>) for Montana: <u>Technical Description</u>, <u>Agricultural Economics</u> Miscellaneous Report No. 61, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, December 1982; and Coon, Randal C., Carlena F. Vocke, Robert A. Chase, Brenda L. Ekstrom, William Ransom-Nelson, Richard W. Rathge, Thor A. Hertsgaard, F. Larry Leistritz, Rebecca J. Vuchetich, and Babu Ranganathan, <u>Expansion and Adaptation of the North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM</u>) for Wyoming: <u>Technical Description</u>, Agricultural Economics Miscellaneous Report No. 63, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, May 1983.

\$

- 2 -

¹For a complete description of NEDAM, see Leistritz, F. Larry, William Ransom-Nelson, Richard W. Rathge, Randal C. Coon, Robert A. Chase, Thor A. Hertsgaard, Steve H. Murdock, Rakesh Sharma, and Pai-Sung Yang, North <u>Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM): Technical Description,</u> Agricultural Economics Report No. 158, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, September 1982.

accurately through use of such models. Businesses relocating in a neighboring state could be analyzed regardless of whether it was a development or decline to the respective state. In addition, the development of new electric generation facilities located in Minnesota and fired by North Dakota coal would cause measurable socioeconomic impacts to both states. Finally, because the major trade center of Minneapolis-St. Paul serves as a source of specialized equipment used in many resource development projects in North Dakota, total project impacts could be analyzed for both states.

Study Area

The geographic scope of the project encompasses all 87 counties in Minnesota (Figure 1). Minnesota is bounded by North Dakota and South Dakota to the west, Iowa to the south, Wisconsin to the east, and the Canadian provinces of Ontario and Manitoba on the north. The state's total land area is approximately 84,000 square miles, of which about 5,000 square miles are covered with inland water.³ Federal government land holdings are almost 7 percent of the state's total area with the Forest Service owning the most (2,796,092 acres), followed by the Fish and Wildlife Service (329,598 acres), Geological Survey (126,952 acres), Bureau of Land Management (41,945 acres), and Bureau of Indian Affairs (28,698 acres).⁴


The 1980 census population of Minnesota was 4,075,970 inhabitants, and the 1982 adjusted gross personal income was \$45.8 billion.⁵ Minnesota per

³U.S. Bureau of Land Management, <u>Public Land Statistics</u>, U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1981.

⁵Bureau of the Census, <u>1980 Census of Population--General Social and</u> <u>Economic Characteristics: Minnesota</u>, PC80-1C25, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., August 1983; and Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Survey of Current Business," Vol 63, Number 4, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., April 1983.

- 3 -

⁴Ibid.



۶

é

)

)

1

4


1

)

9

, **)**

٤



•

.)

٢

•

capita income ranked eighteenth in the United States at \$11,082 in 1982.⁶ Natural-resource-based industries (agriculture, forestry, mining, and tourism) and manufacturing form the state's economic backbone.

Problem Statement

In recent years, Minnesota has had industries experiencing significant declines, while others have realized growth and development. The recent decline in metal mining activities in Minnesota has caused the loss of not only employment but also the associated tax revenues. Despite the downturn of the mining sector of the economy, manufacturing and processing sectors, overall, have experienced steady growth. The problem of solving the state's energy dependency may give rise to coal-fired electric generation facilities being built in Minnesota. Questions relating to the magnitude, manner, and effects of these economic developments and declines can be answered by a detailed socioeconomic description of the state as it currently exists. An analytical framework must be developed which is capable of assessing the direct and secondary (indirect and induced) socioeconomic impacts associated with alternative scenarios of economic decline or development as proposed by various public and private entities in Minnesota. Likewise, similar questions and analytical requirements are evident in connection with proposed developments of Minnesota minerals or other resources.

In response, a socioeconomic assessment model has been developed to meet these needs in Minnesota. It is a modified version of NEDAM, a model initially developed in 1976 to provide comprehensive information and projections of the economic and demographic effects of various existing and anticipated energy

⁶Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 5 -

resource developments for state and local policymakers in North Dakota. This computerized, user-interactive model has the capability to make baseline and single- or multiple-project impact forecasts for any designated site in North Dakota. Though the basic structure of NEDAM remains essentially unchanged, model transfer necessitated extensive modification of the economic, demographic, and fiscal/service components.

The development of energy resources has prompted creation of a number of computerized socioeconomic assessment models such as NEDAM.⁷ Although the number of assessment models has dramatically increased, few attempts have been made to adapt such models to settings other than those for which they originally were designed. Yet the need for such transfer and adaptation efforts is all too apparent, given the growing expense of developing an assessment model. In addition to savings in time and money, other advantages of model transfer and adaptation include the opportunity to expand and refine a model's structure and capabilities. Such refinements are seldom possible during initial model development. Given the number of existing models, such adaptations may well be more important than building additional assessment models.

Since all assessment models are developed for specific geographic areas, a successful adaptation effort will require incorporation of and, where appropriate, modification to the unique characteristics (e.g., public revenue and expenditure patterns) of the particular study area. The processes منطا

÷Ģ

- 6 -

⁷For a review of selected impact assessment models, see Murdock, Steve H. and F. Larry Leistritz, "Selecting Socioeconomic Assessment Models: A Discussion of Criteria and Selected Models," <u>Journal of Environmental</u> <u>Management</u>, Vol. 10, 1980, pp. 241-252; Leistritz, F. Larry and Steve H. <u>Murdock, The Socioeconomic Impact of Resource Development: Methods for</u> <u>Assessment</u>, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, 1981; and Leistritz, F. Larry, Steve H. Murdock, and Robert A. Chase, "Socioeconomic Impact Assessment Models: Review and Evaluation," <u>Impact Assessment Bulletin</u>, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1982, pp. 35-49.

involved in adapting a modeling system may vary from simply the alteration of its data base (i.e., to include data unique to the area of interest) to substantial and complex changes in its computational structure.⁸ An example of a successful transfer and adaptation effort is the Texas Assessment Modeling System (TAMS). Adapted from an earlier version of NEDAM, TAMS essentially retains the basic structure with the exception of extensive modification of the economic subcomponent, since the input-output model incorporated within the North Dakota version did not reflect the economic structure of eastern Texas. The other subcomponents in the model (i.e., demographic, fiscal, and service) were left relatively intact within the TAMS version. The adaptation of the NEDAM version to the Texas environment began in the fall of 1978, and the model became fully operational a year later. The relatively long time period for adaptation was, in large part, a result of extensive development of the Texas input-output model for use within the modeling system. Since its inception, the TAMS version has been widely used by state and local agencies for impact planning purposes.9

NEDAM also has been adapted for use in Montana. This adaptation involved a much shorter period of time than the TAMS effort because a new input-output model was not developed. The North Dakota input-output model was

⁹For a more complete discussion of the TAMS adaptation experience, see Murdock, Steve H., Lonnie L. Jones, F. Larry Leistritz, and Donald R. Andrews, "The Texas Assessment Modeling System (TAMS): A Case Study in Model Adaptation," Paper presented at the Conference on Computer Models and Forecasting Growth and Development, Jasper, Alberta, April 1980.

- 7 -

⁸For a description of the major steps in adapting a model and considerations for successful transfer, see House, Peter W. and John McLeod, <u>Large-Scale Models for Policy Evaluation</u>, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1977; <u>Murdock, Steve H. and F. Larry Leistritz</u>, "Computerized Socioeconomic Assessment Models: Selection, Development, and Adaptation," Unpublished Manuscript, Department of Rural Sociology, Texas A&M University, College Station, 1980; and Chase, Robert A. and F. Larry Leistritz, "A Case Study in Impact Assessment Model Adaptation," Paper presented at the Mid-Continent Regional Science Association meeting, Vail, Colorado, June 1982.

augmented with secondary data to replicate the Montana economy. After the data base for Montana was gathered, the model was basically operational because the major subcomponents were relatively unchanged. The Montana extension of NEDAM has been used extensively for impact analysis of coal extraction and conversion projects.¹⁰

Adaptation of NEDAM to Wyoming was completed in 1983.¹¹ Input-output coefficients developed for the Montana version were used in the Wyoming model. Because it was not necessary to develop a new input-output model, NEDAM adaptation to Wyoming was accomplished in a time period similar to the Montana transfer. The major portion of the adaptation to Wyoming was the development of the data base, because the major subcomponents were left relatively unchanged. Information on site-specific actual and proposed developments in Wyoming was available to construct a comprehensive list of potential projects and their magnitude.¹² These project files were incorporated into the model allowing extensive impact analysis of natural-resource-based development and expansion. The Wyoming model also is useful for analyzing nonresource-based impacts, such as a large military development with the possible deployment of the MX missile.

Outline of the Report

The remainder of this report consists of (1) a description of the methodology for the various data bases incorporated within the NEDAM extension

¹¹For a complete description of the adaptation of NEDAM to Wyoming, see Coon, Randal C., et al. 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 8 -

¹⁰For a complete description of the adaptation of NEDAM to Montana, see Chase, Robert A., et al., 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

¹²Goodier, John T., Wyoming Mineral Development Monitoring System, Unpublished Data and Update, Wyoming Department of Economic Planning and Development, Cheyenne, January 1983.

and adaptation to Minnesota and a presentation of results from the adapted version, and (2) a concluding discussion on validation of the adapted version of the model.

In addition to the main text of the report, several appendices are provided. These appendices include (1) a discussion of data sources and procedures for the economic module; and (2) a number of input-output (final demands, gross business volumes), employment, and productivity ratio tables.

Methodology

The adapted version of NEDAM, designed to cover the 87 counties of Minnesota, has the capability to incorporate both energy- and nonenergy-related developments and allows for the evaluation of expansion, as well as decline, in the economic base. The user may select any one of the 11 designated functional regions or a group of counties (up to 15 counties) as the basis for model output.

The adapted Minnesota version provides for both baseline and impact projections for the period between 1985 and 2005. Baseline projections produced by the model indicate the expected response of economic and demographic factors in a designated region if the trends of the 1960s and 1970s were to continue to 2005. Given the historical trends of declining farm and ranch numbers and rural population, the baseline projections represent limited economic development opportunities for many areas in the region. These estimates provide the necessary background against which the impacts of resource developments are assessed.

The projections provided by the adapted model allow for the assessment of various socioeconomic impacts of single and multiple developments, decline, or combinations of the two, at alternative sites anywhere in the state.

- 9 -

Essentially, the starting points for these projections are baseline population and economic data from the 1960s and 1970s. All changes from this initial baseline estimate for the years 1985 through 2005 are predicated on the expected expenditure and employment levels resulting from various developments.

The methodology used in expanding NEDAM into Minnesota involves a number of steps:

1. Definition of the study area

2. Description of the economic module

3. Description of the demographic module

4. Description of the residential allocation module

5. Modification of the fiscal impact model parameters

These methods along with their results are described in the following sections.

Definition of the Study Area

Adaptation of NEDAM involved applying the methodology and collecting the appropriate data for all 87 Minnesota counties. Since model reports are furnished at the regional, county, and municipality levels, two major tasks had to be completed:

1. Selection of counties and communities for model inclusion

2. Disaggregation of the study area into functional economic regions The sources consulted and methods used in defining the study area are described below.

Community Selection

Essentially, the communities selected for model inclusion either were incorporated municipalities having a 1980 population greater than 300 people or unincorporated municipalities with a 1980 count of at least 500 people. A total of 503 Minnesota communities were included in the extended model. In addition, 41 border communities in North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, and Wisconsin were included to account for interstate spillover effects of projects located close to (either side of) the border. Table 1 (and Appendix B, Table 1) lists both the counties and the communities included in the model.

Functional Economic Regions

In the NEDAM adaptation, the user may select either a group of counties or a designated region. The designated regions have been defined as functional economic areas (i.e., retail/wholesale trade areas) where each region is served by a major trade center. After consulting with available sources,¹³ the study area was disaggregated into 11 regions (Table 2). The boundaries of these regions were drawn along county boundaries to conform with available sources of statistical data. Figure 1 shows the study area as divided into 11 functional economic regions.

Description of the Economic Module

The economic module in the adapted version consists of six major components:

- 1. Economic Sector Delineation
- 2. Input-Output (I-O) Technical and Interdependence Coefficients
- 3. Baseline Economic Projections

¹³See Borchert, John R. and Russel B. Adams, <u>Trade Centers and Trade</u> <u>Areas of the Upper Midwest</u>, Urban Report No. 3, Upper Midwest Council, <u>Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1963</u>; Rand-McNally, <u>1982</u> <u>Commercial Atlas and Marketing</u> <u>Guide</u>, 113th Edition, Chicago, Illinois, 1982; Chalmers, J. A., E. J. Anderson, T. Beckhelm, and W. Hannigan, "An Empirical Model of Spatial Interaction in Sparsely Populated Regions," Paper presented at 24th Annual Meeting of Regional Science Association, Philadelphia, November 1977; and Bureau of Economic Analysis and U.S. Department of Agriculture, <u>1972 OBERS Projections</u>: <u>Economic</u> <u>Activity in the United States</u>, Department of Commerce and Economic Research Service, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1972.

County	Community
Aitkin	Aitkin, Hill City
Anoka	Andover, Anoka, Blaine**, Centerville, Circle Pines, Columbia Heights, Coon Rapids, East Bethel, Fridley, Ham Lake, Hilltop, Lexington, Lino Lakes, Ramsey, St. Francis, Spring Lake Park**
Becker	Detroit Lakes, Frazee, Lake Park
Beltrami	Bemidji, Blackduck, Lower Red Lake*
Benton	Foley, St. Cloud**, Sartell**, Sauk Rapids
Big Stone	Clinton, Graceville, Ortonville
Blue Earth	Amboy, Eagle Lake, Good Thunder, Lake Crystal, Madison Lake, Mankato**, Mapleton, St. Clair
Brown	Comfrey**, New Ulm, Sleepy Eye, Springfield
Carlton	Carlton, Cloquet, Moose Lake, North Carlton*, Scanlon
Carver	Carver, Chanhassen**, Chaska, Cologne, Norwood, Victoria, Waconia, Watertown, Young America
Cass	Cass Lake, East Gull Lake, Lake Shore, Pine River, Walker
Chippewa	Clara City, Granite Falls**, Montevideo
Chisago	Branch, Chisago City, Harris, Lindstrom, North Branch, Rush City, Stacy, Taylors Falls, Wyoming
Clay	Barnesville, Dilworth, Glyndon, Hawley, Moorhead, Ulen
Clearwater	Bagley, Clearbrook
Cook	Grand Marais, West Cook*
Cottonwood	Mountain Lake, Westbrook, Windom
Crow Wing	Baxter, Brainerd, Crosby, Crosslake, Deerwood, Emily, Ironton, Nisswa, Pequot Lakes, West Crow Wing*
Dakota	Apple Valley, Burnsville, Eagan, Farmington, Hastings**, Inver Grove Heights, Lakeville, Mendota Heights, Rosemount, South St. Paul, West St. Paul

TABLE 1. COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES FOR MODEL INCLUSION

- continued -

- 12 -

نتنا

Ŵ

TABLE 1. COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES FOR MODEL INCLUSION (CONTINUED)

محفت

<u>م</u>نف

المنافي ا

ک

100

لنت

منف

فقت

ت

-

Community
Hayfield, Kasson, Mantorville,
Osakis**
ter, Minnesota Lake, Wells, Winnebag
nesboro, Mabel, Preston, Rushford, ng Valley
s Grove, Glenville
enyon, Lake City**, Pine Island**, umbrota
man
nter, Brooklyn Park, Champlin, ton**, Deephaven, Eden Prairie, en Valley, Greenfield, Greenwood, dependence, Long Lake, Maple Grove, linneapolis, Minnetonka, etrista, Mound, New Hope, Orono, ield, Robbinsdale, Rockford**, St. Bonifacius, St. Louis Park, , Tonka Bay, Wayzata, Woodland
on, La Crescent, Spring Grove
i
Coleraine, Deer Lake*, Deer River, , La Prairie, Marble, Nashwauk,
efield
nsburg, Raymond, Spicer, Willmar
tlefork, Northome, Northwest ke*, South International Falls

- continued -

w

٢.

TABLE 1. COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES FOR MODEL INCLUSION (CONTINUED)

County	Community
Lac qui Parle	Dawson, Madison
Lake	Silver Bay, Two Harbors
Lake of the Woods	Baudette, Rainy Kiver*
Le Sueur	Cleveland, Kasota, Le Center, Le Sueur, Montgomery, New Prague**, Waterville
Lincoln	Hendricks, Ivanhoe, Lake Benton, Tyler
Lyon	Balaton, Cottonwood, Marshall, Minneota, Tracy
McLeod	Brownton, Glencoe, Hutchinson, Lester Prairie, Silver Lake, Stewart, Winsted
Mahnomen	Mahnomen .
Marshall	Argyle, Stephen, Warren
Martin	Ceylon, Fairmont, Sherburn, Trimont, Truman, Welcome
Meeker	Cosmos, Dassel, Eden Valley**, Grove City, Litchfield, Watkins
Mille Lacs	Isle, Milaca, Onamia, Princeton**
Morrison	Little Falls, Pierz, Randall, Royalton
Mower	Adams, Austin, Brownsdale, Grand Meadow, LeRoy, Lyle
Murray	Fulda, Slayton
Nicollet	Lafayette, Nicollet, North Mankato, St. Peter
Nobles	Adrian, Brewster, Ellsworth
Norman	Ada, Halstad, Twin Valley
Olmsted	Byron, Chatfield**, Eyota, Oronoco, Rochester, Stewartville
Otter Tail	Battle Lake, Fergus Falls, Henning, New York Mills, Parkers Prairie, Pelican Rapids, Perham
Pennington	Thief River Falls
Pine	Hinckley, Pine City, Rock Creek, Sandstone
Pipestone	Edgerton, Jasper**, Pipestone
	- continued -

- continued -

- 15 -

TABLE 1. COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES FOR MODEL INCLUSION (CONTINUED)

1

محنات

ينت

الخلف

کن ا

لارث

تت

-22

لانت

County	Community
Polk	Crookston, East Grand Forks, Erskine, Fertile, Fosston, McIntosh
Роре	Glenwood, Starbuck
Ramsey	Arden Hills, Falcon Heights, Lauderdale, Little Canada, Maplewood, Mounds View, New Brighton, North Oaks, North St. Paul, Roseville, St. Anthony**, St. Paul, Shoreview, Spring Lake Park**, Vadnais Heights, White Bear Lake
Red Lake	Oklee, Red Lake Falls
Redwood	Lamberton, Morgan, Redwood Falls, Sanborn, Wabasso, Walnut Grove
Renville	Bird Island, Buffalo Lake, Danube, Fairfox, Franklin, Hector, Morton, Olivia, Renville, Sacred Heart
Rice	Faribault, Lonsdale, Morristown, Northfield**
Rock	Hills, Luverne
Roseau	Greenbush, Roseau, Warroad
St. Louis	Aurora, Babbitt, Birch Lake*, Biwabik, Buhl, Chisholm, Cook, Duluth, Ely, Ely Lake*, Eveleth, Floodwood, Gilbert, Heikkila Lake*, Hermontown, Hibbing, Hoyt Lakes, Mountain Iron, Northwest St. Louis*, Pike Lake*, Procter, Sand Lake*, Towner, Virginia
Scott	Belle Plaine, Jordan, New Prague**, Prior Lake, Savage, Shakopee
Sherburne	Becker, Big Lake, Elk River, St. Cloud**, Zimmerman
Sibley	Arlington, Gaylord, Gibbon, Henderson, Winthrop
Stearns	Albany, Avon, Belgrade, Brooten, Cold Spring, Eden Valley**, Freeport, Holdingford, Kimball Prairie, Melrose, Paynesville, Richmond, Rockville, St. Cloud**, St. Joseph, Sartell**, Sauk Centre, Waite Park
Steele	Blooming Prairie, Ellendale, Medford, Owatonna
Stevens	Chokio, Hancock, Morris
Swift	Appleton, Benson, Kerkhoven
Todd	Bertha, Browerville, Clarissa, Eagle Bend, Long Prairie, Staples**

- continued -

6

œ.

÷

TABLE 1. COUNTIES AND COMMUNITIES FOR MODEL INCLUSION (CONTINUED)

County	Community
Traverse	Browns Valley, Wheaton
Wabasha	Elgin, Lake City**, Mazeppa, Plainview, Wabasha
Wadena	Menahga, Sebeka, Staples**, Verndale, Wadena
Waseca	Janesville, New Richland, Waseca
Washington	Afton, Bayport, Birchwood Village, Cottage Grove, Dellwood, Forest Lake, Hugo, Lake Elmo, Lakeland, Lake St. Croix Beach Landfall, Mahtomedi, Marine on St. Croix, Newport, Oakdale, Oak Park Heights, St. Paul Park, Stillwater, Willernie, Woodbury
Watonwan	Butterfield, Medelia, St. James
Wilkin	Breckenridge .
Winona	Goodview, Lewiston, Rollingstone, St. Charles, Stockton, Winona
Wright	Albertville, Annandale, Buffalo, Cokato, Delano, Hanover**, Howard Lake, Maple Lake, Monticello, Montrose, Rockford**, St. Michael
Yellow Medicine	Canby, Clarkfield, Granite Falls**
BORDER COMMUNITI	ES
<u>State</u>	Community
North Dakota	Cavalier, Fairmont, Fargo, Grafton, Grand Forks, Hillsboro, Kindred, Mayville, Pembina, Wahpeton, West Fargo, Wyndmere
South Dakota	Big Stone City, Brookings, Clear Lake, Dell Rapids, Madison, Milbank, Sisseton, Sioux Falls, Watertown, Wilmat
Iowa	Armstrong, Charles City, Clear Lake, Estherville, Lake Mills, Mason City, Northwood, Osage, Rock Rapids, Spencer
Wisconsin	Arcadia, Hudson, La Crosse, Menomonie, New Richmond, Onalaska, River Falls, Superior, Westby

*An unincorporated municipality. **A municipality located in more than one county.

- 17 -

TABLE 2. TO	DIGITORAL LO						
<u></u>	<u>Region</u>	L: <u>East Gr</u>	and Fork	<u>s-Thief</u> <u>R</u>	liver F	alls	
	Kittso		Penning	ton	Red L		
	Marsha	11	Polk		Rosea	iu	
			<u>on 2: B</u>	<u>Bemidji</u>			
Aitkin	Cass	Crow		Itasca		Lake of the	Woods
Beltrami	Clearwat	er Hubba	rd	Koochichi	ng	Wadena	
			<u>on 3: [</u>	Duluth			
	Carlto Cook	n	Lake Pine		St. l	ouis.	
		Regio	n 4: Mo	orhead			
		Becker		Mahnome	en		
		Clay		Norman			
	Re	gion 5: Br	eckenric	lae-Feraus	s Falls	5	
	Dougla		Otter Ta			- lkin	
	Grant		Traverse				
		Regio	on 6: St	t. Cloud			
	Benton	Mille L			ne	Todd	
	Kanabec	Morriso		Stearns	S		
		Regi	<u>on 7: V</u>	<u>Willmar</u>			
	Big Stone	Kandiy	ohi	Роре		Stevens	
	Chippewa	Lac Qu	i Parle	Renvi	ille	Swift	
		Region 8:	Minneapo	olis- <u>St.</u> P	Paul		
Anoka	Chisago	Hennepin	McLeod	Ramsey	, .	cott	Wright
Carver	Dakota	Isanti	Meeker	Rice	Wa	ashington	
		Regio	on <u>9: Ma</u>	arshall			
			irray	Pipestone		ock	
Jack	son Ly	on No	bles	Redwood	Y	ellow Medicin	ne
		Regio	<u>on 10: 1</u>	Mankato			
В	lue Earth	Faribault	Mart		ibley	Watonwan	
В	rown	Le Sueur	Nico	llet Wa	aseca		
		Regior	<u>11: Re</u>	<u>ochester</u>			
	Dodge	Freeborn	Houst		nsted	Wabasha	
	Fillmore	Goodhue	Mower	Ste	eele	Winona	

TABLE 2. FUNCTIONAL ECONOMIC REGIONS FOR MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL

خان ا

فغنه

الات

تک

- 4. Productivity Ratios
- 5. County/Municipal Employment Allocators
- 6. Project Specific Economic Parameters

Data sources and methods used in the preparation of each of these components, and the results, are presented below.

Economic Sector Delineation

NEDAM uses an input-output model to estimate economic activities associated with projected levels of the historic economic base with alternative scenarios of resource development for the area. Input-output analysis essentially tabulates and describes the linkages or interdependencies between various industrial groups within an economy. As such, the model requires the separation of the economy into these various groups called "sectors." The general framework of the model structures economic activity along two major divisions--suppliers and purchasers. Though these divisions are further subdivided into intermediate (processing) and primary (final payments) sectors and intermediate (processing) and final (final demand) purchasers, a further sector disaggregation is required.

The ideal sector delineation would allow unique recognition of supplier groups, each of which produces a homogeneous good. This ideal, however, is not feasible given the constraints of time, financing, and data. Hence, sector selection was determined to a large extent by the objectives of the study. Since the purpose was primarily to determine the impacts of resource development, most economic sectors such as retail trade and business services did not appear to require detailed disaggregation.

The input-output model used in the adapted version includes the 17 economic sectors of the North Dakota version along with three additional sectors: lumber and associated products, metal mining, and metal processing.

- 18 -

1

<u>ان</u>

The final sector delineations selected for this study are presented in Table 3. A description of the various sectors, their data sources, and their construction is provided in Appendix A.

I-O Technical and Interdependence Coefficients

- 36

The description and analysis of the economy is based upon three components: transactions table, technical coefficients (direct requirements) table. and interdependence coefficients (direct and indirect requirements) table. Once data describing the commodities' flows between sectors are collected and tabulated, the technical coefficients can be derived easily. The difficulty, however, is preparing a transactions table that accurately describes the interdependencies among the economic sectors. Since the time and expense required to prepare the transactions table based on primary data are considerable, technical coefficients available from the North Dakota version and the Northwestern Colorado Input-Output Model¹⁴ were used to approximate the interindustry relationships in Minnesota. The similarity of the economies of Minnesota and eastern North Dakota suggests that such a transfer is feasible. An existing I-O model from Colorado was selected as an appropriate source for estimating coefficients in sectors present within the Minnesota regions, but not found in North Dakota (i.e., metallic mining and lumber). Coefficients from this matrix were augmented to the North Dakota matrix so an input-output model replicating the entire Minnesota economy would be available for this adaptation of NEDAM. The technical coefficients developed for Minnesota are provided in Table 4.

- 19 -

¹⁴See Leistritz, et al., 1982, <u>op. cit.</u>; and Gray, S. L., J. R. McKean, and J. C. Weber, <u>The Economy of Northwestern Colorado</u>: <u>Description and</u> <u>Analysis</u>, Technical Report No. 25, Colorado Water Resources Research Institute, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, 1981.

	Economic Sector	SIC Codea
1.	Agriculture, Livestock	Group 013 - Livestock
2.	Agriculture, Crops	All of Major Group Ol - Agricultural Production, Except Group Ol3 - Livestock
з.	Netal Hining	Major Group 10 - Metal Mining
4.	Coal Hining	Major Group 12 - Bituminous Coal and Lignite Mining
5.	Petroleum and Natural Gas Exploration and Extraction	Major Group 13 - Crude Petroleum and ` Natural Gas
6.	Nonmetallic Hining	Major Group 14 - Mining and Quarrying of Nonmetallic Metal, Except Fuels
7.	Contract Construction	Division C - Contract Construction (Major Groups 15, 16, and 17)
8.	Lumber and Associated Products	Major Group 08 - Forestry, Major Group 24 - Lumber and Wood Products Except Furniture, and Major Group 26 - Paper and Allied Products
9.	Agricultural Processing and Miscellaneous Manufacturing	Major Group 50 - Wholesale Trade, Major Group 20 - Food and Kindred Products Manufacturing
10.	Petroleum Refining	Major Group 29 - Petroleum Refining and Related Industries
1.	Metal Processing	Hajor Group 33 - Primary Metal Manufacturing
2.	Transportation	All of Division E - Transportation, Communications, Electric, Gas, and Sanitary Services, Except Major Groups 48 and 49
3.	Communications and Utilities	Major Group 48 - Communication, and Major Group 49 - Electric, Gas, and Sanitary Services, Except Industry No. 4911
4.	Thermal-Electric Power Generation	Industry Number 4911 - Electric Companies and Systems
5.	Retail Trade	All of Division F - Wholesale and Retail Trade, Except Major Group 50 Wholesale Trade
6.	Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	Division G - Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate
7.	Business and Personal Services	All of Division H - Services, Except Major Groups 80, 81, 82, 86, and 89
8.	Professional and Social Services	Major Group 80 - Medical and Other Health Services, Major Group 81 - Legal Services, Major Group 82 - Educational Services, Major Group 86 - Nonprofit Membership Organizations, and Major Group 89 - Miscellaneous Services
9.	Government	Division 1 - Government
0.	Households	Not Applicable

TABLE 3. ECONOMIC SECTORS OF INPUT-DUTPUT MODEL AND ASSOCIATED STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION CODES FOR MINNESOTA EXTENSION OF NEDAM

^aExecutive Office of the President/Bureau of the Budget, <u>Standard Industrial</u> <u>Classification Manual</u>, <u>1967</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1967. w

Ś

Ψ

-

Ŵ

÷

5

÷

 \mathbf{v}

⋓

Ÿ

	(1) 2	(2)	(3) Matal	(4) (709)	(5) Det /MC	(6) Nommetallic	(2)	(8)	(9) An Dror 2	(10)
Sector	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining
1) Ag, Livestock	1 600 .	6100 .	0000*	0000 °	0000*	0000*	0000	0000"	.0742	0000*
2) Ag, Crops	.1534	.0210	0000*	0000 °	0000*	1000"	•0000	0000.	.3476	0000*
3) Metal Mining	•0000	0000*	.1176	0000 .	0000	0000*	•0000	0000*	0000	0000 *
4) Coal Mining	0000*	0000*	•0004	0000"	.0003	0000*	0000*	0000*	0000	•0000
<pre>5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext</pre>	0000*	0000*	0000"	0000"	.0893	0000*	•0000	0000-	0000"	•0869
6) Nonmetallic Mining	.0024	.0020	.0012	1000"	•0005	.0348	.0265	0000.	•0000	0000°
7) Construction	.0014	.0175	•0005	.0108	.0857	0000"	•0129	.0438	.0010	. 0035
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	0000 *	0000*	.0342	0000	0000*	0000*	0000"	.0116	0000*	0000 °
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	.2737	•0693	.0017	.0241	0000	0000"	0000 *	.0080	.3671	0000 °
10) Pet Refining	•0000	0000*	0000*	•0168	0000 *	0000 *	0000*	0000°	•0000	0000*
11) Metal Processing	•0000	0000°	.0084	0000°	•0000	.0000	0000"	•0005	0000*	0000*
12) Iransportation	.0042	.0018	. 0556	.0031	.0137	•0208	•0051	.0203	.0024	•0033
13) Comm & Public Util	•0068	•0035	.0246	.0215	.0230	.0864	6110.	.0236	. 0059	.0021
<pre>[14] Electric Generation</pre>	0000 °	•0000	0000°	•0000	0000	0000°	•0000	,0000	0000*	0000*
15) Retail Trade	.0601	•2920	•0013	.0642	.0125	.0965	.1016	.0121	0600*	.0021
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	.0115	•0525	•0122	.0017	6000 *	.0170	•0147	.0215	.0044	.0005
17) Bus & Pers Service	•0028	•0253	•0004	.0018	.0004	0079	•0036	.1249	0100*	0000*
18).Prof & Soc Service	.0027	•0019	•0029	•0066	.0004	6100 *	•0012	.0334	•0005	•000
19) Government	0010.	.0202	•0094	.0014	•0004	.0159	•0055	.0049	•0029	.0023
<pre>(20) Households</pre>	.3416	.4315	•2295	.3738	•1309	. 4259	.3239	.2134	.0431	•0302

continued -

•

TABLE 4. INPUT-OUTPUT TECHNICAL COEFFICIENTS FOR 20-SECTOR MODEL, MINNESOTA ECONOMY

محلق

محن

رک

فمن

Ś

فخلا

لك

معلد

سی ا

کون

لحند

- 21 -

Sector	(11) Metal Proc	(12) Trans	(13) Comm & Pub Util	(14) Electric Gen	(15) Retail	(16) FIRE	(17) Bus & Pers Service	(18) Prof & Soc Serv	(19) Govt	(20) House- holds
(1) Ag, Livestock	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0575	•0000	.0000	.0005	.0000	.0097
(2) Ag, Crops	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0013	.0010	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0001
(3) Metal Mining	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(4) Coal Mining	.0001	.0000	.0000	.1582	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	.0001	.0058	.0007	.0000	.0003	.0002	.0011	.0005	.0000	.0016
(7) Construction	•0000	.0013	.0174	.0058	.0093	.0016	.0103	.0147	.0000	.0498
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	. 0102	.0000	.0000	.0339	.0002	.0201	.0000	.0010	.0000	.001
(10) Pet Refining	.0000	.0000	•0000	.0075	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.000
(11) Metal Processing	.0130	.0000	•0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.000
(12) Transportation	.0252	.0014	. 0077	.0009	.0067	.0033	.0059	.0019	.0000	.000
(13) Comm & Public Util	.0244	.0224	.0414	.0024	.0207	.0434	.0536	.0394	.0000	.044
(14) Electric Generation	.0000	.0000	•0000	•0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	•0000	.0000	.000
(15) Retail Trade	.0021	.1506	.0384	.0079	.0582	.0808	.0911	.1420	.0000	.412
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	.0094	.0314	.0240	.0513	.0097	.0077	.0267	.0223	.0000	.096
(17) Bus & Pers Service	.0009	.0134	.0050	.0018	.0019	.0278	.0209	.0030	.0000	.032
(18) Prof & Soc Service	.0054	.0014	.0019	.0033	.0015	.0049	.0037	.0347	.0000	.059
(19) Government	.0187	.1992	.0398	.0135	.0065	.0184	.0216	.0104	.0000	.0571
(20) Households	.1738	.4210	.4478	.1207	.1780	.6956	.3697	.5654	.0000	.068

¢

¢

€

€

¢

.

TABLE 4. INPUT-OUTPUT TECHNICAL COEFFICIENTS FOR 20-SECTOR MODEL, MINNESOTA ECONOMY (CONTINUED)

e

€

¢

€

€

•

€

The technical coefficients matrix was subsequently used to derive interdependence coefficients which indicate the total (direct and indirect) input requirements per unit of output. The interdependence coefficients matrix by definition is $(I-A)^{-1}$, where A is the matrix of technical coefficients and I is an identity matrix. Due to its expansion from 17 to 20 sectors (requiring a reinverting of the technical coefficients matrix), the Minnesota version (Table 5) has different interdependence coefficients for comparable sectors than the North Dakota version.

Baseline Economic Projection Procedures

ملات

ون ا

فعنك

لمعن

فن

3

is)

The economic module of the adapted version determines both baseline and impact projections. Baseline projections indicate the level of economic activity (measured by employment, personal income, and sales to final demand) associated with a continuation of current trends in the region's basic sectors. Baseline estimates constitute projections of future economic conditions likely to prevail in the area if no major resource development projects are initiated or closed. These projections are the essential base upon which the changes in economic activity associated with alternative scenarios of resource or industrial development are superimposed.

The general procedures for developing baseline economic projections involved projecting historic sales to final demand for the basic economic sectors through the year 2005. The I-O technical coefficients were applied to these final demand projections to obtain estimates of total economic activity (gross business volume) in each sector for the respective years. Projected gross business volumes for each sector were divided by the projected productivity ratios for their respective sectors for each year to obtain estimated annual employment. In the final step, regional employment was

- 23 -

Sector -	(1) Ag, Lvstk	(2) Ag, Crops	(3) Metal Mining	(4) Coal Mining	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	(6) Nonmetallic Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(10) Pet Refining
(1) Ag, Livestock	1.2072	.0774	.0244	.0375	.0159	.0445	.0343	.0287	.1911	.0040
(2) Ag, Crops	.3938	1.0922	.0112	.0285	.0063	.0176	.0134	.0162	.6488	.0016
(3) Metal Mining	.0000	.0000	1.1333	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(4) Coal Mining	.0000	.0000	.0005	1.0000	.0003	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0016	1.0981	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0954
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	.0084	.0069	.0039	.0031	.0045	1.0396	.0303	.0038	.0063	.0007
(7) Construction	.0722	.0794	.0339	.0514	.1148	.0521	1.0501	.0807	.0619	.0168
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	• •0000	.0000	.0392	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	1.0117	.0000	.0000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	.5730	.1612	.0193	.0617	.0097	.0272	.0207	.0315	1.7402	.0025
(10) Pet Refining	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0168	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	•0000	1.0000
(11) Metal Processing	•0000	.0000	.0097	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0005	.0000	.0000
(12) Transportation	.0151	.0113	.0679	.0082	.0180	.0284	.0105	.0258	,0128	.0053
(13) Comm & Public Util	•0921	.0836	.0696	.0707	.0510	.1557	.0605	.0756	. 0767	.0106
(14) Electric Generation	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(15) Retail Trade	.7072	.8130	.2701	.3975	.1839	.5235	.4101	.3156	.6115	.0458
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	.1526	.1677	.0753	.0767	.0388	.1140	.0837	.0903	.1322	.0101
(17) Bus & Pers Service	.0562	.0684	.0277	.0286	.0139	.0430	.0287	.1528	.0514	.0035
(18) Prof & Soc Service	.0711	.0644	.0384	.0491	.0210	.0560	.0402	.0714	.0531	.0055
(19) Government	.0987	.0957	.0626	.0508	.0280	.0853	.0519	.0545	.0796	.0094
(20) Households	1.0459	.9643	.5249	. 6630	.3206	.8428	.6091	. 5608	.7862	.0828
Gross Receipts Hultiplier	4.4935	3.6855	2.4119	2.5453	1.9248	3.0297	2.4435	2.5199	4.4518	1.2940

TABLE 5. INPUT-OUTPUT INTERDEPENDENCE COEFFICIENTS FOR 20-SECTOR MODEL, MINNESOTA ECONOMY

E.

e

6

F

F

- continued -

E

£

C

Æ

€

e -

Sector .	(11) Metal Proc	(12) Trans	(13) Comm & Pub Util	(14) Electric Gen	(15) Retail	(16) FIRE	(17) Bus & Pers Service	(18) Prof & Soc Serv	(19) Govt	(20) House- holds
(1) Ag, Livestock	.0171	.0455	.0379	.0250	.0889	.0617	.0384	.0571	.0000	.0674
(2) Ag, Crops	.0128	.0179	.0152	.0230	.0318	.0368	.0152	.0230	.0000	.0267
(3) Metal Mining	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(4) Coal Mining	.0001	.0000	.0000	.1582	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	.0000	.0000	.0000	.1302	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	.0016	.0092	.0044	.0010	.0000 .0025	.0050	.0000	.0051	.0000	-
	.0207	.0092	.0653	.0019	.0025	-		.0787	•	.0058
	.0207	.0000				.0740	.0546	• • • • • •	.0000	. 0902
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod		•	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	0000.	.0000	.0000	.0000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	.0276	.0277	.0239	.0781	.0452	.0704	.0237	.0363	.0000	.0417
(10) Pet Refining	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0102	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(11) Metal Processing	1.0132	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(12) Transportation	.0280	1.0079	.0135	.0046	.0104	.0120	.0118	.0100	.0000	.0093
(13) Comm & Public Util	. 0509	.0840	1.1006	.0375	.052 9	.1322	.1104	.1192	.0000	.1056
(14) Electric Generation	•0000	.0000	.0000	1.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
(15) Retail Trade	.1754	.5476	.4318	.2256	1.2735	.6765	.4526	. 6669	.0000	.7449
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	.0487	.1205	.1129	.0976	.0578	1.1424	.1085	.1401	.0000	.1681
(17) Bus & Pers Service	.0153	.0461	.0375	.0200	.0194	.0766	1.0509	.0455	.0000	.0605
(18) Prof & Soc Service	.0274	.0519	.0527	.0300	.0276	.0816	.0497	1.1026	.0000	.0982
(19) Government	.0495	. 2583	.0999	.0443	.0395	.1071	.0774	.0881	1.0000	.1080
(20) Households	.3401	.7878	.7953	.3953	.4036	1.2019	.7161	1.0438	.0000	1.5526
Gross Receipts Multiplier	1.8284	3.0540	2,7909	2.1934	2.0879	3.6782	2.7137	3.4164	1.0000	3.0790

t t

ť

ŧ

ŧ

TABLE 5. INPUT-OUTPUT INTERDEPENDENCE COEFFICIENTS FOR 20-SECTOR MODEL, MINNESOTA ECONOMY (CONTINUED)

Ę

ĺ.

¢

t,

ί.

6

allocated to counties and municipalities based on each entity's projected share of regional employment.

Baseline final demand projections were developed by first estimating the baseline sales to final demand for each sector for the period 1960 to 1982. The economic baseline for the study region was defined as the export sales of that region's basic producing sectors to the "rest-of-the-world" without any major new resource development projects. The major components of the area's sales to final demand were sales by the agricultural (both crops and livestock), mining, and manufacturing sectors, plus federal government outlays and tourist expenditures. Historical estimates of sales to final demand were developed using data from secondary sources (see Appendix A) and techniques similar to those utilized elsewhere.¹⁵ Final demand vectors for one Minnesota functional economic region (Region 1, East Grand Forks-Thief River Falls) for the years 1960 to 1982 are presented in Table 6. Final demand vectors for the remaining regions and Minnesota totals are included in Appendix B, Tables 2-12. Projections of future baseline activity were based on time series projections of the final demand vectors that existed in 1960 through 1982. Several time series regression analysis techniques were employed for each region and sector for the years 1960 through 1982 to arrive at the best set of projecting equations for the model. Equations estimated by robust regression analysis with an intercept adjustment were selected for use in the model (Table 7 and Appendix B, Tables 13-23). A detailed discussion of the regression analysis is included in Appendix A. As in the North Dakota version, projections for each final demand vector were obtained by linear extrapolations of the regression equations to the year 2005 for the respective sectors (Table 8 and Appendix B, Tables 24-34).

- 26 -

¹⁵Hertsgaard, T. A., R. C. Coon, F. L. Leistritz, and N. L. Dalsted, <u>Developing Economic Impact Projection Models for the Fort Union Coal Region</u>, EPA-908/4-77-009, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Denver, Colorado, 1977.

						Sector			
	(1) Ag,	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc	(15) Retail	(17) Bus &	(20)
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	93.7	144.3		2.1	60.4	3.1	8.8	3.1	46.7
1961	92.6	127.6		2.3	62.7	5.4	9.5	3.1	48.3
1962	91.7	134.6		2.0	64.6	7.0	9.8	3.3	55.3
1963	86.8	120.7		2.0	66.5	8.9	9.9	3.2	54.6
1964	90.9	180.2		2.7	71.7	13.2	10.2	3.4	56.1
1965	92.7	201.9		3.3	76.0	16.9	10.3	3.3	60.5
1966	98.9	160.1		3.0	79.7	20.3	10.2	3.5	76.0
1967	94.7	163.5		2.9	79.9	26.7	10.8	3.6	85,5
1968	90.5	143.7		3.7	78.9	40.0	10.7	3.7	92.2
1969	87.2	154.0		1.4	77.4	51.3	11.6	3.9	102.6
1970	86.9	123.9		5.6	75.2	61.8	11.8	4.1	97.9
1971	87.4	186.6		7.0	75.2	61.2	12.0	4.1	107.4
1972	87.6	176.8		2.0	80.3	72.5	12.1	4.1	106.4
1973	114.6	333.8		5.0	85.1	94.3	13.1	4.4	116.8
1974	92.7	436.4		1.5	89.0	107.7	13.9	4.6	116.2
1975	90.0	323.4		4.4	68.6	140.7	14.1	4.7	113.8
1976	100.0	328.6		2.7	81.2	145.5	14.8	5.0	118.5
1977	89.3	340.4		2.5	83.6	156.8	15.1	5.1	118.8
1978	93.8	407.7		1.7	97.7	141.9	15.6	5.2	124.6
1979	107.7	399.3		2.1	105.0	159.3	16.4	5.4	127.8
1980	103.5	314.5		2.2	86.3	175.3	16.6	5.5	146.7
1981	86.0	464.8		1.8	76.5	181.4	16.4	5.4	126.3
1982	82.2	380.9		1.8	70.0	214.0	17.0	5.7	108.6

TABLE 6. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

ŧ

Ę

ŧ

٤

ŧ

ŧ

£

6

¢

ŧ

ę

Sector	Equation
 (1) Ag, Livestock (2) Ag, Crops (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining 	Y = 507,598.7 - 210.516(X) $Y = -28,375,980 + 14,519.3(X)$ $Y = 0b$
 (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Pub Util (14) Electric Generation 	$Y = 47,122.32 - 22.8112(X)$ $Y = -2,401,026 + 1,251.2(X)$ $Y = -19,328,910 + 9,853.19(X)$ $Y = 0^{D}$
<pre>(14) Erectric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households</pre>	$Y = -743,472.9 + 383.715(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -247,139.2 + 127.548(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -8,906,453 + 4,560.15(X)$

TABLE 7. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 1, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

TABLE 8. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) Ag, Livestock	90.4	89.9	88.9	87.8	86.8	85.7
(2) Ag, Crops	401.3	430.3	502.9	575.5	648.1	720.7
(3) Metal Mining						
(7) Construction	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.4
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	78.9	81.4	87.6	93.9	100.1	106.4
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	200.1	219.8	269.1	318.4	367.6	416.9
(15) Retail Trade	17.1	17.8	19.7	21.7	23.6	25.5
(17) Bus & Pers Service	4.7	4.9	5.6	6.2	6.8	7.5
(20) Households	131.8	140.9	163.7	186.5	209.3	232.1

140

w

¥υ

These projections do not attempt to account for short-term deviations from historical trends. Rather, the projections represent the average final demand values that determine the levels of employment and population.

Productivity (Gross Business Volume/Employment) Ratios

The productivity ratio for each economic sector refers to the gross business volume produced per full-time equivalent worker in that sector. Gross business volume estimates by economic sector were made regionally for the years 1960 to 1982 (Table 9 and Appendix B, Tables 35-45). Productivity ratios for each functional region were determined by dividing each sector's historic gross business volume (estimated by applying the interdependence coefficient matrix to the final demand vectors) by its employment¹⁶ in the corresponding years (Table 10 and Appendix B, Tables 46-56). The resulting estimates of gross business volume per worker for the respective sectors and years are shown in Table 11 and Appendix B, Tables 57-67. Inconsistencies in the data used to disaggregate county employment to sectors sometimes resulted in sectors having gross business volume but no employment and occasionally vice versa.

Ratios of gross business volume per worker were projected through the year 2005 by using a method similar to that utilized in projecting final demand vectors.¹⁷ Robust regression analysis with an intercept adjustment provided estimation equations for each sector and region (Table 12 and Appendix B, Tables 68-78). These equations were used to project gross business volume per worker

¹⁶See Appendix A for data sources used in determining employment.

¹⁷These methods are similar to those employed in North Dakota. For further discussion see, Hertsgaard, T. A., S. H. Murdock, N. E. Toman, M. Henry, and R. Ludtke, <u>REAP Economic-Demographic Model</u>: <u>Technical Description</u>, North Dakota Regional Environmental Assessment Program, Bismarck, 1978; and Toman, N. E., S. H. Murdock, and T. A. Hertsgaard, <u>REAP Economic-Demographic Model Version</u> <u>II: Technical Description</u>, North Dakota Regional Environmental Assessment Program, Bismarck, 1979.

						Sector					
	(1) Ag.	(2) Ag.	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(1)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Metal
ear	Lvšťk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	5	Refining	Proc
<u>960</u>	130,730	199,095	1	1	ł	2,404	30,180	61,107	86.710	1	90
961	128,792	182,021	ł	;	1	2,318	29,483	63,434	87	ļ	31
1962	129,104	190,576	1	:	:	2,409	30,563	65,356	91,326	1	32
963	122,489	174,711	!	ł	ł	2,288	29,314	67,278	89	1	33
964	133,174	244,246	;	1 1	!	2,809	35,910	72,539	109	1	36
965	138,179	271,253	I I	1	ł	3,059	39,363	76,889	120	ł	38
966	144,216	230,716	1	1	;	2,939	38,093	80,632	124	1	40
967	141,332	237,205	-	;	!	3,022	39,250	80,835	133	ł	40
968	137,716	222,726		1	1	2,994	39,562	79,823	151	;	39
969	137,356	240,261	ł	1	1	3,095	39,287	78,306	171	1	6° 6°
970	136,460	213,985	1	ľ	!	3,045	41,353	76,080	184	1	88
97 1	142,508	282,554	ł	1	1 1	3,577	48,663	76,080	194	;	88
972	144,068	279,252	!	;	ł	3,444	43,673	81,239	212	ł	40
973	194,021	475,936	!	1	1	5,063	63,965	86,096		ł	43
974	178,115	588,094		ł	1	5,581	67,983	90,041	319	;	45
975	171,790	484,673	ł	1	1	4,983	62,054	69,403	356	1	34
976	185,877	497,739	!	1	1	5,161	63,182	82,150	371	;	41
977	176,144	513,800	1	!	1	5,230	64,072	84,578	387	ł	42
978	184,755	579,801	1	!	;	5,703	69,662	98,843	375	ł	49
979	204,728	587,627	;	1	!	5,933	72,413	106,228	412	ł	53
980	196,914	503,946	1	!	1	5,454	66,679	87,310	424	ł	43
981	186,897	664,453	1	;	!	6,216	74,665	77,395	448	!	38
982	180.730	591.918	1	1	;	5.684	67.663	70.819	488	ł	35

- continued -

TABLE 9. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION

- 30 -

					Secto	r				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)	
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	5,229	31,364		252,797	53,233	30,838	25,500	32,338	353,015	1,294,56
1961	5,136	30,433		242,739	51,103	30,221	24,816	31,148	341,748	1,250,987
1962	5,339	31,963		254,936	53,710	31,653	26,116	32,728	360,830	1,306,640
1963	5,175	30,559		241,491	50,933	30,667	25,039	31,090	343,743	1,244,422
1964	6,124	36,872		298,913	62,926	36,311	29,957	38,041	414,724	1,521,879
1965	6,602	39,957		325,052	68,501	38,925	32,438	41,325	450,017	1,652,198
1966	6,503	39,208		310,085	65,819	38,290	32,151	40,081	444,928	1,597,79
1967	6,660	40,651		321,702	68,246	39,335	33,375	41,565	463,960	1,650,973
1968	6,590	40,315		315,689	67,149	38,807	33,128	41,027	461,292	1,638,46
1969	6,847	42,656		336,206	71,361	40,470	35,013	43,560	491,068	1,736,94
1970	6,583	40,540		315,817	67,053	38,649	33,178	41,098	464,234	1,662,616
1971	7,397	46,881		374,683	79,291	43,553	38,213	48,207	540,429	1,926,359
1972	7,506	46,930		372,708	79,050	44,050	38,263	48,103	538,375	1,939,210
1973	10,231	65,943		544,674	114,904	59,211	52,980	69,131	756,433	2,790,554
1974	11,302	73,615		621,253	130,566	66,372	58,853	77,868	842,591	3,131,575
1975	9,890	64,851		540,917	113,587	57,117	51,570	68,210	743,498	2,798,870
1976	10,523	67,992		562,961	118,477	60,770	54,198	71,234	776,569	2,928,681
1977	10,707	69,087		573,222	120,595	62,048	55,002	72,378	787,524	2,981,775
19 78	11,760	75,653		631,134	132,819	68,737	60,429	79,458	862,111	3,236,448
1979	12,332	78,543		650,734	137,132	71,382	62,683	82,222	892,001	3,376,849
1980	11,213	72,904		597,124	125,904	64,566	58,308	76,010	837,486	3,128,680
1981	12,276	81,384		691,918	144,876	71,324	64,338	86,376	931,347	3,541,957
1982	11,363	74,225		626,621	131,041	65,312	58,224	78,349	841,429	3,291,985

TABLE 9. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

E E

Ë

Ľ

K K

Ċ.

ĸ

k

Ľ

È

1960-1982
Ι,
A REGION
MI NNE SOT
SECTOR,
BY ECONOMIC
Вγ
EMPLOYMENT
10.
TABLE

					20100	5				
		(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(01)	
:	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic		Lumber &	Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Assoc Prod	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	9.388	1	;	!		798	364	2 RGQ	1	1
1961	9,197	ł	1	1	;	763	353	2,857	;	;
1962	9,007	1	ł	!	ł	171	365	2.947	ł	ł
1963	8,816	1	ł	!	1	763	368	2,978	1	;
1964	8,625	1	ł	1	1	784	358	2,897	ł	:
1965	8,420	1	1	1	ł	928	383	3,394	ł	;
1966	8,214	1	!	ł	1	741	385	3,962	;	ł
1967	8,009	ł	!	:	1 1	692	714	3,559	1	;
1968	7,803	1	;	ł	;	740	669	3,940	1	ł
1969	7,598	1	1	ł	ł	662	684	5,444	;	ł
1970	7,430	1	I I	1	1	625	676	5,293	1	;
1971	7,353	1	1	1	;	916	685	6,248	1	ł
1972	7,232	1	ļ	1	1	713	675	6,043	1	ļ
1973	7,109	;	1	t I	!	708	677	6,059	ł	1
1974	6,987	1	1	ł	8	718	1,617	•	!	:
1975	2,000	ł	ł	1	;	903	1,532	5,579	ł	ł
1976	7,031	1	1	!	1	878	1,596	5,945	l I	1
1977	7,052	1	1	1	ł	106	1,510	5,812	ł	ł
1978	7,072	1	1	1	!	986	1,560	6,474	ł	;
1979	7,149	ł	1	!	;	1,019	1,562	6,724	1	ł
1980	7,116	1	;	1	ł	856	1,562	5,901	г 1	;
1981	7,190	;	ł	t I	1	780	1,514	600, 9	;	ł
1982	7,264	1	1 1	1	1	697	1,487	5,814	1	:

- continued -

- 32 -

÷

					S	ector			
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
	_	Comm &	Elec	Retail		Bus & Pers	Prof &		
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	500	568		3,143	449	489	2,107	3,474	
1961	470	534		3,103	467	505	2,177	3,572	
1962	470	534		3,170	479	523	2,253	3,769	
1963	464	527		3,247	485	532	2,295	3,992	
1964	475	540		3,430	498	566	2,438	4,244	
1965	505	563		3,517	515	774	2,321	4,412	
1966	531	571		3,545	544	700	2,469	4,654	
1967	546	548		3,668	561	771	2,814	4,772	
1968	640	539		3,680	579	716	14,463	4,735	
1969	648	473		4,139	627	753	3,159	4,768	
1970	639	466		4,251	647	791	3,320	4,988	
1971	613	610		4,362	637	694	3,530	5,148	
1972	814	698		4,893	652	886	4,322	5,174	
1973	643	643		5,154	664	1,032	3,979	5,348	
1974	572	619		4,772	711	803	3,835	5,341	
1975	609	564		4,693	743	787	4,071	5,481	
1976	770	533		5,121	789	903	4,344	5,763	
1977	701	491		5,649	804	1,194	3,917	5,835	
1978	632	644		5,804	908	1,115	4,286	5,965	
1979	663	675		5,899	944	1,163	4,470	5,907	
1980	599	659		5,533	981	1,132	4,868	5,989	
1981	610	672		5,660	1,043	1,199	5,153	6,136	
1982	593	653		5,628	1,060	1,216	5,229	6,002	

TABLE 10. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

¥ 9

K E

L.

ŧ

~

.

Č,

Ľ

Ċ

					Sector	•				
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
lear	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
.960	35,133					37,820	172,619	30,329		
.961	33,795					38,641	179,700			
962	35,492					39,641	179,058	30,989		
963	33,711					38,419	182,821	30,093		
964	43,759					45,804	202,623			
965	48,626					42,417	200,755	35,534		
966	45,645					51,408	209,434	31,320		
967	47,264					56,720	113,214	37,594		
968	46,193					53,462	114,196	38,479		
969	49,700					59,346	114,482	31,489		
970	47,166					66,165	112,544	34,859		
971	57,808					53,126	111,066	31,097		
972	58,534					61,252	120,354	35,166		
973	94,241					90,346	88,123	48,181		
974	109,662					94,684	55,684	55,338		
975	93,660					68,720	45,302	63,863		
976	97,229					71,961	51,472	62,542		
977	97,837					71,112	56,012	66,646		
978	108,110					70,651	63,361	58,007		
979	110,834					71,063	68,008	61,398		
980	98,491					77,896	55,896	71,991		
981	118,408					95,724	51,120	74,631		
982	106,367					97,077	47,625	84,035		

TABLE 11. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

- continued -

¢

e

6

€

F

€

¢

¢

€

- 34 -

€

€

MINNESOTA
SECTOR,
ECONOMIC
Вγ
RATIOS,
<pre>ESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA [1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)</pre>
DOLLARS)
VOLUME TO 0 = BASE
GROSS BUSINESS 1, 1960-1982 (198
GROSS , 1960-
TABLE 11. REGION 1

1

ک

کین

نو

€

€

۴

F

€

	Sector	Equation
(1	& (2) Agriculture	Y = -8,206,795.0 + 4,197.15(X)
 ພ	Metal Mining	
~ 4	Coal Mining	Υ = 10,000,000b
 თ	Pet/NG Exp/Ext	Υ = 10,000,000b
_ თ	Nonmetallic Mining	Υ = 10,000,000b
(7	Construction	Y = -4,230,903.0 + 2,181.29(X)
~ 8	Lumber & Assoc Prod	$Y = 49,373^{\circ}$
) 9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Y = -4,382,721.0 + 2,251.19(X)
(10	Pet Refining	Υ = 10,000,000
Ê	Metal Processing	Y = 10,000,000b
(12	Transportation	Y = -811, 119.2 + 419.21(X)
(13	Comm & Public Utilities	Y = -6,351,839.0 + 3,264.50(X)
(14) Electric Generation	Y = 10,000,000 ^b
(15	Retail Trade	Y = -3,418,227.0 + 1,782.97(X)
(16	Fin, Ins, Real Estate	0 +
(17	Bus & Pers Service	+
(18) Prof & Soc Service	Y = -97,605.94 + 55.110(X)
(19) Government	Y = -544,028.4 / + 281.324(X)
(20	} Households	Υ = 10,000,000 ^D
aRol	aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.	ר
l al	"because very inclie or no employment exists in this sector, large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for	because very inclie or no employment exists in this sector, aroitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining

large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining
projected employment.
^CThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the
average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

F

€

employment ratios projected through the year 2005 are shown for Region 1 in đ the year 2005. The resulting estimates of gross business volume ដ

Table 13 and for the remaining regions in Appendix 8, Tables 79-89.

F

County and Municipal Employment Allocators

each Projected shares for each city were extrapolations of that city's share of city's or county's projected share of total regional employment. Baseline employment is allocated to cities and counties on the basis of

F

۴

F

I.

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	111,956	120,351	141,336	162,322	183,308	204,294
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	92,414	96,776	107,683	118,589	129,496	140,402
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	49,373	49,373	49,373	49,373	49,373	49,373
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	79,138	83,640	94,896	106,152	117,408	128,664
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	19,755	20,593	22,689	24,786	26,882	28,978
(13) Comm & Pub Util	118,400	124,929	141,252	157,574	173,897	190,219
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	115,620	119,185	128,100	137,015	145,930	154,845
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	131,735	134,624	141,845	149,067	156,289	163,510
(17) Bus & Pers Service	56,751	56,761	56,788	56,815	56,842	56,869
(18) Prof & Soc Service	11,622	11,732	12,008	12,283	12,559	12,835
(19) Government	13,556	14,118	15,525	16,932	18,338	19,745
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

TABLE 13.	PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS	VOLUME TO EMPLOYM	ENT (PRODUCTIVITY)	RATIOS FOR	MINNESOTA REGION 1.
SELECTED	YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980	= BASE DOLLARS)	. ,		······································

(c

٤

¢

Ê

¢

E

K

(

(

÷.

- 37

Ę

37 -

county employment between 1960 and 1980.¹⁸ Since employment data were not available at the subcounty level, population was used as a proxy. Each city's share of employment was based on that city's share of total municipal population in the functional economic region from 1960 to 1980. Cities' shares were used to allocate the region's total nonagricultural employment among the cities in each region to 2005. In similar fashion, the region's agricultural employment was allocated to the counties in the region based on each county's share of the region's agricultural employment from 1960 to 1980. Agricultural employment projections to 2005 were based on the county's share in 1980. County and city allocators used in the adapted version are presented for Region 1 in Table 14 and for the remaining regions in Appendix B, Tables 90-99.

Project-Specific Economic Parameters

Economic activity associated with the construction and operation of resource extraction and conversion projects or similar industry-specific development was assumed to be largely additive to trends in baseline activity. The adapted version first computes the baseline economic activity in the region (along with its counties and municipalities) and then computes the economic activity associated with the development scenario specified by the user. Assessment of the economic impacts of a new project (or several projects) is based on a set of project-specific data assembled for each project. The project data file has been designed to allow rapid updating of information regarding specific projects (e.g., changes in construction timetables and labor requirements) and for the addition of information on new projects. Υb

دينها

Ŵ

- 38 -

¹⁸Constraints were imposed on the extrapolation process such that the sum of all shares would equal 1.00 (i.e., 100 percent of regional employment) and that no city or county's share would be less than zero or more than one.

_			80		85 .	19	90	19	95	20	000	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	
Kittson	Hallock	0.0329348		0.0315161		0.0301585		0.0288594	•	0.0276162		0.0264266	
•	Karlstad	0.0218941	0.0218944	0.0228296	0.0227283	0.0238051	0.0235587	0.0248223	0.0243857	0.0258830	0.0252094	0.0269890	0.026030
Marshall	Argyle	0.0173699		0.0167071		0.0160695		0.0154563		0.0148665		0.0142992	
	Stephen	0.0210502		0.0208027		0.0205582		0.0203166		0.0200778		0.0198418	
	Warren '	0.0493436		0.0487893		0.0482413		0.0476994		0.0471636		0.0466338	
Pennington	Thief River Falls	0.2134306	0.2134333	0.2215144	0.2205313	0.2299047	0.2275245	0.2386126	0.2344150	0.2476503	0.2412052	0.2570306	0.247898
Polk	Crookston	0.2022500		0.1980812		0.1939983		0.1899995		0.1860832		0.1822476	
	East Grand Forks	0.2001136	0.2001160	0.2054827	0.2045708	0.2109961	0.2088116	0.2166572	0.2128459	0.2224703	0.2166806	0.2284395	0.220323
	Erskine	0.0137131		0.0132373		0.0127780		0.0123347		0.0119068		0.0114937	
	Fertile	0.0203704		0.0193733		0.0184250		0.0175231		0.0166654		0.0153496	
•	Fosston	0.0374824		0.0360446		0.0346619		0.0333323		0.0320537		0.0308241	
	McIntosh	0.0159634		0.0150527		0.0141939		0.0133841		0.0126205	•	0.0119004	
Red Lake	Oklee	0.0125645		0.0123165		0.0120735		0.0118353		0.0116018		0.0113729	
	Red Lake Falls	0.0405997	0.0406002	0.0409842	0.0408023	0.0413723	0.0409439	0.0417641	0.0410294	0.0421595	0.0410623	0.0425587	0.041047
Roseau	Greenbush	0.0191512	0.0191514	0.0194074	0.0193212	0.0196670	0.0194634	0.0199301	0.0195795	0.0201967	0.0196711	0.0204669	0.019740
	Roseau	0.0532583		0.0527837		0.0523133		0.0518471		0.0513851		0.0509272	
	Warroad	0.0285044		0.0273419		0.0262268		0.0251571		0.0241311		0.0231470	
SUM OF DECL	INING COUNTIES	0.5048046		0.4920461		0.4796979		0.4677447		0.4561713		0.4449635	
ONE MINUS D	ECLINING COUNTIES	0.4951954		0.5079539		0.5203021		0.5322553		0.5438287		0,5550365	
SUM OF INCR	EASING COUNTIES	0.4951891		0.5102182		0.5257450		0.5417862		0.5583597		0.5754845	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.99999999		0.9999999		0.9999999		1.0000000		0.9999998		1.000000

ti ti

6

Ę

.

TABLE 14. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1980 to 2005

£ £

ŧ

È

ŧ

(

.

ŧ

The final demand vector of the site-specific data file for each project is applied to the input-output model. In the construction phase of a project, this consists of annual expenditures to the contract construction, retail trade, and household sectors. During the operational phase, annual expenditures are to the retail trade and household sectors. The construction phase final demand vector indicates the amount of injection of new dollars into the regional spending stream via the contract construction sector (for bid lettings to local contractors), retail trade sector (for supplies and materials purchased locally), and household sector (for wages and salaries to employees living in the area).

The data file for each energy development project that the user may specify contains the following set of specifications:

- 1. Project number
- 2. Start date
- 3. Location of project
- 4. Project name and description
- Number of years after the start of construction that operation begins
- 6. Land taken for plant and/or mine site
- 7. Acres mined per year
- 8. Land taken for urban uses
- 9. Tons mined per year
- 10. Kilowatt hours of electricity sold per year
- 11. Cubic feet of synthetic natural gas sold per year
- 12. Mine value for property tax purposes
- 13. State share of Federal coal royalties

14. Project final demand vectors

- a) Households
- b) Retail trade
- c) Construction

15. Direct Labor

انت ا

40

- a) Construction (temporary workers)
- b) Operation or permanent workers

The project data file format was designed for energy development, although it can be used for other developments (e.g., taconite mine) by including slightly different information.

Economic downturns occurring in specific industries or sectors can be handled easily by using project files. Industrial declines would be handled as if the lower level of production occurred permanently. To accomplish this, the projected baseline final demand vector would be set as a constant value reflecting reduced production. A project file would be developed to allow the industry to resume its growth trend at a point in time when recovery would most likely occur. By handling declines in this manner, the project actually becomes part of the projected baseline economic activity of the region. Projected industry developments beyond baseline growth would be included as additional project files that could be added to the baseline project file.

Description of the Demographic Module

The demographic data base used in the model was composed of four major components:

 Detailed county population consisting of 75 age cohorts¹⁹ (single-year) by gender

- 41 -

¹⁹Census information consisted of 104 age cohorts from 0 to 103. Cohorts 0 and 1 were added to form the first age cohort and cohorts 75 and over were added to form the last age cohort.

- Birth rates by county of residence for six female five-year cohorts from 15 to 45 years of age
- 3. Survival rates of 75 age cohorts²⁰ (single-year) by gender
- Migration rates by county for age cohorts (single-year) over 64
 by gender

The data sources and methods used in the preparation of each of these components are described below.

Population by Age and Gender

Single-year cohort populations were derived from the STF 2A 1980 census data tape for Minnesota.²¹ The population counts are in 75 single-year age groups²² by male and female for each county in 1980.

Birth Rates

Three sets of age-specific birth rates were computed for each county in Minnesota for use in the model. These rates were computed using the general formula:

ASFR_i =
$$\frac{(i^{B}1979 + i^{B}1980 + i^{B}1981)/3}{i^{FP}1980}$$

where: $ASFR_i = age-specific fertility rates for females in age group (cohort) i;$ $<math>(i^B_{1979}, 1980, 1981)^{/3} = average number of births to females in age$ group i in 1979, 1980, and 1981;

£:1

i^{FP}1980 = female population of age group i in 1980.

20_{Ibid}. 21_{Ibid}.

22 Ibid.

Data on births were obtained from the Minnesota Department of Health,²³ and 1980 data on the number of females were obtained from the 1980 STF 2A census data tape for Minnesota. The use of an average number of births for a three-year period is a standard procedure²⁴ used to eliminate the effects of bias due to chance fluctuations in the number of births from year to year.

The three sets of rates computed for the projection period for each county were patterned after completed levels of fertility of 1.8, 2.1, and 2.5 births per female. These total fertility levels are the sum of a set of age-specific fertility rates for women in the childbearing ages that would result if each female were to experience a given set of age-specific rates for each age group as she aged through her reproductive lifetime. Six five-year cohort rates were calculated for each county for 1980. A 25-year national fertility trend for the corresponding six five-year cohorts (i.e., women 15 to 45 years of age) was utilized to project total fertility over time.²⁵ The age-specific national fertility trends were applied to the ratio of county age-specific fertility rates for 1980 for each projection year, resulting in a set of age-specific fertility rates for each county and level of completed fertility.²⁶ An example of county fertility rates for 1980 is provided in Table 15 for Kittson County.

- 43 -

²³Minnesota Center for Health Statistics, <u>Minnesota Health Statistics</u> <u>1981</u>, Minneapolis, 1981.

²⁴Shryock, H. S., and J. S. Siegel, <u>The Methods and Materials of</u> <u>Demography</u>, U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1973.

²⁵Bureau of the Census, <u>Projections of the Population of the United</u> <u>States 1977 to 2050</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, Current Population Reports P-25, No. 704, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977.

²⁶Tarver, J. D., and T. R. Black, <u>Making County Population Projections</u>: <u>A Detailed Explanation of a Three-Component Method</u>, <u>Illustrated by Reference to</u> <u>Utah Counties</u>, Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Logan, 1966; and Irwin, <u>Richard, Guide for Local Area Population Projections</u>, U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977.

Age Group	Birth Rate		
15-19 ^b 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 ^c	0.0414 0.1877 0.1460 0.0694 0.0103 0.0103		

ł,

ł.

۱ü

6

<u>ڪ</u>

<u>ن</u>

ŝ

TABLE 15. FERTILITY RATES^a FOR KITTSON COUNTY, MINNESOTA, 1980

^aUsing national completed levels of fertility of 2.1 births per female. ^bBirths to women less than 15 years of age are included in this category. ^cBirths to women over 44 years of age are included in this category.

Survival Rates

Survival rates for 15 age and gender cohorts (Table 16) were determined from a 1980 life table for Minnesota.²⁷ A 1979 through 1982 average of total deaths in each cohort was used to reduce yearly fluctuations. The survival rates for the 15 age-gender cohorts were disaggregated into 75 single-year age-gender cohorts conforming to the detailed population.

The relatively small variation in mortality from one area to another allowed the application of state survival rates to all of the counties. These rates were computed using the life table survival rate method:

$$S_{X, X + t} = \frac{L_{X} + t}{L_{X}}$$

where: $S_{x, x + t}$ = probability of a member of a cohort surviving from the time period x to x + t;

- $L_{x + t}$ = number of persons in the cohort alive at the end of the period, x + t;
 - L_x = number of persons in the cohort alive at the beginning of the period, x.

²⁷Computed from unpublished data supplied by the Minnesota Center for Health Statistics, Minneapolis, 1983. One rate is applied to all individuals over the age of 70; thus, the rate is adjusted upward to avoid inflating deaths in this age category due to its cumulative guality.

Age	Male	Female		
0-4	.990916	.992323		
5-9	.999162	.999385		
10-14	.998780	.999078		
15-19	.996578	.998710		
20-24	.995745	.998545		
25-29	.996002	.998537		
30-34	.996078	.997493		
35-39	.993565	.994784		
40-44	.987941	.992418		
45-49	.977918	.987186		
50-54	.961527	.981356		
55-59	.946554	.968281		
60-64	.902236	.951824		
65-69	.841166	.927631		
70-74	.956160	.977685		
75+	.890000	.920000		

TABLE 16. MINNESOTA SURVIVAL RATES BY AGE AND GENDER

 $L_{X} + t$ and L_{X} are derived from the appropriate column of a standard life table. Survival rates for the 1979 to 1981 period were assumed to prevail throughout the projection period.

Migration Rates

فنفنه

Migration rates were not specified for age-gender cohorts 1 to 65 because the employment-based method determines migration. The interface component, described later in the report, uses a comparison procedure²⁸ between required employment and available work force, ages 15 to 64, to determine yearly net migration for these age groups. The migration of individuals under age 15 is assumed to be a direct result of net work force migration. A profile of a migrating family was developed from survey data and applied to in- and out-migrants providing migration levels for age-gender cohorts from 1 to 14.

. ²⁸For a more detailed discussion of migration procedures, see pages 41-44 of Leistritz, et al., 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>. Migration rates were utilized for age-gender cohorts (single-year) 65 to 74+. Rates were computed for each county in 1980 from two five-year age-gender cohorts, 65-69 and 70-74+. These rates were computed by using an average of the rates computed by forward and reverse survival rate methods,²⁹ using data from the 1970 census first count, file B and STF 2A for 1980. Migration rates for 1980 were assumed to prevail throughout the projection period. The 1980 net migration rates for Kittson County are provided in Table 17.

Age Group	Male	Female	
65	074000	042000	
66	074000	042000	
67	074000	042000	
68	074000	042000	
69	074000	042000	
70	004900	001900	
71	004900	001900	
72	004900	001900	
73	004900	001900	
74+	004900	001900	

TABLE 17. MIGRATION RATES FOR KITTSON COUNTY, MINNESOTA, 1980

Ł

4

Υï.


Description of the Residential Allocation Module

The residential allocation component of the model estimates the probable settlement patterns of in-migrating workers and their dependents.³⁰ The allocations were made at the municipal level using a gravity model. Use of this model assumed that the number of in-migrants moving to a city will be inversely related to the distance between the city and the employment site.

²⁹Leistritz, F. Larry, and Steven H. Murdock, 1981, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>; Shryock, H. S., and J. S. Siegel, 1973, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; and Bowles, G. K., C. L. Beale, and E. S. Lee, <u>Net Migration of the Population, 1960-70 by Age</u>, <u>Sex</u>, <u>and Color</u>, University of Georgia Printing Department, Athens, 1975.

³⁰Worker demographic characteristics and labor force participation rates used in the Minnesota version are equivalent to those in the North Dakota version. See Leistritz, et al., 1982, op. cit.

In addition, qualitative factors were assumed to exist among settlements and to affect workers' choices. Previously collected data on settlement patterns of workers were used as a basis for estimating parameters for the gravity model.³¹ In symbolic form, these assumptions may be stated as follows for each site:



- - EB, EC, EO, and EI are baseline, construction, operating, and secondary (indirect and induced) employment, respectively, in the previous year (except for construction employment, which is two years previous);
 - f = a fraction applied to construction employment in the year t-2;
 - a dij = the distance from municipality i to the location of project j raised to the power a;

 W_i = the relative qualitative attractiveness of city i.

The residential allocation calculations by the gravity model include 503 municipalities within Minnesota as an inital set, plus 41 adjoining

³¹Leholm, Arlen G., F. Larry Leistritz, and James S. Wieland, <u>Profile</u> of North <u>Dakota's Electric Power Plant Construction Work Force</u>, Agricultural Economics Statistical Report No. 22, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1976; Mountain West Research, Inc., <u>Construction Worker Profile</u>, Old West Regional Commission, Washington, D.C., <u>December 1975</u>; and <u>Wieland</u>, James S., "Socioeconomic Characteristics and Settlement Patterns Associated with Operating and Construction Work Forces in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Montana," Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1977.

municipalities in North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, and Wisconsin.³² Non-Minnesota municipalities are allowed to attract population away from Minnesota during gravity model calculations, but no annual calculations of changes in the population of non-Minnesota cities are made. These cities are assumed to have an employment base equal to their 1980 estimated employment plus construction, operation, and secondary workers that are projected to migrate to these cities.

As in the North Dakota version of the model, the user may specify values for the power "a" to which distance is raised. Separate gravity model allocations are made for each type of worker. Unless the user specifies otherwise, the gravity powers are 1.5 for construction workers, 2.9 for operating workers, and 1.6 for project-related secondary workers. This results in a smaller proportion of construction workers than operating workers being allocated to municipalities near the plant site. Slightly more indirect workers than construction workers are allocated to nearby cities. خلا

Ŵ

The gravity model allocates construction, operation, and secondary workers to communities as a factor of commuting distance to the site of a project, but secondary workers are assumed to both reside and work in the community to which they have been allocated. The residential allocation module is computed for each year there is project-related in-migration, using appropriate previous year's employment of each type for each city.

Modification of the Fiscal Impact Module

Population growth in communities near large-scale developments often results in the need for adjustment in the provision and financing of public services. Fiscal problems resulting from increased service demands and costs

- 48 -

^{·32}For a listing of the municipalities included in the Minnesota adaptation, see Appendix B, Table 1.

lie primarily in the timing of revenue collection in relation to public service costs and in the distribution of those costs and revenues between jurisdictions. Fiscal impact analysis is used to project the changes in revenue and expenditure flows of governmental units which are likely to occur in response to large-scale developments. Governmental units of primary interest are those local jurisdictions which may experience substantial changes in service demand as a result of project development.³³ The primary focus is on the public sector costs and revenues associated with a particular project. Using traditional forms of cash-flow analysis in determining costs and revenues, the typical result of fiscal impact analysis is a statement of <u>net</u> surplus or deficit. The key features of this analysis are an exclusive focus on the revenues received and costs incurred by governmental units, and inclusion of the distribution of costs and revenues through time.³⁴

For estimating revenue flows, the principal methodological alternatives are either to assume that revenues will be directly proportional to population or to employ more detailed models which first estimate the change in the relevant tax base and then apply the appropriate tax rate. On the expenditure side, two general estimation methods are usually employed, the average cost and the marginal cost approaches. The average cost method projects service demands and associated costs for new population only, utilizing averages per inmigrant and either national, state, or local service standards. In contrast, the marginal cost method attempts to take existing services into account and project new service requirements and associated costs on the basis of marginal demands (i.e., requirements above those that can be met by existing

³³Muller, Thomas, <u>Fiscal Impacts of Land Development</u>: <u>A Critique of</u> <u>Methods and Review of Issues</u>, URI 98000, The Urban Institute, Washington, D.C., 1975.

³⁴Leistritz, F. Larry and Steve H. Murdock, 1981, op. cit.

- 49 -

services). In selecting either of these approaches, care must be taken to insure that these techniques allow for adequate analysis of the magnitude and timing among different governmental units.

The fiscal implications of an energy development project depend on a number of factors, notably project characteristics (e.g., the magnitude of investment and the size and scheduling of the work force), site area characteristics (e.g., state and local tax structures and capacity of existing service facilities), and the nature of the economic and demographic effects resulting from the project.

\$

نت

نفئا

۲

نفنا

Although the basic structure of the North Dakota fiscal impact module remains relatively intact in the Minnesota version, various fiscal functions which estimate the public sector costs and revenues associated with development projects have been modified to conform to those site area characteristics unique to Minnesota. The data sources and methods used in modifying the fiscal model parameters are described below.

Revenue Estimation

The taxation system of Minnesota is very similar to that of North Dakota, thus requiring only slight modification of the fiscal impact module. The three income sources yielding the greatest 1982 revenue for Minnesota were real property taxes (\$1.64 billion), individual income (\$1.56 billion), and sales and use tax (\$.88 billion) (Tables 18 and 19). Together, these three taxes accounted for over \$4.0 billion of the \$5.7 billion collected in state and local taxes, or almost 72 percent of the total.

Changes in Minnesota's state and local tax collections were obtained by applying the appropriate tax rate to the estimated change in the relevant tax base. A discussion of the various tax estimates for the state and local governments used in the Minnesota version are presented below.

- 50 -

مخل

کان ا

ي.

لعلات

ک

. من

ور ا

لات

مخل

محك

لات

TABLE 18. STATE TAX COLLECTIONS AND PERCENT OF TOTAL, MINNESOTA, FISCAL YEARS 1980-1982

	198)	198	1	1982		
Kind of Tax	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Tota	
Income Taxes							
Individual	1,271,810	39.22	1,405,792	41.38	1,559,319	40.93	
Corporate	345,223	10.65	296,065	8.72	295,457	7.76	
Bank Excise	36,093	1.11	35,655	1.05	29,718	0.78	
Employers Excise Tax	-298		-111				
Estate Taxes							
Estate	1,156	0.04	7,582	0.22	17,713	0.47	
Inheritance	38,810	1.20	20,585	0.61	5,603	0.15	
Gift	1,955	0.06	557	0.02	1,077	0.03	
Sales and Excise Taxes							
General Sales and Use	657,167	20.27	686,565	20.21	875,867	22.99	
Motor Vehicle Excise Tax	88,698	2.74	87,083	2.56	103,767	2.72	
Highway Gasoline	202,789	6.25	230,857	6.80	257,391	6.76	
Aviation Gasoline	2,106	0.07	2,014	0.06	1,960	0.05	
Intoxicating Liquor	40,537	1.25	41,194	1.21	40,898	1.07	
Fermented Malt Beverages	11,135	0.34	11,811	0.35	11,595	0.30	
Wine	2,736	0.08	2,798	0.08	2,972	0.08	
Cigarette	84,307	2.60	86,199		86,288	2.26	
Tobacco Products	2,240	0.07	2,430		2,670	0.07	
Other	19,183	0.59	17,943	0.53	18,287	0.48	
Gross Earning Taxes							
Telephone	53,568	1.65	59,684	1.76	66,509	1.75	
Telegraph	729	0.02	1,000	0.03	1,484	0.04	
Railroad, Regular	8,598	0.27	12,395	0.36	36		
Railroad, Taconite	3,634	0.11	2,985	0.09	4,961	0.13	
Freight Line	1,130	0.03	1,402	0.04	494	0.01	
Insurance Premiums	62,297	1.92	62,500	1.84	73,663	1.93	
Other	1,766	0.05	1,937	0.05	2,184	0.06	
Severance and Tonnage Taxe							
Taconite Production Tax	88,484	2.73	87,185	2.57	99,019	2.60	
Occupation Tax on Taconit		0.45	12,895	0.38	12,112	0.32	
Occupation Tax on Iron Or		0.08	536	0.02	1,444	0.04	
Royalty Tax on Taconite	5,125	0.16	4,118	0.12	5,529	0.15	
Royalty Tax on Iron Ore	924	0.03	711	0.02	464	0.01	
Royalty Tax on Misc. Ores	35		33		42		

- continued -

	1980	1980		1	1982	
Kind of Tax	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total
In Lieu of Property Taxes						
Motor Vehicle Licenses	128,293	3.96	140,845	4.15	152,889	4.01
Aircraft Licenses	1,455	0.04	1,447	0.04	1,186	0.03
Airflight Property	4,293	0.13	4,442	0.13	3,873	0.10
Licenses and Permits						
Motor Vehicle Operators	6,233	0.19	8,002	0.24	11,527	0.30
Hunting and Fishing	13,416	0.41	16,111	0.47	16,819	0.44
Others	39,816	1.23	43,679	1.28	44,879	1.18
TOTAL REVENUE	3,242,684	100.00	3,396,930	100.00	3,809,695	100.00

TABLE 18. STATE TAX COLLECTIONS AND PERCENT OF TOTAL, MINNESOTA, FISCAL YEARS 1980-1982 (CONTINUED)

SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of</u> <u>State and Local Taxes In Minnesota 1982</u> <u>Edition</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, August 1982; and Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Addendum To 1982</u> <u>Minnesota Tax</u> <u>Handbook</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, September 1983.

State Revenue Sources.

1. State Personal Income Tax: The state personal income tax estimator is 3.43 percent of total personal income. This was derived by dividing total personal income tax collections for 1978 to 1982 (\$6,586,447,000) by the estimated total personal income for those years (\$192,250,955,000).³⁵ The Minnesota legislature enacted an income surtax of 7 percent for 1982 which was later increased to 10 percent and extended through 1983.³⁶ Minnesota will most

³⁶Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

³⁵Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Addendum To The 1982 Minnesota Tax</u> <u>Handbook</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, September 1983; Minnesota Department of <u>Revenue, Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of State and Local Taxes In Minnesota</u> <u>1982 Edition</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, August 1982; Minnesota Department of <u>Revenue, Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of State and Local Taxes In Minnesota</u> <u>1980-81 Edition</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, January 1981; Minnesota Department of <u>Economic Development and Revenue</u>, <u>1979 Minnesota Tax Guide</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, 1979; and Department of Revenue, <u>1981 Minnesota State Individual Income</u> <u>Tax</u>, Bulletin No. 58, Commission of Revenue, St. Paul, August 1983.

TABLE 19.	LOCAL	ТАХ	COLLECTIONS	AND	PERCENT	0F	TOTAL,	MINNESOTA,	FISCAL	YEARS
1980-198	2									

	1980		1983		1982	2
Kind of Tax	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total	Revenue (\$000)	Percent of Total
Property Taxes						
Real Property	1,286,912	87.55	1,324,958	86.41	1,644,145	87.65
Personal Property	48,426	3.29	47,563	3.10	61,222	3.26
Special Assessments	85,185	5.80	111,263		125,290	6.68
Mobile Home Property	5,277	0.36	3,402		4,113	0.22
Tree Growth	227	0.02	270	0.02	272	0.01
Auxiliary Forest	18		42		55	
Rural Powerlines	12,520	0.85	13,051	0.85	5,450	0.29
Sales Taxes						
Bloomington	1,277	0.09	1,532	0.10	1,715	0.09
Duluth	4,583	0.31	4,624	0.30	4,665	0.24
Minneapolis	3,236	0.22	2,416	0.16	2,319	0.12
Rochester	678	0.05	741	0.05	791	0.04
St. Paul	331	0.02	375	0.03	427	0.02
St. Cloud	48		116	0.01	143	0.01
Stadium Commission	3,193	0.22	3,077	0.20	3,348	0.18
Gross Earnings Taxes						
Minneapolis	5,380	0.36	6,167	0.40	7,080	0.38
St. Paul	12,446	0.85	13,532		14,491	0.77
Sand and Gravel Taxes	122	0.01	154	0.01	191	0.04
TOTAL REVENUE	1,469,859	100.00	1,533,283	100.00	1,875,717	100.00

SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of</u> <u>State and Local Taxes In Minnesota 1982</u> <u>Edition</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, August 1982; and Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Addendum To The 1982</u> <u>Minnesota</u> Tax Handbook, Research Office, St. Paul, September 1983.

likely repeal the income surtax for the 1984 tax year. Disposition of Minnesota income tax is to the State General Fund.

2. State Corporate Income Tax: The corporate income tax estimator is 0.32 percent of the gross business volume of all business sectors. This was determined by comparing the corporate income tax collections for the years 1978 to 1982 (\$1,529,844,000) to the estimated gross business volume of all business sectors (\$477,281,522,000) for those years.³⁷ All state corporate income tax revenues are deposited in the state general fund.

3. State Sales and Use Tax: The state sales and use tax estimator is 2.44 percent of the gross business volume of the retail trade sector. This estimator was derived by comparing the 1978 to 1982 sales and use tax collections (\$3,373,928,000) to the estimated gross business volume of the retail trade sector (\$138,387,983,000) for the same years.³⁸

Minnesota sales tax applies on the retail sale and rental of tangible personal property. Major exemptions from the sales tax are as follows: food for consumption off premises, clothing, drugs and medications, gasoline, publications issued at intervals of three months or less, motor vehicles, materials used or consumed in agricultural or industrial production, text books, and home heating fuels from November through April. Currently, the only tax on electricity generated in Minnesota (e.g., nuclear generation facilities at Monticello and Prairie Island) is sales tax, which allows the exemptions previously mentioned. The sales tax rate was 5 percent until the 1982 Legislature increased it to 6 percent.³⁹ State sales tax revenues are deposited in the State General Fund.

4. Other State-Collected Taxes: The various highway, liquor, and cigarette and tobacco taxes were estimated on a per capita basis. Per capita estimates were determined from actual tax collections and census

³⁹Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 54 -

³⁷Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1981, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; and Minnesota Department of Economic Development and Revenue, 1979, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

³⁸Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1981, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; and Minnesota Department of Economic Development and Revenue, 1979, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

populations.⁴⁰ Highway taxes were defined, for purposes of this study, to include revenues from motor vehicle excise tax, highway fuel tax, motor vehicle recycling tax, motor vehicle licenses, and motor vehicle operators permits. The disposition of highway taxes is as follows: motor vehicle excise tax (State General Fund); highway fuel tax (99.25 percent to Highway Users Fund and 0.75 percent to Department of Natural Resources); license and permits (Highway User Tax Distribution Fund).⁴¹

Cigarette and tobacco tax is the levy of 18 cents per pack of cigarettes, 20 percent of wholesale price of cigars, smoking tobacco, chewing tobacco, and tobacco products other than cigarettes, and cigarette distribution licenses.⁴² Revenues from cigarette tax are distributed 89 percent to the State General Fund, 5-1/2 percent to Natural Resources, and 5-1/2 percent to Natural Resources Acceleration Account, while all taxes collected from the tobacco products tax go to the State General Fund. The liquor tax includes the revenues from levies on intoxicating liquor, fermented malt beverages, wine, and alcoholic beverage licenses. All funds from the liquor tax are deposited in the State General Fund. The per capita estimates, in 1980 prices, for the various taxes were:

Highway Taxes	\$110.38
Cigarette and Tobacco Taxes	\$ 21.46
Liquor Taxes	\$ 13.48

⁴⁰Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1981, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; Minnesota Department of Economic Development and Revenue, 1979, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., and Bureau of Census, <u>Census of Population--General Social and Economic</u> <u>Characteristics</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1970, 1980, and 1981.

⁴¹Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; and Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

42Ibid.

- 55 -

5. Severance and Tonnage Tax: Minerals mined in Minnesota are subject to three state taxes: royalty, occupation, and production.⁴³ In addition to the state taxes, local property taxes apply on certain types of minerals. Minerals subject to royalty taxes are iron ore, taconite, semi-taconite, iron-sulphides, copper-nickel ores, and other ores.⁴⁴ Occupation and production taxes are levied against three mineral groups: iron ore; taconite, semi-taconite; and copper-nickel ores. Tax base, tax rate, and disposition of revenues for the three Minnesota mineral taxes are summarized in Table 20. The three mineral taxes will be discussed in detail below.

> a. Royalty Taxes: Taxes were first imposed on iron ore royalties in 1923 at a rate of 6 percent. Most recent changes in the royalty tax laws were in 1967 when copper-nickel royalties were taxed at 1 percent and in 1971 when royalty tax on taconite was increased to 15 percent and iron ore to 15.5 percent.⁴⁵ All royalties received in connection with exploration or mining are taxed. The tax rate is 15.5 percent for iron ore, 15.0 percent for taconite and semi-taconite, and 1 percent for copper-nickel ore. All revenues from royalty taxes are deposited in the State General Fund.

ŝ

1

الأنب

b. Occupation Taxes: Value of minerals mined or produced in Minnesota are subject to occupation taxes. Taxes are levied on value of minerals mined or produced less certain statutory or nonstatutory deductions at a rate of 15.5 percent for iron ore, 15.0 percent for taconite and semi-taconite, and 1 percent for

⁴³Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
⁴⁴Other ores include silver, gold, platinum, and other precious metals.
⁴⁵Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 56 -

TABLE 20.	BASE ANI	D RATE FOR	R TAXES LEVIER	AGAINST	MINERALS.	AND	DISPOSITION	0F	FUNDS.	MINNESOTA	1982 ·
							DIG: COLLEGI	01	101003		1305

ť

Ë

Ľ

Ň

N. N.

Tax	Mineral	Tax Base	Tax Rate	Disposition
Royalty Taxes	Iron Ore	Royalties received in connection with exploration or mining	15.5%	State General Fund
	Taconite, Semi-Taconite	Royalties received in connection with exploration or mining	15.0%	State General Fund
	Copper-Nickela	Royalties received in connection with exploration or mining	1.0%	State General Fund
Occupation Taxes	Iron Ore	Value of minerals mined less ^b certain deductions	15.5%	State General Fund with 40% earmarked for elementary and secondary schools, 10% for University of Minnesota, and a constant dollar amount (5% of 1977 proceeds) to Iron Range Resource Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB)
	Taconite, Semi-Taconite	Value of minerals mined less ^c certain deductions	15.0%	State General Fund with 40% earmarked for elementary and secondary schools, 10% for University of Minnesota, and a constant dollar amount (5% of 1977 proceeds) to Iron Range Resource Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB)

- continued -

- 57 -

Ľ

Тах	Mineral	Tax Base	Tax Rate	Disposition
Occupation Taxes	Copper-Nickel	Value of minerals mined Ores less ^d certain deductions	1.0%	State General Fund with 40% earmarked for elementary and secondary schools, 10% for University of Minnesota, and a constant dollar amount (5% of 1977 proceeds) to Iron Range Resource Rehabilitation Board (IRRRB)
Production Taxes	Taconite and Iron Sulphides	Extracted Tonnage	\$1.25/ton ^e	<pre>\$0.25/tontaconite cities and towns \$0.123/tontaconite municipal aid account \$0.06/tontaconite schools \$0.23/tonschools qualifying for taconite homestead credit in direct proportion to their live \$1.55/tontaconite counties \$0.04/tontaconite counties road and bridge fund \$0.2575/tontaconite homestead credit \$0.01/tonState General Fund \$0.03/tonIRRRB \$0.02/tonRange Association of Municipalities and Schools (Remaining revenues go to the Taconite Environmental Protection Fund and the Northeast Protection Fund)</pre>

- 58 -

~

.

-

TABLE 20. BASE AND RATE FOR TAXES LEVIED AGAINST MINERALS, AND DISPOSITION OF FUNDS, MINNESOTA, 1982 (CONTINUED)

~

~

-

~

~

~

~

TABLE 20. BASE AND RATE FOR TAXES LEVIED AGAINST MINERALS, AND DISPOSITION OF FUNDS, MINNESOTA, 1982 (CONTINUED)

Ľ

K.

Ľ

Ľ,

ŧ.

Tax	Mineral	Tax Base	Tax Rate	Disposition		
Production Taxes	Semi-Taconite	Extracted Tonnage	Sintered in Minnesota \$0.05 per gross ton plus 1/10 of \$0.01 for each 1% that iron content exceeds 55% Sintered outside Minnesota\$0.10 per gross ton plus 1/10 of \$0.01 per gross ton for each 0.5% that the iron content exceeds 55%	Various taxing districts in which semi-taconite is located as follows: city or town22% school districts50% county22% State General Fund6%		
	Copper-Nickel	Extracted Tonnage	\$0.25 per gross ton plus 10% of base tax per ton for each 1/10 of 1% that the average copper-nickel exceeds 1% ^f	Various taxing districts in which copper-nickel is located as follows: city or town22% school district50% county22% State General Fund6%		

^aA 1% tax also is levied on royalties paid on silver, gold, platinum, and other precious metals.

^bThe minimum rate less labor credit is 14.0%.

CThe minimum rate less labor credit is 6.75%.

^dThe minimum rate less labor credit is 1.0%.

۲

Ë

ĸ

ŧ.

^eAfter 1977, the base rate increases with changes in steel mill products index. An additional tax of 1.6% is imposed for each percent that the iron content of the product exceeds 62%. The 1981 average rate is _\$1.92 per taxable ton.

^fThis composite rate is subject to increase proportional to changes in the current year average monthly wholesale price index above the 1967 index.

SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of State and Local Taxes In</u> <u>Minnesota, 1982 Edition</u>, Research Office, St. Paul, August 1982. Ľ

copper-nickel ores.⁴⁶ Statutory deductions for iron ore included costs of development, mining labor and supplies, administrative expenses, royalty, depreciation of mine plant and equipment, and ad valorem taxes on ore mined. Iron ore nonstatutory deductions are the cost of benefication, including labor, supplies, depreciation, interest, plus allowances for stockpile loading, transportation, and marketing. Occupation tax credits are allowed for iron ore for labor which may reduce the effective tax rate to approximately 14.0 percent. Other credits are allowed for research, experimentation and exploration, pollution control, a loss mine (a mine where the iron ore is sold for less than the cost of mining), and price discounting.

Deductions are allowed for processing costs for taconite, semi-taconite, and iron sulphide. A credit is allowed for labor which can reduce the minimum tax rate to 6.75 percent. Additional credits are allowed for (1) research, experimentation and exploration, and (2) pollution control equipment.

8

Ψ

Ŵ

Copper-nickel credits are allowed for processing the ore within the state and for research, experimentation, and exploration. Maximum and minimum tax rates for iron ore (15.5 percent and 14.0 percent), taconite and semi-taconite (15.0 percent and 6.75 percent), and copper-nickel ores (1.0 percent and 1.0 percent) have remained unchanged since 1971.47 Disposition of occupation tax revenues is earmarked for many funds with education being a primary benefactor (Table 20).

47 Ibid.

⁴⁶Ibid.

c. Production Taxes: Tonnages of taconite, iron sulphide, semi-taconite, and copper-nickel ores extracted in Minnesota are subject to production taxes. This tax was first imposed in 1881 with increases in the rate occurring frequently. Latest change in this tax was in 1977 when the tax was indexed, based on the price of steel.⁴⁸ Taconite and iron sulphide have a base tax rate of \$1.25 per gross ton with an additional 1 to 6 percent tax imposed for each percent that iron content of the product exceeds 62 percent. Semi-taconite sintered in Minnesota is taxed at a rate of \$.05 per gross ton plus 1/10 of \$.01 for each 1 percent that the iron content exceeds 55 percent, while that sintered outside Minnesota is taxed at a rate of \$.10 per gross ton plus 1/10 of \$.01 per gross ton for each 0.5 percent that the iron content exceeds 55 percent. Base rate for copper-nickel is \$.025 per gross ton plus 10 percent of the base tax per ton for each 1/10 of 1 percent that the average copper-nickel content per ton exceeds 1 percent. This composite rate is subject to increase proportional to changes in the current year average monthly wholesale price index above the 1967 index. Most of the revenues from production taxes are distributed to the areas where the mining occurs (for a detailed breakdown of disposition, see Table 20).

Local Revenue Sources.

1. Ad Valoren Property Tax: The local property tax estimator is 2.21 percent of the market value of the property. This estimator was based on the 1982 state average sales assessment ratio of 23.99 percent and the state

48Ibid.

1.25

فنغت

average mill rate of 92.10.⁴⁹ Added property tax revenue was determined by applying the estimator to the projected market value of new business structures and residences.

The estimated investment cost for houses, apartments, and business structures was used as estimated market value for those structures, and the market value for mobile homes was estimated at one-half the purchase price. Capital investment in business structures was estimated by Prestgard⁵⁰ at \$0.22 per dollar of gross business volume. The estimates of investment cost per unit in 1980 prices were equivalent to those used in the North Dakota version:

Houses	\$59,157
Apartments	\$26,162
Mobile Homes	\$13,392

Minnesota also subjects mined and unmined minerals to the ad valorem property tax. Unmined iron ore is assessed at 50 percent of market value, while low recovery iron is assessed in a range of 30 to 48-1/2 percent.⁵¹ The 1982 state average sales assessment ratio for minerals is 49.59 percent. Using the state average mill rate, a local mineral property tax estimator of 4.57 percent of market value was determined. Applying the estimator to the market value of minerals will yield an estimate of local property tax.

In 1982, Minnesota property tax revenues were distributed in the following manner:52

چ

⁵¹Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, op. <u>cit</u>.

⁴⁹Department of Revenue, <u>Property Taxes Levied in Minnesota</u>: <u>1982</u> <u>Assessments--Taxes Payable in 1983</u>, Property Tax Bulletin No. 12, St. Paul, 1983.

⁵⁰Prestgard, P. G., "Effect of Coal Development on Public Costs in North Dakota," Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1974.

⁵²Minnesota Department of Education, <u>The ABC's of Minnesota School</u> <u>Finance--Paying for the Schools in 1982-1983</u>, Published by the Publications and Public Information Section, St. Paul, 1983.

<u>Disposition</u>	Percent
Schools	49
Counties	28
Municipal	17
Special Districts	4
Township	2

2. Local Sales Tax: Minnesota has a statutory prohibition against the imposition of any local sales or income tax without the specific legislative authorization. Therefore, there is no local optional sales tax. Local sales taxes specifically authorized are described in Table 21. The most common local sales tax is 3 percent on lodging, although Duluth has a more extensive base and a higher rate and Minneapolis has a Stadium Commission tax. All receipts from local sales taxes go to local governments, except the Stadium Commission revenue which goes to the Metropolitan Sports Facilities Commission. 53

3. Transportation Aid: Highway aid is financed from state gasoline tax and motor vehicle license fees. It is distributed through the County State Aid Highway Fund and the Municipal State Aid Street Fund. State transfers, in 1980 dollars, as a per capita share of state highway taxes were:⁵⁴

> County Share Per Capita = \$73.65 Municipal Share Per Capita = \$12.17

4. Educational Fund Transfers From State: Transfers from the state to local school districts were in the form of foundation aid, special education aid, and transportation aid. The state formula allowed for a 1982-1983 per pupil unit (.5 for kindergarten, 1.0 for grades 1-6, and 1.4 for grades 7-12)

⁵³Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
⁵⁴Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 63 -

City	Tax Base	Rate	Disposition
Duluth	Retail sale and rental of tangible personal property	1% piggyback, 3% additional on lodging, plus 1% additional on certain food and beverage and lodging establishments	local government
Bloomington	Sales of transient lodging	3%	local government
Minneapolis	Sales of transient lodging, admissions and amusements	3%	local government
Rochester	Sales of transient lodging	3%	local government
St. Cloud	Sales of transient lodging	3%	local government
St. Paul	Sales of transient lodging	3%	local government
Stadium Commission	Sales of transient lodging and liquor receipts	3% a	Metropolita Sports Facilities Commission

TABLE 21. TAX BASE AND RATE FOR LOCAL SALES TAX, AND DISPOSITION OF REVENUES, BY CITY, MINNESOTA, 1982

^aAuthority has been given to the Metropolitan Sports Facility Commission to tax lodging and liquor receipts at a variable rate, currently 3 percent, in the city of Minneapolis.

SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Revenue, <u>Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile</u> of State and Local Taxes in <u>Minnesota 1982</u> Edition, Research Office, St. Paul, August 1982. v

80

Ψ

ŵ

ريها

Υ

revenue of \$1,346.⁵⁵ State basic foundation aid is calculated using the following formula:

STATE BASIC FOUNDATION AID = (BASIC FOUNDATION REVENUE) - (LOCAL BASIC MAINTENANCE LEVY)

= (TOTAL PUPIL UNITS X FORMULA ALLOWANCE)

- (BASIC MAINTENANCE MILL RATE X EQUILIZATION AID REVIEW COMMITTEE VALUATION)

Because state foundation aid would vary for each school district with the assessed valuation of real property, state average per pupil unit transfers were estimated. Transfers were estimated in 1980 dollars for kindergarten, elementary, and secondary students as follows:⁵⁶

Grade	<u>Pupil Unit</u>	<u>Transfer/Pupil Unit</u>	<u>Transfer/Pupil</u>
к	0.5	\$781.16	\$ 390.58
1-6	1.0	781.16	781.16
7-12	1.4	781.16	1,093.62

5. Local Aids and Shared Taxes Transfer From State: State transfers to local governments are classified as local aids (Local Government Aid, Homestead Credit Aid, Taconite Homestead Credit Aid, Attached Machinery Aid, and Agricultural Aid to School Districts) and shared taxes (Mortgage Registry Tax Aid and Estate Tax Aid). Transfers estimated in 1980 dollars as a per capita share of state local aid and shared taxes were:⁵⁷

> County Share Per Capita = \$ 91.93 Municipal Share Per Capita = \$232.07

⁵⁵Minnesota Department of Education, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
 ⁵⁶Ibid.

⁵⁷Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 65 -

6. Taconite Production Tax Aid Transfer From State: (See State Revenues. Severence and Tonnage Taxes section).

7. Federal Revenue Sharing Transfers: Federal government transfers of general revenue sharing funds were to county and municipal governments. Per capita estimates in 1980 dollars of average federal revenue sharing transfers for counties and municipalities were:⁵⁸

Counties = \$80.53 Municipalities = \$81.04

8. User Fees: User fees were estimated on the basis of average per household expenditures for water, sewer, and solid waste disposal using Minnesota data. The total average annual user fee per household for these items was estimated at \$153.02 in 1980 dollars for Minnesota.⁵⁹

9. Special Assessments: Revenues from special assessments are estimated by amortizing the capital investments for streets, water, sewer, and solid waste disposal at a 7 percent interest rate for 20 years.⁶⁰

Cost Estimation

The effect of a development project on local government costs is determined by a number of factors, with the extent of local population growth and level of excess capacity in local public service infrastructure being the

⁵⁸Bureau of the Census, <u>Governmental Finances in 1981-82</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, Government Finances, GF82, No. 5, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1983.

⁵⁹Bureau of the Census, <u>1977</u> <u>Census of Governments</u>: <u>Finances of</u> <u>Municipalities and Township Governments</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, <u>GC77(4)-4</u>, Government Finances Volume 4, Number 4, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., May 1979.

⁶⁰Chase, Robert A., Randal C. Coon, F. Larry Leistritz, and William Ransom-Nelson, <u>Fiscal Impact Analysis of Repository Siting In Nevada</u>: <u>Adaptation of the SEARS Model Fiscal Component to the Nevada Test Site</u>, Final Report Submitted to the MITRE Corporation, Department of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, September 1983.

most important. A description of cost calculations and cost estimating equations is presented below.

State Costs.

 Educational Fund Transfers to School Districts: Cost to the state was estimated as the total of per pupil payments (see the local revenue, educational fund transfers from the state section).

2. Highway System Operating Expenditures: Increased operating costs for all highway department functions were estimated on a per capita basis to be \$218.87 in 1980 dollars.⁶¹

3. General Government Functions: The cost of general government functions was determined by subtracting education, transportation, and insurance trust expenditures from total direct expenditures for state government in Minnesota. These costs were estimated on a per capita basis to be \$422.07 in 1980 dollars.⁶²

4. Highway Improvement Costs: Capital costs for highway improvement were estimated on the basis of a per worker inmigrating operating worker cost of \$29,987 in 1980 prices and adjusted for inflation annually.⁶³ Costs were assumed to be funded annually and computed on the basis of inmigrating operating workers that are additional to the previous year.

5. Highway Fund Transfers to Local Governments: Cost to the state is equal to the county government's increased revenue from this source. (See local revenue section in referring to this transfer payment.)

⁶¹Minnesota Department of Revenue, 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
⁶²Bureau of the Census, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
⁶³Leistritz, F. Larry et al., 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

- 67 -

Local Costs.

1. Schools: Operating expenditures were estimated as a cost per pupil in 1980 prices where both elementary and secondary per pupil costs were determined to be \$1,382.88.⁶⁴ Expansion costs (i.e., capital investment) were estimated on the basis of \$57 per square foot in 1980 prices. Standards used for required per pupil space were 145 square feet for secondary and 92.5 for elementary.⁶⁵

2. Streets: Operating costs were estimated on the basis of \$4,435 per mile in 1980 prices.⁶⁶ These were assumed to remain constant for all city sizes. The relevant cost per mile is multiplied by the number of new street miles required for a given level of population growth. Expansion requirements for streets were estimated at .0033 miles of street per person, assuming a population at 15 persons per acre in blocks 300 by 300 feet with 50-foot wide streets. Expansion costs (capital investment) were estimated at \$324,486 per mile in 1980 dollars.⁶⁷

3. Distribution and Treatment of Water: Expansion costs of water distribution and treatment facilities were estimated at \$2,306 per capita in 1980 dollars.⁶⁸

⁶⁴Minnesota Department of Education, 1983, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

ڪ

¢

⁶⁶Murdock, Steve H. et al., 1982, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

67 Ibid.

⁶⁸North Central Consultants, Inc., <u>Representative Costs of Public</u> <u>Facilities In Energy Development Areas</u>, Working Paper, Jamestown, North Dakota, 1974.

⁶⁵Murdock, Steve H., F. Larry Leistritz, Rita Hamm, Robert Chase, and Barbara Kiel, <u>The Socioeconomic Analysis of Repository Siting (SEARS)</u>: <u>Technical Description</u>, A Report to the Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation, Battelle Columbus and Department of Energy, Department of Rural Sociology, Texas A&M University, College Station, 1982.

4. Waste-Water Systems and Treatment: Expansion costs of waste-water systems and treatment facilities were estimated at \$246 per capita in 1980 dollars.⁶⁹

5. Solid Waste Disposal: Expansion costs for waste disposal were estimated at \$5.57 per capita in 1980 dollars.⁷⁰

6. Law Enforcement, Fire Protection, and Other Local Government: Operational expenditures for county government functions were estimated on a per capita basis using Minnesota values.⁷¹ The following values were used to estimate regional per capita expenditures in 1980 dollars for city and county services in Minnesota:

a) City fire protection . . . \$ 22.26
b) City police protection . . . \$ 39.14
c) City operating costs . . . \$ 28.38
d) County social services . . . \$ 166.46
e) County law enforcement . . . \$ 21.40
f) County operating services . . \$ 37.15
g) County highway \$ 52.87

Evaluation of the Model

An important factor in determining a model's usefulness is its degree of reliability in simulating socioeconomic changes in the study region. Confidence in a given impact assessment model can be gained in three general ways: (1) critically assessing its theoretical basis, assumptions, data

⁶⁹Ibid.

⁷⁰Ibid.

⁷¹Bureau of the Census, 1979, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; and Bureau of the Census, <u>1977</u> <u>Census of Governments: Finances of County Government</u>, U.S. Department of <u>Commerce, GC77(4)-3</u>, Governmental Finances Volume 4, Number 3, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., May 1979. sources, and computational procedures; (2) investigating its response to perturbations; and (3) testing its ability to reproduce historical data.⁷² Most impact assessment models, including NEDAM, have been subjected to the first two types of evaluation. The third general form, historical simulation, was used in evaluating the performance of the Minnesota version of NEDAM. This method involves choosing a past period for which the values of the variables estimated by the model are known. The model was provided with the historical values of the input variables and simulated over this period. The resultant values estimated by the model then were compared to the actual values by applying a number of statistical tests (including Theil's U₁ coefficient, mean absolute error, mean error, and R² coefficient)⁷³ to evaluate the "goodness of fit."

The NEDAM adaptation to Minnesota was evaluated by determining how closely the model reproduces historical personal income as reported by the Department of Commerce.⁷⁴ In brief review, the model estimates personal income for each of the years (1960-1982) for the 11 regions and Minnesota using input-output techniques. The interdependence coefficients developed elsewhere in North Dakota⁷⁵ and northwestern Colorado⁷⁶ were applied to final

⁷⁴Bureau of Economic Analysis, <u>Personal Income by Place of Residence</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1962, 1965-1981.

⁷⁵Toman, et al., 1979, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.; and Leistritz, et al., 1982 <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
⁷⁶Gray, et al., 1981, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

⁷²Leistritz, F. Larry, Steve H. Murdock, Donald M. Senechal, and Thor A. Hertsgaard, "Computerized Socioeconomic Impact Models: Usefulness and Limitations," Paper presented at Conference on Computer Models for Forecasting Socioeconomic Impacts of Growth and Development, Jasper, Alberta, April 1980.

⁷³Senechal, Donald M., "Analysis of Validity of North Dakota Input-Output Models," unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1971; and Martinez Salazar, Angel S., "A Methodology for the Evaluation of the Economic-Demographic Simulation Models," Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1981.

demand vectors computed for the state or regions. These estimates of gross business volume (in the household sector row) for each economic sector (or column) are simply those levels of business activity generated by sales for final demand (i.e., exports). The gross business volume for the household sector, except for minor definitional differences, is equivalent to personal income. For each year, the total personal income generated in the region is the summation of personal incomes resulting from final sales (gross business volumes in the household sector row) by the column sectors.

The results of these calculations for each year were compared with estimates of personal income assembled by the Department of Commerce. These results for Minnesota and its 11 regions are presented in Table 22 (and Appendix B, Tables 100-110). The differences, expressed as percentages of the Department of Commerce estimates, indicate consistent patterns for each region and the state. A possible interpretation of this consistency is that the interdependence coefficients were simulating the economy correctly, although gross outputs of the respective sectors were occasionally slightly over- or underestimated.

Statistical tests were used to evaluate performance of the model. Results of these tests are presented for all 11 regions and the state (Table 23). Average absolute difference indicates more variation at the regional level than for the state as a whole, with all but one of the regions having less than a 15 percent difference. The mean average difference indicates that Regions 2, 4, and 8 were slightly underestimated while remaining regions were slightly overestimated. Minnesota personal income was overestimated by the adapted model by 1.04 percent during the 1960 to 1982 period. Standard deviations for the regions range from 3.89 to 10.19, and the state value is 3.20. Theil's coefficients for the regions range from 0.02 to 0.09, and the

- 71 -

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		136,756	
1961		133,616	
1962	136,812	143,624	5.0
1963		139,029	
1964		170,085	
1965	163,333	188,861	15.6
1966	159,552	192,581	20.7
1967	182,967	206,783	13.0
1968	178,508	214,625	20.2
1969	202,641	240,385	18.6
1970	254,407	239,452	- 5.9
1971	274,174	292,432	6.7
1972	307,624	303,585	- 1.3
1973	461,648	450,846	- 2.3
1974	496,963	546,194	9.9
1975	457,556	526,437	15.1
1976	493,967	578,536	17.1
1977	518,831	621,120	19.7
1978	680,543	729,739	7.2
1979	682,414	818,906	20.0
1980	799,513	837,486	4.7
1981	893,712	1,026,715	14.9
1982		982,633	
	e Absolute Difference 11.050 (S.D. = 8.441) .063		12.1

1

10

6

5

TABLE 22. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 1, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

^aThe input-output personal income estimates are in current-year dollars to correspond with Department of Commerce estimates.

state value is 0.02. These values (all very close to 0.0) indicate the model performs quite well and can be used with confidence for predictive purposes.

The mean average difference indicates the sales for final demand for Regions 2, 4, and 8 were slightly underestimated. Regions 2 and 4 were underestimated by a very insignificant amount (about 1 percent or less), and Region 8 was low by only slightly over 3 percent. Underestimating sales for final demand for a region is quite possible when a major trade center is

Region	Average Absolute Difference ^a	Mean Average Difference ^b	Standard Deviation ^C	Theil Coefficient ^d
1	12.11%	11.05%	8.44	0.06
2	3.47	- 1.03	4.35	0.03
3	6.44	5.42	5.17	0.04
4	5.57	- 0.12	6.94	0.04
5	10.34	10.34	6.49	0.06
6	4.63	3.91	4.40	0.02
7	14.12	14.12	7.16	0.07
8	4.09	- 3.07	3.89	0.03
9	22.01	22.01	10.19	0.09
10	6.97	6.71	5.02	0.04
11	7.29	7.29	3.44	0.04
State	2.71	1.04	3.20	0.02

TABLE 23. COMPARISON OF STATISTICAL TESTS FOR THE ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL PERSONAL INCOME ESTIMATION, MINNESOTA REGIONS 1-11 AND STATE, 1960-1982

^aAverage absolute difference is the sum of the absolute values of the percent difference of I-O estimates and historic data divided by the number of observations.

^bMean average difference is the sum of the percent difference of the I-0 estimate and historic data divided by the number of observations. ^cStandard deviation is for the difference of the I-0 estimate and historic

dTheil's coefficient is calculated using the formula:

 $U_{1} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{T}} \sum(\gamma s - \gamma a)^{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum(\gamma s)^{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum(\gamma a)^{2}}}$

where: T = time period $Y^S = simulated value of Y$ $Y^a = actual value of Y$

data.

located within the area, as is Minneapolis-St. Paul in Region 8. Residents of other regions make expenditures, presumably in major trade centers, for those goods and services not available (perceived or actual) in their communities. Minneapolis-St. Paul also serves as a headquarters for many Midwest-based companies, is a major manufacturing area, and serves the Upper Midwest as a wholesale and retail trade center.

Regions 1, 5, and 7 were slightly overestimated (in the 10 to 15 percent range) with only Region 9 being overstated by more than 20 percent (22.0). All four regions overestimated were along Minnesota's western border. A possible explanation for overstating the economic base is that these regions are experiencing leakages of dollars across the border to North Dakota, South Dakota, or Iowa, in addition to the Minneapolis-St. Paul major trade center. The remaining regions (Regions 3, 6, 10, 11) in Minnesota were estimated quite accurately.⁷⁷ Only Regions 1. 7. and 9 had an absolute average error greater than 11 percent indicating the model estimates personal income very well. Statistical tests on results from all 11 regions indicate the model accurately replicates the Minnesota economy. State level estimates of personal income showed a very small overestimation for the 1960-1982 time period (mean average difference = 1.04 percent). Average absolute difference of state-level personal income estimates from the model and the Department of Commerce was 2.7 percent. This indicates the model was quite accurate for the historical time period.

K

Ŵ

٤

ŧ,

Although the input-output model invariably underestimates or overstates personal income, the adapted version does appear to "track" consistently with the Department of Commerce data. The results of the principle <u>ex post</u> forecasting error statistic, Theil's inequality coefficient, indicate that the adapted model predicts quite well.⁷⁸ In spite of the slight downward bias in

 $^{77}\mbox{The}$ mean of the average differences for the four regions is 5.83 percent.

⁷⁸The average Theil U₁ coefficients for the 11 regions and the state were 0.05 and 0.02 respectively. This coefficient is a summary measure, bounded to the interval of 0 and 1. A value of 0 for U₁ indicates perfect prediction, while a value of 1 corresponds to perfect inequality (i.e., between the actual and predicted values). For further discussion on the Theil coefficient, see Leuthold, Raymond M., "On the Use of Theil's Inequality Coefficients," <u>American Journal of Agricultural Economics</u>, Vol. 57, No. 2, 1975, pp. 344-346; and Pindyck, Robert S. and Daniel L. Rubinfeld, <u>Econometric Models and Economic Forecasts</u>, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.

- 74 -

the historical comparison, this evaluation does not negate the model for use in impact analysis in the region with the Minneapolis-St. Paul major trade center.

ول ا

Analyzing the transition from historic data to corresponding model-projected values gives another indication of model performance. Employment and population data were available for evaluating model performance. Employment data for historic and projected time periods were allocated to the economic sectors. The model calculates projected employment by sector, giving values to be compared to the historic employment of each sector. The 1960 to 1982 employment by sector trends compare very well with the 1983 to 2005 projection of employment by sector. Sector employment values tended to show some variations for the 1982 to 1983 period, but regional totals of the projected values were quite close to historic employment levels (Table 24, and Appendix B, Tables 111-121). These data indicate the model captures the economic trends quite well with respect to employment and avoids the problem of unrealistic increases or decreases in employment at the point of transition from historic to model-projected employments.

Conclusions and Implications

The conceptualization, design, development, and application of an integrated socioeconomic impact assessment model is clearly a formidable undertaking. The value of such modeling efforts is increased to the extent that they are applicable to other settings and to the extent that they provide, through the provision of timely and accurate information, useful inputs to the decision-making process. This report has attempted to address such issues by examining the adaptability and validity of one such modeling system.

- 75 -

		Histor	ic Emplo	yment			Mode]	-Project	ed Emplo	yment	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) & (2) Agriculture	9,388	7,430	7,116	7,190	7,264	7,149	7,074	6,924	6,813	6,727	6,659
3) Metal Mining											
4) Coal Mining											
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext									·		
6) Nonmetallic Mining											
7) Construction	798	625	856	780	697	778	789	814	834	851	869
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	354	676	1,562	1,514	1,487	1,616	1,667	1,795	1,923	2,052	2,180
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	2,859	5,293	5,901	6,009	5,814	5,984	6,131	6,438	6,679	6,874	7,03
10) Pet Refining							·				
11) Metal Processing							~-				
12) Transportation	500	63 9	599	610	593	606	617	641	661	677	693
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	568	466	659	672	653	664	670	683	694	702	70
14) Elec Generation											
15) Retail	3,143	4,251	5,533	5,660	5,628	5,710	5,908	6,356	6,746	7,088	7,390
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate		647	981	1,043	1,060	1,051	1,097	1,204	1,301	1,388	1,46
17) Bus & Pers Services	489	791	1,132	1,199	1,216	1,200	1,274	1,458	1,642	1,825	2,00
18) Prof & Soc Services	2,107	3,320	4,868	5,153	5,229	5,355	5,645	6,347	7,017	7,658	8,27
19) Government	3,474	4,988	5,989	6,136	6,002	6,116	6,260	6,575	6,837	7,059	7,24
20) Households											
roject ^a											
TOTAL	24,129	29,126	35,196	35,966	35,643	36,230	37,133	39,235	41,147	42,902	44,52

TABLE 24. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 1, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

•

6

0

6

(

6

٠

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base Region 1, there was no project employment.

¢

6

¢

6

6

¢

In general, the results suggest that the model examined is adaptable to both sparsely populated rural areas and urban centers and appears to provide reliable projections of economic and demographic change. In contemplating future applications of this modeling system, however, potential users should carefully examine the assumptions inherent in the model's data base. In particular, the projected baseline sales to final demand for each region of interest and the specific development projects applicable to those regions should be carefully reviewed in light of recent events. While the assumptions concerning these factors currently incorporated in the model reflect the most recent information available to the authors, the dynamic nature of resource development in general and of energy markets in particular requires frequent review of assumptions concerning such activities. Should revisions in these assumptions prove desirable for some regions, the model's structure provides for easy alteration of the appropriate data files.

In summary, models such as the one described here are not a substitute for detailed understanding of local conditions, trends, and associated causal forces but rather offer a mechanism for operationalizing such knowledge. Thus, they have the potential to serve as powerful and flexible tools for planners and decision makers.

- 77 -

APPENDIX A

Procedures and Data Sources Used In The Economic Module

ک

ڪ

ڪ

س

ک

്ച

ڪ

۷

ي

 ${\boldsymbol{\heartsuit}}$

۷

Essentially, the procedures and data sources used in the economic module apply to its central feature--the input-output model. This model tabulates and describes the linkages or interdependencies between various industrial groups or sectors within an economy. The input-output model assumes that the level of economic activity in a region is dependent upon its existing basic industries. These industries, which earn income from outside the region, often are termed the region's export base.

The general methods used in estimating and projecting economic activity from the input-output model are as follows:

- Final Demand Vectors: Data collection of sales for final demand by the region's basic economic sectors;
- Derivation of Interdependence Coefficients: Indicates the direct, indirect, and induced requirements of the economy's sectors;
- Estimation of Gross Business Volumes: Multiplication of final demand vectors by interdependence coefficients;
- Productivity Ratios: Division of gross business volumes by sectoral employment;
- 5. Baseline Activity Projections: Historic final demand vectors and productivity ratios are projected by regression techniques with results indexed to 1980 base year dollars.

The purpose of this appendix is to discuss these various procedures and present the data sources used in adapting the NEDAM model to Minnesota. The discussion begins with an overview of the procedures used in expanding the input-output model for Minnesota. Following this, the section is divided into the various economic sectors with each containing relevant data sources and a discussion of how the final demand vectors were determined. Input-Output Model--Interdependence Coefficients

The North Dakota input-output technical and interdependence coefficients used in NEDAM were derived from actual expenditures data collected in 1965 for business firms, households, and units of government in southwestern North Dakota.¹ Energy-related sector coefficients were determined from survey data collected in 1976.² Three economic sectors were added to the model for Minnesota: metal mining, lumber and associated products, and metal processing. Sector delineation and associated Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes are presented in Table 3 of the text.

Similarities between the economic base of North Dakota and Minnesota allowed the transfer of input-output interdependence coefficients to Minnesota. Expanding the North Dakota model (17 economic sectors) to represent the Minnesota economy (20 economic sectors) was accomplished by obtaining technical and interdependence coefficients from a recent economic analysis of northwestern Colorado.³ As in North Dakota, these coefficients were derived from actual expenditures data collected in 1974. Similarities between the metal mining, lumber, and metal processing industries in Minnesota and northwestern Colorado justified the use of these coefficients for Minnesota.

- 81 -

¹Sand, L. D., "Analysis of Effects of Income Changes In Intersectoral and Intercommunity Economic Structure," Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1968; Bartch, B. L., "Analysis of Intersectoral and Intercommunity Structure in Southwestern North Dakota," Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1968; and Senechal, D. M., "Analysis of Validity of North Dakota Input-Output Models," Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1971.

²Hertsgaard, T. A., Randal C. Coon, F. Larry Leistritz, and Norman L. Dalsted, <u>Developing Economic Impact Projection Models for the Fort Union Coal</u> <u>Region, EPA-908/4-77-009, Environmental Protection Agency, Denver, Colorado,</u> June 1977.

³Gray, S. L., J. R. McKean, and J. C. Weber, <u>The Economy of Northwestern</u> <u>Colorado: Description and Analysis</u>, Technical Report No. 25, Colorado Water <u>Resources Research Institute</u>, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, 1981.

The North Dakota input-output interdependence coefficients, originally for a 13-sector model, were calculated using the following formula:

$$([I - A]_{13 \times 13})^{-1}$$

where: $A_{13} \times A_{13}$ = Technical coefficients table for 13 sectors;

I = Identity matrix (a matrix consisting of all zeros with the exception of ones in the diagonal).

Expenditure data from a survey of the energy-related industries yielded technical coefficients for four additional economic sectors. These 17-sector interdependence coefficients were calculated as follows:

$$\left[\left(\begin{bmatrix} I & - & A \end{bmatrix}_{13} \times & 13 \right)^{-1} \right]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & - & A \end{bmatrix}_{13} \times & 13$$

 $I - [I - A]_{13} \times I_3 = A_{13} \times I_3$

The original 13-sector technical coefficients table (A_{13 χ 13) was expanded to A_{13 χ 17 by adding zeros to the matrix.}}

 $[A]_{13} \times 17 + [A]_4 \times 17 = [A]_{17} \times 17$

where: $[A]_{4 \times 17}$ = Energy sectors technical coefficients table;

 $[A]_{17} \times 17 = 17$ -sector technical coefficients table. Thus, I - $[A]_{17} \times 17 = [I - A]_{17} \times 17$

and $\left[[I - A]_{17 \times 17} \right]^{-1}$ = Interdependence coefficients for 17 sectors. Similar methods were used to expand the North Dakota 17-sector input-ouput model to 20 economic sectors for Minnesota. The technical coefficients for Minnesota's 20-sector economy are presented in Table 4 of the text. Inverting the 20 X 20 technical coefficients matrix for Minnesota yielded the interdependence coefficients (Table 5 of the text).

v

Indexing

In order to reflect the <u>real</u> economic growth or decline of various sectors over time, the effects of economy-wide inflation must be controlled.

Inflation was removed from final demand vectors by utilizing a price index. The Implicit Price Index (IMP) was selected for this indexing (deflating) approach and used to calculate 1980 base dollar values. The use of the IMP as a deflator assumes that a single index was applicable for all sectors of the economy for a given year. The method is rather simple--dividing current year dollars by their respective IMP resulted in final demand vector values in 1980 base year dollars. Implicit price indices for 1960 to 1982 are presented in Appendix A, Table 1.4

Removing inflation from the final demand vectors also reflects real change in productivity ratios. Gross business volumes estimated from 1980 base final demand vectors were subsequently used in calculating productivity ratios. Thus, all economic baseline data in the economic model are expressed in terms of 1980 dollars.

Regression Techniques

Projecting final demand vectors and productivity ratios was done with regression analysis. A great number of regression analysis techniques exist to fit a projection equation (line) from the existing data. A data set can be fit with a straight line (linear regression) or with a curved line (log, quadratic, etc.). Linear regression is more acceptable for economic-demographic model projections as curvilinear regression equations often show very rapid changes in certain portions of their curves. Curvilinear regression equations tend to make projecting models act rather erratic.

The data in the Minnesota extension of NEDAM did not always yield acceptable linear regression equations for model projections (i.e., in some cases the R² values were very low). To obtain more acceptable linear

- 83 -

⁴U.S. Department of Commerce, <u>Survey of Current Business</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., various issues.

	GNP Implicit P	rice Deflators
Year	1967 = 100	1980 = 100
1960	86.9	38,74
1961	87.7	39.10
1962	89.3	39.81
1963	90.7	40.44
1964	92.0	41.02
1965	94.1	41.95
1966	97.1	43.29
1967	100.0	44.58
1968	104.4	46.54
1969	109.8	48.95
1970	115.7	51.58
1971	121.4	54.12
1972	126.5	56.40
1973	133.7	59.61
1974	145.4	64.82
1975	158.8	70.80
1976	167.1	74.50
1977	176.9	78.87
1978	189.8	84.62
1979	205.9	91.80
1980	224.3	100.00
1981	247.3	110.23
1982	262.0	116.80

14

Ŵ

14

APPENDIX TABLE 1. GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT IMPLICIT PRICE DEFLATORS FOR 1967 AND 1980 BASE

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, <u>Survey of Current Business</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., several issues.

regression equations, a regression technique called robust regression was used. Robust regression is a technique where observations near the regression line are weighted higher than those lying a substantial distance away from the line (for a complete description of robust regression, see Leistritz, et al., <u>North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM): Technical</u> <u>Description</u>, 1982). In addition to robust regression, a robust regression technique with an intercept adjustment was utilized.

Final Demand Vectors

Final demand vectors are sales for final demand by various sectors of the economy. Sectors included as final demand vectors in input-output analysis are referred to as "basic sectors" because they constitute the economic base of the area. The activities that constitute the "basic sectors" in the Minnesota economy include the production of livestock, crops, mining, lumber, and manufacturing within the area, as well as expenditures by the federal government and tourists in the area.

Final demand vectors were calculated for the period 1960 through 1982 at the regional level for Minnesota. Eleven economic regions were used for calculating the economic base in Minnesota (Figure 1 of the text). Basic sector final demand vectors were deflated using the IMP and projected to the year 2005 using linear regression techniques.

Data used in estimating the final demand vectors for the basic sectors in Minnesota were obtained from a wide variety of secondary sources and compiled at county and regional levels. The sources of data and methodology are described below for the basic economic sectors.

Agriculture, Livestock (Sector 1)

The agriculture, livestock final demand vector consists of total receipts from sale of livestock and livestock products. Livestock production data were published each year from 1960 to 1982 at the county level for Minnesota.⁵ State estimates of livestock income also were available for the same period.⁶ Annual livestock sales for Minnesota were allocated to counties

- 85 -

⁶Ibid.

⁵Minnesota Agricultural Statistics Service, <u>Minnesota Agricultural</u> <u>Statistics</u>, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Statistical Reporting Service Cooperating with Minnesota Department of Agriculture, St. Paul, annual reports, 1961-1983.

according to their portion of total state livestock production for each year during the time period. Minnesota's regional final demand vectors were obtained by adding livestock sales receipts for counties in each of the 11 regions.

Agriculture, Crops (Sector 2)

Total receipts for crops and government agricultural payments comprise the agriculture, crops final demand vector. Crop production data were published annually for Minnesota at the county level for 1960 through 1982.⁷ Crop sales and government payments for 1960 to 1982 also were available for Minnesota.⁸ Annual county share of state value of production for major commodities was used to allocate Minnesota's crop sales plus government payments to counties. The total crops receipts and government payments for each county in the region were added to obtain final demand vectors for each of Minnesota's 11 regions.

Metal Mining (Sector 3)

The metal mining final demand vector consists of value of sales of raw metals exported from the producing region. Iron ore is the major metal mined in Minnesota.⁹ Production by county and value of metals mined were available for 1960-1981.¹⁰ Data were not available for 1982 so a trend estimate was calculated. Metals not processed in Minnesota were assumed to be exported as a raw material. The values of metal exports were determined, and county values were aggregated to the regional level.

فظ

Ψ

⁷Ibid.

⁸Ibid.

⁹Bureau of Mines, <u>Minerals Yearbook</u>, <u>Area Reports</u>: <u>Domestic</u>, Volume II, United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1981.

¹⁰Bureau of Mines, <u>Minerals Yearbook</u>, <u>Area Reports</u>: <u>Domestic</u>, Volume II, United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1960-1981.

- 86 -

Contract Construction (Sector 7)

The construction industry generates sales to final demand primarily in the form of highway construction funded by federal government revenues. The amount of federal expenditures for highway construction was available only at the state level for Minnesota between 1960 and 1967.¹¹ The procedure for reporting these data changed in 1967, and actual federal expenditures for Minnesota were available at county and state level from 1968 to 1980.¹² Federal government outlays for construction in the respective regions for 1960 to 1967 were estimated by allocating state totals on the basis of regional shares reported for 1968 to 1980. Federal expenditures for 1981 and 1982¹³ and then allocated to regions on the basis of regional shares reported for 1976 to 1980.

Lumber and Associated Products (Sector 8)

Total receipts from nonlocal sales of lumber and associated products constituted the final demand vector for Sector 8. State value of lumber and forest products was available for 1963 to 1982.¹⁴ Value of lumber and associated products for the earlier years was estimated based on information provided by industry officials.¹⁵ Data were not available to allocate the state

¹³Bureau of the Census, <u>Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Years</u> <u>1981, 1982</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1981, 1982.

¹⁴Minnesota Forest Industries, Inc., <u>Forest Industries Facts and Figures</u>, Minnesota Forest Industries Information Committee, Duluth, 1963-1981; and Forest Industries Council, <u>Minnesota Forest Productivity Report</u>, <u>1979</u>, Forest Productivity Project, Washington, D.C., 1979.

¹⁵Doug Ford, Telephone Interview, Forest Economist, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, January 1984.

- 87 -

¹¹U.S. Treasury Department, <u>Annual Report of the Secretary of the</u> <u>Treasury on the State of the Finances</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1960-1967.

¹²Office of Economic Opportunity, <u>Federal Outlays in Minnesota</u>, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 1968-1980.

value of forest products to the regions, so employment in the industry was used.¹⁶ Approximately 90 percent of the lumber cut and lumber products manufactured were assumed to be sold outside the producing region.

Agricultural Processing and Miscellaneous Manufacturing (Sector 9)

Gross output of the agricultural processing and miscellaneous manufacturing sector consists principally of the value of manufacturing, although wholesaling activities also are included in this sector. State- or county-level data were not readily available for this sector. Estimates of total value added by manufacturing and wholesale trade were available at the county level for 1958, 1963, 1967, 1972, and 1977 for Minnesota.¹⁷ Linear extrapolation was used to estimate values for the missing years, and trend projections were used for the 1978 to 1982 period. Data were not available on exports of manufactured products or wholesale trade outside the local region. Estimates of manufacturing and population within each respective region. County data were summed to the regional level, and region value of exports constituted the agricultural processing and miscellaneous manufacturing final demand vector.

Retail Trade (Sector 15)

The retail trade final demand vector consists of three-fourths of the dollar volume of tourism expenditures in the area. The other one-fourth is

¹⁶Bureau of the Census, <u>County Business Patterns Minnesota</u>, United States Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964-1981.

¹⁷Bureau of the Census, <u>Census of Manufacturing</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1958, 1963, 1967, 1972, and 1977; and Bureau of the Census, <u>Census of</u> <u>Wholesale Trade</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1958, 1963, 1967, 1972, and 1977.

assumed to be the business and personal service final demand vector. Statelevel tourism estimates were available for 1971 to 1981.¹⁸ Minnesota's tourism expenditures were available for 1974-1976, 1980, and 1981 at the county level.¹⁹ Trend estimates were obtained to backcast the 1971 value to 1960. County share of state tourism for 1974-1976, 1980, and 1981 was used to allocate tourism expenditures in Minnesota to the individual counties for 1960 to 1982. County tourism estimates were added to the regional level and three-fourths of that value yielded the retail trade final demand vector.

Business and Personal Services (Sector 17)

The final demand vector for business and personal services is simply the remaining tourism expenditures (25 percent) after allocation to retail trade.

Households (Sector 20)

لعذت

The federal government generates basic income to the household sector through payrolls and transfer payments. Federal aid to states and individuals was published annually for Minnesota for 1960 to 1967.²⁰ Defense expenditures, veterans benefits, Old Age and Survivor's Insurance payments, Workman's Compensation, and the federal payroll also were included as basic income.²¹ The household sector final demand vectors for Minnesota were calculated by adding total grants (less highway construction), defense

18Department of Energy, Planning, and Development, Tourism Division, <u>1981 Minnesota Tourist-Travel Indicators</u>, Minnesota Tourism Division, St. Paul, 1981.

¹⁹Ibid; Department of Economic Development, <u>Gross Sales in Minnesota</u>, Research Division, Minnesota Department of Economic Development, St. Paul, 1977; and Department of Economic Development, <u>1976 Lodging Receipts</u>, Research Division, Department of Economic Development, St. Paul, 1976.

20U.S. Treasury Department, 1960-1968, op. cit.

²¹Bureau of Economic Analysis, <u>Personal Income by Place of Residence</u>, <u>Wyoming</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1958-1967.

- 89 -

expenditures (except for construction), veterans benefits, Old Age and Survivor's Insurance, Workman's Compensation, and federal payrolls.

Total federal expenditures were available on a county basis for 1968 to 1980 for Minnesota,²² but only state level expenditures were available for 1981 and 1982.²³ The final demand vector for 1968 to 1980 was calculated as follows: farm payments (included as income in Sector 2), construction outlays, and loans were subtracted from the total federal expenditures to obtain final demand vectors at county and state levels. The regional percentage of the state expenditures during the period 1968 to 1980 was used to allocate the household final demand vector to the regions for 1960 to 1967, and 1981 and 1982.

Employment

Minnesota's employment data were available at the state level for 1960 to 1982 by economic sector.²⁴ State employment data were disaggregated to the counties by creating allocators from <u>County Business Patterns</u>.²⁵ County employment was summed to the regional level to yield regional employment by sector for 1960 to 1982.

²²U.S. Department of Commerce, 1968-1980, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.
²³U.S. Department of Commerce, 1981 and 1982, op. cit.

²⁴Minnesota Department of Economic Security, <u>Minnesota Nonagricultural</u> <u>Wage and Salary Employment Hours and Earnings</u>, Research and Statistical Services Office, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, St. Paul, April 1983; Minnesota Department of Economic Security, <u>Minnesota Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment</u>, Research and Statistical Services Office, St. Paul, 1950–1983; and U.S. Department of Commerce, <u>Census of Agriculture</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1959, 1960, 1969, 1974, and 1978.

²⁵Bureau of the Census, <u>County Business Patterns</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1960-1981.

v

ڪ

۲

۳

1

Ś

ڪ

ني ا

 ${}^{{}_{{}^{{}_{{}^{{}}}}}}$

9

w

్ర

APPENDIX B

TABLES FOR REGIONS 2-11 AND MINNESOTA

			County				Cit	:y		
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
1	1	Kittson	8,343	6,853	6,672					
				•	-	1	Hallock	1,527	1,477	1,405
						2	Karlstad	720	727	́934
	2	Marshall	14,262	13,060	13,027					
						3	Argyle	789	739	741
						4	Stephen	858	904	898
						5	Warren	2,007	1,999	2,105
	3	Pennington	12,468	13,266	15,258	-		_,	-,	-,
		2			,	6	Thief River Falls	7,151	8,618	9,105
	4	Polk	36,182	34,435	34,844				•	
					•	7	Crookston	8,546	8,312	8,628
						8	East Grand Forks	6,998	7,607	8,537
						9	Erskine	614	571	585
						10	Fertile	968	955	869
						11	Fosston	1,704	1,684	1,599
						12	McIntosh	785	753	681
	5	Red Lake	5,830	5,388	5,471					
			•	•		13	Oklee	529	536	536
						14	Red Lake Falls	1,520	1,740	1,732
	6	Roseau	12,154	11,569	12,574			-,	- , · · · ·	-,
				,	,	15	Greenbush	706	787	817
						16	Roseau	2,146	2,552	2,272
						17	Warroad	1,309	1,086	1,216
2	7	Aitkin	12,162	11,403	13,404			-,	-,	.,
			-	•	- •	18	Aitkin	1,829	1,553	1,770
						19	Hill City	429	357	533
	8	Beltrami	23,425	26,373	30,982		3			
				• -	· • • • •	20	Bemidji	9,958	11,490	10,949
						21	Blackduck	765	595	653
						22	Lower Red Lake	NA	2,675	2,855
					- conti	nued –				

APPENDIX TABLE 1.	COMMUNITIES FOR IN	ICLUSION IN THE	MINNESOTA	ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC	MODEL	AND 1	THEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGION,	1960, 1970, AND	1980				

- 92 I.

€

€

			County				C	ity		
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
2	9	Cass	16,720	17,323	21,050					
				,	,	23	Cass Lake	1,586	1,317	1,001
						24	East Gull Lake	311	440	586
						25	Lake Shore	264	410	583
						26	Pine River	775	803	881
						27	Walker	1,180	1,073	970
	10	Clearwater	8,864	8,013	8,761	_,		1,100	1,075	5,0
		• • • • • • • • • • • • •		-,	•,/ •1	28	Bagley	1,385	1,314	1,321
						29	Clearbrook	650	599	579
	11	Crow Wing	32,134	34,826	41,722			000	000	575
		J	, _ ,	- · ,	···,···	30	Baxter	1,037	1,556	2,625
						31	Brainerd	12,898	11,667	11,489
						32	Crosby	2,629	2,241	2,218
						33	Crosslake	165	358	1,064
						34	Deerwood	527	448	580
						35	Emily	351	386	588
						36	Ironton	724	562	537
						37	Nisswa	742	1,011	1,407
						38	Pequot Lakes	461	499	681
						39	West Crow Wing	1,361	2,425	3,655
	12	Hubbard	9,962	10,583	14,098	•••	neet of the heing	1,001	_,	0,000
			-,	,	_ , ,	40	Park Rapids	3,047	2,772	2,976
	13	Itasca	38,006	35,530	43,069		i ti i indpi to	0,017		
				y		41	Bovey	1,086	858	813
						42	Bowstring Lake	NA	863	970
						43	Coleraine	1,346	1,086	1,116
						44	Deer Lake	NA	1,317	2,733
						45	Deer River	992	815	907
						46	Grand Rapids	7,265	7,247	7,934

ŧ

ŧ

E

E

ŧ

6

ŧ

6

6

ŧ

- continued -

93 -

-9

(

			County				Ci	ty		
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
2	13	Itasca				47	Keewatin	1,651	1,382	1,443
		(continued)				48	La Prairie	243	413	536
		, ,				49	Marble	879	682	757
						50	Nashwauk	1,712	1,341	1,419
						51	Northeast Itasca	NA	1,130	1,176
	14	Koochiching	18,190	17,131	17,571				•	-
		•	-	-	-	52	International			
							Falls	6,778	6,439	5,61
						53	Littlefork	805	824	918
						54	Northome	291	351	312
						55	Northwest			
							Koochiching	NA	600	639
						56	Rainy Lake			4,793
						57	South			
							International			
							Falls	2,479	2,116	2,806
	15	Lake of								
		the Woods	4,304	3,987	3,764					
						58	Baudette	1,597	1,547	1,170
						59	Rainy River	NA	2,036	2,226
	16	Wadena	12,199	12,412	14,192					
						60	Menahga	799	835	980
						61	Sebeka	823	668	774
						62	Staples ^a		98	264
						63	Verndale	606	570	504
						64	Wadena	4,381	4,640	4,699

APPENDIX TABLE 1.	COMMUNITIES FOR	INCLUSION IN THE	MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC	MODEL AND THEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGION,	, 1960, 1970, ANI	D 1980 (CONTINUED)	

- continued -

C

C

6

¢

¢

¢

¢

€

- 94 -

¢

6

6

			County					City		
				Populatio					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
3	17	Carlton	27,932	28,072	29,936					
-	2.	•	. . , .		,	65	Carlton	862	884	862
						66	Cloquet	9,013	8,699	11,142
						67	Moose Lake	1,514	1,400	1,408
						68	North Carlton	NA	1,794	1,362
						69	Scanlon	1,126	1,132	1,050
	18	Cook	3,377	3,423	4,092		•	-,	- ,	-,
						70	Grand Marais	1,301	1,301	1,289
						71	West Cook	NA	1,642	1,404
	19	Lake	13,702	13,351	13,043				- ,	_ , · • ·
			-	-	-	72	Silver Bay	3,723	3,504	2,917
						73	Two Harbors	4,695	4,437	4,039
	20	Pine	17,004	16,821	19,871					
			-	•	•	74	Hinckley	851	885	963
						75	Pine City	1,972	2,143	2,489
						76	Rock Creek	728	815	890
						77	Sandstone	1,552	1,641	1,594
	21	St. Louis	231,588	220,693	222,229					
			-	-	-	78	Aurora	2,799	2,531	2,670
						79	Babbitt	2,587	3,076	2,435
						80	Birch Lake	ŃA	640	699
						81	Biwabik	1,836	1,483	1,428
						82	Buhl	1,526	1,303	1,284
						83	Chisholm	7,144	5,913	5,930
						84	Cook	527	687	800
						85	Duluth	106,884	100,578	92,811
						86	Ely	5,438	4,904	4,820
						87	Ely Lake			1,172
						88	Eveleth	5,721	4,721	5,042

Č.

ŧ

ų.

Ľ

.

Ľ Ľ

Ę

Ċ

Ę

۲.

- continued -

- 95 -

Ċ.

			County				1	City		
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
3	21	St. Louis				89	Floodwood	677	650	648
	_	(continued)				90	Gilbert	2,591	2,287	2,721
		(91	Heikkila Lake	NA	994	1,616
						92	Hermantown	5,765	6,737	6,759
						93	Hibbing	17,731	16,104	21,193
						94	Hoyt Lakes	3,186	3,634	3,186
						95	Mountain Iron	1,808	1,698	4,134
						96	Northwest	- ,	-,	· , - • ·
						• -	St. Louis	NA	798	571
						97	Pike Lake	426	406	640
						98	Proctor	2,963	3,123	3,180
						99	Sand Lake	NA	861	1,401
						100	Towner	878	699	640
						101	Virginia	14,034	12,450	11,056
4	22	Becker	23,959	24,372	29,336		· · · · ·		,	,
			•	•	-	102	Detroit Lakes	5,633	5,797	7,106
						103	Frazee	1,083	1,015	1,284
						104	Lake Park	730	658	716
	23	Clay	39,080	46,608	49,327					_
		·	-	•	•	105	Barnesville	1,632	1,782	2,207
						106	Dilworth	2,102	2,321	2,585
						107	Glyndon	489	674	882
						108	Hawley	1,270	1,371	1,634
						109	Moorhead	22,934	29,687	29,998
						110	Ulen	481	486	514
	24	Mahnomen	6,341	5,638	5,535					
			-	÷	2	111	Mahnomen	1,462	1,313	1,283

- continued -

<

¢

6

¢

6

¢

6

¢

- 96 -

6

¢

¢

			County				C	ity		
				Population					Population)
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
4	25	Norman	11,253	10,008	9,379					
			,	,	- , - · -	112	Ada	2,064	2,076	1,971
						113	Halstad	639	598	690
						114	Twin Valley	841	868	907
5	26	Douglas	21,313	22,910	27,839	114	Twin fulley	041	000	907
Ū	20	bougius	11,010	22,710	27,000	115	Alexandria	6,713	6,973	7,608
						115	Evansville	411	553	571
						117	Osakis ^b	1,396	1,306	
	27	Grant	8,870	7,462	7,171	11/	USAKI S-	1,390	1,500	1,355
	27	diane	0,070	7,402	/,1/1	118	Elbow Lake	1,521	1 404	1 250
						119	Herman	764	1,484 619	1,358
						120	Hoffman	605	627	600
	28	Otter Tail	48,960	46,097	51,937	120	norrinali	605	027	631
	20		40,500	40,097	51,757	121	Battle Lake	733	772	708
						122	Fergus Falls	13,733	12,443	
						122	Henning	13,733 980	12,443 850	12,519
						123	New York Mills	828		832
						124			791	972
						125	Parkers Prairie	884	882	917
							Pelican Rapids	1,693	1,835	1,867
	29	Traverse	7 502	6 264	E E10	127	Perham	2,019	1,933	2,086
	29	Traverse	7,503	6,254	5,542	100		1 0 0 0	0.00	007
						128	Browns Valley	1,033	906	887
	30	14164-	10 650	0.200	0 45 4	129	Wheaton	2,102	2,029	1,969
	30	Wilkin	10,650	9,389	8,454	1.00				
6	21	Bootoo	17 207	20 041	25 107	130	Breckenridge	4,335	4,200	3,909
6	31	Benton	17,287	20,841	25,187	101	F al		1 071	
						131	Foley	1,112	1,271	1,606
						132	St. Cloud ^C	3,209	4,180	4,645
						133	Sartelld	216	264	1,227
						134	Sauk Rapids	4,038	5,051	5,793

Ę

k

(

Ł

Ę

(

٤

E

ŧ

¢

- continued -

- 97 -

6

			County				C.	ity		
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
6	32	Kanabec	9,007	9,775	12,161					
			•			135	Mora	2,329	2,582	2,890
	33	Mille Lacs	14,560	15,703	18,430			2,025	2,002	L 3 030
			•	-	- •	136	Isle	529	551	573
						137	Milaca	1,821	1,940	2,104
						138	Onamia	645	670	691
						139	Princeton ^e	2,353	2,531	3,146
	34	Morrison	26,641	26,949	29,311					- , - · -
				-	-	140	Little Falls	7,551	7,467	7,250
						141	Pierz	816	893	1,018
						142	Randall	516	536	527
						143	Royalton	580	534	660
	35	Sherburne	12,861	18,344	29,908					
						144	Becker	279	365	601
						145	Big Lake	610	1,015	2,210
						146	Elk River	1,763	2,252	6,785
						147	St. Cloud ^c	3,166	3,918	4,421
						148	Zimmerman	302	495	1,074
	36	Stearns	80,345	95,400	108,161					•
						149	Albany	1,375	1,599	1,569
						150	Avon	443	725	804
						151	Belgrade	666	713	805
						152	Brooten	661	615	647
						153	Cold Spring	1,760	2,006	2,294
						154	Eden Valley ^f	270	287	291
						155	Freeport	615	593	563
						156	Holdingford	526	551	635
						157	Kimball Prairie	535	567	651
						158	Melrose	2,135	2,273	2,409
					- contir	nued -				

APPENDIX TABLE 1.	COMMUNITIES FOR INCLUSION IN	THE MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC MC	DEL AND THEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGION, 1960, 1970	, AND 1980 (CONTINUED)	

.

¢

			County				(ity		
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
6	36	Stearns				159	Paynesville	1,754	1,920	2,140
		(continued)				160	Richmond	751	866	867
		. ,				161	Rockville	357	302	597
						162	St. Cloud ^C	27,440	31,593	33,500
						163	St. Joseph	1,487	1,786	2,994
						164	Sartell ^d	575	1,059	2,200
						165	Sauk Centre	3,573	3,750	3,709
						166	Waite Park	2,016	2,824	3,496
	37	Todd	23,119	22,114	24,991			_,	-,	-,
			2	-	-	167	Bertha	562	512	510
						168	Browerville	744	665	693
						169	Clarissa	569	599	663
						170	Eagle Bend	611	557	593
						171	Long Prairie	2,414	2,416	2,859
						172	Staplesa	2,706	2,657	2,623
7	38	Big Stone	8,954	7,941	7,716					
						173	Clinton	565	608	622
						174	Graceville	823	735	780
						175	Ortonville	2,674	2,665	2,550
	39	Chippewa	16,320	15,109	14,941					
						176	Clara City	1,358	1,491	1,574
						177	Granite Falls9	563	643	785
						178	Montevideo	5,693	5,661	5,845
	40	Kandiyohi	29,987	30,548	36,763					
						179	Atwater	899	956	1,128
						180	New London	721	736	812
						181	Prinsburg	462	448	557
						182	Raymond	608	589	723
						183	Spicer	589	586	909
						184	Willmar	10,417	12,869	15,895

Ĺ

E

¢

Ŕ.

Ę

ł.

- 99 -

ŧ

¢

(

(

¢

- continued -

			County					City		
				Population		- <u>-</u>		f_	Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
7	41	Lac								
		Qui Parle	13,330	11,164	10,592					
		4	,	,	,	185	Dawson	1,766	1,699	1,90
						186	Madison	2,380	2,242	2,212
	42	Роре	11,914	11,107	11,657	1		2,000	- je ie	- 9 1
			, ·	,	 ,	187	Glenwood	2,631	2,584	2,523
						188	Starbuck	1,099	1,138	1,224
	43	Renville	23,249	21,139	20,401			_ ,	-,	.,
					,	189	Bird Island	1,384	1,309	1,372
						190	Buffalo Lake	707	758	782
						191	Danube	494	497	590
						192	Fairfax	1,489	1,432	1,409
						193	Franklin	548	557	512
						194	Hector	1,297	1,178	1,252
						195	Morton	624	591	549
						196	Olivia	2,355	2,553	2,802
						197	Renville	1,373	1,252	1,493
						198	Sacred Heart	696	707	666
	44	Stevens	11,262	11,218	11,322					
						199	Chokio	498	455	559
						200	Hancock	942	806	877
						201	Morris	4,199	5,366	5,367
	45	Swift	14,936	13,177	12,920			-	•	•
						202	Appleton	2,172	1,789	1,842
						203	Benson	3,678	3,484	3,656
						204	Kerkhoven	645	641	761

- continued -

Ć

€

¢

€

¢

¢

€

€

100 -

€

1

€

€ .

			County				Ci	ty		
				Populatio	n			Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
8	46	Anoka	85,916	154,712	195,998					
			-	-	•	205	Andover			9,387
						206	Anoka	10,562	13,293	15,63
						207	Blaine ^h	7,565	20,568	28,558
						208	Centerville	338	534	73
						209	Circle Pines	2,789	3,902	3,32
						210	Columbia Heights	17,533	23,997	20,029
						211	Coon Rapids	14,931	30,505	35,82
						212	East Bethel	1,408	2,586	6,62
						213	Fridley	15,173	29,233	30,22
						214	Ham Lake	1,423	3,327	7,83
						215	Hilltop	607	1,015	81
						216	Lexington	1,457	2,165	2,15
						217	Lino Lakes	2,329	3,692	4,96
						218	Ramsey	1,179	2,536	10,093
						219	St. Francis		897	1,184
						220	Spring Lake Park ⁱ	3,184	6,319	6,36
	47	Carver	21,358	28,331	37,046			-	. *	•
						221	Carver	467	669	642
						222	Chanhassenj	244	4,879	6,359
						223	Chaska	2,501	4,352	8,346
						224	Cologne	454	518	54!
						225	Norwood	945	1,058	1,219
						226	Victoria	425	850	1,42
						227	Waconia	2,048	2,445	2,63
						228	Watertown	1,046	1,390	1,818
						229	Young America	477	611	1,23

6

6

۲

٤

6

¢

¢

- continued -

Т 101

6

Ę

6

(

.

			County				С	ity		
				Population					Population	1
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
8	48	Chisago	13,419	17,492	25,717					
		-	-	-	-	230	Branch	516	880	1,866
						231	Chisago City	772	1,068	1,634
						232	Harris	552	559	678
						233	Lindstrom	835	1,260	1,972
						234	North Branch	949	1,106	1,597
						235	Rush City	1,108	1,130	1,198
						236	Stacy	211	278	996
						237	Taylors Falls	546	587	623
						238	Wyoming	435	695	1,559
	49	Dakota	78,303	139,808	194,279		J			-,
			-	-	-	239	Apple Valley		8,502	21,818
						240	Burnsville		19,940	35,674
						241	Eagan	3,382	10,398	20,70
						242	Farmington	2,300	3,104	4,370
						243	Hastings ^k	8,965	12,195	12,827
						244	Inver Grove	•		
							Heights		12,148	17,17
						245	Lakeville	924	7,556	14,79
						246	Mendota Heights	5,028	6,565	7,288
						247	Rosemount	1,068	1,337	5,083
						248	South St. Paul	22,032	25,016	21,23
						249	West St. Paul	13,101	18,802	18,527
	50	Hennepin	842,854	960,080	941,411		-	•	_ ,	,
		·	•	•		250	Bloomington	50,498	81,970	81,83
						251	Brooklyn Center	24,356	35,173	31,23
						252	Brooklyn Park	10,197	26,230	43,332
						253	Champlin	1,271	2,275	9,006
						254	Corcoran	1,237	1,656	4,252
						255	Crystal	24,283	30,925	25,543
					- contin	nued -				

€ € € € € € €

			MINNESOTA ECONOMIC	-DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL	AND THEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGIO	N, 1960, 1970, AN	D 1980 (CONTINUED)		

6

¢

1

			County				C	ity		
				Population		<u></u>			Populatio	n
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
8	50	Hennepin				256	Dayton ¹	456	517	4,070
		(continued)				257	Deephaven	3,286	3,853	3,716
						258	Eden Prairie	-,	6,938	16,263
						259	Edina	28,501	44,046	46,073
						260	Excelsior	2,020	2,563	2,523
						261	Golden Valley	14,559	24,246	22,775
						262	Greenfield	639	977	1,391
						263	Greenwood	520	587	653
						264	Hanover ^m	85	96	248
						265	Hopkins	11,370	13,428	15,336
						266	Independence	1,446	1,993	2,640
						267	Long Lake	996	1,506	1,747
						268	Maple Grove	2,213	6,275	20,525
						269	Maple Plain	754	1,169	1,421
						270	Medina	1,472	2,396	2,623
						271	Minneapolis	482,872	434,400	370,951
						272	Minnetonka	25,037	25,776	38,683
						273	Minnetonka Beach	544	586	575
						274	Minnetrista		2,878	3,236
						275	Mound	5,440	7,572	9,280
						276	New Hope	3,552	23,180	23,087
						277	Orono	5,643	6,787	6,845
						278	Osseo	2,104	2,908	2,974
						279	Plymouth	9,576	18,077	31,615
						280	Richfield	42,523	47,231	37,851
						281	Robbinsdale	16,381	16,845	14,422
						282	Rockford ^m	43	162	380
						283	Rogers	378	544	652
						284	St. Anthony ⁿ	4,744	6,886	5,619

Ľ

9

Ľ

Č

ŝ.

K.

Ę

Ľ

Ľ

Ĕ

- 103 -

K.

			County			City					
			<u>¥</u>	Population		<u> </u>		·····	Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	
8	50	Hennepin				285	St. Bonifacius	576	685	857	
		(continued)				286	St. Louis Park	43,310	48,883	42,931	
						287	Shorewood	3,197	4,223	4,643	
						288	Spring Park	668	1,087	1,465	
						289	Tonka Bay	1,204	1,397	1,354	
						290	Wayzata	3,219	3,700	3,621	
						291	Wood l and	449	544	526	
	51	Isanti	13,530	16,560	23,600						
			•	-	-	292	Braham	728	744	1,015	
						293	Cambridge	2,728	2,720	3,170	
						294	Isanti	521	679	858	
	52	McLeod	24,401	27,662	29,657						
			-		-	295	Brownton	698	688	697	
						296	Glencoe	3,216	4,217	4,396	
						297	Hutchinson	6,207	8,031	9,244	
						298	Lester Prairie	966	1,162	1,229	
						299	Silver Lake	646	694	698	
						300	Stewart	676	666	616	
						301	Winsted	1,163	1,266	1,522	
	53	Meeker	18,887	18,387	20,594						
						302	Cosmos	487	570	571	
						303	Dassel	863	1,058	1,066	
						304	Eden Valley ^f	523	489	472	
						305	Grove City	466	531	596	
						306	Litchfield	5,078	5,262	5,904	
						307	Watkins	744	785	757	

- continued -

€

6

€

- 104

ł

ť

€

6

€

6

Ģ

€

€

			County			City					
				Populatio					Populatio	n	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	
8	54	Ramsey	422,525	476,255	459,784						
						308	Arden Hills	3,930	5,149	8,012	
						309	Falcon Heights	5,927	5,530	5,291	
						310	Lauderdale	1,676	2,530	1,985	
						311	Little Canada	3,512	3,481	7,102	
						312	Maplewood	18,519	25,186	26,990	
						313	Mounds View	6,416	10,599	12,593	
						314	New Brighton	6,448	19,507	23,269	
						315	North Oaks	803	2,002	2,846	
						316	North St. Paul	8,520	11,950	11,921	
						317	Roseville	23,997	34,438	35,820	
						318	St. Anthony ⁿ	340	2,353	2,362	
						319	St. Paul	313,411	309,866	270,230	
						320	Shoreview	7,157	10,978	17,300	
						321	Spring Lake	/,13/	10,970	17,500	
						521	Park	76	98	109	
						322	Vadnais Heights	2,459	3,411	5,111	
						323	White Bear Lake ⁰	12,849	23,313		
	55	Rice	38,988	41,582	46,087	323	MILLE DEAL LAKE"	12,049	23,313	22,538	
	50	NT CC	30,500	41,502	40,007	324	Faribault	16 026	16 505	16 241	
						325	Lonsdale	16,926	16,595	16,241	
						325	Morristown p	541 616	622 659	1,160	
						327	North Field			639	
	56	Scott	21,909	32,423	43,784	327	NOICH FIEIU	8,707	10,235	12,562	
	50	50000	21,000	52,425	43,704	328	Belle Plaine	1 021	2 220	0 754	
						329	Jordan	1,931	2,328	2,754	
						329		1,479	1,836	2,663	
							New Pragueq	1,614	1,731	1,898	
						331	Prior Lake	848	1,114	7,284	
						332	Savage	1,094	3,611	3,954	
						333	Shakopee	5,201	6,876	9,941	

6

Ĺ

Ę

k

ĺ.

6

6

¢

(

(

- continued -

- 105 -

Ę

			County			City					
				Population		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Population		
legion	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name -	1960	1970	1980	
8	57	Washington	52,432	83,003	113,571						
		····· · ··· · ···· · ·················	,	,	110,071	334	Afton	158	248	2,550	
						335	Bayport	3,205	2,987	2,93	
						336	Birchwood Village	598	926	1,05	
						337	Cottage Grove		13,419	18,994	
						338	Dellwood	310	524	75	
						339	Forest Lake	2,347	3,207	4,596	
						340	Hugo	538	751	3,77	
						341	Lake Elmo	550	3,565	5,290	
						342	Lakeland	598	962	1,812	
						343	Lake St. Croix	550	502	1,017	
						345	Beach	716	1,111	1,176	
						344	Landfall	437	671	679	
						345	Mahtomedi	2,127	2,640	3,851	
						346	Marine on St. Croix		513	543	
						347	Newport	2,349	2,922	3,323	
						348	Oakdale		7,795	12,12	
						349	Oak Park Heights	332	1,238	2,59	
						350	St. Paul Park	3,267	5,587	4,864	
						351	Stillwater	8,310	10,191	12,290	
						352	Willernie	664	697	654	
						353	Woodbury		6,184	10,297	
	58	Wright	29,935	38,933	58,681	300	noodbur y		0,104	10,57,57	
		j		,	00,001	354	Albertville	279	451	564	
						355	Annandale	984	1,234	1,568	
						356	Buffalo	4,560	3,275	2,322	
						357	Cokato	1,356	1,735	2,056	
						358	Delano	1,612	1,851	2,480	
					- conti			-,	-,	.,	

E E E E E E E

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 1.	COMMUNITIES FOR	INCLUSION IN THE	MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC	MODEL AND THEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGIO	N, 1960, 1970, AND	D 1980 (CONTINUED)	

- 106 -

			County			City				
				Population					Population	
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
8	58	Wright				359	Hanover ^m	178	269	399
		(continued)				360	Howard Lake	1,007	1,162	1,240
		. ,				361	Maple Lake	1,018	1,124	1,132
						362	Monticello	1,477	1,636	2,830
						363	Montrose	360	379	762
						364	Rockford ^m	490	568	2,028
						365	St. Michael	707	1,021	1,519
9	59	Cottonwood	16,166	14,887	14,854			707	1,021	1,010
-			,		2.,001	366	Mountain Lake	1,943	1,986	2,277
						367	Westbrook	1,012	990	978
						368	Windom	3,691	3,952	4,666
	60	Jackson	15,501	14,352	13,690		Williaght	0,001	3,552	4 3 000
			,		,	369	Heron Lake	852	777	783
						370	Jackson	3,370	3,550	3,797
						371	Lakefield	1,789	1,820	1,845
	61	Lincoln	9,651	8,143	8,207	5/1	Lakerrera	1,705	1,020	1,045
	• -		,	•,•	0,107	372	Hendricks	797	712	737
						373	Ivanhoe	719	738	761
						374	Lake Benton	905	759	869
						375	Tyler	1,138	1,069	1,353
	62	Lyon	22,655	24,273	25,207	375	i ji ci	1,150	1,005	1,000
	•-		11,000	2. ,	20,201	376	Balaton	723	649	752
						377	Cottonwood	717	794	924
						378	Marshall	6,681	9,886	11,161
						379	Minneota	1,297	1,320	1,470
						380	Tracy	2,862	2,516	2,478
	63	Murray	14,743	12,508	11,507	000		2,002	2,010	7,410
		····· · ···		,	,,	381	Fulda	1,202	1,226	1,308
						382	Slayton	2,487	2,351	2,420

Ĺ

E

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

- 107 -

ŧ

ŧ

€

Ę

€

			County			City					
				Population					Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	
9	64	Nobles	23,365	23,208	21,840						
•				,-	·····	383	Adrian	1,215	1,350	1,336	
						384	Brewster	500	563	559	
						385	Ellsworth	634	588	629	
	65	Pipestone	13,605	12,791	11,690	000					
	00	r ipes tone	10,000	,	,	386	Edgerton	1,019	1,119	1,123	
						387	Jasper ^r	850	754	731	
						388	Pipestone	5,324	5,328	4,887	
	66	Redwood	21,718	20,024	19,341	000		-,	- ,	•	
				,		389	Lamberton	1,141	962	1,032	
						390	Morgan	975	972	975	
						391	Redwood Falls	4,285	4,774	5,210	
						392	Sanborn	521	505	518	
						393	Wabasso	789	738	745	
						394	Walnut Grove	886	756	753	
	67	Rock	11,864	11,346	10,703						
	•.		 ,	,	,	395	Hills	516	571	598	
						396	Luverne	4,249	4,703	4,568	
	68	Yellow								•	
	•••	Medicine	15,523	14,523	13,653						
			,	- ,	,	397	Canby	2,146	2,147	2,143	
						398	Clarkfield	1,100	1,084	1,171	
						399	Granite Falls9	2,165	2,582	2,666	
10	69	Blue Earth	44,385	52,322	52,314			-	-	·	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	- • •	400	Amboy	629	571	606	
						401	Eagle Lake	506	839	1,470	
						402	Good Thunder	468	489	560	
						403	Lake Crystal	1,652	1,807	2,078	
						404	Madison Lake	477	587	592	
					- conti	nued –					

APPENDIX TABLE 1.	COMMUNITIES FOR INCLUSION IN THE MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL AND THE	HEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGION, 1960, 1970, AND 1980 (CONTINUED)	

- 108 -

۲

€

€

ŧ

¢

¢

e

¢

€ €.

			County			City					
				Population					Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	
10	69	Blue Earth				405	Mankato ^s	23,797	30,895	28,651	
		(continued)				406	Mapleton	1,107	1,307	1,516	
		. ,				407	St. Clair	373	488	655	
	70	Brown	27,676	28,887	28,645						
					- •	408	Comfrey ^t	616	525	548	
						409	New Ulm	11,114	13,051	13,755	
						410	Sleepy Eye	3,492	3,461	3,581	
						411	Springfield	2,701	2,530	2,303	
	71	Faribault	23,685	20,896	19,714		-p	- ,	2,000		
	. –		,	,		412	Blue Earth	4,200	3,965	4,132	
						413	Elmore	1,078	910	882	
						414	Kiester	741	681	670	
						415	Minnesota Lake	697	711	744	
						416	Wells	2,897	2,791	2,777	
						417	Winnebago	2,088	1,791	1,869	
	72	Le Sueur	19,906	21,332	23,434		2				
			-	•	-	418	Cleveland	389	492	699	
						419	Kasota	649	732	739	
						420	Le Center	1,597	1,890	1,967	
						421	Le Sueur	3,310	3,745	3,763	
						422	Montgomery	2,118	2,281	2,349	
						423	New Prague ^q	919	949	1,054	
						424	Waterville	1,623	1,539	1,717	
	73	Martin	26,986	24,316	24,687			-,		-,	
			-		•	425	Ceylon	554	487	543	
						426	Fairmont	9,745	10,751	11,506	
						427	Sherburn	1,227	1,190	1,275	
						428	Trimont	942	835	805	
						429	Truman	1,256	1,137	1,392	
						430	Welcome	733	694	855	

Ę

¢

ŧ

í.

Ę

٩.

Ę

ĺ.

- continued -

1

E

Ĺ

ķ.

			County			City					
				Population					Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Nате	1960	1970	1980	
10	74	Nicollet	23,196	24,518	26,929						
			•	•	•	431	Lafayette	516	498	507	
						432	Nicollet	493	618	709	
						433	North Mankato	5,927	7,347	9,145	
						434	St. Peter	8,484	8,339	9,056	
	75	Sibley	16,228	15,845	15,448			•	•		
		-	-	2	-	435	Arlington	1,601	1,823	1,779	
						436	Gaylord	1,631	1,720	1,933	
						437	Gibbon	896	877	787	
						438	Henderson	728	730	739	
						439	Winthrop	1,381	1,391	1,376	
	76	Waseca	16,041	16,663	18,448						
						440	Janesville	1,426	1,557	1,897	
						441	New Richland	1,046	1,113	1,263	
						442	Waseca	5,898	6,789	8,219	
	77	Watonwan	14,460	13,298	12,361						
						443	Butterfield	601	619	634	
						444	Medelia	2,190	2,316	2,130	
						445	St. James	4,174	4,027	4,346	
11	78	Dodge	13,259	13,037	14,773						
						446	Claremont	466	520	591	
						447	Dodge Center	1,441	1,603	1,816	
						448	Hayfield	889	939	1,243	
						449	Kasson	1,732	1,883	2,827	
						450	Mantorville	498	479	705	
						451	West Concord	810	718	762	

- continued -

€

¢

F

Ę

¢

- 110 -

6

ę

€

€′

¢

¢

			County			City					
				Population				•	Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	
11	79	Fillmore	23,768	21,916	21,930						
			- ,			452	Chatfield ^u	1,240	1,162	1,160	
						453	Harmony	1,214	1,130	1,133	
						454	Lanesboro	1,063	850	923	
						455	Mable	815	888	861	
						456	Preston	1,491	1,413	1,478	
					•	457	Rushford	1,335	1,318	1,478	
						458	Rushford Village	581	601	688	
						459	Spring Valley	2,628	2,572	2,616	
	80	Freeborn	37,891	38,064	36,329		-p j j	- y	-,	-,	
			-	2	•	460	Albert Lea	17,108	19,418	19,200	
						461	Alden	694	713	687	
						462	Clarks Grove	353	480	620	
						463	Glenville	643	740	851	
	81	Goodhue	33,035	34,804	38,749						
			-	-	-	464	Cannon Falls	2,055	2,072	2,653	
						465	Goodhue	566	539	657	
						466	Kenyon	1,624	1,575	1,529	
						467	Lake City ^v			470	
						468	Pine Island₩	1,308	1,640	1,986	
						469	Red Wing	10,528	10,441	13,736	
						470	Wanamingo	540	574	717	
						471	Zumbrata	1,830	1,929	2,129	
	82	Houston	16,588	17,556	18,382					·	
						472	Caledonia	2,563	2,619	2,691	
						473	Hokah	685	697	686	
						474	Houston	1,082	1,090	1,057	
						475	La Crescent	2,624	3,296	3,674	
						476	Spring Grove	1,342	1,290	1,275	

((

Ę

6

Ċ

ę

Ľ

(

í.

é

- continued -

- 111. -

			County			City					
				Population		·····			Population		
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	
11	83	Mower	48,498	44,919	40,390						
			-	-	-	477	Adams	806	771	797	
						478	Austin	27,908	26,210	23,020	
						479	Brownsdale	622	625	691	
						480	Grand Meadow	837	869	965	
						481	LeRoy	971	870	930	
						482	Lyle	607	522	576	
	84	Olmsted	65,532	84,104	92,006						
			-	-	-	483	Byron	660	1,419	1,715	
						484	Chatfieldu	601	723	895	
						485	Eyota	558	639	1,244	
						486	Oronoco		564	574	
						487	Rochester	40,663	53,766	57,890	
						488	Stewartville	1,670	2,802	3,925	
	85	Steele	25,029	26,931	30,328						
						489	Blooming Prairie	1,778	1,804	1,969	
						490	Ellendale	501	569	555	
						491	Medford	567	690	775	
						492	Owatonna	13,409	15,341	18,632	
	86	Wabasha	17,007	17,224	19,335						
						493	Elgin	521	580	667	
						494	Lake City [∨]	3,494	3,594	4,505	
						495	Mezeppa	444	498	680	
						496	Plainview	1,833	2,093	2,416	
						497	Wabasha	2,500	2,371	2,372	

APPENDIX TABLE 1.	COMMUNITIES FOR	INCLUSION IN TH	E MINNESOTA ECONOMIC-DEM	10GRAPHIC MODEL AND THEIR
POPULATIONS, BY	COUNTY AND REGIO	N, 1960, 1970, A	ND 1980 (CONTINUED)	

- continued -

(

E

¢

€

e

€ 1

¢

- 112 -

€

€`

(

F

			County				C	ity		
	· · · · · ·			Population	1				Population	
Region	Number	Nате	1960	1970	1980	Number	Nате	1960	1970	1980
11	87	Winona	40,937	44,409	46,256					
			•	•	•	498	Goodview	1,348	1,829	2,567
						499	Lewiston	890	1,000	1,226
						500	Rollingstone	392	450	528
						501	St. Charles	1,882	1,942	2,184
						502	Stockton	242	346	517
						503	Winona	24,895	26,438	25,075
		North Dakot	ta			504	Cavalier	1,423	1,381	1,505
						505	Fairmont	503	412	480
						506	Fargo	46,662	53,365	61,308
						507	Grafton	5,885	5,946	5,293
						508	Grand Forks	34,451	39,008	43,765
						509	Hillsboro	1,278	1,309	1,600
						510	Kindred	580	495	568
						511	Mayville	2,168	2,554	2,255
						512	Pembina	625	741	673
						513	Wahpeton	5,876	7,076	9,064
						514	West Fargo	3,421	5,161	10,099
						515	Wyndmere	644	516	550
		South Dakot	ta			516	Big Stone City	718	631	672
						517	Brookings	10,558	13,717	14,951
						518	Clear Lake	1,173	1,157	1,310
						519	Dell Rapids	1,863	1,991	2,389
						520	Madison	5,420	6,315	6,210
						521	Milbank	3,500	3,727	4,120

ŧ

· (

¢

ŧ

Ę

ŧ

k,

Ę

ŧ

é

- continued -

÷.

Ĺ

			County					City		
				Population					Population	1
Region	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980	Number	Name	1960	1970	1980
	:	South Dakot	a			522	Sisseton	3,218	3,094	2,789
		(continued	1)			523	Sioux Falls	65,466	72,488	81,343
						524	Watertown	14,077	13,388	15,649
						525	Wilmot	545	518	507
		Iowa				526	Armstrong	958	1,061	1,153
						527	Charles City	9,964	9,268	8,778
						528	Clear Lake Č	6,158	6,430	7,458
						529	Estherville	7,927	8,108	7,518
						530	Lake Mills	1,758	2,124	2,281
						531	Mason City	30,642	30,491	30,144
						532	Northwood	1,768	1,950	2,193
						533	Osage	3,753	3,815	3,718
						534	Rock Rapids	2,780	2,632	2,693
						535	Spencer	8,864	10,278	11,726
	۱	lisconsin				536	Arcadia	2,084	2,159	2,109
						537	Hudson	4,325	5,049	5,434
						538	La Crosse	45,575	51,153	48,347
						539	Menomonie	8,624	11,275	12,769
						540	New Richmond	3,316	3,707	4,306
						541	Onalaska	3,161	4,909	9,249
						542	River Falls	4,578	7,238	9,036
						543	Superior	33,563	33,237	29,571
						544	Westby	1,544	1,568	1,797

^aCensus population for Staples is reported in Wadena County (Region ?) and Todd County (Region 6). The population for Staples was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model.

ę.

€'

€ .

- continued -

₹

€

- 114 -

6

€`

€ .

F

¢

Ę

Appendix Table 1 Footnotes Continued

Ø very small number of people were listed in Todd County, the total population was determined for Osakis and Since ^DCensus population for Osakis is reported in Douglas County (Region 5) and Todd County (Region 6). reported in Douglas County.

Census population for St. Cloud is reported in Benton County (Region 6), Sherburne County (Region 6), and Stearns County (Region 6). The population for St. Cloud was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model.

¹Census population for Sartell is reported in Benton County (Region 6) and Stearns County (Region 6). The population for Sartell was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model.

^eCensus population for Princeton was reported in Mille Lacs County (Region 6) and Sherburne County (Region 6). Since a very small number of people were listed in Sherburne County, the total population was determined for Princeton and reported in Mille Lacs County.

The Census population for Eden Valley was reported in Stearns County (Region 6) and Meeker County (Region 8). population for Eden Valley was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model.

(Region 9). The population for Granite Falls was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic ^gCensus population for Granite Falls were reported in Chippewa County (Region 7) and Yellow Medicine County model.

¹Census population for Blaine was reported in Anoka County (Region 8) and Ramsey County (Region 8). Since a very small number of people were listed in Ramsey County, the total was determined for Blaine and reported in Anoka County.

Census population for Spring Lake Park was reported in Anoka County (Region 8) and Ramsey County (Region 8). The population for Spring Lake Park was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model. Since a

very small number of people were listed in Hennepin County, the total was determined for Chanhassen and Census population for Chanhassen was reported in Carver County (Region 8) and Hennepin (Region 8). reported in Carver County.

Since a very small number of people were listed in Washington County, the total was determined for Hastings ⁽Census population for Hastings was reported in Dakota County (Region 8) and Washington County (Region 8) and reported in Dakota County.

Since a very small number of people were listed in Wright County, the total was determined for Dayton and reported in Census population for Dayton was reported in Hennepin County (Region 8) and Wright County (Region 8). Hennepin County.

ⁿCensus populations for Hanover and Rockford were reported in Hennepin County (Region 8) and Wright County Region 8). The populations for Hanover and Rockford were aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model.

continued -

Appendix Table 1 Footnotes Continued

^oCensus population for White Bear Lake was reported in Ramsey County (Region 8) and Washington County (Region 8). Since a very small number of people were listed in Washington County, the total population was determined ¹Census population for St. Anthony was reported in Hennepin County (Region 8) and Ramsey County (Region 8). The population for St. Anthony was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model. for White Bear Lake and reported in Ramsey County.

Since ³Census population for Northfield was reported in Rice County (Region 8) and Dakota County (Region 8). a very small number of people were listed in Dakota County, the total population was determined for Northfield and reported in Rice County.

lCensus population for New Prague was reported in Scott County (Region 8) and Le Sueur County (Region 10). The Since a Census population for Jasper waš reported in Pipestone County (Region 9) and Rock County (Region 9). population for New Prague was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model.

very small number of people were listed in Rock County, the total population was determined for Jasper and reported in Pipestone County.

^SCensus population for Mankato was reported in Blue Earth County (Region 10) and Nicollet County (Region 10). Since a very small number of people were listed in Nicollet County, the total population was determined for Mankato and reported in Blue Earth County.

Since a very small number of people were listed in Cottonwood County, the total population was determined for ^tCensus population for Comfrey was reported in Brown County (Region 10) and Cottonwood County (Region 9) Comfrey and reported in Brown County.

^JCensus population for Chatfield was reported in Fillmore County (Region 11) and Olmstad County (Region 11). The population for Chatfield was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model

"Census population for Pine Island was reported in Goodhue County (Region 11) and Olmstad County (Region 11). "Census population for Lake City was reported in Goodhue County (Region 11) and Wabasha County (Region 11). The population for Lake City was aggregated for calculations within the economic-demographic model

Since a very small number of people were listed in Olmstad County, the total population was determined for Pine Island and reported in Goodhue County.

. رايل

						Sector			
	(1) Ag,	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc	(15) Retail	(17) Bus &	(20)
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	113.1	11.1	299.9	6.2	241.4	18.3	100.9	33.6	107.9
1961	113.3	8.7	258.1	6.4	251.2	17.6	104.1	34.8	111.8
1962	109.0	8.8	230.1	5.5	258.2	17.1	106.3	35.4	128.1
1963	101.9	10.4	220.1	5.9	265.8	16.6	108.6	36.1	126.4
1964	105.6	11.5	222.8	7.8	286.7	21.9	110.7	36.8	129.4
1965	107.5	8.8	202.6	9.3	304.6	26.9	112.0	37.4	139.9
1966	110.0	9.2	220.6	8.8	318.5	31.4	112.3	37.4	175.8
1967	105.9	8.5	171.2	8.3	319.4	42.8	118.7	39.7	197.8
1968	103.8	8.8	179.4	6.2	315.6	55.9	116.7	38.9	173.6
1969	102.6	7.4	178.5	9.6	309.3	67.2	127.3	42.5	209.2
1970	100.8	16.1	180.9	2.1	301.1	77.9	130.5	43.4	200.1
1971	100.9	9.4	158.4	5.7	301.2	83.7	131.6	43.8	217.5
1972	99.5	9.0	153.0	2.8	321.5	78.5	131.9	44.0	228.5
1973	130.3	18.6	184.9	5.9	340.4	107.9	143.3	47.8	260.7
1974	108.1	18.7	208.1	20.1	356.1	132.1	152.3	50.8	268.3
1975	99.2	14.3	176.1	9.6	274.3	195.2	154.1	51.4	280.5
1976	109.0	18.0	209.3	12.5	325.0	193.2	161.9	54.0	306.4
1977	110.6	20.9	147.3	6.5	334.1	208.7	164.8	54.9	330.2
1978	120.8	20.7	206.8	16.9	390.8	176.1	170.4	56.8	327.7
1979	131.2	22.2	180.2	21.7	419.9	187.3	180.2	60.0	329.1
1980	131.7	50.5	126.6	9.6	345.1	251.1	181.9	60.6	339.4
1981	112.7	27.9	169.0	10.6	306.0	265.1	179.8	60.0	309.7
1982	117.4	21.1	171.5	10.5	280.1	320.8	184.9	61.6	278.9

APPENDIX TABLE 2. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 2, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

٤

Æ

K

ŧ

£.

k

¢

K

Ł

E

ę

						Sector			
Vora	(1) Ag,	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal Mining	(7)	(8) Lumber	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(15) Retail Trade	(17) Bus & Pers Service	(20) Households
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr			I aue		nousenorus
1960	61.2	2.6	905.3	18.8	132.7	791.7	68.9	23.0	175.5
1961	60.4	2.3	771.6	19.2	138.1	802.3	71.1	23.8	181.6
1962	56.0	3.0	731.7	16.6	142.2	805.3	72.6	24.1	208.2
1963	52.2	3.0	778.7	17.8	146.1	810.1	74.2	24.7	205.2
1964	54.4	3.4	863.5	23.4	157.7	815.7	75.8	25.4	210.4
1965	57.0	2.4	880.1	28.1	167.6	814.5	76.8	25.5	227.4
1966	64.4	2.3	921.0	26.6	175.1	806.0	76.7	25.6	285.7
1967	62.4	2.9	873.3	24.5	175.6	802.4	81.2	27.1	321.7
1968	60.2	2.6	911.5	26.4	173.6	788.1	79.7	26.6	362.7
1969	57.2	2.2	986.3	63.5	170.2	768.1	87.0	29.0	349.3
1970	55.1	2.9	927.1	27.7	165.6	747.2	89.2	29.7	366.6
1971	54.5	2.6	853.5	15.7	165.6	727.1	90.0	29.9	377.5
1972	53.7	2.8	914.0	17.9	176.8	706.2	90.2	30.1	375.2
1973	69.6	4.7	1,127.3	12.4	187.2	758.8	98.0	32.7	440.9
1974	59.9	3.7	1,257.0	22.8	195.8	782.3	104.1	34.7	425.2
1975	55.4	3.8	1,257.9	90.8	150.8	817.9	105.4	35.2	442.8
1976	60.7	4.2	1,318.0	40.1	178.8	839.2	110.7	36.9	480.9
1977	61.4	3.7	844.9	14.1	183.7	861.7	112.7	37.5	516.3
1978	65.5	3.4	1,716.0	22.8	215.0	841.8	116.5	38.9	484.5
1979	71.4	4.1	1,961.1	29.3	230.9	924.3	123.2	41.1	492.8
1980	72.3	6.7	1,560.2	18.3	189.8	950.9	124.4	41.5	490.9
1981	62.1	7.1	1,701.8	19.9	168.3	947.3	123.0	41.0	468.0
1982	60.4	5.7	1,726.5	19.7	154.0	1,059.1	126.5	42.1	421.5

۲.

۴

۲

€'

6

٢

APPENDIX TABLE 3. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 3, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

€

¢

≮ .

€

DOL	DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	= BASE	DOLLARS)					,	
						Sector			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(15)	(17)	(20)
	, Ag	Ag,	Metal			Ag Proc	Retail	Bus &	•
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	80.8	60.4	1	9.6		102 7	0 1 0	с L	7 36
1961	80.8	59.3	ł	4.1	;	106.4	22 5	L	40°,
1962	81.1	51.7	ł	- m	1	108.8	23.1	t • -	40°0
1963	75.9	69.5	1	3.7	ţ	111.3	23.5	6° L	54.6
1964	80.0	81.2	ł	4.9	1	114.6	24.1	8.0	55.8
1965	82.7	92.5	1	6.0	ł	116.6	24.3	8.1	60.5
1966	88.9	75.1	:	5 ° 2	1	117.6	24.3	8.1	76.0
1967	84.6	88.2	1	5.2	8	118.4	25.8	8.5	85.5
1968	81.7	88.7	1	14.4	;	131.5	25.4	8.4	77.6
1969	77.8	93.2	1	6.3	ł	142.2	27.6	9.2	1.16
1970	75.6	79.5	1	8 . 3	!	151.0	28.3	•	85.1
1971	75.0	94.6	1	1.7	ł	159.5	28.5	9.4	91.5
1972	75.0	85.8	1	1.4	1	167.9	28.5	9°6	101.1
1973	98.5	190.9	1	3.4	1	198.1	31.0	10.4	101.5
1974	81.1	208.7	t I	1.5	;	218.3	33.0	11.0	102.0
1975	72.6	86.4	ł	3.4	!	232.9	33 .3	11.2	120.8
1976	80.7	169.5	1	3.1	ł	252.9	35 . 2	11.7	140.1
1977	73.2	162.3	1	1. 8	ł	268.5	35.8	11.9	139.6
1978	77.6	198.7	1	3.4	;	267.8	37.0		157.8
1979	87.9	188.9	1	8.1	ľ	295.3	39.1	13.0	144.4
1980	87.4	199.6	1	20.9	1	292.3	39 .4	13.1	151.0
1981	72.9	230.3	8 L	6.4	;	288.2	39 • 0	13 ° 0	139.1
1982	71.6	207.0	ł	6.4	1	317.3	40.2	L3 . 4	125.3

APPENDIX TABLE 4. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 4, 1960-1982, MILLION

ينهني ا

-33

خ

. الأن

Ś

- 119 -

						Sector			
Year	(1) Ag, Lvstk	(2) Ag, Crops	(3) Metal Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(15) Retail Trade	(17) Bus & Pers Service	(20) Households
1960	179.4	76.9		3.4		53.9	32.5	10.8	48.3
1961	181.8	78.8		3.3		53.2	33.5	11.3	49.9
1962	178.8	55.3		3.0		52.0	34.2	11.3	57.3
1963	166.2	83.6		3.2		50.9	34.9	11.6	56.4
1964	170.6	84.6		4.1		54.9	35.6	11.9	57.8
1965	180.9	97.5		5.0		57.9	36.0	11.9	62.7
1966	193.6	84.5		4.6		60.5	36.0	12.0	78.5
1967	186.6	96.0		4.5		63.0	38.1	12.8	88.4
1968	183.3	93.7		12.9		70.7	37.6	12.5	88.3
1969	182.6	92.1		7.6		77.0	40.9	13.7	94.0
1970	175.8	86.7		1.2		82.2	42.1	14.0	99.7
1971	169.6	99.2		0.7		87.2	42.3	14.0	103.5
1972	174.6	82.8		3.7		92.2	42.4	14.2	114.2
1973	232.2	225.5		2.3		105.0	46.1	15.4	114.6
1974	197.8	297.3		4.0		112.9	48.9	16.4	109.7
1975	198.2	167.4		6.8		118.2	49.6	16.5	118.8
1976	205.4	119.9		20.7		126.6	52.1	17.3	127.7
1977	191.7	196.9		2.9		133.0	53.0	17.6	137.9
1978	200.2	179.5		1.9		132.6	54.8	18.3	135.5
1979	214.2	200.4		1.5		146.2	58.0	19.3	142.4
1980	223.1	233.2		2.2		144.7	58.5	19.5	161.3
1981	193.3	219.5		4.7		142.7	57.8	19.2	133.4
1982	189.0	203.9		4.6		157.1	59.5	19.9	120.2

€

€

Ę

۴

¢

€

۴

APPENDIX TABLE 5. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 5, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS

₹

€

€

ſ

DOL	-ARS (198	DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS	DOLLARS)			ĺ			
						Sector			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(12)	(11)	(20)
	Ag,	, Ag	Metal			Ag Proc	Retail	Bus &	•
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	303.3	3 4 . I	;	8.5	84.4	201.1	31.2	10_3	102 5
1961	309.7	41.7	1	8.7	88 .0	203.3	31.5	10.7	106 0
1962	305.2	43.5	ł	7.5	90.4	203.7	32.9	1.11	121.3
1963	289.1	43.3	1	8.2	93 ° 0	204.5	33.6	11.1	119.7
1964	301.8	36.8	1	10.5	100.4	231.4	34.4	11.5	122.6
1965	315.9	36.9	1	12.9	106.6	254.8	34.6	11.4	132.8
1966	336 .3	48.3	1	12.0	111.6	275.1	34.7	11.6	166.6
1967	330.2	42.4	ţ	11.0	111.7	296.8	36 .8	12.3	187.5
1968	336 • 7	49.2	1	4.3	110.4	336.1	36.1	12.0	179.4
1969	339.3	42.1	;	3.1	108.3	368.7	39.4	13.1	202.7
1970	335.6	54.1	1	4.5	105.3	396.9	40.3	13.4	191.5
1971	335.9	46.6	ł	5.2	105.3	421.7	40.7	13 . 5	207.9
1972	339.0	50.9	ł	6 •0	112.4	442.4	40.8	13.7	237.9
1973	447.6	105.4	1	35 .7	1.9.1	506.8	44.3	14.8	264.2
1974	389.5	109.1	1	16.8	124.7	548.0	47.1	15.7	251.3
1975	416.5	66.8	1	21.9	0°96	592.1	47 •6	15.8	262.4
1976	429.7	56.9	1	22.4	113.7	626.6	50.1	16.6	285.1
1977	427.8	73.5	!	25 . 0	116.9	658 . 8	51.0	17.0	300.4
1978	449.5	71.5	;	11.3	I36 . 8	645.8	52.7	17.6	289.9
1979	497.1	73.6	!	11.3	146.9	7.09.7	55.7	18.5	290.3
1980	514.7	94.1	1	10.9	120.8	725.4	56.3	18.8	314.5
1981	454.5	112.2	1	12.8	107.0	721.5	55.6	18.5	280.7
1982	446.6	99 . 1	1	12.7	0° 86	804.5	57.2	19.1	252.8

APPENDIX TABLE 6. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 6, 1960-1982, MILLION DOILLARS (1980 = RASE DOILLARS)

لات

لفت

÷

مخفق

فت

لې

						Sector			
Voon	(1) Ag,	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal Mining	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfa	(15) Retail	(17) Bus & Dong Sopuiso	(20)
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	234.4	163.1		2.8		102.5	14.5	4.9	63.5
1961	243.5	164.2		3.1		99.5	14.8	4.9	65.7
1962	240.9	167.0		2.5		95.5	15.1	5.0	75.1
1963	226.0	207.2		2.7		91.7	15.6	5.2	74.2
1964	222.8	182.6		3.7		99.7	15.8	5.4	76.1
1965	241.2	209.3		4.3		106.3	16.0	5.2	82.2
1966	256.2	220.8		4.2		111.8	15.9	5.3	103.3
1967	235.1	222.3		3.8		116.9	17.0	5.6	116.2
1968	230.6	217.9		1.5		127.6	16.8	5.6	115.6
1969	233.5	241.5		2.0		136.1	18.2	6.1	135.4
1970	221.2	247.4		1.6		143.3	18.6	6.2	137.1
1971	219.3	233.2		2.8		150.0	18.8	6.3	136.2
1972	232.4	232.8		0.9		156.7	18.8	6.2	136.7
1973	325.4	486.8		1.3		172.8	20.5	6.9	154.3
1974	265.8	532.6		2.0		181.3	21.8	7.3	150.0
1975	257.8	368.4		8.2		186.4	22.0	7.3	155.5
1976	243.6	232.6		6.3		196.8	23.1	7.7	165.5
1977	225.8	394.1		7.9		204.3	23.6	7.9	187.4
1978	259.4	408.8		5.9		203.7	24.3	8.2	175.5
1979	271.4	412.5		9.8		224.6	25.7	8.6	182.8
1980	278.4	468.8		14.0		222.3	26.0	8.7	199.0
1981	237.0	459.0		7.2		219.2	25.7	8.5	172.5
1982	234.2	420.2		7.1		241.4	26.5	8.8	155.3

۲

٤)

۲

Ç

C

C

APPENDIX TABLE 7. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

6

Ę.

¢

¢

	AK) (190	UULLAKS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS	UULLAKS)						
						Sector			
		(2)	(3)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(15)	(17)	(20)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal			Ag Proc	Retail	Bus &	•
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	407.1	93.4	!	87 .8	542.8	8,605,8	407.1	135.8	1.602.7
1961	412.3	112.8	1	88.5	564.7	9.071.4	419.9	139.9	1.658.1
1962	398.4	112.3	ł	77.1	581.3	9,444.1	428.3	142.7	1.900.0
1963	369.4	107.8	!	83.1	598.2	9,823.7	437.4	145.9	1.873.9
1964	378.1	113.4	1	108.0	645.3	10,498,0	446.9	149.0	1.919.6
1965	389.3	115.9	1	130.9	685.1	11,061.0	452.2	150.7	2.077.2
1966	408.2	135.1	1	122.9	716.6	11,489.3	452.8	150.8	2.608.7
1967	394 . 1	125.4	1	114.0	718.7	11,933.6	479.1	159.7	2,935.6
1968	392.8	126.8	1	121.8	710.4	12,278.0	470.3	156.9	4,039,1
1969	387.5	127.1	E I	117.7	0°969	12,479.3	513.8	171.2	4,278,7
1970	375.1	166.1	1	140.8	677 .2	12,610.5	526.6	175.5	3.597.9
1971	373.4	138.6	ł	103.7	677 • 6	12,733.9	530.9	177.0	3,373,1
1972	386.5	163.5	1	80.7	723.2	12,863.3	532.3	177 .5	3.426.6
1973	515.2	260.5	1	133.7	765.6	14,132.2	578.1	192.8	3,649,9
1974	447.7	294.2	ł	121.9	801.0	14,772.8	614.3	204.7	3,440,5
1975	407.5	221.8	1	154.9	617.2	15,214.0	621.2	207.1	3,794,6
1976	417.4	183.9	1	133.2	731.3	16,093.0	653 . 0	217.7	4,120.9
1977	403.2	200.5	!	234.9	751.6	16,684.5	664.9	221.6	4 297 5
1978	440.4	225.5	1	153.7	879.3	16,509.7	687 • 2	229.0	4,232,9
1979	472.0	241.4	1	191.2	944.9	18,230.0	726.5	242.2	4,237.1
1980	488.9	234 .8	ł	130.0	776.4	18,201.3	733.6	244.5	4,535.7
1981	430.6	283.8	I I	132.5	688.4	18,025.1	725.4	241.8	4,063,4
1982	423.8	215.4	1	131.7	630.2	19,974.1	746.1	248.7	3,659.8

APPENDIX TABLE 8. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

- 123 -

<u>م</u>ث

<u>ند</u>.

-

فرنت ا

. در ا

- 33

-29

فنني

-36

						Sector			
	(1) Ag,	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc	(15) Retail	(17) Bus &	(20)
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	368.1	199.5		6.7		42.1	16.0	5.4	74.9
1961	381.1	215.9		6.6		44.5	16.6	5.6	77.2
1962	382.8	212.8		5.8		46.7	17.1	5.8	88.7
1963	363.5	272.5		6.2		48.7	17.3	5.7	87.3
1964	362.0	261.6		8.0		71.7	17.8	5.9	89.5
1965	391.2	233.8		9.8		93.2	17.9	6.0	96.8
1966	449.3	260.6		9.2		112.5	18.0	6.0	121.7
1967	414.8	317.9		8.5		131.0	19.1	6.3	136.8
1968	410.6	297.8		12.0		145.3	19.1	6.2	141.0
1969	423.1	351.8		7.6		156.7	20.4	6.7	159.8
1970	408.5	398.2		9.5		166.5	20.9	7.0	154.5
1971	405.2	337.4		16.4		175.5	21.1	7.0	158.7
1972	442.0	447.0		13.8		184.8	21.1	7.1	176.8
1973	630.6	633.5		10.9		188.2	22.8	7.5	177.8
1974	503.9	728.5		4.2		185.6	24.4	8.2	179.3
1975	490.0	451.1		9.3		181.4	24.6	8.2	186.9
1976	478.1	362.7		2.7		183.2	25.9	8.6	192.2
1977	435.3	494.1		7.5		183.2	26.4	8.7	222.3
1978	499.2	589.6		8.9		182.7	27.2	9.1	191.3
1979	510.2	521.9		7.5		201.5	28.8	9.6	214.9
1980	505.6	592.0		13.3		199.5	29.0	9.7	233.5
1981	418 .9	588.7		6.7		196.7	28.8	9.6	199.7
1982	414.5	523.0		6.6		216.5	29.5	9.8	179.8

€.

۴

٢

¢

f

€

· •

APPENDIX TABLE 9. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

۲

€`⊢

Ę

¢

						Sector			
Year	(1) Ag, Lvstk	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(15) Retail Trade	(17) Bus & Pers Service	(20) Households
	LAZIK	Crops		CUNSER	Luinder	a misc mig	Indue	Pers Service	nousenorus
1960	332.7	192.3		9.8		232.8	19.1	6.5	107.4
1961	339.4	237.9		10.0		284.4	19.7	6.6	111.3
1962	326.3	246.7		8.5		294.6	.20.1	6.8	127.4
1963	312.8	254.5		9.4		304.9	20.5	6.9	125.6
1964	311.3	314.7		12.2		348.6	21.0	7.1	128.7
1965	341.1	263.6		14.8		387.8	21.2	7.2	139.2
1966	363.8	314.6		13.9		421.3	21.3	7.2	174.9
1967	328.2	313.1		12.8		453.3	22.4	7.4	196.7
1968	314.4	300.0		12.2		434.3	21.5	7.1	188.4
1969	326.3	317.5		4.7		507.9	23.9	8.0	264.4
1970	310.8	399.4		3.9		526.9	24.8	8.3	229.2
1971	308.9	320.0		12.4		545.1	24.9	8.3	242.4
1972	335.1	406.4		19.9		564.2	25.0	8.3	255.3
1973	480.8	627.6		20.3		653.9	27.2	9.1	253.3
1974	399.7	733.1		17.4		711.7	28.8	9.6	249.6
1975	364.0	481.9		54.2		752.7	29.1	9.7	253.2
1976	334.2	533.2		32.8		811.3	30.6	10.2	267.1
1977	340.9	483.5		12.6		857.1	31.2	10.4	298.8
1978	385.0	575.8		12.5		854.8	32.3	10.8	275.0
1979	385.6	495.9		5.0		942.6	34.1	11.3	299.7
1980	414.0	522.8		3.9		932.9	34.4	11.5	339.1
1981	356.2	460.1		10.8		919.9	34.0	11.3	280.1
1982	349.7	442.6		10.7		1,012.8	35.0	11.6	252.3

APPENDIX TABLE 10. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 10, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Ĺ

(c

ŧ

E

¢

(

6

E

é

É

(1) (1) (1) (15) Ag Proc Retail Ag Proc Retail Atrade Misc Mfg Trade At 155.0 909.3 117.6 At 159.5 934.0 120.2 At 159.5 100.6 124.2 At 182.8 1,100.6 124.2 At 189.3 1,262.1 124.3 At 180.7 1,281.5 141.0 At 180.7 1,281.5 144.4 At 180.7 1,283.4 131.4 At 180.7 1,281.5 144.4 At 182.5 1,436.5 146.1 At 180.7 1,283.4 131.4 At 180.7 1,281.5 146.1 At <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Sector</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							Sector			
Ag, Ivstk Ag, Crops Metal Ag Proc Retail 510.6 129.3 10.3 17.0 144.8 853.1 111.8 520.7 1772.6 11.8 17.4 1550.6 885.7 115.3 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.0 999.3 117.6 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.1 934.0 120.2 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 165.1 165.5 934.0 120.2 500.6 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 1,100.6 124.2 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.0 1,635.7 122.6 517.7 210.0 6.7 23.4 180.7 1,244.3 124.3 530.1 27.4 0.4 37.8 180.7 1,262.1 129.1 545.6 287.9 1.2 1.2010.6 1.44.5 163.6 144.0 541.4 230.6 2.4 2		(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(15)	(1)	(20)
F10.6 129.3 10.3 17.0 144.8 853.1 111.8 520.7 172.6 11.8 17.4 150.6 885.7 115.3 503.1 172.6 11.8 17.4 150.6 885.7 115.3 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.0 990.3 117.6 500.5 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 1.00.3 122.2 500.6 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 1.100.6 124.3 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1.528.4 131.4 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1.224.3 124.3 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 180.7 1.234.5 124.4 514.7 280.1 - 37.8 180.7 1.224.3 131.4 514.7 280.1 - 23.4 180.7 1.224.3 124.6 514.7 210.0 5.7 231.4 1.31.4 124.6 124.6 514.7 280.1	neoV	Ag, 1 vc+b	Ag.	Metal Mining	Conctr	ויוש	Ag Pro Wier	Retail Trado	Bus & Dare Carvica	Нлисарој де
510.6 129.3 10.3 17.0 144.8 853.1 520.7 172.6 11.8 17.4 155.0 909.3 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.0 909.3 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.0 909.3 475.0 183.7 11.1 16.3 155.0 909.3 481.2 152.6 9.0 21.2 172.1 100.6 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,003.4$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 191.0 $1,163.5$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 191.0 $1,163.5$ 540.5 218.5 122.2 25.5 191.0 $1,163.5$ 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.0 $1,163.5$ 514.7 210.0 6.7 22.4 23.4 1202.4 514.7 220.1 199.2 $1,203.4$ 1262.4 514.7 230.6 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,203.4$ 514.4 230.6 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,203.4$ 514.4 230.6 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,203.4$ 514.4 230.6 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,203.4$ 514.4 230.6 0.4 231.4 226.2 144.9 514.6 287.9 0.4 380.7 $1,221.5$ 514.6 287.9 180.7 1292.9 14499.2 <tr< th=""><th>IPAL</th><th>LV3LK</th><th>sdnun</th><th>60101M</th><th>nnin</th><th>ruinei</th><th>1120</th><th></th><th>Leis Seivice</th><th>SUIUIASUU</th></tr<>	IPAL	LV3LK	sdnun	60101M	nnin	ruinei	1120		Leis Seivice	SUIUIASUU
520.7 172.6 11.8 17.4 150.6 885.7 503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.0 909.3 475.0 183.7 11.1 16.3 159.5 934.0 481.2 152.6 9.0 21.2 172.1 $1,023.4$ 500.6 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,100.6$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,100.6$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 24.0 191.0 $1,163.5$ 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 $1,223.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 185.7 $1,201.6$ 545.6 200.1 $$ 30.3 180.7 $1,201.6$ 545.6 287.9 $$ 30.3 180.7 $1,201.6$ 514.7 280.1 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,314.5$ 514.7 280.1 $$ 23.3 192.9 $1,314.5$ 514.7 280.7 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,499.2$ 514.7 280.7 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,436.2$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,436.2$ 514.4 512.8 $$ 213.7 $1,499.2$ 514.6 287.9 $$ 213.7 $1,499.2$ 514.6 321.5 $$ 213.7 $1,64.5$ 514.6 321.5 $$ 213.6 $1,64.5$ 514.6 321.5	1960	510.6	129.3	10.3	17.0	144 .8	853.1	111.8	37.2	155.9
503.1 174.6 7.8 15.1 155.0 909.3 475.0 183.7 11.1 16.3 159.5 934.0 481.2 152.6 9.0 21.2 172.1 $1,023.4$ 500.6 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,100.6$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,100.6$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 191.0 $1,163.5$ 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 $1,228.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 $1,228.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,281.5$ 514.7 280.1 $$ 30.3 180.7 $1,281.5$ 514.7 280.1 $$ 30.3 180.7 $1,281.5$ 514.7 280.1 $$ 23.4 230.6 $1,281.5$ 514.7 280.1 $$ 23.8 180.7 $1,281.5$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 30.3 180.7 $1,281.5$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,314.5$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,436.2$ 603.8 378.1 $$ 24.0 164.5 $1,683.4$ 602.1 378.5 $$ 24.0 164.5 $1,627.5$ 603.8 378.5 $$ 24.0 195.0 $1,683.4$ 612.9 340.8 $$ 24.0 199.2 $14.99.2$	1961	520.7	172.6	11.8	17.4	150.6	885.7	115.3	38.4	161.4
475.0 183.7 11.1 16.3 159.5 934.0 481.2 152.6 9.0 21.2 172.1 $1,023.4$ 500.6 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,100.6$ 540.5 218.5 12.2 25.5 182.8 $1,100.6$ 540.5 218.5 12.0 24.0 191.0 $1,163.5$ 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 $1,228.4$ 550.8 196.6 2.4 23.4 199.3 $1,262.1$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 $1,228.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 $1,228.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.7 $1,228.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,228.4$ 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 180.7 $1,228.4$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 54.9 190.7 $1,228.4$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 24.9 180.7 $1,230.4$ 514.4 230.6 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,436.2$ 545.6 287.9 $$ 23.8 192.9 $1,436.2$ 514.4 230.7 $$ 24.0 164.5 $1,436.2$ 502.1 378.1 $$ 24.0 164.5 $1,627.5$ 602.1 370.7 $$ 24.0 164.5 $1,627.5$ 602.2 347.6 $$ 234.5 <	1962	503.1	174.6	7.8	15.1	155.0	909.3	117.6	39.2	184.9
481.2 152.6 9.0 21.2 172.1 1,023.4 540.5 218.5 12.0 24.0 191.0 1,163.5 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1,228.4 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1,228.4 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.1 - 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 - 30.3 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 - 23.3 192.9 1,314.5 514.4 230.6 - 23.3 192.9 1,436.2 514.4 230.4 180.7 1,294.1 1,436.2 503.1 451.3 - 24.0 164.5 1,650.5 602.1 373	1963	475.0	183.7	11.1	16.3	159.5	934.0	120.2	40.1	182.2
500.6 208.6 12.2 25.5 182.8 1,100.6 540.5 218.5 12.0 6.7 22.2 191.0 1,163.5 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.4 191.0 1,163.5 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1,228.4 520.8 196.6 2.4 23.4 189.3 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.7 1,228.4 514.4 230.6 2.4 37.8 180.7 1,204.9 514.4 230.6 30.3 180.7 1,204.9 545.6 287.9 5.7 204.2 1,499.2 631.4 512.8 24.0 164.5 1,650.5 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,650.5 602.1 321.5 24.0 164.5 1,650.5 603.8 371.6 24.0 164.5 1,650.5	1964	481.2	152.6	0°6	21.2	172.1	1,023.4	122.6	41.0	186.7
540.5 218.5 12.0 24.0 191.0 1,163.5 517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 1,262.1 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 1,262.1 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 189.3 1,262.1 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,204.9 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,204.9 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,204.9 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,204.9 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,204.9 545.6 287.9 23.8 192.9 1,436.2 550.7 437.3 24.0 164.5 1,436.2 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,659.5 603.8 371.5 24.0 164.5 1,659.5 720.3 </td <td>1965</td> <td>500.6</td> <td>208.6</td> <td>12.2</td> <td>25.5</td> <td>182.8</td> <td>1,100.6</td> <td>124.2</td> <td>41.5</td> <td>202.1</td>	1965	500.6	208.6	12.2	25.5	182.8	1,100.6	124.2	41.5	202.1
517.7 210.0 6.7 22.2 191.6 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 185.7 1,228.4 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 185.7 1,228.4 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 30.3 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 30.3 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 23.8 192.9 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 23.8 192.9 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 23.4 180.7 1,304.9 602.1 350.7 23.4 192.9 1,436.2 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,652.3 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,659.5 603.8 378.5 24.0 164.5 1,659.5 720.3	1966	540.5	218.5	12.0	24.0	0.191	1,163.5	124.3	41.3	253.9
520.8 196.6 2.4 23.4 189.3 1,262.1 536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 185.7 1,281.5 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 50.3 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 30.3 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 23.8 192.9 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 23.8 192.9 1,436.2 631.4 512.8 24.0 164.5 1,436.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,659.2 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,659.5 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 24.0 1,650.5 1,659.5 720.3 <td>1967</td> <td>517.7</td> <td>210.0</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>22.2</td> <td>191.6</td> <td>1,228.4</td> <td>131.4</td> <td>43.7</td> <td>285.6</td>	1967	517.7	210.0	6.7	22.2	191.6	1,228.4	131.4	43.7	285.6
536.1 227.4 0.4 37.8 185.7 1,281.5 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.1 50.3 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 30.3 180.7 1,204.9 545.6 287.9 30.3 180.7 1,304.9 545.6 287.9 20.3 180.7 1,304.9 550.7 437.3 23.8 192.9 1,436.2 631.4 512.8 8.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,627.5 608.6 321.5 19.1 195.0 1,629.5 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,831.4 641.9	1968	520.8	196.6	2.4	23.4	189.3	1,262.1	129.1	43 . 0	306 .8
514.7 280.1 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.7 280.6 54.9 180.7 1,294.1 514.4 230.6 50.3 180.7 1,304.9 545.6 287.9 30.3 180.7 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 23.8 192.9 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 23.8 192.9 1,499.2 631.4 512.8 24.0 164.5 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,683.4 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,683.4 603.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,683.4 672.3 348.5 13.8 207.0 1,825.9 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,835.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,831.4 651.5	1969	536.1	227.4	0.4	37 .8	185.7	1,281.5	141 . 0	47 . 0	313.6
514.4 230.6 30.3 180.7 1,304.9 545.6 287.9 30.3 180.7 1,304.9 750.7 437.3 5.7 204.2 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 23.8 192.9 1,314.5 631.4 512.8 23.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,689.2 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,683.4 603.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 96.4 252.0 1,885.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.4 203.7 651.5 41.9 318.6 21.9 1,831.4	1970	514.7	280.1	ł	54.9	180.7	1,294.1	144 . 4	48 . 0	292.0
545.6 287.9 23.8 192.9 1,314.5 750.7 437.3 5.7 204.2 1,436.2 631.4 512.8 5.7 204.2 1,436.2 631.4 512.8 5.7 204.2 1,436.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,662.3 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,683.4 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,683.4 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,683.4 603.8 378.1 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,683.4 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1,831.4	1971	514.4	230.6	1	30.3	180.7	1,304.9	145.6	48 . 6	319.7
750.7 437.3 5.7 204.2 1,436.2 631.4 512.8 8.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 8.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 8.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,562.3 603.8 378.1 19.1 195.0 1,663.4 608.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,663.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,831.4 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.4 0.33.7	1972	545.6	287.9	ł	23.8	192.9	1,314.5	146.1	48.8	328.4
631.4 512.8 8.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 8.2 213.7 1,499.2 602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,562.3 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,662.5 608.6 321.5 19.1 195.0 1,627.5 608.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.4 27.033.7	1973	750.7	437.3	L I	5.7	204.2	1,436.2	158.7	52.8	372.8
602.1 350.7 24.0 164.5 1,562.3 603.8 378.1 24.0 164.5 1,562.3 603.6 378.1 19.1 195.0 1,627.5 608.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.4 203.7	1974	631.4	512.8	1	8.2	213.7	I,499.2	168.6	56.2	380.3
603.8 378.1 19.1 195.0 1,627.5 608.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,683.4 720.3 348.5 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1,831.4 641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1975	602.1	350.7	1	24.0	164 . 5	1,562.3	170.5	56.8	403.7
608.6 321.5 13.8 200.5 1,683.4 672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1,831.4 641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1976	603.8	378.1	1	19.1	195.0	1,627.5	179.2	59.7	434.9
672.2 347.6 9.2 234.5 1,659.5 720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1,831.4 641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1977	608.6	321.5	1	13.8	200.5	1,683.4	182.5	609	469.0
720.3 348.5 96.4 252.0 1,825.9 744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1,831.4 641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1978	672.2	347 •6	1	9.2	234.5	1,659.5	188.6	62.9	434.8
744.4 340.8 18.1 207.0 1,846.6 651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1,831.4 641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1979	720.3	348.5	1	96.4	252.0	I,825.9	199.3	66.4	465.5
651.5 413.0 22.0 183.6 1.831.4 641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1980	744.4	340.8	t I	18.1	207.0	1,846.6	201.3	67.1	477.9
641.9 318.6 21.9 168.1 2.033.7	1981	651.5	413.0	1	22.0	183.6	1,831.4	199.0	66.3	432.8
	1982	641.9	318.6	1 1	21.9	168.1	2,033.7	204.7	68.2	389 . 8

APPENDIX TABLE 11. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982, MILLION

- 126 -

Ś

. متنا

1

Ś

Ċ

فن

١

نغها

				Sector					
	(1) Ag,	(2) Ag,	(3) Metal	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc	(15) Retail	(17) Bus &	(20)
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Constr	Lumber	& Misc Mfg	Trade	Pers Service	Households
1960	2,684.3	1,107.4	1,215.5	167.5	1,206.5	11,007.2	832.7	277.7	2,532.0
1961	2,735.5	1,221.7	1,041.4	169.1	1,255.2	11,573.7	858.6	286.4	2,619.4
1962	2,673.4	1,210.5	969.6	147.5	1,291.4	11,984.2	876.9	292.4	3,001.8
1963	2,518.5	1,356.1	1,009.9	158.8	1,329.1	12,405.3	895.6	298.5	2,960.2
1964	2,558.5	1,422.5	1,095.3	206.5	1,433.7	13,293.0	914.9	305.2	3,032.7
1965	2,700.1	1,471.3	1,094.9	250.3	1,522.8	14,036.7	925.4	308.2	3,281.5
1966	2,910.1	1,529.5	1,153.6	234.7	1,592.5	14,609.4	926.3	308.8	4,121.3
1967	2,754.4	1,590.2	1,051.1	217.8	1,597.1	15,213.3	980.5	326.8	4,637.7
1968	2,731.0	1,512.5	1,093.3	232.3	1,578.4	15,719.4	963.7	321.0	5,775.5
1969	2,753.4	1,656.0	1,165.3	262.3	1,546.9	16,036.0	1,051.1	350.4	6,198.6
1970	2,660.1	1,854.2	1,108.0	259.6	1,505.0	16,258.4	1,077.5	359.1	5,451.3
1971	2,644.9	1,698.6	1,011.8	201.6	1,505.5	16,449.7	1,086.3	362.0	5,335.2
1972	2,770.9	1,945.4	1,067.0	172.9	1,606.9	16,643.3	1,089.2	363.5	5,487.4
1973	3,795.3	3,324.8	1,312.2	237.4	1,701.6	18,354.1	1,183.0	394.6	5,906.9
1974	3,177.9	3,875.2	1,465.1	220.5	1,780.0	19,251.8	1,257.2	419.0	5,672.2
1975	3,053.2	2,535.9	1,434.0	387.6	1,371.5	19,993.8	1,271.5	423.9	6,133.2
1976	3,062.6	2,387.4	1,527.2	295.4	1,625.0	21,095.7	1,336.5	445.4	6,639.5
1977	2,967.7	2,691.4	992.3	329.5	1,670.2	21,900.1	1,360.8	453.5	7,018.3
1978	3,263.5	3,028.6	1,922.8	248.6	1,954.1	21,616.4	1,406.6	469.0	6,829.2
1979	3,468.8	3,998.5	2,141.3	384.0	2,099.8	23,846.6	1,486.9	495.4	6,926.7
1980	3,563.9	3,058.0	1,686.8	243.4	1,725.3	23,942.3	1,501.1	500.5	7,388.8
1981	3,075.8	3,266.5	1,870.8	235.4	1,529.8	23,738.5	1,484.5	494.7	6,599.9
1982	3,031.3	2,837.8	1,898.0	233.8	1,400.4	26,351.3	1,527.0	509.0	5,944.5

APPENDIX TABLE 12. SALES FOR FINAL DEMAND, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA, 1960-1982, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

ŧ

ŧ

٤

ŧ

٤

ŧ

ŧ

Ę

Ľ

Ś

ŧ

APPENDIX TABLE 13. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 2, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

w

8

Sector	Equation	
 Ag, Livestock Ag, Crops Metal Mining Coal Mining Coal Mining Pet/NG Exp/Ext Nonmetallic Mining Construction 	$Y = -700,827.7 + 414.653(X)$ $Y = -1,419,141 + 733.119(X)$ $Y = 7,284,823 - 3,598.75(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -346,713.1 + 180.185(X)$	
 (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Pub Util (14) Electric Generation 	Y = -9,595,156 + 5,000.28(X) Y = -25,134,050 + 12,828.4(X) Y = 0b Y = 0b	
 (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households 	Y = -8,049,589 + 4,155.37(X) $Y = 0^{D}$ Y = -2,686,972 + 1,387.03(X) $Y = 0^{D}$ $Y = 0^{D}$ $Y = 0^{D}$	

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand. APPENDIX TABLE 14. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 3, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation		
(1) Ag, Livestock (2) Ag, Crops	Y = -626,298.7 + 348.931(X) Y = -182,799.4 + 95.5575(X)		
(3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining	Y = -88,629,150 + 45,579(X) $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$		
(7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining	Y = 26,395.09 - 3.58157(X) Y = -5,278,138 + 2,750.55(X) Y = -2,498,256 + 1,758.72(X) Y = 0 ^D		
(11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Pub Util (14) Electric Generation	$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda &=& 0p\\ \lambda &=& 0p\\ \lambda &=& 0p\\ \lambda &=& 0p \end{array}$		
(15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Rus & Pors Sorvice	Y = -5,506,576 + 2,842.61(X) $Y = 0^{D}$ Y = 1.824.981 + 047.206(X)		
 17) Bus & Pers Service 18) Prof & Soc Service 19) Government 20) Households 	Y = -1,834,881 + 947.206(X) $Y = 0^{D}$ $Y = 0^{D}$ Y = -34,849,200 + 17,824(X)		

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

Sector	Equation	
 Ag, Livestock Ag, Crops Metal Mining Coal Mining Pet/NG Exp/Ext 	Y = 607,429.2 - 267.607(X) Y = -15,313,530 + 7,837.37(X) $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$	
 (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining 	Y = 0b Y = -19,378.18 + 15.4571(X) Y = 0b Y = -21,106,620 + 10,805.6(X) Y = 0b	
 (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Pub Util (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade 	$Y = 0^{D}$ $Y = -1,748,608 + 902.646(X)$	
 (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households 	$Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -582,935.8 + 300.91(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -10,314,520 + 5,276.62(X)$	

APPENDIX TABLE 15. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 4, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

.

Ŵ

5

6.5

5

1

منتا

منط

1

منفا

Sector	Equation		
 (1) Ag, Livestock (2) Ag, Crops (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation 	$Y = -2,489,111 + 1,358.36(X)$ $Y = -14,285,860 + 7,321.92(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 55,737.7 - 26.2011(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -10,573,260 + 5,412.13(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$		

 $\lambda = 0p$

Y = 0⁶

 $Y = 0^{b}$

A = 0p

Y = -2,591,027 + 1,337.52(X)

Y = -866,049.1 + 447.038(X)

Y = -10,335,520 + 5,287.14(X)

APPENDIX TABLE 16. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 5, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

<u>ч</u>ш

-34

فننت

-13

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

(14) Electric Generation

(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service

(18) Prof & Soc Service

(15) Retail Trade

(19) Government

(20) Households

Sector	Equation		
(1) Ag, Livestock	Y = -16,631,770 + 8,633.88(X)		
(2) Ag, Crops	Y = -4,526,053 + 2,336.12(X)		
(3) Metal Mining	$Y = 0^{b}$		
(4) Coal Mining	A = Op		
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	$\lambda = 0p$		
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	A = 0p		
(7) Construction	Y = -446,521.6 + 231.527(X)		
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	Y = -3,360,863 + 1,751.37(X)		
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Y = -58,993,120 + 30,158,3(X)		
(10) Pet Refining	$Y = 0^{b}$		
(11) Metal Processing	$\lambda = 0p$		
(12) Transportation	A = 0p		
(13) Comm & Pub Util	$\lambda = 0p$		
(14) Electric Generation	λ ≃ 0p		
(15) Retail Trade	Y = -2,497,261 + 1,289.06(X)		
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	$Y = 0^{b}$		
(17) Bus & Pers Service	Y = -830,734.1 + 428.841(X)		
(18) Prof & Soc Service	$\lambda = 0p$		
(19) Government	$\lambda = 0p$		
(20) Households	Y = -21,939,980 + 11,217.9(X)		

6

لغ

٧u.

12

56

APPENDIX TABLE 17. REGRESSION EOUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 6, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

APPENDIX TABLE 18.	REGRESSION EQUATION	Sa FOR PROJECTIM	IG FINAL DEMAND VECTORS
FOR THE 20-SECTOR	INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL,	MINNESOTA REGIO	N 7, THOUSAND DOLLARS
(1980 = BASE DOLL)	ARS)		

Sector	Equation	
1) Ag, Livestock	Y = -1,474,813 + 870.611(X)	
2) Ag, Crops	Y = -28,107,950 + 14,415.6(X)	
3) Metal Mining	$Y = 0^{b}$	
4) Coal Mining	$Y = O^{D}$	
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	$\lambda = 0p$	
6) Nonmetallic Mining	A = Up	
7) Construction	Y = -457, 458.6 + 235, 685(X)	
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	$Y = 0^{D}$	
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	$\dot{Y} = -15,324,550 + 7,850.67(X)$	
10) Pet Refining	$\lambda = 0p$	
11) Metal Processing	$\lambda = 0p$	
12) Transportation	A = 0p	
13) Comm & Pub Util	$A = 0_p$	
14) Electric Generation	$Y = 0^{b}$	
15) Retail Trade	Y = -1,153,987 + 595.686(X)	
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	$\gamma = 0^{6}$	
17) Bus & Pers Service	Y = -385,465.1 + 198.956(X)	
18) Prof & Soc Service	$Y = 0^{b}$	
19) Government	$\dot{A} = 0^{p}$	
20) Households	Y = -13,169,520 + 6,736.56(X)	

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

.

لات

. کان

لان

- 22

لات

لانت

الان ا

الات

.

Sector	Equation
 Ag, Livestock Ag, Crops Metal Mining Coal Mining Pet/NG Exp/Ext Nonmetallic Mining Pet/NG Exp/Ext Nonmetallic Mining Construction Lumber & Assoc Prod Ag Proc & Misc Mfg Pet Refining Metal Processing Transportation Comm & Pub Util Electric Generation Retail Trade 	Y = -4,331,335 + 2,412.47(X) $Y = -13,636,000 + 7,006.9(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -5,003,133 + 2,591.89(X)$ $Y = -21,578,590 + 11,245.3(X)$ $Y = -878,697,000 + 453,019(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -32,477,200 + 5,588.35(X)$
<pre>(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households</pre>	

APPENDIX TABLE 19. REGRESSION EOUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 8, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

.

Ψ

120

÷

14

رلغا

v

÷

5

1

ŝ

APPENDIX TABLE 20. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 9, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation	
(1) Ag, Livestock (2) Ag, Crops (3) Metal Mining	Y = -14,233,090 + 7,410.11(X) Y = -35,517,200 + 18,215.6(X) $Y = 0^{10}$	
(4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining	$\begin{array}{rcl} A &= & Op\\ A &= & Op\\ A &= & Op \end{array}$	
7) Construction 8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	Y = -49,942.88 + 29.6868(X) $Y = 0^{b}$	
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg 10) Pet Refining 11) Metal Processing	Y = -15,620,330 + 7,988.17(X) $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$	
 Transportation Comm & Pub Util Electric Generation 	$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda &=& 0p\\ \lambda &=& 0p\\ \lambda &=& 0p\end{array}$	
15) Retail Trade 16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	Y = -1,282,121 + 661.899(X) $Y = 0^{D}$	
17) Bus & Pers Service18) Prof & Soc Service19) Government	Y = -425,610.8 + 219.743(X) $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$	
20) Households	Y = -15,574,790 + 7,965.23(X)	

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

-

Sector	Equation		
 (1) Ag, Livestock (2) Ag, Crops (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Pub Util (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service 	Y = -4,307,466 + 2,362.83(X) $Y = -29,258,620 + 15,009.5(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 44,652.41 - 18.2664(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -70,305,710 + 35,972.2(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -1,522,071 + 785.734(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -494,595.6 + 255.458(X)$		
<pre>(18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households</pre>	$Y = 0^{D}$ $Y = 0^{D}$ Y = -20,943,420 + 10,718.8(X)		

.

APPENDIX TABLE 21. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 10, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand.

-

Ś

w

مف

مغكا

÷.

تتكأ

80

نیا

¥

ڪ

APPENDIX TABLE 22. REGRESSION EOUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 11, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation	
 Ag, Livestock Ag, Crops Metal Mining Coal Mining Pet/NG Exp/Ext Nonmetallic Mining Construction Lumber & Assoc Prod Ag Proc & Misc Mfg Pet Refining Metal Processing Transportation Comm & Pub Util 	Y = -16,133,150 + 8,486.84(X) $Y = -21,828,340 + 11,199.3(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 129,854.6 - 55.1176(X)$ $Y = -5,749,575 + 2,996.37(X)$ $Y = -95,744,530 + 49,292.5(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$	
 (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households 	Y = 0b $Y = -8,903,303 + 4,596.15(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -2,964,323 + 1,530.3(X)$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = 0b$ $Y = -33,116,300 + 16,935.8(X)$	

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand. APPENDIX TABLE 23. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR THE 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation			
 (1) Ag, Livestock (2) Ag, Crops (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Pub Util (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service 	$Y = -57,709,700 + 30,758.9(X)$ $Y = -187,571,800 + 96,227.1(X)$ $Y = -82,158,220 + 42,391.1(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -8,971,384 + 4,648.62(X)$ $Y = -47,947,410 + 24,987(X)$ $Y = -1,216,310,000 + 626,445(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -66,467,860 + 34,312(X)$ $Y = 0^{b}$ $Y = -22,149,140 + 11,433.9(X)$			
<pre>(19) Government (20) Households</pre>	$\dot{Y} = 0^{b}$ Y = -487,549,400 + 249,467(X)			

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bAn economic sector having no sales for final demand. Ś

6

Ē

APPENDIX TABLE 24. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 2, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) Ag, Livestock	121.0	121.8	123.9	126.0	128.1	130.1
(2) Ag, Crops	33.9	35.4	39.0	42.7	46.4	50.0
(3) Metal Mining	152.1	144.9	126.9	108.9	90.9	72.9
(7) Construction	10.4	10.8	11.7	12.6	13.5	14.4
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	315.4	325.4	350.4	375.4	400.4	425.4
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	291.8	317.5	381.6	445.8	509.9	574.1
15) Retail Trade	186.4	194.7	215.4	236.2	257.0	277.8
17) Bus & Pers Service	62.1	64.9	71.8	78.8	85.7	92.6
20) Households	321.7	346.3	407.8	469.3	530.8	592.4

APPENDIX TABLE 25. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 3, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

.

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) Ag, Livestock	65.3	66.0	67.7	69.5	71.2	73.0
2) Ag, Crops	6.6	6.8	7.3	7.7	8.2	8.7
3) Metal Mining	1,708.4	1,799.6	2,027.5	2,255.4	2,483.3	2.711.2
7) Construction	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.2	19.2
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	173.5	179.0	192.7	206.5	220.2	234.0
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	987.5	991.0	999.8	1,008.6	1,017.4	1,026.2
15) Retail Trade	127.5	133.2	147.4	161.6	175.8	190.0
17) Bus & Pers Service	42.5	44.4	49.1	53.8	58.6	63.3
20) Households	478.0	513.6	602.7	691.9	781.0	870.1

•

÷

1

٤

. دینا

ڪ

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) Ag, Livestock	77.0	76.5	75.2	73.8	72.5	71.1
(2) Ag, Crops	220.1	235.8	275.0	314.2	353.4	392.6
<pre>(3) Metal Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod</pre>	11.3	11.3	11.4	11.4	11.5	11.6
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	310.1	331.7	385.7	439.7	493.8	547.8
(15) Retail Trade	40.4	42.2	46.8	51.3	55.8	60.3
(17) Bus & Pers Service	13.5	14.1	15.6	17.1	18.6	20.1
(20) Households	143.7	154.3	180.7	207.1	233.4	259.8

APPENDIX TABLE 26. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 4, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

فنفت

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) Ag, Livestock	203.2	205.9	212.7	219.5	226.3	233.0
(2) Ag, Crops	226.2	240.8	277.4	314.0	350.7	387.3
(3) Metal Mining						
(7) Construction	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.2
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod						
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	153.6	164.4	191.5	218.5	245.6	272.6
(15) Retail Trade	59.9	62.6	69.3	76.0	82.7	89.4
(17) Bus & Pers Service	20.0	20.9	23.1	25.3	27.6	29.8
(20) Households	143.6	154.2	180.6	207.0	233 5	259.9

APPENDIX TABLE 27. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 5, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Ŵ

کا

APPENDIX TABLE 28. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 6, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) Ag, Livestock	480.6	497.8	541.0	584.2	627.4	670.5
2) Ag, Crops	104.1	108.8	120.5	132.2	143.9	155.5
3) Metal Mining						
7) Construction	12.4	12.8	14.0	15.1	16.3	17.5
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	110.4	113.9	122.6	131.4	140.1	148.9
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	780.6	840.9	991.7	1,142.5	1,293.3	1.444.1
15) Retail Trade	57.7	60.2	66.7	73.1	79.6	86.0
17) Bus & Pers Service	19.2	20.1	22.2	24.4	26.5	28.7
20) Households	293.9	316.3	372.4	428.5	484.6	540.7

•

.

- 143 -

APPENDIX TABLE 29.	PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS	FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS,
MINNESOTA REGION DOLLARS)	7, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005,	MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE

1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
250.7 463.8	252.5 492.6	256.8 564.7	261.2 636.8	265.5	269.9 780.9
9.7	10.1	11.3	12.5	13.7	14.9
235.5	251.2	290.4	329.7	368.9	408.2
8.9	9.3	10.3	11.3	12.2	39.8 13.2 330.5
	250.7 463.8 9.7 235.5 26.7 8.9	250.7 252.5 463.8 492.6 9.7 10.1 235.5 251.2 26.7 27.9 8.9 9.3	250.7 252.5 256.8 463.8 492.6 564.7 9.7 10.1 11.3 235.5 251.2 290.4 26.7 27.9 30.8	250.7 252.5 256.8 261.2 463.8 492.6 564.7 636.8 9.7 10.1 11.3 12.5 235.5 251.2 290.4 329.7 26.7 27.9 30.8 33.8 8.9 9.3 10.3 11.3	250.7 252.5 256.8 261.2 265.5 463.8 492.6 564.7 636.8 708.8 9.7 10.1 11.3 12.5 13.7 235.5 251.2 290.4 329.7 368.9 26.7 27.9 30.8 33.8 36.8 8.9 9.3 10.3 11.3 12.2

.

5

Ł

5

1

5

Ś

Ŵ

-

.

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) Ag, Livestock	450.2	455.0	467.1	479.1	491.2	503.3
2) Ag, Crops	251.7	265.7	300.7	335.8	370.8	405.8
3) Metal Mining	** **					
7) Construction	134.0	139.2	152.1	165.1	178.1	191.0
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	709.6	732.1	788.3	844.5	900.8	957.0
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	19,186.7	20,092.7	22,357.8	24,622.9	26,888.0	29,153.1
15) Retail Trade	751.8	785.4	869.2	953.0	1,036.8	1,120.7
17) Bus & Pers Service	250.6	261.8	289.7	317.7	345.6	373.5
20) Households	4,236.5	4,536.3	5,285.9	6,035.4	6,785.0	7,534.5

•

APPENDIX TABLE 30. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

فلك

فلات ا

.

APPENDIX TABLE 31. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 9, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) Ag, Livestock	453.7	468.6	505.6	542.7	579.7	616.8
2) Ag, Crops	586.1	622.6	713.6	804.7	895.8	986.9
(3) Metal Mining						
(7) Construction	8.9	9.0	9.1	9.3	9.4	9.5
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	*** ***					
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	212.2	228.2	268.1	308.1	348.0	388.0
15) Retail Trade	29.8	31.1	34.4	37.7	41.0	44.3
17) Bus & Pers Service	9.9	10.4	11.5	12.6	13.7	14.8
20) Households	212.3	228.2	268.1	307.9	347.7	387.5

.

2

ھ

APPENDIX TABLE 32. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 10, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) Ag, Livestock	375.7	380.4	392.2	404.0	415.8	427.6
<pre>(2) Ag, Crops (3) Metal Mining</pre>	490.2	520.2	595.3	670.3	745.4	820.4
(7) Construction	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.1
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod						
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	991.2	1,063.1	1,243.0	1,422.9	1,602.7	1,782.6
(15) Retail Trade	35.3	36.8	40.8	44.7	48.6	52.5
(17) Bus & Pers Service	11.7	12.2	13.5	14.8	16.1	17.3
(20) Households	301.2	322.7	376.3	429.9	483.5	537.1

وك.

APPENDIX TABLE 33. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA REGION 11, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) Ag, Livestock	687.8	704.7	747.2	789.6	832.0	874.5
2) Ag, Crops	368.7	391.1	447.1	503.1	559.1	615.1
3) Metal Mining						
7) Construction	20.6	20.5	20.2	20.0	19.7	19.4
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	189.2	195.2	210.2	225.2	240.2	255.2
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	1,953.2	2,051.8	2,298.3	2.544.7	2,791.2	3,037.6
15) Rétail Trade	206.3	215.5	238.4	261.4	284.4	307.4
17) Bus & Pers Service	68.7	71.8	79.4	87.1	94.7	102.4
20) Households	450.5	484.3	569.0	653.7	738.4	823.0

.

- 148 -

.

.

5

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) Ag, Livestock	3,254.4	3,316.0	3,469.8	3,623.5	3,777.3	3,931.1
(2) Ag, Crops	3,150.3	3,342.8	3,823.9	4,305.0	4,786.2	5,267.3
(3) Metal Mining	1,860.9	1,945.7	2,157.7	2,369.6	2,581.6	2,793.
(7) Construction	242.2	251.5	274.7	298.0	321.2	344.5
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	1,576.8	1,626.8	1,751.7	1,876.7	2,001.6	2,126.5
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	25,304.0	26,556.9	29,689.1	32,821.3	35,953.6	39,085.8
(15) Retail Trade	1,538.5	1,607.1	1,778.7	1,950.3	2,121.8	2,293.4
17) Bus & Pers Service	512.8	535.7	592.9	650.1	707.2	764 .4
20) Households	6,894.2	7.393.1	8,640.5	9,887.8	11.135.1	12.382.

APPENDIX TABLE 34. PROJECTED FINAL DEMAND VECTORS FOR BASIC ECONOMIC SECTORS, MINNESOTA, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005, MILLION DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(01)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
ear	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
	172.881	82,489	339.877	150	ł	4.443	61.418	255.980	21.817	1	3.030
	172,664	79,409	292,505	129	ł		61.310	264.257	120.169	P I	2.630
1962	168,190	77,801	260,772	115	t t	4 ,295	61,227	270,241	117,307	;	2,361
	159,751	76,484	249,439	110	ł	4,243	61,469	277,538	112,731	1	2,268
	166,463	83,133	252,499	111	ł	4,488	66,307	298,788	125,237	1	2,304
	170,422	84,592	229,607	101	ł	4,618	69 ,900	316,106	135,308	1	2,117
	177,599	90,320	250,006	110	1	4,988	74,845	330,874		1	2,299
	175,716	95,617	194,021	86	1	4,971	75,407	329,848		ł	1,821
	173,888	102,897	203,314	06	1	4,842	71,559	326,324		!	1,898
	177,884	109,504	202,294	6 8	I I	5,216	78,870	319,916	205,796	1	1,886
	177,702	124,906	205,014	06	1	5,041	70,975	311,714	224,206	ł	1,906
	179,274	121,693	179,515	79	1	5,160	75,465	310,933	233,705	ł	1,687
	177,687	117,868	173,395	11	1	5,146	74,433	331,259	224,742	ł	1,645
	225,987	161,542	209,547	92	1	6,177	88,610	351,631	298,323	1	1,964
	206,749	169,848	235,840	104	1	6,804	106,641	368,424	329,760	1	2,197
	205,241	201,044	199,574	88	1	6,420	92,037	284,412	430,952	ł	1,845
	221,881	209,858	237,200	105	t I	7,107	103,925	337,007	437,477	1	2,193
	227,437	223,826	166,935	74	;	6,998	99,861	343,783	465,944	ł	1,596
	237,344	208,339	234,366	103	ł	7,648	116,153	403,479	418,368	ł	2,201
	253,593	221,974	204,221	06	ł	8,015	124,844	431,877	445,140	ŀ	1,958
	265,578	292,838	143,476	63	ł	7,823	111,538		557,893	ł	1,401
	241,303	268,741	191,528	85	!	7,462	105,784		565,984		1,792
	254 848	298 275	194 361	Яĥ	1	7 555	104,408		662 724	1	1 004

APPENDIX TABLE 35. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA

- 150 -

- continued -

					Secto	r				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)	
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	31,171	72,688		484,008	94,054	97,222	53,780	64,174	672,263	2,611,444
1961	28,641	71,009		481,179	92,317	98,938	53,237	62,476	661,491	2,546,694
1962	27,023	71,013		487,407	92,991	100,606	53,989	62,496	672,002	2,529,830
1963	26,465	70,375		485,221	92,001	101,863	53,666	61,679	663,773	2,499,075
1964	27,400	73,605		505,304	96,401	106,702	56,343	64,440	693,171	2,622,689
1965	26,687	75,219		518,066	99,024	110,390	58,228	65,788	714,093	2,680,258
1966	28,699	81,907		559,079	108,690	115,575	63,868	72,178	793,429	2,901,382
1967	25,737	81,862		574,897	110,089	118,508	64,735	72,351	810,086	2,900,370
1968	26,057	80,104		560,885	107,381	116,327	62,789	70,659	780,417	2,874,570
1969	26,465	85,128		606,619	115,093	121,997	66,832	75,625	846,891	3,046,099
1970	26,504	84,911		611,649	115,256	122,096	66,279	75,659	841,711	3,065,611
1971	25,196	85,406		619,795	116,561	122,932	67,209	76,227	858,098	3,078,927
1972	25,338	87,026		627,723	118,666	126,309	69,031	77,470	876,287	3,114,094
1973	29,437	101,180		731,364	139,715	140,230	79,765	91,179	1,027,421	3,684,155
1974	31,740	106,269		766,069	146,020	147,470	83,205	95,375	1,070,536	3,873,050
1975	28,113	102,326		767,670	143,810	137,629	79,425	93,528	1,057,624	3,831,735
1976	32,220	113,138		832,946	158,070	151,531	88,152	102,989	1,162,719	4,198,512
1977	28,700	113,491		851,409	160,767	154,558	89,767	103,716	1,187,046	4,225,902
1978	34,104	121,213		882,884	168,559	166,007	95,502	109,563	1,240,994	4,446,818
1979	33,569	124,815		917,247	174,055	174,755	98,751	112,580	1,275,824	4,603,296
1980	29,138	123,247		946,686	177,403	168,025	97,197	113,581	1,293,451	4,683,426
1981	30,349	117,421		897,888	167,131	158,889	91,008	107,895	1,216,341	4,485,803
1982	30,343	116,963		906,479	167,203	157,576	89,300	107,881	1,200,603	4,590,503

APPENDIX TABLE 35. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 2, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

Ć

¢

¢

É

É

£

ŧ

¢

ŧ

Ć

Ŀ

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	270,755	560,364	1,025,976	453	·	11,416	134,256	169,741	1,446,221		8,84
1961	269,337	565,438	874,454	386		11,029	131,823	169,963	1,462,132		7,55
962	265,643	566,764	829,235	366		10,956	130,464	172,547	1,465,435		7,16
963	263,236	568,967	882,501	389		11,178	133,472	178,334	1,472,626		7,62
964	270,108	575,316	978,604	432		11,816	144,264	193,394	1,486,120		8,45
965	275,029	575,367	997,417	440		12,170	152,168	204,061	1,486,845		8,62
966	287,416	574,770	1,043,769	461		12,660	157,847	213,252	1,479,703		9,02
967	286,024	572,874	989,711	437		12,602	157,230	211,887	1,473,178		8,5
968	284,161	563,863	1,033,003	456		12,924	162,910	211,362	1,449,328		8,93
969	279,526	550,460	1,117,773	493		14,123	201,820	210,854	1,414,674		9,6
970	271,634	536,162	1,050,682	464		12,754	162,134	203,879	1,376,021		9,0
971	265,651	521,891	967,271	427		12,038	146,749	200,994	1,339,480		8,3
972	262,452	509,073	1,035,836	457		12,231	150,488	214,698	1,304,166		8,9
973	302,381	556,060	1,277,568	564		13,826	163,675	233,580	1,412,604		11,0
974	298,411	567,932	1,424,557	629		14,673	179,269	247,365	1,450,435		12,29
975	302,176	590,079	1,425,577	629		16,860	250,623	201,874	1,510,636		12,2
976	316,310	608,081	1,493,689	659		16,088	207,124	232,558	1,553,665		12,8
977	311,709	617,858	957,525	422		13,833	168,879	218,969	1,585,207		8,2
978	333,532	615,907	1,944,742	858		17,348	206,450	284,782	1,569,736		16,7
979	364,373	676,080	2,222,514	981		19,211	229,560	310,476	1,722,861		19,1
980	359,401	691,222	1,768,174	780		17,345	202,912	253,181	1,760,812		15,2
981	347,635	685,901	1,928,649	851		17,620	204,589	236,980	1,749,825		16,5
982	364,245	755,169	1,956,642	863		18,079	206,735	223,481	1,941,446		16,82

APPENDIX TABLE 36. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 3, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

- continued -

¢

¢

€

¢

€

€.

€

- 152

2

€

¢

(

€

					Secto	r				
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	-
	_	Comm &	Elec	Retail	.	Bus & Pers	Prof &			
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	78,798	165,472		1,052,516	232,144	126,330	111,831	157,644	1,566,771	7,119,518
1961	70,073	158,162		1,031,650	225,064	125,192	108,283	151,131	1,518,003	6,879,668
1962	67,688	158,343		1,042,253	226,646	126,547	109,500	151,551	1,538,326	6,869,423
1963	70,992	161,835		1,056,993	230,346	128,994	111,397	154,561	1,562,134	6,995,565
1964	77,292	170,328		1,097,388	240,433	134,798	116,775	162,171	1,632,870	7,300,552
1965	78,907	174,440		1,121,198	245,967	138,072	120,069	165,943	1,677,679	7,434,376
1966	82,405	183,945		1,177,298	259,392	143,910	127,908	175,180	1,793,948	7,722,871
1967	79,486	184,331		1,193,806	261,463	146,190	129,468	175,868	1,822,350	7,705,460
1968	82,189	189,823		1,222,133	268,795	148,045	133,892	181,194	1,891,298	7,844,292
1969	87,244	194,410		1,242,204	272,486	151,292	135,717	184,718	1,915,864	7,983,293
1970	82,629	188,059		1,212,389	264,760	148,602	132,258	179,107	1,870,701	7,701,302
1971	77,349	181,804		1,183,858	257,317	146,018	128,921	173,413	1,825,429	7,436,956
1972	81,474	185,125		1,190,083	259,863	148,438	130,770	175,978	1,844,385	7,514,453
1973	97,826	213,718		1,353,726	297,877	166,360	150,322	203,154	2,125,661	8,579,921
1974	107,046	223,735		1,399,412	308,680	173,431	155,556	211,799	2,191,781	8,966,995
1975	107,233	228,818		1,447,003	317,500	171,731	158,475	217,323	2,260,024	9,218,822
1976	112,290	238,697		1,504,278	330,897	181,834	166,250	226,692	2,361,577	9,563,549
1977	80,671	210,225		1,410,413	302,669	172,704	152,163	201,755	2,174,297	8,587,570
1978	140,281	269,571		1,631,416	364,788	200,674	184,464	254,058	2,595,905	10,631,250
1979	158,728	296,629		1,776,201	399,169	217,643	201,227	279,152	2,826,310	11,720,233
1980	130,716	267,201		1,669,746	368,233	201,865	183,967	253,575	2,608,311	10,752,642
1981	139,361	271,797		1,673,706	371,138	199,881	184,731	257,527	2,621,963	10,908,724
1982	141,674	276,121		1,712,125	378,480	202,340	185,959	262,082	2,641,559	11,283,806

.

APPENDIX TABLE 36.	GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF	ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY	THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA
REGION 3, 1960-19	82, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980	= BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)	·····

E

- 153 -

APPENDIX Region		TABLE 37. GROSS BUSINESS 4, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOI	S BUSINESS HOUSAND DC	IESS VOLUMES OF DOLLARS (1980	0F ECONO 980 = BAS	ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA = BASE DOLLARS)	STIMATED	BY THE IN	₽UT-OUTPUT	MODEL, MINN	IE SOTA
						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)		(10)	(11)
ear	Ag, Lvstk	Ag, Crops	Mining	Mining	ret/Nu Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	ret Refining	Proc
960	127,348	166,524	1	t 1	;	2,219	26,449	ł	237,942	ł	1
19	ີຜ໌	167,791	ţ	L I	ł	2,251	26,977	1	244,305	t i	;
962	128,899	161,371	ł	ł	l l	2,242	26,588	ł	247,745	ł	ł
63	124,473	180,383	1	1 1	1	2,342	27,946	ł	251,981	;	ł
64	131,139	196,987	1	1	1	2,521	30,771	ł	262,063	;	ł
65	136,032	211,837	1	1	1	2,698	33,580	ľ	269,142	1	1
66	143,388	196,331	;	1	1	2,711	33,580	t i	272,267	;	1
67	140,143	209,767	1	l t	8 1	2,821	34,975	1	273,774	ł	1
68	138,928	217,569	1 1	1	1 1	3,112	44 ,546	1	294,829	ł	ļ
69	137,471	228,224	;	1	t t	3,021	38,114	1 1	312,454	ł	t t
70	135,175	217,997	;	;	1 t	2,993	39,013	t I	324,129	1	1
71	137,462	239,856	ł	1	ł	2,983	34,342	;	341,149	ŀ	1
72	139,032	235,950	1	;	1	3,021	34,726	ł	354,747	1	1
73	181,655	379,717	1	ł	ł	4,207	48,903	1	437,898	1	:
74	166,056	405,471	l I	;	ł	4,263	48,463	t I	466,035	1	1 1
75	150,486	278,562	l I	ŀ	l t	3,609	42,756		467,698	;	1 1
76	171,996	386,070	ł	t T	ł	4,487	52,696	ł	521,435	1	1 1
17	165,350	385,363	1	;	1	4,433	51,170	ł	543,109	1	1
78	<u>,</u>	426,951	ł	1	1	4,874	57,721	!	551,135	1	t 1
79	٦,	437,928	l l	t t	l t	5,139	63,226	1	602,963	ł	t t
80	Γ,	~	I I	I T	ł	5,617	77,904	;	599,737	ł	1 1
81	÷	\sim	1	1	I 1	5,172	62,724	t I	588,425	;	ł
982	ື	465,059	1	;	1	5,108	61,401	1	634,052	ł	ł

- 154 -

الان

					Secto	or				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)	-
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	4,006	27,490		236,583	46,259	24,879	20,793	28,598	312,372	1,261,46
1961	4,065	27,915		240,080	46,906	25,320	21,111	29,010	317,212	1,281,08
.962	4,085	28,271		241,493	47,199	25,777	21,469	29,283	323,116	1,287,53
963	4,240	29,442		253,932	49,654	26,908	22,335	30,629	336,075	1,340,34
.964	4,508	31,292		270,556	53,026	28,332	23,742	32,640	357,148	1,424,72
965	4,761	33,224		287,128	56,503	29,783	25,284	34,727	380,559	1,505,25
966	4,810	34,024		289,318	57,227	29,917	26,160	35,402	394,812	1,519,94
967	5,008	35,894		306,463	60,574	31,630	27,721	37, 397	419,034	1,585,19
968	5,155	36,363		310,161	61,355	31,847	27,821	37,801	419,887	1,629,37
969	5,357	38,341		327,504	64,735	33,952	29,503	39,885	446,048	1,704,61
970	5,257	37,226		317,570	62,498	33,368	28,457	38,565	429,855	1,672,09
.971	5,519	39,362		336,888	66,585	34,900	30,200	40,975	456,397	1,766,61
.972	5,615	40,288		341,989	67,831	35,513	31,021	41,839	469,382	1,800,94
.973	7,605	53,937		467,186	93,501	46,545	41,293	56,928	622,471	2,441,84
974	7,815	55,482		484,117	96,607	48,468	42,332	58,604	638,174	2,521,88
1975	6,690	47,732		402,859	80,088	41,783	36,569	49,377	553,439	2,161,64
1976	8,211	59,136		505,276	101,287	50,672	45,519	61,905	688,675	2,657,36
1977	8,205	58,963		503,608	100,862	50,715	45,274	61,635	684,971	2,663,65
.978	8,879	64,483		551,808	110,852	55,006	49,798	67,624	754,124	2,877,99
1979	9,230	65,780		562,879	112,755	56,428	50,326	68,825	760,475	2,987,09
980	9,504	67,896		579,981	116,282	57 , 855	51,995	70,958	786,148	3,063,42
1981	9,312	66,646		576,815	115,430	57,682	50,956	70,078	769,821	3,019,98
1982	9,289	65,461		566,177	112,964	57,119	49,608	68,623	748,217	3,018,91

APPENDIX TABLE 37. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 4, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

Ć

E

E

ę

(

ŧ

E

(

6

٤

¢

MINNESOTA
<pre>. VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA LLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)</pre>
THE
) ΒΥ
ESTIMATED
SECTORS OLLARS)
ECONOMIC = BASE D
980 980
PENDIX TABLE 38. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTOR: REGION 5, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)
GR(982,
APPENDIX TABLE 38. REGION 5, 1960-198
APPENDIX REGION

(11) Metal Proc	ł	l t	1	ł	;	L L	;	ŧ	;	;	;	!	1	;	1	1	1	;	1	;	;	ľ	1
(10) Pet Refining	L L	1	!	:	;	1 1	1	:	ł	!	1	ł	ł	ł	1	ł	ł	ł	1	1	1	!	1
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	212.798	213,384			•	224,759	235,115	237,834	249,112	259,723	264,170	271,491	280,931	359,399	364,989	353,974	365,849	381,654	383,001	418,506	427,113	403,199	422,819
(8) Lumber	ł	1 1	1	1	;	1	1	1	1	;	1	ł	;	1	;	1	1 1	;	1	t I	1	1	1 1
(7) Constr	32 .043	32,425	30,644	32,084	33,840	37,197	38,251	39,719	48,552	43,894	37,147	37,826	41,324	56,367	61,568	55,403	68,201	55,997	54,037	57,918	63,541	60,244	58,390
Sector (6) Nonmetallic Mining	2.890	2,929	2,770	2,856	2,963	3,215	3,327	3,426	3,684	3,591	3,374	3,447	3,561		5,375	4,657	4,924	4,904	4,818	5,204		5,182	-
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	;	1	;	1	1	ł	!	1 1	1	!	;	;	;	;	;	1	1	I I	ł	1	1 1	1	ł
(4) Coal Mining	ł	;	1	ł	!	1	1	I 1	;	ļ	1	1	ł	;	;	1	1	1 1	1	!	1	1	1
(3) Metal Mining	1	1	1	;	!	ł	1	1	1	ł	1	1 1	ł	1	;	1	! 1	8	ľ	1	3	1	ł
(2) Ag, Crops	192.142	194,787	167,378	192,617	198,112	218,361	211,268	223,035	224,310	226,579	221,485	236,039	223,673	410,646	480,642	342,664	299,587		366,584	•	442,931	•	•
(1) Ag, Lvstk	500	242,623	,503	,294	,646	,048	,926	,724	,259	,038	,687	,381	,943	,324	,878	,095	,045	,857	,687	,578	,925	6 38	,278
Year		1961																					

.

\$

\$

.

- 156 -

					Secto	r				
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	•
	_	Comm &	Elec	Retail		Bus & Pers	Prof &	_		
/ear	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	5,218	35,303		306,002	58,852	33,113	26,883	36,868	402,079	1,583,688
1961	5,296	35,901		311,465	59,817	33,980	27,346	37,478	409,056	1,606,485
1962	5,044	34,367		295,785	56,519	32,596	26,289	35,649	393,901	1,524,566
1963	5,166	35,477		309,648	59,136	34,045	27,111	36,991	406,371	1,567,343
1964	5,326	36,545		318,458	60,887	35,009	27,908	38,086	418,300	1,617,393
1965	5,724	39,394		342,593	65,941	36,953	30,158	41,169	452,188	1,742,695
1966	5,946	41,332		354,247	68,676	37,960	31,904	43,079	479,338	1,811,368
1967	6,125	43,079		370,544	71,731	39,959	33,344	44,896	501,803	1,870,219
1968	6,226	43,611		373,648	72,484	39,921	33,670	45,346	506,731	1,899,553
1969	6,325	44,485		382,523	73,776	41,615	34,350	46,188	517,221	1,933,304
1970	6,230	44,118		379,787	73,046	41,631	34,144	45,768	514,642	1,911,226
1971	6,373	45,357		391,503	75,466	42,615	35,132	47,143	529,818	1,962,590
1972	6,462	46,170		394,181	76,218	42,977	35,881	47,796	541,789	1,987,903
1973	9,149	64,671		563,739	110,924	57,948	49,990	68,365	751,822	2,838,423
1974	9,556	67,954		603,680	118,347	62,190	52,304	72,219	786,563	2,981,261
1975	8,285	58,717		510,459	99,140	54,348	45,277	61,410	682,076	2,564,502
1976	8,228	58,054		497,946	96,275	53,763	44,718	60,087	674,273	2,527,946
1977	8,893	63,802		556,353	108,253	59,028	49,369	66,851	744,799	2,769,266
1978	8,814	62,957		548,384	106,273	58,892	48,639	65,807	733,487	2,737,377
1979	9,541	68,022		593,095	115,131	63,325	52,503	71,200	791,454	2,967,518
1980	10,217	73,556		640,232	125,078	67,377	57,076	77,209	861,327	3,183,208
1981	9,344	66,647		586,012	113,415	62,716	51,295	69,864	773,085	2,907,835
1982	9,189	64,819		571,702	109,993	62,115	49,531	67,783	745,497	2,859,689

APPENDIX TABLE 38. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF REGION 5, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980		INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA
		· ·
	Soctor	

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	420,005	292,525				5,347	63,685	85,387	538,005		42
1961	429,122	304,942				5,508	65,725	89,030	547,009		44
1962	425,109	305,878				5,553	65,961	91,458	546,182		45
1963	405,864	299,870				5,443	65,658	94,088	538,378		47
1964	426,406	315,483				5,795	71,048	101,575	591,865		50
1965	448,868	336,736				6,216	77,464	107,847	641,359		53
1966	480,665	371,369				6,783	83,620	112,906	691,768		56
1967	478,581	377,226				6,928	85,010	113,007	726,052		56
1968	493,578	412,356				7,018	80,538	111,692	798,705		55
1969	504,203	427,471				7,301	83,028	109,567	856,837		54
1970	505,352	457,121				7,499	85,724	106,532	905,241		53
1971	511,040	465,599				7,726	88,920	106,532	948,079		53
1972	521,343	485,878				8,137	94,901	113,715	988,070		56
1973	672,306	631,290				10,923	145,338	120,493	1,173,259		60
1974	609,254	638,778				10,105	123,574	126,159	1,211,657		62
1975	647,150	631,739				10,430	128,957	97,123	1,296,740		48
1976	671,219	649,499				10,913	135,392	115,030	1,364,392		57
1977	677,672	688,304				11,396	142,989	118,268	1,422,855		58
1978	700,796	686,151				11,090	129,956	138,401	1,412,342		68
1979	771,252	748,931				11,959	138,519	148,619	1,551,644		73
1980	798,018	788,681				12,379	142,083	122,213	1,592,570		60
1981	723,317	781,085				11,780	136,725	108,252	1,552,362		54
1982	726,652	816,685				11,953	136,995	99,147	1,688,797		49

APPENDIX TABLE 39. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 6, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

١

€

e

€

€

- continued -

۲

€

€

¢

- 158 -

¢

€

¢

					Secto					
-	(12)	(13)	$(14)^{-}$	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	
Year	Trans	Comm & Pub Util	Elec Gen	Retail Trado	5105	Bus & Pers	Prof &	Cout	Unio che 1 de	TOTAL
	11/0115		<u> </u>	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	11,205	66,715		516,060	107,071	60,484	52,246	67,347	739,828	3,025,947
1961	11,549	68,811		532,424	110,587	62,666	53,933	69,498	763,404	3,114,251
1962	11,720	70,432		544,650	113,086	64,267	55,445	71,076	786,126	3,156,985
1963	11,550	69,100		534,400	110,765	63,724	54,407	69,564	769,407	3,092,261
1964	12,269	72,882		561,182	116,607	67,183	57,266	73,236	808,234	3,281,079
1965	13,063	77,674	-	596,293	124,346	70,718	61,059	78,063	862,259	3,502,017
1966	14,197	85,984		659,005	138,138	76,682	67,975	86,680	965,465	3,841,291
1967	14,533	88,936		681,346	142,725	79,081	70,425	89,589	1,003,699	3,957,184
196 8	15,020	91,688		705,283	147,938	80,721	72,219	92,664	1,030,071	4,139,545
1969	15,595	96,359		742,186	155,389	84,331	75,914	97,392	1,087,961	4,343,582
1970	15,881	97,940		759,136	158,616	85,631	76,702	99,178	1,100,193	4,460,793
1971	16,284	101,049		781,476	163,531	87,534	79,212	102,295	1,138,894	4,598,216
1972	17,118	107,061		824,957	173,239	92,202	84,307	108,347	1,213,789	4,833,114
1973	20,978	131,948		1,024,287	215,301	110,033	103,365	134,380	1,495,438	5,989,389
1974	20,533	128,231		999,780	209,515	109,672	100,155	130,389	1,444,007	5,861,865
1975	20,451	129,912		1,013,437	212,112	107,110	100,957	132,444	1,470,644	5,999,250
1976	21,687	136,933		1,062,066	222,715	113,930	106,711	139,148	1,549,085	6,298,772
1977	22,527	142,722		1,108,709	232,640	118,539	111,236	145,140	1,616,205	6,559,253
1978	22,961	143,279		1,109,757	232,947	121,631	111,876	145,410	1,614,379	6,581,034
1979	24,828	153,805		1,191,915	250,258	130,306	119,675	156,176	1,724,569	7,122,523
1980	25,084	158,965		1,241,153	260,203	131,295	123,609	162,343	1,798,238	7,356,886
1981	23,668	150,066		1,181,129	246,695	124,522	116,027	153,467	1,690,104	6,999,245
1982	23,994	151,130		1,194,293	248,911	125,042	115,715	154,640	1,687,114	7,181,107

APPENDIX TABLE 39. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 6, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

6

((

ť

· (

٤

¢

¢

ę

6

.

E

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Meta
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	321,033	339,215				4,251	45,660		342,451		
1961	331,714	342,126				4,339	46,741		342,734		
1962	328,672	341,817				4,350	46,761		335,130		
1963	313,069	377,390				4,480	48,800		326,453		
1964	309,018	354,526				4,376	48,349		334,689		
1965	335,000	395,391				4,810	53,383		361,293		
1966	356,464	417,987				5,169	57,520		382,190		
1967	333,047	415,003				5,101	57,230		379,802		
1968	329,180	415,313				5,027	54,741		395,053		
1969	337,629	448,334				5,402	59,738		416,222		
1970	324,755	454,659				5,384	59,517		422,738		
1971	322,643	442,750				5,346	59,857		431,018		
1972	339,671	451,804				5,439	59,231		450,097		
1973	476,055	776,833				8,196	89,215		573,185		
1974	409,140	808,841				8,067	89,489		561,110		
1975	388,349	629,898				7,119	83,204		539,299		
1976	363,406	483,015				6,134	71,006		527,806		
1977	357,434	657,886				7,323	86,692		557,668		
1978	398,222	686,467				7,577	87,117		577,746		
1979	417,755	709,090				8,000	94,395		622,048		
1980	431,389	772,345				8,655	105,114		631,909		
1981	378,006	742,516				7,859	91,603		599,949		
1982	374,786	713,010				7,608	88,082		630,047		

APPENDIX TABLE 40. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

¢

€

€

- 160 -

€

¢

(

€

€

ŧ

₹

¢

			_		Secto	n				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)	•
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	7,523	51,268		430,180	88,950	38,949	39,606	54,858	592,677	2,356,618
1961	7,662	52,235		437,819	90,538	39,530	40,399	55,888	604,618	2,396,341
1962	7,687	52,906		442,993	91,641	40,035	41,094	56,585	615,874	2,405,542
1963	7,869	54,568		462,956	95,523	41,920	42,366	58,606	635,139	2,469,135
1964	7,678	53,124		447,754	92,402	40,828	41,220	56,854	618,143	2,408,962
1965	8,404	58,225		491,464	101,627	44,206	45,218	62,432	678,097	2,639,548
1966	9,028	63,218		530,379	110,115	47,497	49,388	67,729	741,928	2,838,605
1967	8,922	63,221		530,777	110,052	47,781	49,550	67,635	745,760	2,813,876
1968	8,909	63,046		528,915	109,738	47,671	49,359	67,430	742,810	2,817,189
1969	9,538	68,188		572,314	118,766	51,650	53,563	72,910	807,252	3,021,504
1970	9,528	68,290		574,472	119,117	51,937	53,617	73,020	808,366	3,025,395
1971	9,432	67,442		565 , 802	117,303	51,292	52,895	71,988	797,439	2,995,198
1972	9,695	69,054		578,386	120,035	52,216	54,124	73,724	815,570	3,079,042
1973	14,370	102,138		876,259	182,117	77,487	79,775	110,534	1,199,185	4,565,344
1974	14,082	100,831		875,464	181,280	,914	78,599	109,365	1,182,258	4,496,436
1975	12,289	87,725		746,325	154,653	67,010	68,523	94,192	1,031,960	3,910,538
1976	10,761	76,906		640,489	132,715	58,450	60,275	81,677	909,430	3,422,067
1977	12,642	91,800		781,483	161,940	70,472	72,047	98,455	1,087,767	4,043,605
1978	13,187	94,771		808,172	167,360	72,885	74,136	101,795	1,117,416	4,206,841
1979	13,805	98,914		841,448	174,251	75,889	77,269	106,073	1,164,527	4,403,457
1980	14,717	106,079		904,979	187,561	81,226	83,044	113,956	1,252,233	4,693,206
1981	13,619	97,963		842,838	174,125	76,057	76,411	105,443	1,151,496	4,357,877
1982	13,273	94,418		811,191	167,307	73,674	73,234	101,412	1,102,379	4,250,410

APPENDIX TABLE 40. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

Ē

Ę

(

¢

ĺ.

E

¢

E

¢

ŧ

ł

ŧ

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	2,311,266	5,913,543				73,916	871,657	549,151	15,331,504		271
1961	2,413,704	6,241,172				77,502	910,562	571,307	16,151,539		282
1962	2,485,352	6,483,845				80,884	944,221	588,101	16,802,887		291
1963	2,522,400	6,713,790				83,131	971,069	605,199	17,446,176		299
1964	2,668,442	7,163,484				88,726	1,048,451	652,850	18,629,880		323
1965	2,802,834	7,541,253				94,164	1,126,059	693,115	19,625,025		343
1966	2,945,493	7,862,162				100,117	1,197,570	724,984	20,407,298		358
1967	3,037,094	8,143,892				104,469	1,244,995	727,109	21,185,735		359
1968	3,174,963	8,397,467				113,210	1,372,927	718,711	21,829,996		355
1969	3,227,069	8,534,021				115,816	1,403,470	704,143	22,189,054		348
1970	3,195,860	8,639,156				113,538	1,375,803	685,123	22,388,731		339
1971	3,199,289	8,682,180			'	111,704	1,322,162	685,528	22,588,161		339
1972	3,246,028	8,800,400				112,593	1,317,525	731,661	22,828,132		362
1973	3,674,134	9,789,337				125,580	1,494,712	774,557	25,139,835		383
1974	3,707,847	10,211,337				127,990	1,505,655	810,371	26,215,414		401
1975	3,758,457	10,409,860				132,312	1,576,448	624,421	26,958,513		309
1976	3,963,209	10,954,100				139,467	1,646,099	739,856	28,506,149		366
1977	4,077,567	11,357,248				147,418	1,807,998	760,393	29,540,755		376
1978	4,089,799	11,285,871				144,541	1,722,237	889,588	29,262,756		440
1979	4,465,378	12,434,939				157,321	1,879,406	955,955	32,282,197		472
1980	4,493,695	12,420,446				156,501	1,827,769	785,484	32,247,119		388
1981	4,358,331	12,322,386				152,209	1,769,033	696,454	31,892,154		344
1982	4,690,484	13,498,542				161,455	1,842,909	637 , 573	35,251,280		315

APPENDIX TABLE 41. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

- continued -

E

۲

- 162

I.

٤

¢

€

€ .

€

6

F

¢

C

					Secto	<u>،</u>				
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	
Vone	Teace	Comm &	Elec	Retail	FIDE	Bus & Pers	Prof &	0. 4		
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	153,023	957,488		7,607,352	1,579,514	804,639	709,584	967,963	10,389,515	48,220,324
1961	160,551	1,003,977		7,978,139	1,657,648	841,465	743,515	1,015,430	10,886,540	50,653,264
1962	167,785	1,058,105		8,388,527	1,746,712	879,756	787,137	1,070,664	11,547,872	53,032,068
1963	172,544	1,083,893		8,597,875	1,790,228	902,045	804,236	1,096,608	11,790,217	54,579,644
1964	183,405	1,147,619		9,093,535	1,896,544	951,695	850,290	1,161,088	12,453,439	57,989,704
1965	193,618	1,213,545		9,594,631	2,005,593	999,597	900,612	1,227,967	13,194,508	61,212,790
1966	205,281	1,307,808		10,288,895	2,159,884	1,060,841	980,077	1,324,498	14,407,833	64,973,024
1967	214,025	1,376,292		10,820,769	2,271,725	1,111,929	1,035,105	1,394,229	15,253,330	67,920,985
1968	228,435	1,518,304		11,841,690	2,501,880	1,192,258	1,161,093	1,540,215	17,231,921	72,823,332
1969	233,371	1,561,127		12,195,404	2,570,432	1,230,378	1,195,671	1,583,448	17,774,157	74,517,834
1970	228,913	1,502,542		11,813,228	2,479,425	1,200,461	1,137,567	1,523,887	16,856,707	73,141,195
1971	227,749	1,483,993		11,688,741	2,450,421	1,191,881	1,118,883	1,505,014	16,556,840	72,812,811
1972	231,337	1,505,041		11,844,199	2,485,024	1,211,070	1,135,935	1,526,153	16,791,836	73,767,220
1973	255,003	1,656,430		13,056,711	2,738,788	1,328,636	1,247,824	1,681,313	18,449,787	81,412,941
1974	261,923	1,685,244		13,329,938	2,789,218	1,365,684	1,262,290	1,709,921	18,626,008	83,609,143
1975	265,142	1,735,452		13,741,608	2,875,615	1,378,092	1,301,483	1,764,540	19,332,323	85,854,489
1976	282,322	1,845,239		14,570,773	3,053,300	1,469,546	1,387,143	1,874,268	20,575,009	91,006,748
1977	293,270	1,918,083		15,132,512	3,173,257	1,521,321	1,442,014	1,947,768	21,396,317	94,516,190
1978	294 ,037	1,910,109		15,062,885	3,155,986	1,537,619	1,437,479	1,937,139	21,258,061	93,988,443
1979	319,404	2,057,503		16,245,355	3,404,371	1,654,895	1,540,441	2,087,265	22,750,407	102,235,195
1980	317,104	2,072,026		16,388,622	3,432,564	1,647,035	1,554,837	2,106,364	23,075,499	102,525,328
1981	307,769	2,000,131		15,889,259	3,320,695	1,593,110	1,491,568	2,035,067	22,136,888	99,965,278
1982	326,873	2,098,062		16,730,797	3,494,621	1,662,546	1,547,262	2,137,539	22,949,656	107,029,796

APPENDIX TABLE 41. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

l

¢

Ę

Ć

ę

é

ŧ

٤

ŧ

¢

Т 163

1

¢

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	474,763	392,847				5,435	59,667		320,455		
1961	492,399	417,517				5,685	62,190		334,851		
1962	495,431	416,544				5,737	62,429		339,643		
1963	477,070	475,419				6,002	66,195		341,646		
1964	479,071	477,948				6,129	68,760		379,211		
1965	516,845	473,255				6,415	72,551		429,225		
1966	594,413	538,586				7,337	81,687		501,452		
1967	561,838	600,021				7,630	85,573		523,784		
1968	558,344	585,849		~-		7,676	88,607		543,268		
1969	581,043	657,640				8,205	91,652		579,737		
1970	568,646	708,829				8,495	96,438		595,755		
1971	562,214	647,174				8,339	99,561		600,051		
1972	618,033	787,857				9,488	110,403		655,692		
1973	860,931	1,068,075				12,305	136,166		799,809		
1974	714,875	1,120,265				11,693	127,594		738,011		
1975	676,527	809,368				9,835	110,352		678,452		
1976	655,925	709,400				8,973	96,199		660,669		
1977	616,668	836,944				9,840	111,322		658,705		
1978	699,149	965,312				10,900	122,210		708,627		
1979	712,485	908,569				10,743	119,534		737,771	·	
1980	713,449	982,605				11,461	132,425		743,862		
1981	605,469	942,044				10,295	115,739		687,221		
1982	597,581	880,892				9,815	109,565		707,770		

APPENDIX TABLE 42. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

6

¢

¢

0

€

€.

6

C

(

E

Ç

					Secto)r				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)	
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	9,348	63,565		529,619	109,857	47,205	49,590	68,261	741,169	2,871,780
1961	9,791	66,608		556,141	115,341	49,540	51,945	71,589	776,362	3,009,955
1962	9,915	67,891		565,133	117,288	50,428	53,104	72,869	794,594	3,051,002
1963	10,315	71,132		600,575	124,418	53,357	55,562	76,706	831,627	3,190,019
1964	10,511	72,237		607,820	125,974	54,112	56,287	77,712	842,488	3,258,258
1965	11,002	75,148		625,365	130,004	55,556	58,512	80,539	875,665	3,410,078
1966	12,656	86,819		718,473	150,058	63,139	67,818	93,036	1,015,784	3,931,252
1967	13,166	91,493		764,470	159,423	67,301	71,537	98,249	1,073,175	4,117,656
1968	13,134	91,167		758,420	158,291	66,675	71,250	97,676	1,069,226	4,109,579
1969	14,227	99,551		832,214	173,682	73,218	77,953	106,877	1,170,724	4,466,719
1970	14,636	102,453		863,210	179,860	76,134	80,008	110,227	1,201,247	4,605,930
1971	14,128	98,627		823,162	171,647	72,708	77,031	105,618	1,157,048	4,437,300
1972	16,182	113,656		956,439	199,707	83,876	88,878	122,306	1,335,126	5,097,640
1973	21,183	146,943		1,245,424	260,280	107,838	114,526	159,097	1,715,656	6,648,222
1974	20,279	142,932		1,232,190	256,392	107,747	111,454	155,407	1,672,100	6,410,930
1975	17,007	119,260		1,002,273	208,912	88,385	93,337	128,247	1,401,751	5,343,698
1976	15,848	111,184		926,167	192,967	82,339	87,204	119,068	1,310,495	4,976,431
1977	17,025	121,735		1,027,799	213,974	90,995	95,790	130,945	1,442,369	5,374,105
1978	18,801	132,463		1,129,007	234,669	99,695	103,511	143,139	1,554,231	5,921,706
1979	18,671	131,806		1,112,512	231,478	98,592	103,261	141,821	1,552,026	5,879,263
1980	19,607	139,427		1,181,560	245,899	104,428	109,413	150,242	1,645,803	6,180,172
1981	17,835	126,960		1,087,668	225,491	96,841	99,293	137,138	1,493,091	5,645,073
1982	17,104	120,533		1,029,366	213,125	92,134	93,870	129,880	1,410,168	5,411,798

APPENDIX TABLE 42. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

Ć

E

ų

6

¢

٤

Ć

ę

E

Ę

6

MINNESOTA	
VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, M	
STIMATED BY THE	
MIC SECTORS E	SE DOLLARS)
ES OF ECONON	(1980 = BAS
GROSS BUSINESS VOLUM	REGION 10, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)
PPENDIX TABLE 43. GROS	10, 1960-1982,
APPENDIX	REGION

(11) Metal Proc	:	!	1	ł	1	:	:	ł	ł	ł	1 1	;	1	;	;	ł	1	ł	1	1	F F	:	1
(10) Pet Refining		ł	ł	ł	ľ	ł	ł	1	1	ľ	1	;	t 1	;	1	1	1	1	8	ł	t 1	1	8 1
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	632.454	733,632	745,960		842,476	920,032	1,001,032	1,037,018	993,529	1,134,391	1,170,339	1,188,854	1,251,730		1,598,002	1,609,327	5	1,779,178	1,814,389	1,955,610	1,960,978	1,892,790	2,046,798
(8) Lumber	:	;	t I	ł	I I	ł	ł	!	!	1	:	!	1	t L	1		;	I I	1	1	1	1	:
(7) Constr	74.698	82,584	82,870	83,954	94,580	98,790	108,829	108,982	104,344	110,261	112,852	117,658	136,634	170,630	173,432	192,433	176,841	157,894	166,073	159,649	165,655	157,598	158,926
Sector (6) Nonmetallic Mining	6.586	7,312	7,376	7,399	8,181	8,467	9,401	9,391	8,995	9,903	10,232	10,118	11,356	•	•	•	14,261	~		14,623		14,049	14,297
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext		ł	ł	ł	1	1	1	1	I I	1	1	I 1	1	1	1	ł	1	1	1	1	;	1	ł
(4) Coal Mining	ľ	t T	1 1	1	1	1	;	!	!	;	ł	1	t T	1	;	1	1	ł	1	1	1	;	1
(3) Metal Mining	ł	ł	ł	1	ł	1	:	1	1	1	t I	1	r I	ł	1	ł	1	ł	ŀ	1	1	1	Г 1
(2) Ag, Crops	495.793	581,841	593,337	603,202	696,852	678,532	765,851	771,562	739,230	812,801	907,558	832,366	949,890	1,307,092	1,427,804	1,166,584	1,249,040		1,343,797	1,314,355	1,349,676	1,248,501	1,286,393
(1) Ag, Lvstk	470.530	492,335		466,450	478,008	518,339	558,474	523,035	501,059	535,958	524,909	521,137	564,239	774,493	695,615	642,445	621,995	636,493	694,941	707,846	745,012	664,116	
- Year	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982

خا

\$

تغا

- 166 -

					Sect	or				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)	
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	11,555	78,236		645,269	134,478	57,798	60,192	83,136	901,515	3,652,231
1961	12,876	87,089		722,431	150,688	64,303	66,758	92,732	999,553	4,094,130
1962	13,049	89,053		738,535	154,138	65,842	68,476	94,785	1,026,744	4,160,390
1963	13,063	89,149		741,211	154,555	66,177	68,441	94,896	1,026,232	4,172,093
1964	14,346	97,942		820,000	171,003	72,942	74,972	104,504	1,123,934	4,599,734
1965	14,849	100,707		832,689	174,170	73,957	77,027	106,959	1,154,683	4,759,194
1966	16,521	113,353		937,042	196,546	82,579	87,177	120,557	1,308,863	5,306,223
1967	16,579	114,718		947,492	198,750	83,640	88,386	121,806	1,329,102	5,350,457
1968	15,884	109,901		907,753	190,405	80,138	84,672	116,690	1,273,270	5,125,867
1969	17,867	125,903		1,032,405	217,270	91,163	97,827	133,382	1,475,498	5,794,619
1970	18,479	129,096		1,074,379	225,252	95,051	99,558	137,418	1,498,640	6,003,754
1971	17,998	125,591		1,033,059	216,989	91,492	96,918	132,951	1,460,110	5,845,231
1972	19,815	138,515		1,146,323	240,804	100,855	106,930	147,111	1,610,481	6,424,671
1973	25,681	177,323		1,485,887	311,903	129,558	136,217	189,754	2,045,294	8,295,514
1974	26,346	182,681		1,547,968	324,143	135,436	139,904	196,000	2,101,139	8,563,444
1975	23,917	164,170		1,361,767	285,702	119,742	125,210	174,019	1,881,999	7,761,656
1976	24,722	170,518		1,421,948	298,192	125,368	130,080	181,139	1,955,880	8,072,688
1977	24,941	172,671		1,430,470	300,627	126,258	132,114	183,104	1,988,269	8,173,557
1978	26,413	181,856		1,519,102	318,630	133,932	138,780	193,606	2,085,309	8,631,511
1979	26,819	184,270		1,526,100	320,613	134,854	140,539	195,397	2,112,781	8,793,443
1980	27,789	192,523		1,591,493	334,744	140,358	147,618	204,227	2,221,566	9,096,810
1981	25,558	175,105		1,449,973	304,306	128,563	133,243	185,443	2,002,724	8,381,953
1982	26,204	177,313		1,468,618	308,070	130,425	133,896	187,575	2,009,484	8,618,882

APPENDIX TABLE 43. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 10, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

Ę

ę

(

٤

ŧ

¢

٤

ę

ŧ

٤

Ē

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	816,296	906,758	11,673	5		13,008	149,808	146,898	1,815,529		172
1961	839,015	979,573	13,373	6		13,683	157,616	152,825	1,885,663		189
1962	824,202	990,846	8,840	4		13,775	158,012	157,119	1,918,087		154
1963	796,039	1,005,894	12,580	6		13,818	159,867	161,801	1,946,689		188
1964	819,231	1,032,829	10,200	5		14,442	170,007	174,467	2,101,580		173
1965	863,471	1,152,455	13,826	6		15,759	187,594	185,417	2,257,281		209
1966	928,096	1,221,284	13,600	6		16,844	198,900	193,705	2,393,582		212
1967	914,999	1,246,163	7,593	3		17,140	201,811	194,105	2,493,664		161
1968	925,215	1,255,027	2,720	1		17,411	205,780	191,609	2,552,557		118
1969	951,790	1,308,009	453			18,376	226,541	187,889	2,601,112		97
1970	931,759	1,365,007				19,025	245,723	182,814	2,618,736		90
1971	930,781	1,318,289				18,170	219,181	182,814	2,630,094		90
1972	975,480	1,399,749			~ -	18,790	221,548	195,157	2,674,554		96
1973	1,261,870	1,724,240				22,111	242,322	206,589	3,030,439		102
1974	1,137,607	1,801,347				22,221	248,199	216,200	3,085,076		107
1975	1,102,646	1,653,813				21,689	251,949	166,425	3,151,816		82
1976	1,122,974	1,728,292				22,487	258,874	197,281	3,273,290		98
1977	1,137,685	1,705,683				22,559	256,321	202,846	3,365,857		100
1978	1,211,048	1,743,528				22,939	256,656	237,244	3,364,789		117
1979	1,307,631	1,874,079				27,328	366,815	254,948	3,686,267		126
1980	1,337,148	1,887,218				25,144	284,599	209,422	3,732,444		104
1981	1,223,870	1,918,013				24,525	280,697	185,748	3,661,740		92
1982	1,240,867	1,941,189				24,778	280,099	170,067	3,991,082		84

APPENDIX TABLE 44. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Ç

¢

¢

(

¢

¢

6

¢

6

- 168

1

¢

¢

					Secto	or				
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	
	_	Comm &	Elec	Retail		Bus & Pers	Prof &			
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	27,756	162,444		1,318,685	264,361	154,981	121,593	164,228	1,740,204	7,814,392
1961	29,172	170,959		1,392,463	279,399	162,789	128,012	173,220	1,833,246	8,211,196
1962	29,303	173,925		1,416,235	284,242	165,950	130,623	176,112	1,872,276	8,319,695
1963	29,660	174,586		1,423,375	285,296	167,911	130,869	176,572	1,873,571	8,358,712
1964	30,859	181,219		1,469,379	295,227	173,998	135,625	182,783	1,937,898	8,729,911
1965	33,478	196,666		1,595,574	322,088	186,223	147,351	198,975	2,108,098	9,464,453
1966	35,660	211,961		1,710,844	347,444	196,505	159,763	214,806	2,292,730	10,135,923
1967	36,084	217,682		1,759,257	356,621	202,450	164,234	220,405	2,361,972	10,394,339
1968	36,237	221,073		1,782,313	362,229	203,506	167,085	223,923	2,407,456	10,554,245
1969	37,220	228,795		1,856,297	375,287	212,123	172,628	231,867	2,492,339	10,900,803
1970	37,523	230,832		1,885,731	380,147	214,699	173,504	234,269	2,506,635	11,026,485
1971	37,116	229,061		1,864,226	375,961	213,476	172,664	232,171	2,496,016	10,920,097
1972	38,694	238,959		1,947,137	393,672	222,064	180,569	242,800	2,608,186	11,357,443
1973	45,728	285,229		2,335,141	474,244	258,408	216,221	292,308	3,134,642	13,529,572
1974	46,071	287,946		2,374,433	480,297	265,848	217,904	294,885	3,157,129	13,635,253
1975	43,745	276,409	- -	2,271,584	457,971	251,376	208,234	282,290	3,039,340	13,179,355
1976	46,067	289,942		2,378,181	479,853	266,319	219,011	295,614	3,186,949	13,765,218
1977	46,668	293,942		2,399,453	484,588	269,668	222,356	299,054	3,236,328	13,943,091
1978	48,214	299,374		2,443,078	493,018	279,014	226,438	304,240	3,276,287	14,205,970
1979	52,887	327,435		2,658,942	537,545	301,251	246,992	331,809	3,575,703	15,549,737
1980	51,588	323,951		2,648,170	534,325	295,543	244,258	330,054	3,557,390	15,461,336
1981	49,792	313,760		2,589,204	520,660	287,402	235,447	320,489	3,435,627	15,047,045
1982	50,450	315,293		2,600,529	522,007	287,939	234,346	321,489	3,421,750	15,401,953

APPENDIX TABL	E 44. GROSS BUSINES	VOLUMES OF ECONOMI	C SECTORS ESTIMATED	BY THE	INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL,	MINNESOTA
	1960-1982, THOUSAND				• •	

τ.

						Sector					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Ag,	Ag,	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetalli			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Lvstk	Crops	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	5,755,053	9,541,758	1,377,525	608		131,924	1,550,068	1,268,263	21,086,070		12,393
1961	5,939,699	10,056,454	1,180,218	521		136,876	1,606,874	1,310,708	22,122,887		10,730
1962	5,968,486	10,296,475	1,098,847	485		140,355	1,640,173	1,344,516	22,816,073		10,051
1963	5,874,779	10,668,499	1,144,519	505		143,188	1,680,123	1,384,238	23,485,039		10,461
1964	6,111,429	11,338,655	1,241,303	548		152,249	1,812,238	1,493,409	25,073,417		11,341
1965	6,450,133	11,939,279	1,240,849	547		161,607	1,948,510	1,583,536	26,471,239		11,382
1966	6,877,196	12,481,147	1,307,374	577		172,279	2,070,790	1,656,352	27,635,638		11,986
1967	6,846,705	12,892,425	1,191,211	526		177,508	2,130,348	1,656,988	28,629,346		10,995
1968	6,985,121	13,156,824	1,239,036	547		187,028	2,270,694	1,639,723	29,531,209		11,394
1969	7,123,067	13,543,008	1,320,634	583		194,075	2,377,513	1,610,678	30,141,428		12,076
1970	7,017,897	13,846,697	1,255,696	554		191,365	2,326,108	1,566,042	30,474,697		11,501
1971	7,012,808	13,790,256	1,146,672	506		188,598	2,250,364	1,562,776	30,766,383		10,567
1972	7,234,860	14,241,094	1,209,230	534		193,207	2,284,869	1,667,526	31,225,440		11,153
1973	8,953,937	17,280,848	1,487,116	656		228,183	2,700,740	1,772,946	35,043,437		13,579
1974	8,419,880	18,220,520	1,660,397	733		231,764	2,731,941	1,858,257	36,339,798		15,101
1975	8,333,257	17,198,148	1,625,151	717		232,272	2,846,327	1,443,759	37,353,659		14,596
1976	8,590,796	17,774,390	1,730,775	764		239,994	2,879,324	1,703,877	39,285,049		15,627
1977	8,670,900	18,597,150	1,124,573	496		247,775	3,003,180	1,728,638	40,688,392		10,460
1978	9,019,876	18,908,444	2,179,108	961		252,140	2,988,636	2,052,336	40,438,324		19,628
1979	9,797,855	21,507,756	2,426,734	1,071		280,999	3,392,897	2,208,305	44,613,248		21,821
1980	9,864,098	20,579,906	1,911,650	843		271,193	3,180,191	1,811,608	44,679,213		17,225
1981	9,195,786	20,460,291	2,120,177	935		262,337	3,058,897	1,621,033	44,041,928		18,912
1982	9,565,239	21,651,982	2,151,003	949		271,400	3,115,318	1,491,186	48,465,452		19,111

APPENDIX TABLE 45. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

۴

€

¢

€

(

6

- 170 -

€

€ .

¢

(

¢

					Sect	or		·		
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	
Voon	Teses		Elec			Bus & Pers	Prof &		\ = = /	
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households	TOTAL
1960	344,843	1,712,086		13,379,541	2,768,869	1,476,378	1,271,632	1,725,470	19 412 001	01 014 200
1961	344,794	1,773,029		13,926,118	2,879,297	1,533,791	1,319,300	1,789,530	18,412,001	81,814,396
1962	348,635	1,836,303		14,418,160	2,984,256	1,583,352	1,373,275	1,853,849	19,110,435 19,932,272	85,041,159
1963	357,037	1,870,114		14,707,494	3,042,839	1,617,709	1,395,427	1,887,897	20,238,240	87,645,459
1964	379,705	1,973,591		15,489,852	3,211,329	1,701,645	1,470,333	1,991,492	21,299,706	89,508,001
1965	397,107	2,084,271		16,330,471	3,393,876	1,784,438	1,556,014	2,103,958	22,548,668	94,752,132
1966	421,712	2,249,611		17,534,945	3,662,089	1,892,941	1,694,229	2,273,284	24,639,751	100,005,763
1967	426,336	2,338,243		18,272,142	3,811,520	1,967,971	1,767,950	2,364,060	25,785,233	106,581,790
1968	444,456	2,489,433		19,336,873	4,054,193	2,048,498	1,900,004	2,518,744	27,859,839	110,269,378
1969	460,051	2,584,769		20,124,569	4,207,983	2,132,076	1,974,794	2,615,660	29,022,189	119,445,015
1970	452,156	2,525,927		19,806,807	4,124,908	2,108,200	1,915,209	2,558,118	28,091,990	118,273,719
1971	444,535	2,504,550		19,662,958	4,091,039	2,098,475	1,897,261	2,535,984	27,816,276	117,779,868
1972	459,230	2,577,798		20,223,989	4,214,075	2,159,428	1,955,702	2,611,610	28,645,166	120,914,762
1973	537,196	2,999,505		23,684,632	4,939,618	2,482,281	2,272,312	3,056,182	33,324,314	140,777,304
1974	556,688	3,054,892		24,234,251	5,041,045	2,559,985	2,302,532	3,111,815	33,712,063	144,051,489
1975	542,769	3,015,393		23,805,978	4,949,114	2,474,342	2,269,080	3,065,593	33,454,909	142,624,882
1976	572,875	3,167,711		24,902,719	5,184,707	2,614,504	2,389,247	3,213,797	35,150,482	149,416,448
1977	554,247	3,256,512		25,775,201	5,360,164	2,696,282	2,467,120	3,310,800	36,345,859	153,837,584
1978	627,451	3,355,684		26,317,284	5,485,820	2,793,958	2,531,019	3,401,790	37,091,702	157,463,980
1979	692,128	3,678,606		28,962,139	6,039,473	3,053,871	2,763,839	3,736,791	40,476,655	173,653,976
1980	646,668	3,597,738		28,389,285	5,908,159	2,959,547	2,711,293	3,658,491	39,937,043	170,123,959
1981	638,832	3,467,298		27,462,282	5,703,025	2,856,750	2,593,765	3,528,188	38,213,745	165,243,976
1982	659,767	3,554,393		28,218,246	5,853,818	2,916,361	2,630,995	3,617,320	38,758,537	172,940,869

APPENDIX TABLE 45. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUMES OF ECONOMIC SECTORS ESTIMATED BY THE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA 1960-1982, THOUSAND DOLLARS (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

ŧ

6

٤

ŧ

(

ŧ

¢

•

Ę

¢

E

Ę

					Sect	or				
Year	(1) & (2) Agric	(3) Metal Mining	(4) Coal Mining	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	(6) Nonmetallic Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(10) Pet Refining	(11) Metal Proc
							A3300 1100	mise mig	Kerning	
1960	9,480	4,536				1,195	7,324	4,300		
1961	9,287	3,764				1,143	7,320	4,297		
1962	9,095	3,619				1,156	7,551	4,433		
1963	8,903	3,281				1,143	7,629	4,479		
1964	8,710	3,440				1,175	7,423	4,358		
1965	8,181	3,335				1,150	7,068	5,049		
1966	7,651	3,515				2,923	7,715	5,102		
1967	7,12	2,917				3,167	7,782	5,054		
1968	6,591	3,038				2,269	7,551	6,411	~ -	
1969	6,062	2,745				2,052	7,460	6,326		
1970	5,928	2,758				1,938	7,369	6,150		
1971	5,929	2,760				2,079	7,264	6,013		
1972	5,861	2,368				1,642	7,086	6,348		
1973	5,797	2,424				1,763	8,468	5,873		
1974	5,728	2,396				1,438	9,611	4,552		
1975	5,869	2,012				1,402	8,817	4,214		
1976	6,015	2,350				1,641	9,529	5,718		
1977	6,159	2,169				2,128	9,598	6,916		
1978	6,299	1,987				2,588	10,518	5,203		
1979	6,367	2,056				2,674	10,532	5,404	-	
1980	6,586	1,312		~-		1,904	10,797	5,675		
1981	6,655	1,351				1,736	10,468	5,779		
1982	6,723	834				1,550	10,281	5,591		

APPENDIX TABLE 46. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 2, 1960-1982

€

¢

.

€

¢

- continued -

€

6

€

€

6

€.

F

					Sec	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	855	1,354		6,443	876	970	2,733	8,480	
1961	804	1,273		6,361	911	1,002	2,824	8,719	
1962	805	1,274		6,498	935	1,037	2,923	9,199	
1963	794	1,257		6,657	947	1,056	2,977	9,745	
1964	813	1,286		7,031	972	1,122	3,163	10,359	
1965	772	1,372		7,153	949	1,263	3,129	10,770	
1966	970	1,265		7,427	1,053	1,490	3,163	11,443	
1967	9 85	1,401		7,733	1,094	1,632	3,742	12,179	
1968	1,201	1,230		8,058	1,025	1,606	4,007	12,143	
1969	944	1,420		8,454	1,155	1,464	4,402	12,167	
1970	932	1,401		8,683	1,191	1,539	4,627	12,729	
1971	1,047	1,388		9,006	1,309	1,883	5,106	13,105	
1972	1,039	1,470		9,618	1,397	1,894	5,598	13,312	·
1973	1,172	1,447		10,325	1,433	1,688	5,863	13,672	
1974	1,277	1,478		10,422	1,508	1,796	6,355	13,735	
1975	1,294	1,230		10,905	1,389	3,319	6,055	14,176	
1976	1,486	1,325		11,790	1,475	2,267	8,490	15,213	
1977	1,476	1,660		12,290	1,646	2,741	7,742	15,385	
1978	1,662	1,489		13,126	1,661	2,474	8,766	15,755	
1979	1,743	1,561		13,343	1,727	2,581	9,142	15,600	
1980	1,248	1,587		13,921	1,918	3,078	9,204	15,786	
1981	1,271	1,618		14,239	2,039	3,259	9,743	16,174	
1982	1,236	1,572		14,158	2,073	3,306	9,885	15,822	

APPENDIX TABLE 46. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 2, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

¢

(

¢

Ę

٤

¢

ŧ

ť

Ę

٤

6

	Sector										
	(1) & (2)	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(7)	(8) Lumber &	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Meta	
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Assoc Prod	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc	
1960	5,265	17,579				3,344	4,091	18,159			
1961	5,158	14,588				3,198	4,089	18,149			
1962	5,051	14,024				3,234	4,218	18,723			
1963	4,944	12,716				3,198	4,262	18,916			
1964	4,837	13,333				3,288	4,146	18,404			
1965	4,472	14,492				5,063	4,081	19,922			
1966	4,106	14,676				7,145	4,297	22,669			
1967	3,741	14,877				6,283	3,406	22,093			
1968	3,375	15,428				4,777	4,205	20,760			
1969	3,010	15,159				4,583	3,884	22,148			
1970	2,943	15,230				4,328	3,837	21,534			
1971	2,871	14,878				4,394	3,918	20,960			
1972	2,803	14,139				5,000	4,014	20,808			
1973	2,733	14,778				4,968	4,229	18,662			
1974	2,664	14,470				3,788	4,485	16,779			
1975	2,799	14,374				5,373	3,439	16,167			
1976	2,935	14,801				5,699	3,880	15,790			
1977	3,071	12,441				6,115	3,525	15,246			
1978	3,205	16,491				6,080	3,637	17,099			
1979	3,240	17,062				6,280	3,642	17,759			
1980	3,476	16,163				5,450	3,414	15,409			
1981	3,512	16,649				4,969	3,310	15,691			
1982	3,548	10,268			·	4,437	3,251	15,182			

APPENDIX TABLE 47. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 3, 1960-1982

¢

(

6

(

6

¢

6

¢

6

e

¢

					Sect	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	3,230	2,716		12,423	2,944	3,166	8,042	16,613	
1961	3,037	2,554		12,264	3,060	3,270	8,308	17,082	
1962	3,040	2,557		12,529	3,139	3,385	8,600	18,023	
1963	2,998	2,521		12,835	3,182	3,448	8,760	19,091	
1964	3,069	2,581		13,556	3,266	3,663	9,305	20,294	
1965	3,163	2,497		14,163	3,205	3,714	9,024	21,101	
1966	3,141	2,628		15,109	3,192	4,029	9,626	22,105	
1967	2,833	2,782		15,619	3,348	4,037	10,087	23,547	
1968	2,118	3,412		16,196	3,438	4,220	9,339	23,121	
1969	2,261	3,282		17,181	3,530	4,144	10,826	23,517	
1970	2,231	3,239		17,648	3,642	4,355	11,379	24,603	
1971	2,370	3,382		17,613	3,544	4,710	12,372	25,843	
1972	2,957	2,567		18,052	3,478	4,896	12,869	25,500	
1973	2,983	3,400		18,643	3,433	4,964	13,681	26,045	
1974	1,463	3,711		19,760	3,346	4,506	13,958	26,077	
1975	1,551	3,619		20,392	3,376	5,036	14,807	25,759	
1976	1,772	3,430		21,572	3,726	5,308	15,478	25,420	
1977	1,882	3,720		22,284	3,735	5,470	16,053	25,319	
1978	2,094	3,071		22,134	3,929	5,583	15,459	25,615	
1979	2,196	3,221		22,500	4,086	5,822	16,122	25,364	
1980	2,172	3,065		22,586	3,960	5,060	16,800	26,326	
1981	2,212	3,124		23,102	4,208	5,356	17,783	26,974	
1982	2,150	3,036		22,970	4,280	5,434	18,044	26,387	

APPENDIX TABLE 47. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 3, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

6

ŧ

¢

¢

ŧ

ŧ

€

Ę

.

6

É

É

1960-1982
4,
A REGION
MINNESOTA
SECTOR,
ECONOMIC
ВΥ
EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR,
48.
TABLE 48.
PENDIX

				Sector	:or				
& (2)	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(1)	(8) Lumber &	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Metal
- <u>-</u>	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Assoc Prod	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
6,138	1		8	1	1.162	1	2.161	1	;
5,013	ł	8	ł	1	1,111	ł	2,159	ł	1
5,889	ł	1	1	1	1,124	ł	2,228	1	1
5,764	1	!	;	:	1,111	;	2,251	;	ł
5 , 639	1	1	1	;	1,143	:	2,190	ł	ł
6,423	1	ł	;	:	1,118	;	2,134	;	ł
,208	;	1	a t	ł	896	;	2,327	ł	;
,995	1	;	1	!	1,009	ł	2,549	1	ł
4,780	1	1	1	1	1,098	:	2,495	1	1
,564	1		ł	:	1,255	1	3,175	;	L I
4,463	1	!	1	t T	1,186	ł	3,087	ł	1
4,362	1	ł	1	;	1,198	1	3,252	;	ŀ
4,264	1	1	ŀ	1	1,130	1	3,548	1	ł
,162	ł	;	;	;	1,268	ł	3,530	ł	ł
4,062	1	L T	1	1	1,098	ł	4,512	1	1
4,065	1	ŀ	ł	:	1.163	ł	3.877	F 1	1
1,070	ł	;	1	ţ	1,384	;	4,085	!	;
4,075	1		8	;	1,507	1	3,684	ł	;
4,077	!	ł	1	1	1,763	;	3,949	1	ł
4,121	1	1	ł	;	1,821	1	4,101	3	ł
4,085	1	;	;	1	1,286	1	3,932	1	1
4,128	ŀ	1	1	:	1,172	;	4,004	R T	ł
4,170	1	1 1	;	1	1.047	1	3,874	ł	l t

ι.

5

٩

Ś

- 176 -

, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)
1960-1982
4.
REGION
MINNESOTA
SECTOR,
BY ECONOMIC
Вγ
EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION
18 .
TABLE 4
APPENDIX

Ú.

ين.

1.00

فت

لاك

من

لات

					Sector	tor			
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(11)	(18)	(19)	(20)
		Comm &	Elec	Retail	,	Bus & Pers	Prof &		
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1060	878	VLV		700 0	040	603	1 11		
DOLT	0/0	+ - +	•	100,00	449	79 C	1,55U	3,/IU	1
1961	826	390	1	3,343	467	601	1,601	3,815	!
1962	827	390	;	3,416	479	623	1.657	4.025	ł
1963	815	385	ł	3,499	485	634	1,688	4,263	ł
1964	835	394	t i	3,696	498	674	1,793	4,532	1
1965	809	405	!	3,843	525	667	1,703	4,712	:
1966	952	444	:	4,040	555	674	2,020	5,095	ł
1967	722	438	;	4,111	547	200	2,247	5,562	;
1968	984	411	!	4,426	566	781	2,379	5.572	;
1969	866	370	;	4,475	622	758	3,004	5,542	1
1970	985	365	1 1	4,597	642	197	3,158	5,798	ł
1971	941	432	1	4,867	654	1,036	3,195	5,904	ł
1972	1,078	486		4,665	650	1,105	3,379	5,968	ł
1973	1,262	456	1	4,770	691	1,062	3,632	6,128	1
1974	1,108	412	1	5,221	672	1,082	3,380	6,085	ł
1975	1,082	456	ł	5,302	704	1,311	3,774	6,282	ł
1976	1,292	454	ł	6,224	885	1,471	4,105	6,691	1
1977	1,178	748	1	6,486	839	1,227	4 842	6,912	I I
1978	1,221	795	t T	6,490	868	1,537	4,198	7,116	ł
1979	1,281	834	1	6,597	902	1,603	4,378	7_046	;
1980	1,190	668	l F	6,382	979	1,656	5,921	7,206	ł
1981	1,212	680	1	6,528	1,040	1,753	6,267	7,383	3
1982	1,178	661	:	6,491	1,058	1,779	6,359	7,222	1

					Sect	or				
Year	(1) & (2) Agric	(3) Metal Mining	(4) Coal Mining	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	(6) Nonmetallic Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(10) Pet Refining	(11) Meta Proc
1960	10,203					774		2,447		
1961	9,995					740		2,446		
1962	9,789					748		2,523		
1963	9,581					740		2,549		
1964	9,374					761		2,480		
1965	9,096					724		2,839		
1966	8,816					675		2,891		
1967	8,539					825		2,951		
1968	8,259					984		3,091		
1969	7,981					1,124		2,831		
1970	7,805					1,061		2,752		
1971	7,608					1,034		3,475		
1972	7,422					1,008		3,457		
1973	7,236					830		3,603		
1974	7,049					989		4,562		
1975	7,028					966		4,233		
1976	7,009					1,086		4,533		
1977	6,987					1,181		4,592		
1978	6,966					1,340		5,067		
1979	7,041					1,385		5,263		
1980	6,926					1,059		5,415		
1981	6,998					965		5,514		
1982	7,070					862		5,335		

APPENDIX TABLE 49. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 5, 1960-1982

€

Ę

¢

€

€

€

¢

¢

¢

€

¢

	(CONTINUED)
	5, 1960-1982 (CONTIN
 	ION 5,
	REGI0
	EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 5,
	SECTOR,
	ECONOMIC
i	ΒY
	EMPLOYMENT
	49 .
	TABLE
	APPENDIX

فغن

S.

-29

- 28

ون ک

ې

					Sector	tor			
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(10)	(20)
		Comm &	Elec	Retail		Bus & Pers	Prof &	•	
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	552	1.238	ł	3.623	591	636	1 890	3 515	
1961	519	1,164	i	3 577	614	657	1 052	2 614	
1962	520	1 165	ł	3 654	120	680	2 001	010 012	•
			1				2 JUCI	C10°C	:
1963	513	1,149	ł	3,744	639	693	2,058	4,039	:
1964	525	1,176	1	3,954	655	736	2,187	4,293	ł
1965	589	1,268	1	4,010	660	658	2,312	4,464	1
1966	1,002	893	1	4,119	676	622	2,445	4,642	1
1967	1,019	955	ľ	4,301	795	691	2,528	4,815	1
1968	664	1,388	1	4,559	904	739	2,625	4,777	ł
1969	705	1,324	1	4,841	904	743	2,960	4,902	ł
1970	969	1,307	1	4,972	932	781	3,111	5,129	ł
1971	706	1,333	ł	5,325	876	1,124	3,327	5,378	
1972	660	1,383	;	5,733	910	1,573	3,594	5,486	1
1973	732	1,506	1	5,727	966	1,241	3,647	5,596	!
1974	638	1,600	1	5,458	831	1,134	3,503	5,597	ł
1975	621	1,368	I I	5,810	876	1,149	3,503	5,756	ł
1976	719	1,282	!	5,718	925	1,660	3,767	5,989	ł
1977	1,120	917	t 1	660°9	970	1,159	3,765	6,086	ł
1978	883	1,306	ł	6,732	1,029	1,248	3,722	6,138	ł
1979	926	1,369	!	6,843	1,070	1,302	3,882	6,078	ł
1980	975	1,171	1	6,897	1,120	1,120	4,967	6,121	ł
1981	993	1,194	1	7,054	1,190	1,186	5,257	6,272	ł
1982	965	1,160	1	7,014	1,210	1,203		6,135	ł

1960-1982
SOTA REGION 6,
MINNESOTA
~
BY ECONOMIC SECTOR
EMPLOYMENT BY
PENDIX TABLE 50.
APPENDIX

				Sector					
	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
) & (2)	Mètal	Ćoal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic	,	Lumber &	Ag Proc &	Pet .	Metal
· — 1	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Assoc Prod	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
4 ,847	1	ł	ł	;	1,991	1,061	8,589	. 1	1
4,545	ł	1	1	1	1,904	1,060	8,585	1	ł
14,245	I I	1	1	1	1,925	1,094	8,856	:	ł
13,943	1	1	1	;	1,904	1,105	8,947	ł	ł
	:	1	1	1	1,957	1,075	8,705	1	!
	ł	1 1	;	1	2,032	1,060	9,291	ł	1
12,935	1	1	ł	;	1,985	1,064	10,912	:	;
	! 1	1	1	1	1,872	1,289	10,700	!	ł
	:	ŀ	•	1	2,130	1,422	13,807	1	;
	!	ł	1	ł	2,291	1,573	13,627	:	ł
11,615	ł	!	;	a t	2,164	1,554	13,249	1	;
11,572	1	1	1	ł	2,363	1,080	•	B T	ł
11,418	;	1	;	;	2,236	1,314	14,158	1	1
11,267	ł	ł	t 1	ł	2,691	1,791	14,340	1 1	1
11,113	ł	!	1	ł	2,814	2,120		1	;
11,222	1	1	1	1	2,855	1,822	15,798	1	ł
11,335	1	1	1	1	3,034	1,656	16,554	1	ŀ
11,444	;	1	1	1	3,585	1,649	18,090	;	ł
11,553	;	;	1	1	4,335	1.981	17,684	1	1
11,678	ł	1	ł	•	4,477	1,984	18,367	ł	ł
11,775	ł	ł	1	ł	3,663	1,624	18,791	1	ł
11,898	1	;	ł	;	3,339	1,575	19,135	1	e F
12,020	1	I I	ł	ł	2,982	1,547	18,514	1	1

Ψ

Ś

Ś

\$

¢

Ś

÷

لمغ

لخفا

÷

ÿ

- 180 -

					Sect	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	1,497	1,286		8,303	1,029	1,059	3,921	7,415	
1961	1,407	1,209		8,197	1,070	1,094	4,051	7,624	
1962	1,409	1,210		8,374	1,097	1,132	4,193	8,044	
1963	1,389	1,193		8,579	1,112	1,153	4,271	8,521	
1964	1,422	1,222		9,060	1,142	1,225	4,537	9,057	
1965	1,568	1,337		9,567	1,184	1,254	4,915	9,417	
1966	1,558	1,331		11,282	1,287	1,177	5,488	10,105	
1967	1,494	1,334		11,297	1,399	1,215	5,985	10,791	
1968	1,669	1,338		11,367	1,445	1,319	5,647	10,893	
1969	1,965	1,415		11,497	1,588	1,597	6,105	11,877	
1970	1,939	1,396		11,809	1,639	1,679	6,416	12,425	
1971	1,795	1,542		13,245	1,766	1,941	7,656	13,375	
1972	1,548	2,046		14,240	1,839	2,052	8,204	13,817	
1973	1,692	2,106		14,865	1,884	2,105	8,399	14,288	
1974	1,769	1,582		14,317	1,662	2,110	10,220	14,480	
1975	1,553	1,852		14,847	1,760	2,014	10,478	14,959	
1976	1,750	2,079		15,435	1,836	2,647	10,466	15,678	
1977	2,174	2,265		16,777	1,942	2,492	11,429	16,322	
1978	2,508	1,947		17,367	2,211	3,066	11,880	16,504	
1979	2,630	2,041		17,654	2,299	3,197	12,390	16,342	÷
1980	2,480	2,179		18,447	2,569	3,536	12,744	16,452	
1981	2,527	2,221		18,868	2,730	3,743	13,489	16,857	
1982	2,455	2,159		18,761	2,776	3,798	13,687	16,490	

APPENDIX TABLE 50. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 6, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

Ĺ

¢

¢

¢

¢

E

¢

ķ

k

¢

٤

					Sect	or				
Year	(1) & (2) Agric	(3) Metal Mining	(4) Coal Mining	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	(6) Nonmetallic Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(10) Pet Refining	(11) Metal Proc
1960	13,342		~-			1,501		3,683		
1961	13,071					1,435		3,681		
1962	12,800					1,451		3,798		
1963	12,529					1,435		3,837		
1964	12,258					1,476		3,733		
1965	11,913					1,319		4,050		
1966	11,569					1,484		4,070		
1967	11,227					1,502		4,248		
1968	10,883					1,590		5,236		
1969	10,538					1,602		5,498		
1970	10,305					1,513		5,346		
1971	10,038					1,694		6,202		
1972	9,787					1,545		6,869		
1973	9,537					1,892		6,494		
1974	9,287					1,864		8,282		
1975	9,319					1,935 .		7,251		
1976	9,353					2,107		8,276		
1977	9,386					1,916		8,392		
1978	9,416					2,155		7,971		
1979	9,518				~-	2,226		8,279		
1980	9,482					1,992		9,491		
1981	9,581					1,816		9,665		
1982	9,680					1,622		9,351		

APPENDIX TABLE 51. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982

¢

¢

€

€

€

- continued -

¢

¢

¢

¢

6

¢

<u>ا ت</u>	APPENDIX TABLE 51. EMPLOYME	NT	CONOMIC SE	CTOR, MIN	BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)	7, 1960-198	2 (CONTINL	JED)
			I	Sector	tor			
(13) Comm &	3) 8	(14) Flec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Rus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Pub	Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1,(138	ŀ	5,423	808	671	2,053	5.234	0
•	976	ł	5,354	840	694	2,121	5,381	1
	577	ł	5,470	861	718	2,195	5,678	:
	964	;	5,603	873	731	2,236	6,014	1
	987	:	5,918	896	111	2,375	6,393	k I
1,	052	ŀ	5,779	897	836	2,601	6,647	1
-	055	1	6 , 089	973	906	2,818	7,011	1
Γ,	165	1	•	1,047	853	3,191	7,373	1
 	,134	;	6,224	1,087	747	3,760	7,292	ł
1	,061	1	6,445	1,200	714	3,128	7,459	;
-1	,047	1	6,620	1,238	751	3,288	7,804	8
	010	ł	6,688	1,090	1,318	3,209	779,7	:
1	,085	1	6,773	1,145	1,431	3,538	8,118	ł
٦	,008	1	7,180	1,181	1,132	3,881	8,268	1
	864	ł	7,274	1,245	1,524	3,589	8,244	ł
	904	1	7,761	1,278	1,679	4,084	8,494	1
	916	1	7,995	1,322	1,901	4 ,242	8,983	ľ
-	,180	ł	7,941	1,307		4,498	9,142	1
	949	1	8,501	1,358	2,172	4,861	9,342	1
	995	1	8,641	1,412	2,265	5,070	9,250	ł
	984	1	8,122	1,523	1,870	5,104	9,403	ł
1	,003	1	8,308	1,619	1,979	5,403	9,634	:
	974	1	8,260	1,646	2,008	5,482	9,424	ł

ŵ

محفظة

ż

ننن

Ś

. مون

्रत्व

- 183 -

					Sect	or				
Year	(1) & (2) Agric	(3) Metal Mining	(4) Coal Mining	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	(6) Nonmetallic Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(10) Pet Refining	(11) Meta Proc
1960	20,492					44,696	18,722	237,279		
1961	20,075					42,747	18,712	237,148		
1962	19,660					43,227	19,304	244,657		
1963	19,243					42,742	19,504	247,176		
1964	18,827					42,742	18,975	247,176		
1965	18,249					46,432	21,519	268,161		
1966	17,668					45,875	22,869	285,717		
1967	17,089					45,500	21,667	305,919		
1968	16,508					45,500 50,087	21,309	318,248		
1969	15,930					50,087	23,288	331,952		
1970	15,578					51,705	23,200	322,755		
1971	15,073					51,752	22,210	307,771		
1972	14,643					50,321	23,025			
1973	14,216						25,025	311,694		
1974	13,786					52,443 51,312	27,718	328,001 320,740		
1975	14,036					48,877				
1976	14,000					48,364	25,195	304,926		
1977	14,530						26,418	306,392		
1978	14,779					49,704	26,804	317,471		
1970	14,779					56,636	30,260	336,040		
1979						58,502	30,299	349,025		
1980	15,281					58,787	31,231	347,134		
1981	15,441					53,590	30,279	353,482		
1905	15,599					47,861	29,737	342,023		

APPENDIX TABLE 52.	EMPLOYMENT B	Y ECONOMIC SECTOR,	MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982
--------------------	--------------	--------------------	-------------------------------

¢

C

¢

6

6

- continued -

6

6

- 184 -

6

¢

€.

¢

•

					Sect	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	40,798	28,691		116,562	43,520	38,498	68,993	102,662	
1961	38,358	26,976		115,068	45,241	39,769	71,270	105,557	
1962	38,400	27,005		117,553	46,405	41,168	73,777	111,371	
1963	37,866	26,630		120,433	47,042	41,931	75,146	117,975	
1964	38,767	27,263		127,191	48,281	44,543	79,826	125,407	
1965	38,209	27,406		130,383	48,080	46,125	82,878	130,392	
1966	38,890	28,801		138,331	49,204	48,693	87,395	139,046	
1967	41,239	28,935		144,089	51,163	52,595	91,146	147,823	
196 8	42,476	28,494		152,467	52,990	55,438	89,796	150,008	
1969	42,397	30,126		158,689	55,648	59,980	102,793	157,569	
1970	41,830	29,724		162,998	57,408	63,044	108,044	164,847	
1971	42,908	30,066		169,251	61,088	64,694	115,737	173,524	
1972	43,092	29,395		176,475	62,325	68,958	119,656	177,260	
1973	43,284	30,123		184,034	65,318	72,387	123,490	180,012	
1974	45,041	30,696		187,455	65,451	74,826	127,159	180,419	
1975	44,081	29,089		185,834	67,249	75,605	131,542	186,309	
1976	42,431	29,183		191,878	68,884	78,704	135,227	187,022	
1977	41,757	28,654		203,251	72,409	84,575	145,826	193,400	
1978	41,288	29,444		216,600	75,121	89,899	157,116	197,137	
1979	43,299	30,877		220,177	78,119	93,755	163,856	195,203	
1980	44,989	32,673		226,001	82,091	101,124	170,590	202,679	
1981	45,833	33,306		231,158	87,237	107,041	180,571	207,666	
1982	44,539	32,366		229,845	88,713	108,608	183,215	203,145	

APPENDIX TABLE 52. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

-

-

-

.

APPENDIX TABLE 53. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982

(1) & (2) Metal Year Agric Mining 1960 17,290 1961 16,938 1962 16,588 1963 16,588 1963 16,588 1963 16,236 1965 15,484 1966 15,484 1967 14,682 1969 13,573 1969 13,573 1970 13,573 1971 13,143 1971 13,143 1972 12,035 1974 12,035 1975 12,035	(4) Coal 	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext 	(6) Nonmetallic Mining C 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2	(7) 0nstr 978 978 978 978 978 978 940	(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod 	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg 5,730 5,908 5,908 5,907 6,943 6,820	(10) Pet 	(11) Metal Proc
(1) a (2) Agric 17,290 16,938 16,938 16,938 16,938 15,885 15,885 15,885 15,885 15,885 14,281 14,281 13,143 13,143 13,143 13,143 12,772 12,772 12,035					Assoc Prod		Pet Refining 	Proc
2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2,068 1,978 2,000 1,978 2,034 1,986 1,986		5,730 5,726 5,908 5,969 6,943 6,820		
,				2,000 2,000 2,034 1,986 1,986 1,940		5,726 5,908 5,969 6,943 6,820		
				2,000 1,978 2,034 1,986 1,940		5,908 5,969 6,943 6,820		
				1,978 2,034 1,986 1,940 2,015		5,969 5,807 6,943 6,820		
5,7,7,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5				2,034 1,986 1,940 2,015	:::	5,807 6,943 6,820		1111
2555733375757 25557333757575 2555733375757575		:::	::;	1,986 1,940 2,015	11	6,943 6,820		111
15 12 12 12 12 14 12 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14		: :	: :	1,940 2,015	1	6,820	1	11
44 12 12 12 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14		!	;	2 015		•		1 1
14 13 13 13 13 13 14 13 13 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	ł			24263	1	7,295	1	
12 12 15 15 15 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13		:	;	2,022	:	7,478	!	ł
13 15 15 15 15 15 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	1	ł	1	2,074	ł	8,148	1	ľ
	;	1	;	1,958	ł	7,922	1	1
1222	ł	;	ł	1,805	:	8,705	ł	ł
212	ł	ł	;	1,894	1	9,679	ł	1
12	:	1 1	:	1,967	!	9,514	1	ł
-	1	1	5	1,692	ł	11,894	1	ł
J L	;	;	1	1,831	ł	10,619	;	1
12	1	1	1	2,216	1	11,133	ł	ł
12	ł	;	ł	2,154	1	12,598	1	ł
-	;	:	ł	2,447	1	12,931	ł	1
12	!	1	ł		1	13.431	1	1
13	1	!	:	1,979	ł	12,594	1	ł
13,	ł	ł	;	1,804	1	12,824	;	ł
1982 13,336	:	ł	!	1,611	;	12,409	ł	1

Ś

w

5

لغا

- continued -

					Sec	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	872	1,241		7,060	1,162	788	1,874	5,737	
1961	820	1,167		6,970	1,208	814	1,935	5,899	
1962	821	1,168		7,120	1,239	843	2,003	6,224	
1963	809	1,152		7,295	1,256	858	2,041	6,593	
1964	829	1,180		7,704	1,289	912	2,168	7,008	
1965	835	1,176		7,585	1,282	883	2,341	7,286	
1966	977	1,031		7,893	1,366	932	2,464	7,573	
1967	979	1,108		7,976	1,500	866	2,804	7,988	
1968	790	1,216		8,627	1,525	1,076	2,715	7,902	
1969	892	1,215		9,065	1,510	867	3,968	8,073	
1970	880	1,199		9,311	1,558	911	4,171	8,446	
1971	962	1,303		9,407	1,615	1,118	4,247	8,747	
1972	1,002	1,375		9,710	1,625	864	4,789	8,812	
1973	928	1,389		10,070	1,720	1,119	4,641	9,038	
1974	1,006	826		8,630	1,625	1,298	4,156	8,973	
1975	921	786		8,812	1,600	1,251	4,429	9,200	
1976	1,025	861		9,319	1,696	1,277	4,697	9,375	
1977	1,132	1,012		9,585	1,727	2,069	4,451	9,464	
1978	1,125	1,223		10,485	1,805	1,881	5,084	9,466	
1979	1,180	1,282		10,658	1,877	1,962	5,302	9,373	
1980	1,380	99 8		10,050	1,937	1,781	5,853	9,526	
1981	1,406	1,017		10,280	2,059	1,885	6,195	9,760	
1982	1,366	988		10,221	2,093	1,913	6,286	9,548	

APPENDIX TABLE 53. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

Υ.

× .

×.

ς.

×

ς.

ĸ

× .

ς.

1960-1982
10,
REGION
MINNESOTA REGION 10,
•
ECONOMI C
ВΥ
EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR
54.
ENDIX TABLE 54.
APPENDIX

.

					Sector	tor				
1	(1) & (2)	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(1)	(8) Lumber &	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Meta
ear	Agric	Bututw	BurntM	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Assoc Prod	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
960	-	1	1	ł	ł	2.735	ł	14 .075	1	1
61		1	ł	1	ł	2,616	1	14,067	ł	;
1962	15,834	;	!	1	1	2,645	1	14,513	ł	;
63	-	ł	1	ł	1	2,616	!	14,662	1	ł
1964	_	1	1	ł	ł	2,689	1	14,265	;	1
65		t T	!	ł	:	2,846	!	15,769	1	1 1
1966	14,360	!	!	ł	;	2,897	;	18,188	1	!
1967		ł	ł	1	a t	3,125	ł	19,970	1	ł
68	-	ł	ŀ	1	ł	3,100	1	18,781	ł	;
6961	13,156	!	;	!	;	3,206	;	21,095	1	1 1
70		1	1	1	0 1	3,028	;	20,511	;	1
176.		1	!	;	ł	3,307	;	21,718	1 3	1
972	-	1	ł	ļ	ł	2,980	ł	22,877	1	ł
73	11,849	1		ļ	;	3,291	1	24,443	ł	ł
74	11,521	1	;	1 t	1	3,584	1	24,288	ł	. 1
975	11,633	1		ł	5 1	3,030	;	23,970	1	ł
76	11,746	1	1	1 1	ł	3,545	;	25,279		ł
1977	11,855	!	!	ł	;	3,422	1	27,053	ł	1
78	11,967	l T	1	ł	:	4,060	ł	27,492	1	ł
6/	12,096	1	1	;	B I	4,193	ł	28,554	;	1
30	12,192	1	ŀ	;	;	3,290	1	27,584	ł	!
981	12,319	1	ł	ł	;	2,999	8	28,088	ł	ł
982	12,446		ł	ł	1	2.679	1	27,177	ł	1

continued -

Ŵ

Ŵ

۳

- 188 -

					Sec	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	1,645	1,988		10,069	2,262	1,385	4,769	8,081	
1961	1,546	1,869		9,940	2,351	1,430	4,926	8,309	
1962	1,548	1,871		10,155	2,412	1,481	5,100	8,767	
1963	1,527	1,845		10,404	2,445	1,508	5,194	9,287	
1964	1,563	1,889		10,987	2,509	1,602	5,518	9,872	
1965	1,765	1,701		11,639	2,402	1,632	5,916	10,264	
1966	1,840	1,609		12,132	2,500	2,081	5,617	10,791	
1967	1,548	2,019		12,814	2,647	1,968	6,477	11,407	
1968	1,551	1,857		13,389	2,767	2,213	6,132	11,454	
1969	1,888	1,925		13,734	3,033	1,729	7,861	11,885	
1970	1,863	1,899		14,106	3,129	1,817	8,262	12,434	
1971	1,777	1,570		14,425	2,748	2,756	8,138	12,970	
1972	1,639	1,850		14,676	2,583	2,347	9,124	13,218	
1973	1,952	1,810		15,784	2,618	2,387	9,313	13,528	
1974	1,499	1,408		15,370	2,680	2,600	9,451	13,431	
1975	1,498	1,511		15,633	2,698	2,757	10,207	13,802	
1976	1,645	1,615		16,503	2,778	3,175	10,951	13,944	
1977	1,788	1,977		17,143	2,887	2,989	10,785	14,390	
1978	1,977	1,971		17,367	3,101	3,339	11,423	14,632	
1979	2,074	2,067		17,654	3,225	3,482	11,913	14,489	
1980	2,055	1,892		18,271	3,013	3,769	14,037	14,618	
1981	2,093	1,928		18,688	3,201	3,990	14,858	14,977	
1982	2,034	1,874		18,582	3,256	4,048	15,076	14,651	

APPENDIX TABLE 54.	EMPLOYMENT B	ECONOMIC	SECTOR,	MINNESOTA	REGION 10	, 1960-1982	(CONTINUED)	
--------------------	--------------	----------	---------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------	--

•

~

Υ.

×.

۲

×

					Sect	or				
Year	(1) & (2) Agric	(3) Metal Mining	(4) Coal Mining	(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	(6) Nonmetallic Mining	(7) Constr	(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	(10) Pet Refining	(11) Metal Proc
1960	19,814	184				4,855	1,935	28,405		
1961	19,411	153				4,643	1,934	28,390		
1962	19,010	147				4,695	1,996	29,289		
1963	18,606	133				4,643	2,016	29,590		
1964	18,204	140				4,774	1,962	28,789		
1965	17,794	200				5,427	2,129	28,146		
1966	17,386	192				6,023	2,449	34,423		
1967	16,977	113				5,760	2,606	34,541		
1968	16,569	39				6,172	2,853	35,609		
1969	16,159	6				5,868	3,474	36,341		
1970	15,802	6				5,542	3,432	35,334		
1971	15,417					5,728	4,219	36,456		
1972	15,047					5,632	4,377	38,864		
1973	14,676					6,175	4,370	38,694		
1974	14,305					5,511	4,654	38,513		
1975	14,455					4,558	2,826	38,253		
1976	14,610					4,823	3,580	38,897		
1977	14,760					5,192	3,526	40,002		
1978	14,909					6,620	4,202	42,215		
1979	15,070					6,838	4,208	43,846		
1980	15,214					5,430	3,666	42,442		
1981	15,373					4,950	3,554	43,218		
1982	15,531					4,950	3,480	43,218		

APPENDIX TABLE 55. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982

C

(

Ć

6

Ç

¢

Ç

C

6

- 190 -

e

e

					Sec	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	3,901	3,044		16,426	3,081	3,680	12,405	12,405	
1961	3,668	2,862		16,216	3,202	3,801	12,814	12,755	
1962	3,672	2,865		16,566	3,285	3,935	13,265	13,458	
1963	3,621	2,825		16,972	3,330	4,008	13,511	14,256	
1964	3,707	2,892		17,924	3,417	4,258	14,353	15,154	
1965	3,795	2,657		18,453	3,277	4,226	14,963	15,756	
1966	3,744	3,006		19,528	3,406	4,277	15,855	16,636	
1967	3,819	3,147		20,523	3,733	4,270	16,875	17,649	
1968	3,445	3,188		21,181	4,018	5,088	16,269	17,612	
1969	4,332	2,841		22,169	4,310	5,169	17,370	18,029	
1970	4,274	2,803		22,771	4,446	5,433	18,257	18,862	
1971	4,142	2,964		23,762	4,230	6,151	19,265	19,604	
1972	3,603	3,396		24,573	4,363	6,372	21,233	19,852	
1973	4,066	2,976		24,358	4,657	6,343	22,330	20,402	
1974	3,647	2,945	~~	23,074	4,599	6,277	22,552	20,291	
1975	3,285	3,557		24,201	4,746	6,980	23,563	20,848	
1976	3,735	3,551		25,511	4,756	7,344	24,880	21,500	
1977	4,499	3,419		27,274	4,830	7,446	26,971	21,989	
1978	4,627	3,714		28,166	5,244	7,856	28,565	22,227	
1979	4,852	3,894		28,631	5,453	8,193	29,791	22,009	
1980	4,575	3,335		28,977	6,105	8,398	31,528	22,630	
1981	4,660	3,400		29,638	6,488	8,889	33,372	23,186	
1982	4,529	3,304		29,469	6,597	9,019	33,861	22,682	

APPENDIX TABLE 55. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

6

ť

Ę

6

Ę

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

€

Ŕ

.

E

					Sect	or				
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic		Lumber &	Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Assoc Prod	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	142,761	22,299				65,118	33,487	327,687		
1961	139,858	18,505				62,278	33,468	327,506		
1962	136,967	17,790				62,977	34,528	337,876		
1963	134,063	16,131				62,271	34,883	341,354		
1964	131,163	16,913				64,029	33,939	332,114		
1965	127,083	18,027				69,026	36,240	365,699		
1966	122,996	18,383				72,584	38,779	397,082		
1967	118,922	17,907				71,748	37,463	418,880		
1968	114,835	18,505				74,969	38,039	435,854		
1969	110,757	17,909				79,464	40,362	456,584		
1970	108,308	17,993				75,050	39,870	443,933		
1971	105,869	17,638				76,270	39,377	434,784		
1972	103,423	16,507				74,099	40,491	444,346		
1973	100,986	17,202				77,996	44,935	459,213		
1974	98,537	16,866				74,807	50,204	457,385		
1975	99,643	16,390				72,895	43,632	434,886		
1976	100,770	17,151				74,778	46,659	442,603		
1977	101,870	14,610				77,805	46,613	459,857		
1978	102,963	18,478				89,010	52,159	482,123		
1979	104,077	19,118				91,942	52,227	500,753		
1980	105,197	17,475				85,696	52,294	494,367		
1981	106,297	18,000			~~	78,120	50,700	503,408		
1982	107,389	11,102				69,769	49,793	487,088		

APPENDIX TABLE 56. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA, 1960-1982

¢

¢

l

l

K

- continued -

6

- 192 -

6

(

¢

C

(

					Sect	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14) Elec	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	55,463	43,578		192,863	57,171	51,924	110,337	177,325	
1961	52,147	40,973		190,392	59,431	53,637	113,979	182,326	
1962	52,203	41,017		194,504	60,960	55,524	117,988	192,369	
1963	51,477	40,447		199,268	61,797	56,554	120,178	203,775	
1964	52,702	41,409		210,450	63,425	60,076	127,662	216,612	
1965	52,735	41,434		216,091	62,977	62,166	132,102	225,223	
1966	54,263	42,635		229,494	64,757	65,582	139,362	239,101	
1967	55,786	43,831		238,252	67,835	69,599	147,899	253,906	
1968	56,264	44,208		250,173	70,343	73,944	157,131	255,511	
1969	57,850	45,453		260,689	74,127	77,917	165,575	265,789	
1970	57,076	44,846		267,767	76,471	81,897	174,032	278,065	
1971	58,038	45,601		277,953	79,554	87,427	185,782	291,576	
1972	58,229	45,751		289,408	80,967	92,379	196,306	296,517	
1973	59,644	46,864		300,910	84,594	95,462	202,856	302,324	
1974	58,726	46,142		301,754	84,330	97,956	208,158	302,672	
1975	57,188	44,934		304,189	86,420	101,888	216,513	311,065	
1976	57,564	45,228		317,065	89,071	106,657	226,645	315,579	
1977	58,602	46,044		334,778	93,095	113,072	240,279	324,245	
1978	59,246	46,551		352,771	97,234	120,170	255,360	329,898	
1979	62,132	48,818		358,597	101,114	125,324	266,315	326,660	
1980	62,669	49,210		365,188	106,196	132,525	281,616	336,734	
1981	63,844	50,163		373,521	112,853	140,279	298,092	345,020	
1982	62,042	48,747		371,399	114,762	142,333	302,457	337,508	

.

APPENDIX TABLE 56. EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA, 1960-1982 (CONTINUED)

6

í,

ŧ

ķ

ť

ŧ

6

¢

ķ

k

٤

					Sector	•				
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	26,938	74,929				51,396	34,951	28,330		
1961	27,143	77,711				53,640	36,101	27,966		
962	27,047	72,056				52,965	35,789	26,462		
963	26,534	76,025				53,779	36,379	25,169		
964	28,656	73,401				56,431	40,252	28,737		
965	31,171	68,848				60,783	44,724	26,799		
966	35,018	71,125				25,606	42,887	28,797		
967	38,103	66,514				23,810	42,386	32,573		
968	41,994	66,924				31,538	43,216	28,878		
969	47,408	73,695				38,436	42,884	32,532		
970	51,047	74,334				36,623	42,301	36,456		
.971	50,762	65,042				36,299	42,805	38,867		
.972	50,427	73,224				45,331	46,748	35,404		
.973	66,850	86,447				50,261	41,525	50,796		
.974	65,747	98,431				74,159	38,334	72,443		
.975	69,226	99,192				65,647	32,257	102,267		
.976	71,777	100,936				63,330	35,366	76,509		
.977	73,269	76,964				46,927	35,818	67,372		
978	70,755	117,950				44,881	38,361	80,409		
979	74,692	99,329				46,688	41,006	82,372		
.980	84,788	109,357				58,581	32,796	98,307		
981	76,641	141,768				60,935	30,207	97,938		
982	82,273	233,047				67,360	28,217	118,534		

APPENDIX TABLE 57. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 2, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

ŧ

¢

E

¢

6

€

¢

¢

- 194

ŧ

¢

€

BY ECONOMIC SECTOR,
RATIOS,
GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, 2, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)
APPENDIX TABLE 57. MINNESOTA REGION

متنا

روب

مل

ور

29

					Sector				
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Refail	(16)	(17) Ruc & Darc	(18) Drof 2	(61)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE		Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	36,457	53,684	!	75,122	107,368	.229	19.678	7.568	1
1961	35,623	55,781	ł	75,645	101,336		18,852	7,166	1
1962	33,569	55,740	1	75,009	99,456	97,016	18,470	6.794	ł
1963	33,331	55,986	!	72,889	97,150	96,461	18,027	6,329	:
1964	33,702	57,236	;	71,868	99,178	95,100	17,813	6.221	:
1965	34,569	54 ,824	1	72,426	104,346	87,403	18,609	6.108	:
1966	29,587	64,749	1	75,277	103,219	77,567	20,192	6,308	:
1967	26,129	58,431	ľ	74,343	100,630	72,615	17,300	5,941	:
1968	21,696	65,125	ł	69,606	104,762	72,433	15,670	5,819	!
1969	28,035	59,949	1	71,755	99,648	83,331	15,182	6,216	1
1970	28,438	60,607	;	70,442	96,772	79,335	14,324	5,944	:
1971	24,065	61,532	ł	68,820	89,046	65,285	13,163	5,817	;
1972	24,387	59,201	ł	65,265	84,943	66 ,689	12,331	5,820	ł
1973	25,117	69,924	1	70,834	97,498	83,075	13,605	6,669	:
1974	24,855	71,901	;	73,505	96,830	82,110	13,093	6,944	ł
1975	21,726	83,192	:	70,396	103,535	41,467	13,117	6,598	:
1976	21,682	85,387	;	70,649	107,166	66 ,842	10,383	6,770	;
1977	19,444	68,368	ł	69,277	97,671	56,387	11,595	6,741	ł
1978	20,520	81,406	1	67,262	101,480	67,101	10,895	6,954	ł
1979	19,259	79,958	1	68,744	100,785	67,708	10,802	7.217	ł
1980	23,348	77,660	ł	68,004	92,494	54,589	10,560	7,195	1
1981	23,878	72,572	1	63,058	81,967	48,754	9,341	6,671	ł
1982	24,549	74,404	ł	64,026	80,658	47,664	9,034	6,818	!

					Sector	`				
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	157,857	58,364				40,148	41,491	79,642		
1961	161,841	59,943				41,220	41,566	80,563		
962	164,800	59,130				40,341	40,907	78,269		
963	168,326	69,401				41,736	41,843	77,851		
964	174,783	73,397				43,876	46,646	80,750		
965	190,160	68,825				30,055	50,003	74,633		
966	209,982	71,121				22,092	49,628	65,274		
967	229,590	66,526				25,025	62,210	66,681		
968	251,266	66,956				34,103	50,264	69,813		
969	275,743	73,737				44,037	54,288	63,874		
970	274,480	68,988				37,462	53,135	63,900		
971	274,309	65,014				33,398	51,300	63,906		
.972	275,250	73,261				30,098	53,487	62,676		
973	314,102	86,451				32,946	55,233	75,694		
974	325,204	98,449				47,326	55,154	86,443		
975	318,776	99,177				46,645	58,701	93,439		
976	314,954	100,918				36,344	59,938	98,396		
.977	302,692	76,965				27,617	62,119	103,975		
978	296,237	117,927				33,956	78,301	91,803		
979	321,127	130,261				36,554	85,249	97,013		
980	302,251	109,396				37,232	74,160	114,272		
981	294,287	115,842				41,173	71,595	111,518		
982	315,506	190,557				46,593	68,742	127,878		

APPENDIX TABLE 58. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 3, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Ē

¢

¢

¢

€

٢

¢

¢

- 196 -

٤

¢

¢

GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR.	•
RATIOS.	
(PRODUCTIVITY)	CONTINUED)
EMPLOYMENT	DOLLARS) (C
VOLUME TO	BO = BASE
GROSS BUSINESS	<pre>I, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)</pre>
APPENDIX TABLE 58.	MINNESOTA REGION 3,

لعتك

فغلف

-32

100

فند

<u>ند</u>.

(1) & (2) Metal Year (1) & (2) Metal 1960 47,877 (1) 1961 49,216 (1) 1962 49,290 (1) 1963 52,890 (1) 1965 64,147 (1) 1966 65,230 (1) 1966 65,230 (1) 1967 70,052 (1) 1969 80,126 (1) 1970 79,133 (1) 1971 86,501 (1) 1973 134,880 (1) 1973 134,880 (1)	(4) al Coal Mining	(2)	Sector					
(1) & (2) Agric 47,877 49,290 52,890 58,189 64,147 65,230 70,052 70,052 70,052 79,133 86,501 87,941 134,880 140,701			(9)	(1)	(8)	6	(10)	(11)
		Pet/NG Exp/Ext	Nonmetallic Mining	Constr	Lumber	Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Pet Refining	Metal Proc
	•	;	1	22,762	•	110.107		1
	;	1	;	24,282	:	113,157	ł	ļ
		:	;	23,655	ł	111,196	ł	1
	;	1	ł	25,154	;	111,942	ł	1
	;	1 1	ł	26,921	:	119,663	1	ł
	:	;	!	30,036	ł	126,121	1	1 1
	;	1	!	37,478	1	117,003	1	1
78 80 11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	:	I	;	34,663	1	107,404	!	t 1
	1	1	ł	40,570	1	118,168	1	!
	•	I I	;	30,370	1	98,411	ł	;
	1	1	1	32,895	ł	104,998	!	1
	1	!	:	28,666	1	104,904	ł	1
134	1	ł	;	30,731	ł	99,985	:	ł
140	1	ł	:	38,567	1	124,050	1	:
	•	;	ł	44,138	!	103,288	1	!
	1	1	ł	36,764	1	120,634	ł	1
137,	;	ł	1	38,075	;	127,646	1	ł
13	;	ł	!	33,955	1	147,424	ł	!
1978 147,584	;	1	:	32,740	1	139,563	1	1
152	1	1	;	34,720	1	147,028	1	1
156	1	1 1	ł	60,579	ł	152,527	:	!
156,71	;	;	;	53,519	!	146,959	1	1
1982 153,695	1	ł	ţ	58,645	1 1	163,669	ł	!

- 198 -

5

خ

الغا

\$

- continued -

5

\$

					Sect	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	4,563	66,401		69,850	103,027	42,747	13,415	7,708	
1961	4,921	71,577		71,816	100,441	42,130	13,186	7,604	
1962	4,940	72,490		70,695	98,537	41,376	12,957	7,275	
1963	5,202	76,473		72,573	102,379	42,442	13,232	7,185	
1964	5,399	79,421		73,202	106,478	42,036	13,241	7,202	
1965	5,885	82,035		74,715	107,625	37,275	14,847	7,370	
1966	5,053	76,631		71,613	103,112	44,387	12,950	6,948	
1967	6,936	81,950		74,547	110,739	45,186	12,337	6,724	
1968	5,239	88,474		70,077	108,401	40,777	11,694	6,784	
1969	5,368	103,624		73,185	104,076	44,792	9,821	7,197	
1970	5,337	101,989		69,082	97,349	41,867	9,011	6,651	
1971	5,865	91,116		69,219	101,812	33,687	9,452	6,940	
1972	5,209	82,897		73,310	104,355	32,138	9,181	7,011	
1973	6,026	118,283		97,943	135,313	43,828	11,369	9,290	
1974	7,053	134,665		92,725	143,760	44,795	12,524	9,631	
1975	6,183	104,675		75,982	113,761	31,871	9,690	7,860	
1976	6,355	130,256		81,182	114,449	34,447	11,089	9,252	
1977	6,965	78,828		77,645	120,217	41,333	9,350	8,917	
1978	7,272	81,111		85,024	127,710	35,788	11,862	9,503	
1979	7,205	78,873		85,323	125,006	35,201	11,495	9,768	
1980	7,987	101,641		90,878	118,776	34,937	8,781	9,847	
1981	7,683	98,009		88,360	110,990	32,905	8,131	9,492	
1982	7,885	99,033		87,225	106,771	32,107	7,801	9,502	

APPENDIX TABLE 59. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 4, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

(()

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

(

E

.

ŧ

٤

ŧ

					Sector	•				
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
4	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic	. .		Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
960	42,305					41,399		86,963		
961	43,763					43,818		87,238		
962	41,361					40,968		81,697		
963	43,514					43,357		79,072		
964	45,739					44,468		85,208		
965	50,946					51,377		79,168		
966	53,561					56,668		81,327		
967	55,950					48,144		80,594		
968	57,703			~-		49,341		80,593		
969	60,095					39,052		91,742		
970	59,855					35,011		95,992		
971	62,621					36,582		78,127		
972	63,408					40,996		81,264		
973	102,400					67,912		99,750		
974	110,160					62,253		80,006		
975	89,749					57,353		83,622		
976	84,981					62,800		80,708		
977	95,802					47,415		83,113	~	
978	95,072					40,326		75,587		
979	102,488					41,818		79,519		
980	111,876					60,001		78,876		
981	101,006					62,429		73,123		
982	97,991					67,738		79,254		

APPENDIX TABLE 60. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 5, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

¢

e

(

6

C

¢.

ſ

(

¢ .

¢

6

ł

OS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR,	
ν ίτγ) rati	
r (PRODUCTI	(CONTINUED)
EMPLOYMENT	DOLLARS) (
GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PROBUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR,	ഹ
APPENDIX TABLE 60.	MINNESOTA REGION

. مو

Jur

<u>i</u>

مو

Ŵ

 فلا

م

Ŵ

,

العا

y

					Sector	or			
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
		Comm &		Retail		Bus & Pers	Prof &		
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
0201	0 46.2	30 E16		04 461		10 01 1		000	
190U	CC+ 5 7	016,02	!	104,401	00C* 66	52 Juo4	14 , 224	10,489	-
1961	10,204	30,843	ł	87,074	97,422	51,720	14,009	10,370	!
1962	9,700	29,500	1	80,948	89,713	47,935	13,008	9,349	ł
1963	10,070	30,876	ł	82,705	92,545	49,127	13,173	9,158	ł
1964	10,145	31,076	!	80,541	92,957	47,567	12.761	8,872	:
1965	9,718	31,068	ł	85,435	116,96	56,160	13,044	9.222	;
1966	5,934	46,284	1	86,003	101,592	61,029	13,049	9.280	;
1967	6,011	45,109	!	86,153	90,228	57,828	13,190	9,324	1
1968	9,377	31,420	ł	81,958	80,181	54,020	12,827	9,493	t I
1969	8,972	33,599	;	79,017	81,611	56,009	11,605	9,422	1
1970	8,951	33,755	ļ	76,385	78,376	53,305	10,975	8,923	ł
1971	9,027	34,026	ł	73,522	86,148	37,914	10,560	8,766	1
1972	9,791	33,384	1	68,756	83,756	27,322	9,984	8,712	ł
1973	12,499	42,942	ł	98,435	111,369	46,695	13,707	12,217	ł
1974	14,978	42,471	:	110,605	142,415	54,841	14,931	12,903	;
1975	13,341	42,922	ł	87,859	113,174	47,300	12,925	10,669	ł
1976	11,444	45,284	1	87,084	104,081	32,387	11,871	10,033	ł
1977	7,940	69,577	;	91,220	111,601	50,930	13,113	10,984	ł
1978	9,982	48,206	ł	81,459	103,278	47,189	13,068	10,721	1
1979	10,303	49,687	!	86,672	107,599	48,637	13,525	11,714	1
1980	10,479	62,815	;	92,828	111,677	60,158	11,491	12,614	!
1981	9,410	55,818	F I	83,075	95,307	52,880	9,757	11,139	;
1982	9,522	55,878	1	81,509	90,903	51,633	9,286	11,049	ł

- 201 -

					Sector					
	(1) & (2)	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Metal
lear	Ágric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	47,992					31,986	80,478	62,632		
1961	50,468					34,519	83,991	63,709		
.962	51,315					34,265	83,600			
.963	50,616					34,484	85,148			
964	54,387					36,305	94,488	67,984		
965	59,117					38,122	101,742	69,030		
966	65,870					42,126	106,115	63,395		
967	68,013					45,411	87,670	67,855		
968	74,081					37,811	78,546	57,848		
969	78,444					36,241	69,655	62,878	÷	
970	82,865					39,614	68,553	68,325		
971	84,397					37,630	98,641	67,802		
972	88,213					42,442	86,541	69,789		
973	115,700					54,009	67,277	81,817		
974	112,304					43,914	59,509	69,261		
975	113,963					45,169	53,306	82,083		
.976	116,517					44,625	69,463	82,401		
977	119,362					39,885	71,721	78,615		
978	120,051					29,978	69,864	79,866		
979	130,175					30,940	74,909	84,480		
980	134,752					38,789	75,254	84,716		
981	126,442					40,948	68,731	81,093		
982	128,397					45,941	64,090	91,173		

APPENDIX TABLE 61. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 6, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

ŧ

۴

€

E

€

€

E

F

6

€

€

APPEND MINN	APPENDIX TABLE 61. MINNESOTA REGION	9	GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO , 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE		PLOYMENT (LARS) (CO	PRODUCTIVITY) NTINUED)	EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)	ECONOMIC SI	ECTOR,
					Sector	D			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service		Govt	Households
1960	7,485	51,878	:	62,153	104,053	57,114	13,325	9,083	:
1961	8,208	56,916	;	64,954	103,352	57,282	13,314	9,116	1
1962	8,318	58,208	•	65,041	103,087	56,773	13,223	8,836	ł
1963	8,315	57,921	1	62,292	609, 66	55,268	12,739	8,164	ł
1964	8,628	59,642	1	61,941	102,108	54,843	12,622	8,086	ł
1965	8,331	58,096	1	62,328	105,022	56,394	12,423	8,290	ł
1966	9,112	64,601	ł	58,412	107,333	65,150	12,386	8,578	:
1967	9,728	66,669	1	60,312	102,019	65,087	11,767	8,302	:
1968	8,999	68,526	ł	62,047	102,379	61,199	12,789	8,507	1
1969	7,936	68,098	l	64,555	97,852	52,806	12,435	8,200	ł
1970	8,190	70,158	1	64,285	96,776	51,001	11,955	7,982	1
1971	9,072	65,531	;	59,002	92,600	45,097	10,346	7,648	;
1972	11,058	52,327	1	57,932	94,203	44,933	10,276	7,842	;
1973	12,398	62,653	1	68,906	114,279	52,272	12,307	9,405	;
1974	11,607	81,056	1	69,832	126,062	51,977	9 * 800	9,005	ľ
1975	13,169	70,147	1	68,259	120,518	53,183	9,635	8,854	ł
1976	12,393	65,865	!	68,809	121,304	43,041	10,196	8,875	1
1977	10,362	63,012	:	66,085	119,794	47,568	9,733	8,892	ł
1978	9,155	73,590	ł	63,900	105,358	39,671	9,417	8,811	1
1979	9,440	75,358	1	67,515	108,855	40,759	9,659	9,557	1
1980	10,115	72,953	1	67,282	101,286	37,131	669 ° 6	9,868	;
1981	9,366	67,567	:	62,600	90,364	33,268	8,602	9,104	ł
1982	9,774	70,000	1 1	63,658	89,665	32,923	8,454	9,378	!

محلف

ت ا

الات

لنف

مك ا

-

-SS

Ś

4

لك

- 203 -

VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA	
RATIOS,	
(PRODUCTIVITY)	
TO EMPLOYMENT	
VOLUME 1	DOLLARS)
GROSS BUSINESS V	30 = BASE 1
GROSS	82 (198
APPENDIX TABLE 62.	REGION 7, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE
APPENDIX	REGION

					Sector					
		(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1060	70V VV					<			ľ	
TJUU	19,400	1	;	1	!		8	•	:	1
1961	51,552	ł	;	1	:	32,572	1	93,109	ł	1
1962	52,382	1	1	1	ł		1	88,239	1	1
1963	55,109	ł	ľ	1	:	34,007	1	85,080	1	ľ
1964	54,132	ł	ł	:	1	32,757	1	89,657	ł	ł
1965	61,310	1	1	:	;	40,472	!	• •	1	1
1966	66,942	1	:	1	ł	38,760	ł		ł	;
1967	66,630	ŀ	1	1	;	38,103	1		;	1
1968	68,409	1	!	;	:	34,428	 	75,449	ł	1
1969	74,584	1	;	1	ł	37,290	ł	75,704	1	;
1970	75,635	;	ł	;	;	39,337	1	79,076	1	ł
1971	76,250	r I	!	1	ł	35,335	!	69,497	;	ł
1972	80,870	t I	;	1	ł	38,337	;	65,526	ł	1
1973	131,371	;	;	ł	;	47,154	ł		ł	1
1974	131,149	ł	;	!	ł	48,009	ł	67,751	;	ł
1975	109,266	1	;	ł	ţ	42,999	ł	74,376	1	!
1976	. 90,497	1 1	1 1	!	ţ	33,700	ł		ł	ł
1977	108,174	ł	;	1	t 1	45,246	ł	66,452	1	ł
1978	115,196	1	1	1	ł		1	72,481	1	1
1979	118,391	!	1 1	;	;	42,406	1	75,136	1	ł
1980	126,949	1	1	1	;	52,768	ł	66,580	ł	ł
1981	116,953	1	8	;	I T	50,442	1	62,074	1	;
1982	112,376	t I	1	t t	;	54,305	ł	67,377	1	1

٩

لننه

\$

ت

يتفا

چ

ت

منتها

-

- 204 -

					Sect	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	10,235	49,391		79,325	110,087	58,046	19,292	10,481	,
1961	11,088	53,519		81,774	107,783	56,960	19,047	10,386	
1962	11,124	54,151		80,986	106,436	55,759	18,722	9,966	
1963	11,538	56,606		82,626	109,419	57,346	18,947	9,745	
1964	11,000	53,824		75,660	103,127	52,546	17,356	8,893	
1965	11,592	55,347		85,043	113,297	52,878	17,385	9,393	
1966	13,741	59,922		87,104	113,171	52,425	17,526	9,660	
1967	14,772	54,267		86,700	105,112	56,015	15,528	9,173	
1968	12,288	55,596		84,980	100,955	63,817	13,127	9,247	
1969	11,646	64,268		88,800	98,972	72,339	17,124	9,775	
1970	11,792	65,224		86,778	96,217	69,157	16,307	9,357	
1971	12,139	66,774		,600 84	107,617	38,917	16,483	9,024	
1972	12,195	63,644		85,396	104,834	36,489	15,298	9,082	
1973	15,452	101,327		122,042	154,206	68,451	20,555	13,369	
1974	19,974	116,703		120,355	145,606	51,125	21,900	13,266	
1975	17,733	97,041		96,164	121,012	39,911	16,778	11,089	
1976	11,460	83,959		80,111	100,390	30,747	14,209	9,092	
1977	14,094	77,797		98,411	123,902	41,212	16,018	10,770	
1978	10,739	99,864		95,06 8	123,240	33,557	15,251	10,896	
1979	10,718	99,411		97,379	123,407	33,505	15,240	11,467	
1980	14,615	107,804		111,423	123,152	43,436	16,270	12,119	
1981	13,274	97,670		101,449	107,551	38,432	14,142	10,945	
1982	13,313	96,938		98,207	101,645	36,690	13,359	10,761	

APPENDIX TABLE 62. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

Ľ

×

Ϋ́,

ŧ

¢

£

۴

× ×

6

Ę

₹

					Sector					
		(3)		(2)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal		Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
0.01										
1960	401,36/	1	:	1	:	-	29,332	64,614	ł	;
1961	431,127	1	!	ł	:		30,532	68,107	ł	;
1962	456,216	1	ł	1	ł		30,465	68,679	ł	1
1963	479,977	;	ł	ł	1	22,719	31,031	70,582	;	t 1
1964	522,225	;	ł	1	;		34,406	77,468	:	1
1965	566,830	ł	1	1	1		32,209	73,184	ł	1
1966	611,708	r I	;	!	;	26,105	31,702	71,425	1	;
1967	654,280	ł	:	;	;	27,363	33,558	69,253	t I	1
1968	701,020	ł	1	;	;	27,411	33,728	68,594	1	ł
1969	738,298	ł	;	1	:	25,636	30,236	66,844	ł	1
1970	759,726	ł	ł	1	ŀ	26,609	29,783	69,368	1 1	ł
1971	788,262	1	1	1	;	25,548	30,866	73,393	ļ	ł
1972	822,675	1	1	1	;	26,182	31,777	73,239	1	1
1973	947,065	1	1 1	I 1	;	28,502	30,859	76,646	1	ł
1974	1,009,661		1	1 1	ľ	29,343	29,236	81,734	1	ł
1975	1,009,427	1	1 1	8	:	32,253	24,784	88,410	ł	;
1976	1,044,118	ł	1	ł	:	34,036	28,006	93,038	E I	;
1977		ł	1	1	:	36,375	28,369	93,050	t I	1
1978		1	1	ł	!	30,409	29,398	87,081	!	1
1979	1,131,288	1	1	;	ł	32,125	31,551	92,492	;	1
1980		1	1	1	;	31,091	25,151	92,895	ł	;
1981		1	1	ł	1	33,011	23,001	90,223	!	1
1982	1,166,038	;	t t	;	;	38,505	21,440	103,067	1	ł

w

عت

Ŵ

خلا

ŝ

4

Ψ

ŵ

s.

 \mathbf{v}

- 206 -

					Sect	tor			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	3,751	33,372		65,264	36,294	20,901	10,285	9,429	
1961	4,186	37,217		69,334	36,640	21,159	10,432	9,620	
1962	4,369	39,182		71,360	37,641	21,370	10,669	9,613	
1963	4,557	40,702		71,391	38,056	21,513	10,702	9,295	
1964	4,731	42,094		71,495	39,281	21,366	10,652	9,259	
1965	5,067	44,280		73,588	41,714	21,671	10,867	9,418	
1966	5,279	45,408		74,379	43,897	21,786	11,214	9,526	
1967	5,190	47,565		75,098	44,402	21,141	11,357	9,432	
1968	5,378	53,285		77,667	47,214	21,506	12,930	10,268	
1969	5,504	51,820		76,851	46,191	20,513	11,632	10,049	
1970	5,472	50,550		72,475	43,190	19,042	10,529	9,244	
1971	5,308	49,358		69,062	40,113	18,423	9,667	8,673	
1972	5,368	51,201		67,115	39,872	17,562	9,493	8,610	
1973	5,891	54,989		70,947	41,930	18,355	10,105	9,340	
1974	5,815	54,901		71,110	42,615	18,251	9,927	9,477	
1975	6,015	59,660		73,946	42,761	18,228	9,894	9,471	
1976	6,654	63,230		75,938	44,325	18,672	10,258	10,022	
1977	7,023	66,939		74,452	43,824	17,988	9,889	10,071	
1978	7,122	64,873		69,542	42,012	17,104	9,149	9,826	
1979	7,377	66,635		73,783	43,579	17,651	9,401	10,693	
1980	7,048	63,417		72,516	41,814	16,287	9,114	10,393	
1981	6,715	60,053		68,738	38,065	14,883	8,260	9,800	
1982	7,339	64,823		72,792	39,392	15,308	8,445	10,522	

APPENDIX TABLE 63. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

(

Ę

K

Ć

ŧ

٤

É

¢

¢

ł.

6

		_			Sector					
	(1) & (2)	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	50,180					28,853		55,926		
1961	53,720					31,441		58,479		
1962	54,978					31,214		57,489		
963	58,665					33,466		57,237		
1964	60,247					33,805		65,302		
965	63,943					36,531		61,821		
966	75,118					42,107		73,527		
967	79,135					42,468		71,800		
968	80,120					43,821		72,649		
969	89,242					44,191		71,151		
970	94,119					49,253		75,203		
.971	92,018					55,158		68,932		
.972	110,076					58,291		67,744		
973	155,515					69,225		84,067		
974	152,484					75,410		62,049		
.975	121,715					60,269		63,890		
.976	110,294					43,411		59,343		
977	115,816					51,682		52,286		
978	130,854					49,943		54,801		
979	126,074					47,303		54,930		
980	129,827					66,915		59,065		
981	117,227					64,157		53,589		
982	110,863					68,011		57,037		

APPENDIX TABLE 64. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

¢

(

¢

¢

¢

ſ

€

F

(

6

¢

					Sect	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	10,720	51,221		75,017	94,541	59,905	26,462	11,898	
1961	11,940	57,076		79,791	95,481	60,860	26,845	12,136	
1962	12,077	58,126		79,373	94,663	59,820	26,512	11,708	
1963	12,750	61,747		82,327	99,059	62,188	27,223	11,634	
1964	12,679	61,218		78,897	97,730	59,333	25,963	11,089	
1965	13,176	63,901		82,448	101,407	62,917	24,994	11,054	
1966	12,954	84,209		91,027	109,852	67,746	27,524	12,285	
1967	13,448	82,575		95,846	106,282	77,715	25,512	12,300	
1968	16,625	74,973		87,912	103,797	61,966	26,243	12,361	
1969	15,950	81,935		91,805	115,021	84,450	19,645	13,239	
1970	16,632	85,449		92,709	115,443	83,572	19,182	13,051	
1971	14,686	75,692		87,505	106,283	65,034	18,138	12,075	
1972	16,150	82,659		98,500	122,897	97,079	18,559	13,879	
1973	22,827	105,790		123,677	151,326	96,370	24,677	17,603	
1974	20,158	173,041		142,780	157,780	83,010	26,818	17,319	
1975	18,466	151,730		113,740	130,570	70,651	21,074	13,940	
1976	15,461	129,134		99,385	113,778	64,478	18,566	12,701	
1977	15,040	120,292		107,230	123,899	43,980	21,521	13,836	
1978	16,712	108,310		107,678	130,011	53,001	20,360	15,121	
1979	15,823	102,813		104,383	123,323	50,251	19,476	15,131	
1980	14,208	139,706		117,568	126,948	58,634	18,693	15,772	
1981	12,685	124,838		105,804	109,515	51,375	16,028	14,051	
1982	12,521	121,997		100,711	101,828	48,162	14,933	13,603	

APPENDIX TABLE 64. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

لا

x

ĸ

Ľ

×.

×

Υ.

X.

•

Ň

κ.

٤

					Sector					
		(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(10)	
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
ear	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
960	58.551	;	;	ł	E B	27.312	ł	44.935	:	ł
961	66.438	ļ	ł	ł	1	31,569	;	52,153	t	!
1962	67,801	;	1	1	1	31,331	:	51,399	;	!
963	69,019	ļ	t I	1	1	32,093	1	51,655	!	ł
964	77,482	ł	ľ	ł	1	35,173	ł	59,059	ł	1
965	81,078	ł	ł	!	;	34,712	ł	58,344	!	1
996	92,223	ł	1	1	1	37,566	ł	55,038	:	1
967	92,743	ł	ł	ł	;	34,874	ł	51,929	1	1
968	91,487	;	!	;	!	33,659	1	52,901	!	ł
696	102,520	:	ŀ	I I	;	34,392	ł	53,775	L L	ł
970	111,346	;	1	1	;	37,269	•	57,059	1	1
971	108,254	ł	;	ł	1	35,578	1	54,740	;	!
972	124,374	!	;	;	;	45,850	!	54,716	ł	;
973	175,676	ł	1	1	1	51,847	;	62,472	1	L t
974	184,309	;	!	:	;	48,391	!	65,794	t	ł
975	155,508	r 1	ł	!	:	63,509	ł	67,139	!	;
976	159,291	1 1	L I	ł	:	49,885	1	67,357	ł	ł
977	157,250	!	1	r T	t I	46,141	ł	65,766	1	ł
978	170,363	!	;	ł	;	40,905	!	65,997	1	ł
979	167,179	1	1	ŀ	1	38,075	1	68,488	t I	!
980	171,808	;	1	1	r 1	50,351	ł	160,17	ł	;
981	155,257	1	{	ł	;	52,550	1	67,388	ł	ł
982	157,262	ł	t t	ł	ł	59 323	;	75 314	;	;

APPENDIX TABLE 65. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA

- 210 -

-

1

-

٤

continued -

					Sect	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	7,024	39,354		64,085	59,451	41,731	12,622	10,288	
1961	8,329	46,597		72,679	64,095	44,967	13,552	11,160	
1962	8,430	47,596		72,726	63,905	44,458	13,427	10,812	
1963	8,555	48,319		71,243	63,213	43,884	13,177	10,218	
1964	9,179	51,849		74,634	68,156	45,532	13,587	10,586	
1965	8,413	59,205		71,543	72,510	45,317	13,020	10,421	
1966	8,979	70,449		77,237	78,618	39,682	15,520	11,172	
1967	10,710	56,819		73,942	75,085	42,500	13,646	10,678	
1968	10,241	59,182		67,798	68,813	36,212	13,808	10,188	
1969	9,463	65,404		75,171	71,635	52,726	12,445	11,223	
1970	9,919	67,981		76,165	71,988	52,312	12,050	11,052	
1971	10,128	79,994		71,616	78,963	33,197	11,909	10,251	
1972	12,090	74,873		78,109	93,226	42,972	11,720	11,130	
1973	13,156	97,969		94,139	119,138	54,276	14,627	14,027	
1974	17,576	129,745		100,714	120,949	52,091	14,803	14,593	
1975	15,966	108,650		87,108	105,894	43,432	12,267	12,608	
1976	15,029	105,584		86,163	107,341	39,486	11,878	12,990	
1977	13,949	87,340		83,443	104,131	42,241	12,250	12,724	
1978	13,360	92,266		87,471	102,751	40,111	12,149	13,232	
1979	12,931	89,149		86,445	99,415	38,729	11,797	13,486	
1980	13,523	101,756		87,105	111,100	37,240	10,516	13,971	
1981	12,211	90,822		77,588	95,066	32,221	8,968	12,382	
1982	12,883	94,617		79,034	94,616	32,220	8,881	12,803	

APPENDIX TABLE 65. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 10, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

É

¢

€

É

6

ŧ

£

ŧ

k

Ę

6

					Sector					
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	(1) & (2)	Metal	Coal	Pet/NG	Nonmetallic			Ag Proc &	Pet	Metal
Year	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
1960	86,961	63,440				30,856	75,916	63,916		
1961	93,689	87,405				33,947	79,020	66,420		
962	95,479	60,136				33,655	78,717	65,488		
963	96,847	94,586				34,432	80,258	65,789		
.964	101,739	72,857				35,611	88,923	72,999		
.965	113,292	69,130				34,567	87,091	80,199		
966	123,627	70,833				33,023	79,096	69,534		
967	127,299	67,195				35,037	74,484	72,194		
968	131,586	69,744				33,341	67,161	71,683		
969	139,848	75,500				38,606	54,084	71,575		
970	145,347					44,338	53,267	74,114		
971	145,882					38,265	43,331	72,144		
972	157,854					39,337	44,587	68,818		
973	203,469					39,242	47,274	78,318		
974	205,449					45,037	46,455	80,105		
975	190,692					55,276	58,891	82,394		
976	195,159					53,675	55,106	84,153		
977	192,640					49,368	57,529	84,142		
978	198,174					38,770	56,460	79,706		
979	211,129					53,644	60,587	84,073		
980	211,934					52,412	57,125	87,942		
981	204,377					56,706	52,264	,727		
982	204,884					63,356	48,730	95,442		

APPENDIX TABLE 66. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

- continued -

C

¢

¢

¢

6

¢

¢

C

¢

¢

¢

					Sect	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(19)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	Service	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	7,115	53,365		80,280	85,804	42,114	9,802	13,239	
1961	7,953	59,734		85,870	87,258	42,828	9,990	13,581	
1962	7,980	60,707		85,490	86,527	42,173	9,847	13,086	
1963	8,191	61,800		83,866	85,674	41,894	9,686	12,386	 `
1964	8,325	62,662		81,978	86,399	40,864	9,449	12,062	
1965	8,822	74,018		86,467	98,287	44,066	9,848	12,629	
1966	9,525	70,513		87,610	102,009	45,945	10,077	12,912	
1967	9,449	69,171		85,721	95,532	47,412	9,732	12,488	
1968	10,519	69,345		84,147	90,152	39,997	10,270	12,714	
1969	8,592	80,533		83,734	87,074	41,038	9,938	12,861	
1970	8,779	82,352		82,813	85,503	39,518	9,503	12,420	
1971	8,961	77,281		78,454	88,880	34,706	8,963	11,843	
1972	10,739	70,365		79,239	90,230	34,850	8,504	12,231	
1973	11,246	95,843		95,868	101,835	40,739	9,683	14,327	
1974	12,633	97,775		102,905	104,435	42,353	9,662	14,533	
1975	13,317	77,708		93,863	96,496	36,014	8,837	13,540	
1976	12,334	81,651		93,222	100,894	36,263	8,803	13,749	
1977	10,373	85,973		87,976	100,329	36,216	8,244	13,600	
1978	10,420	80,607		86,739	94,016	35,516	7,927	13,688	
1979	10,900	84,087		92,869	98,578	36,769	8,291	15,076	
1980	11,276	97,137		91,389	87,523	35,192	7,747	14,585	
1981	10,685	92,282		87,361	80,250	32,332	7,055	13,823	
1982	11,139	95,428		88,246	79,128	31,926	6,921	14,174	

APPENDIX TABLE 66. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)

É

¢

٤

¢

Ę

É

¢

ŧ

é.

¢

¢

					Sector					
	(1) & (2)	(3) Metal	(4) Coal	(5) Pet/NG	(6) Nonmetallic	(7)	(8)	(9) Ag Proc &	(10) Pet	(11) Metal
lear	Agric	Mining	Mining	Exp/Ext	Mining	Constr	Lumber	Misc Mfg	Refining	Proc
.960	107,150	61,775				23,804	37,873	64,348		
961	114,374	63,778				25,802	39,163	67,550		
962	118,751	61,768				26,044	38,940	67,528		
963	123,399	70,952		~-		26,981	39,682	68,800		
964	133,041	73,393				28,303	44,003	75,496		
965	144,704	68,833				28,229	43,696	72,385		
966	157,390	71,119				28,530	42,713	69,597		
967	165,984	66,522				29,692	44,230	68,347		
968	175,399	66,957				30,288	43,106	67,755		
969	186,589	73,741				29,919	39,906	66,015		
970	192,641	69,788				30,994	39,279	68,647		
.971	196,498	65,011				29,505	39,688	70,762		
972	207,652	73,256				30,835	41,183	70,273		
973	259,786	86,450				34,627	39,456	76,312		
.974	270,359	98,446				36,520	37,014	79,451	<u> </u>	
.975	256,229	99,155				39,047	33,089	85,893		
.976	261,637	100,914				38,505	36,518	88,759		
.977	267,675	76,973				38,599	37,085	88,481		
978	271,246	117,930				33,576	39,348	83,876		
979	300,793	126,935				36,903	42,283	89,092		
980	289,400	109,393				37,110	34,643	90,377		
981	278,992	117,788				39,156	31,973	87,488		
982	290,693	193,749				44,652	29,948	99,500		

APPENDIX TABLE 67. GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA, 1960-1982 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

- continued -

¢

¢

6

¢

۴

¢

€

¢

€

€

- 214 -

€

SROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS, BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, 32 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)	
RATIOS,	
(PRODUCTIVITY)	
ROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT 22 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) (CONTINUED)	
VOLUME TO E DOLLARS)	
BUSINESS 980 = BAS	
196	
APPENDIX TABLE 67. GF MINNESOTA, 1960-1982	
APPENDIX MINNES	

کن ا

-

					Sector	or			
	(12)	(13) Comm &	(14)	(15) Retail	(16)	(17) Bus & Pers	(18) Prof &	(61)	(20)
Year	Trans	Pub Util	Elec Gen	Trade	FIRE	5	Soc Serv	Govt	Households
1960	6.218	39,288	8	69.373	48.431	28.433	11 .525	9 731	
1961	n #	43,273	1	73,144	48.448	28,596	11.575	9.815	ł
1962	6,678	44,769	ł	74,128	48,954	28,517	11,639	9.637	!
1963	6,936	46,236	1	73,808	49,239	28,605	11,611	9.265	ł
1964	7,205	47,661	ł	73,603	50,632	28,325	11,517	9,194	1
1965	7,530	50,303	ł	75,572	53,891	28,704	11,779	9,342	;
1966	7,772	52,764	;	76,407	56,551	28,864	12,157	9,508	t
1967	7,642	53,347	;	76,692	56,188	28,276	11,954	9.311	1
1968	7,899	56,312	1	77,294	57,635	27,703	12,092	9,858	ł
1969	7,952	56,867	!	77,198	56,767	27,363	11,927	9,841	ł
1970	7,922	56,324	;	73,970	53,941	25,742	11,005	9,200	1
1971	7,659	54,923	ŀ	70,742	51,425	24,003	10,212	8,698	!
1972	7,887	56,344	;	69,881	52,047	23,376	9,963	8,808	1
1973	9,007	64,004	ľ	78,710	58,392	26,003	11,202	10,109	ł
1974	9,479	66,206	1	80,311	59,778	26,134	11,061	10,281	1
1975	9,491	67,107	1	78,260	57,268	24,285	10,480	9,855	1
1976	9,952	70,039	ŀ	78,541	58,209	24,513	10,542	10,184	ł
1977	9,458	70,726	1	76,992	57,577	23,846	10,268	10,211	1
1978	10,591	72,086	ł	74,602	56,419	23,250	9,912	10.312	r I
1979	11,140	75,353	1	80,765	59,729	24,368	10,378	11,439	ł
1980	10,319	73,110	ŀ	77,739	55 ,634	22,332	9,628	10.865	ł
1981	10,006	69,121	1	73,523	50,535	20,365	8,701	10,226	ł
1982	10,634	72,915	ł	75,978	51,008	20,490	8,699	10,718	1

APPENDIX TABLE 68. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 2, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation
<pre>(1) & (2) Agriculture (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext</pre>	Y = -5,752,513.0 + 2,944.85(X) Y = -3,647,818.0 + 1,924.74(X) $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$
 (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg 	Y = 10,000,000b Y = -944,808.6 + 508.602(X) Y = 30,807 ^c Y = -7,692,923.0 + 3,936.32(X)
(10) Pet Refining(11) Metal Processing(12) Transportation	Y = 10,000,000bY = 10,000,000bY = 24,212d
 (13) Comm & Public Utilities (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate 	Y = -2,306,718.0 + 1,202.22(X) $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = 64,026^{c}$ Y = 774,508.8 - 348.041(X)
 (10) Fin, fins, Rear Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households 	Y = 48,209d $Y = 9,188d$ $Y = -82,163.69 + 44.956(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.

^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.

^CThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using a weighted average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

^dThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

ŵ

S.

Ŵ

14.

 \mathbf{w}

\$

MINNESOTA REGION 3, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL.	APPENDIX TABLE 69. REGRESSION EQUATIONS ^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME
	-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL,	PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS
		VOLUME

Sector			Equation	'n	
1) & (2) Agriculture	1L6	Υ = -16	-16,052,330.0	+ 8,256	.61(X)
3) Metal Mining		Υ = -5,	5,420,939.0	+ 2,807	2,807.44(X)
4) Coal Mining		Υ = 10	10,000,000 ^b		
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext		Y = 10	10,000,000 ^b		
6) Nonmetallic Mining	ning	Υ = 10	10,000,000 ^b		
7) Construction		Y = 29	29,126.55	+ 6.330(X))(X)
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	Prod	Υ = -2,	-2,493,459.0	+ 1,294	1,294.78(X)
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Mfg	Υ = -3	-3,470,929.0	+ 1,811	1,811.62(X)
10) Pet Refining		Υ = 10	10,000,000 ^b		
11) Metal Processing	Ð	Υ = 10	10,000,000 ^b		
12) Transportation		Υ = -4	-4,357,000.0	+ 2,231	2,231.21(X)
13) Comm & Public Utilities	Jtilities	Υ = -2,	-2,117,743.0	+ 1,113	· 1,113.64(X)
14) Electric Generation	ation	Υ = 10	10,000,000 ^b		
15) Retail Trade		Y = 73	73,493c		
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	Estate	Υ = 81	81,313 ^c		
17) Bus & Pers Service	rice	Υ = 18	181,217.4	- 72.22(X)	2(X)
18) Prof & Soc Service	rice	Υ = 10	10,347 ^c		
19) Government		Y = -12	-129,456.7	+ 70.248(X)	18(X)
20) Households		Υ = 10	10_000_000 ^b		

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.
 ^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.
 ^cThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

the

Ę

E

ŧ

۲

Ę

E

E

Ľ.

ŧ

Ë

Ë

APPENDIX TABLE 70. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 4, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation
<pre>(1) & (2) Agriculture (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Public Utilities (14) Electric Generation</pre>	Y = -11,287,290.0 + 5,776.35(X) $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -2,070,844.0 + 1,074.42(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -3,714,100.0 + 1,953.03(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -269,284.2 + 139.897(X)$ $Y = -2,085,976.0 + 1,103.25(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$
<pre>(14) Electric deneration (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households</pre>	$Y = -1,524,671.0 + 814.484(X)$ $Y = -1,454,107.0 + 790.655(X)$ $Y = 32,506^{C}$ $Y = 7,966^{C}$ $Y = -261,264.2 + 136.738(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$

منفنا

5

\$

100

٩Ŀ

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.

^CThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

	Sector	Equation
ヒ	& (2) Agriculture	Y = -6,378,901.0 + 3,272.35(X)
ω	Metal Mining	
<u>4</u>	Coal Mining	doo0,000 = 10,000
<u>.</u>	Pet/NG Exp/Ext	γ = 10,000,000b
<u>6</u>	Nonmetallic Mining	d000,000 μ
2	Construction	Y = -1,459,250.0 + 768.747(X)
<u>∞</u>	Lumber & Assoc Prod	d000,000 = γ
ف	Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Y = 77,084c
<u>10</u>	Pet Refining	ν = 10,000,000 d
<u>11</u>	Metal Processing	Y = 10,000,000b
12)	Transportation	Y = 2,879.832 + 3.495(X)
13)	Comm & Public Utilities	Y = -2,383,688.0 + 1,232.64(X)
14)	Electric Generation	A = 10,000,000
15)	Retail Trade	Y = -166,242.6 + 127.232(X)
16)	Fin, Ins, Real Estate	• +
17)	Bus & Pers Service	Y = 153,432.4 - 49.744(X)
18)	Prof & Soc Service	$Y = 9,522^{d}$
19)	Government	Y = -218,827.2 + 116.319(X)
20)	Households	Υ = 10,000,000 ^D

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.
^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.
^cThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1980, 1981, and 1982 levels.
^dThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

Ę

Ć

6

ŧ

ŧ

¢

E

ĺ,

٤

¢

Ć

APPENDIX TABLE 72. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 6, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

€

SectorEquation(1) & (2) Agriculture $Y = -8,675,070.0 + 4,444.69(X)$ (3) Metal Mining $Y = 10,000,000b$ (4) Coal Mining $Y = 10,000,000b$ (5) Nonmetallic Mining $Y = 10,000,000b$ (7) Construction $Y = 10,000,000b$ (7) Construction $Y = 10,000,000b$ (7) Construction $Y = -778,841.0 + 414.031(X)$ (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mrg $Y = -778,841.0 + 414.031(X)$ (12) Transportation $Y = -78,841.0 + 1,202.0(X)$ (13) Comm & Public Utilities $Y = -1,343,785.0 + 713.76(X)$ (14) Electric Generation $Y = -1,343,785.0 + 713.76(X)$ (15) Find $Y = -321,114.0 + 194.663(X)$ (16) Find $Y = 33,006b$ (17) Bus & Pers Service $Y = 33,006b$ (18) Prof & Soc Service $Y = -87,325.94 + 48.852(X)$ (19) Government $Y = 10,000,000b$ (17) Bus & Pers Service $Y = 10,000,000b$ (18) Find & Nother Service $Y = 10,000,000b$ (19) Households $Y = 10,000,000b$ (10) Households $Y = 10,000,000b$ (11) Prof & Soc Service $Y = 10,000,000b$ (12) Transportation $Y = 10,000,000b$ (13) Comm & projected as a constant using the productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1980, 1981, and 1982 levels.
the the

C

¢

¢

6

¢

¢

¢

¢

¢

€

Sector	Equation
1) & (2) Agriculture	Y = -6,951,329.0 + 3,568.95(X)
3) Metal Mining	
4) Coal Mining	γ = 10,000,000b
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	$\gamma = 10,000,000^{b}$
6) Nonmetallic Mining	γ = 10,000,000b
7) Construction	Y = -1,658,070.0 + 863.491(X)
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Y = 64,726
10) Pet Refining	4 = 10,000,000b
11) Metal Processing	4 = 10,000,000b
12) Transportation	Y = -233,474.9 + 124.79(X)
13) Comm & Public Utilities	Υ = -5,031,887.0 + 2,590.96(X)
14) Electric Generation	$Y = 10,000,000^{b}$
15) Retail Trade	Y = -1,767,517.0 + 944.579(X)
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	Y = -822,516.0 + 471.125(X)
17) Bus & Pers Service	$Y = 37,561^{\circ}$
18) Prof & Soc Service	$Y = 13,751^{\circ}$
19) Government	Y = -142,311,7 + 77.530(X)
20) Households	Υ = 10,000,000 ^b

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.
^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.
^cThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

ŧ

6

¢

ķ

Ľ

ŧ

Ę

ŝ

¢

٤

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 74. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 8, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Sector	Equation
<pre>(1) & (2) Agriculture (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Public Utilities (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service</pre>	$Y = -74,021,980.0 + 37,930.2(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = -1,225,507.0 + 635.795(X)$ $Y = 23,501^{c}$ $Y = -2,658,539.0 + 1,390.83(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$ $Y = -2,815,568.0 + 1,452.97(X)$ $Y = -22,960.81 + 47.607(X)$ $Y = -273,096.3 + 157.927(X)$ $Y = 15,096^{d}$ $Y = 8,353^{d}$
(10) Prof a Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households	Y = -63,611.36 + 37.279(X) $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$

aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.

^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.

^CThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using a weighted average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

^dThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

Ś

APPENDIX TABLE 75. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 9, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

فلف

ملت

Sector	Equation
<pre>(1) & (2) Agriculture</pre>	Y = $-8,265,338.0 + 4,232.53(X)$
(3) Metal Mining	Y = $10,000,000b$
(4) Coal Mining	Y = $10,000,000b$
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	Y = $10,000,000b$
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	Y = $10,000,000b$
(7) Construction	Y = $-3,591,250.0 + 1,846.75(X)$
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	Y = $10,000,000b$
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	Y = $57,013c$
(10) Pet Refining	Y = $10,000,000b$
(11) Metal Processing	Y = $10,000,000b$
(12) Transportation	Y = $-420,746.5 + 219.023(X)$
(13) Comm & Public Utilities	Y = $10,000,000b$
(14) Electric Generation	Y = $-2,952,023.0 + 3,272.22(X)$
(15) Retail Trade	Y = $10,000,000b$
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	Y = $-2,952,023.0 + 1,544.7(X)$
(17) Bus & Pers Service	Y = $49,769d$
(18) Prof & Soc Service	Y = $15,481d$
(19) Government	Y = $-297,478.6 + 157.473(X)$
(20) Households	Y = $10,000,000b$

aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.

^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.

^CThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using a __weighted average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

dThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

APPENDIX TABLE 76. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA REGION 10, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

10

لخف

5

Sector	Equation
 (1) & (2) Agriculture (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Public Utilities (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households 	$Y = -11,805,500.0 + 6,040.86(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 2,221,793.0 + 1,149.05(X)$ $Y = 2,014,285.0 + 1,053.13(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -2,62,457.7 + 290.424(X)$ $Y = -4,982,938.0 + 2,563.69(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -1,506,220.0 + 801.344(X)$ $Y = 32,221^{C}$ $Y = 8,955^{d}$ $Y = -288,543.3 + 152.244(X)$

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique.

^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.

^CThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the _____average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

^dThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using a weighted average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

MINNESOTA REGION 11, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)	TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL;	APPENDIX TABLE 77. REGRESSION EQUATIONS ^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME
	UT MODEL,	BUSINESS
	-	VOLUME

1	Sector	Equation	ă
1)	& (2) Agriculture	Y = -12,468,070.0	+ 6,398.35(X)
<u>မ</u>	Metal Mining		
<u>4</u>	Coal Mining	$\gamma = 10,000,000$	
<u>ர</u>	Pet/NG Exp/Ext	d000°000°01 = λ	
<u>6</u>	Nonmetallic Mining	$\gamma = 10,000,000^{b}$	
2	Construction	Y = -2,436,148.0	+ 1,261.05(X)
<u>@</u>	Lumber & Assoc Prod	$Y = 53,197^{\circ}$	
9	Ag Proc & Misc Mfy	Y = -2,079,824.0	+ 1,095.0(X)
<u></u>	Pet Refining	do00*000*01 = λ	
Ξ	Metal Processing	d000,000 = 10	
<u>12</u>	Transportation	Y = -328,240.6	+ 171.264(X)
မြ	Comm & Public Utilities	Υ = -3,073,917.0	+ 1,599.63(X)
4	Electric Generation	^d 000,000,01 = γ	
5	Retail Trade	Υ = -585,018.7	+ 340.241(X)
<u>6</u>	Fin, Ins, Real Estate	Υ = -495,783.1	+ 291.814(X)
5	Bus & Pers Service	$Y = 32,129^{0}$	
<u>6</u>	Prof & Soc Service	p886 [°] 9 = λ	•
<u>9</u>	Government	Υ = -146,397.6	+ 81.066(X)
20)	Households	Υ = 10,000,000 ^D	

^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.
^cThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using a weighted average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.
^dThe productivity ratio for this sector was projected as a constant using the average of the 1981 and 1982 levels.

É

é

¢

Ę

¢

(

(

k

¢

Ċ

Ę.

APPENDIX TABLE 78. REGRESSION EQUATIONS^a FOR PROJECTING GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR 20-SECTOR INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL, MINNESOTA, (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Ś

لمفا

W

Ŵ

لغنا

Sector	Equation
<pre>(1) & (2) Agriculture (3) Metal Mining (4) Coal Mining (5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext (6) Nonmetallic Mining (7) Construction (8) Lumber & Assoc Prod (9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg (10) Pet Refining (11) Metal Processing (12) Transportation (13) Comm & Public Utilities (14) Electric Generation (15) Retail Trade (16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate (17) Bus & Pers Service (18) Prof & Soc Service (19) Government (20) Households</pre>	$Y = -18,279,150.0 + 9,371.8(X)$ $Y = -5,272,772.0 + 2,732.5(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -1,341,739.0 + 697.651(X)$ $Y = 714,819.0 - 344.589(X)$ $Y = -2,403,822.0 + 1,260.11(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = 10,000,000b$ $Y = -3,420,568.0 + 1,762.89(X)$ $Y = -322,333.4 + 200.949(X)$ $Y = -322,333.4 + 200.949(X)$ $Y = -322,333.4 + 200.949(X)$ $Y = -322,333.4 - 200.949(X)$ $Y = -324,692.9 - 124.020(X)$ $Y = -104,376.1 + 58.041(X)$ $Y = 10,000,000^{b}$

^aRobust regression with an intercept adjustment technique. ^bBecause very little or no employment exists in this sector, arbitrarily large numbers resulting in appropriate levels were used for determining projected employment.

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	84,180	90,069	104,794	119,518	134,242	148,966
(3) Metal Mining	167,017	170,866	180,490	190,114	199,737	209,361
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	63,241 -	64,258	66,801	69,344	71,887	74,430
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	30,807	30,807	30,807	30,807	30,807	30,807
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	108,863	116,736	136,417	156,099	175,781	195,462
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	24,214	24,214	24,214	24,214	24,214	24,214
(13) Comm & Pub Util	76,082	78,486	84,498	90,509	96,520	102,531
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	64,026	64,026	64,026	64,026	64,026	64,026
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	84,692	83,995	82,255	80,515	78,775	77,035
(17) Bus & Pers Service	48,209	48,209	48,209	48,209	48,209	48,209
(18) Prof & Soc Service	9,188	9,188	9,188	9,188	9,188	9,188
(19) Government	6,939	7,029	7,254	7,479	7,703	7,928
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

APPENDIX TABLE 79. PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 2, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

٤

6

(

(

(e

ų

•

E

(

E

Ê

. 227

1

(

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	312,271	328,784	370,067	411,350	452,633	493,916
(3) Metal Mining	143,407	149,022	163,059	177,096	191,134	205,171
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	41,673	41,685	41,717	41,749	41,780	41,812
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	72,795	75,385	81,858	88,332	94,806	101,280
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfy	119,702	123,325	132,383	141,441	150,499	159,557
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
<pre>(12) Transportation</pre>	65,258	69,721	80,877	92,033	103,189	114,345
(13) Comm & Pub Util	89,491	91,719	97,287	102,855	108,423	113,992
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	73,493	73,493	73,493	73,493	73,493	73,493
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	81,313	81,313	81,313	81,313	81,313	81,313
(17) Bus & Pers Service	38,077	37,933	37,572	37,211	36,850	36,489
18) Prof & Soc Service	10,347	10,347	10,347	10,347	10,347	10,347
19) Government	9,775	9,915	10,267	10,618	10,969	11,320
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

€

ſ

6

6

E

APPENDIX TABLE 80. PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 3, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

.

E

€

¢

€

Ę

۲

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	161,436	172,988	201,870	230,752	259,634	288,515
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	58,750	60,899	66,271	71,643	77,016	82,388
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	156,805	160,712	170,477	180,242	190,007	199,772
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	7,992	8,271	8,971	9,670	10,370	11,069
(13) Comm & Pub IItil	100,666	102,872	108,388	113,905	119,421	124,937
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	89,636	91,265	95,338	99,410	103,483	107,555
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	112,971	114,553	118,506	122,459	126,412	130,366
(17) Bus & Pers Service	32,506	32,506	32,506	32,506	32,506	32,506
(18) Prof & Soc Service	7,966	7,966	7,966	7,966	7,966	7,966
(19) Government	9,751	10,024	10,708	11,391	12,075	12,759
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

APPENDIX TABLE 81.	PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME 1	TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY)	RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA
REGION 4, SELECTE	D YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE	DOLLARS)	

APPENDIX TABLE 82.	PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS			PATIOS FOR MINNESOTA
REGION 5, SELECTE	D YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (198	0 = BASE DOLLARS)	(FRODUCTIVITY)	KATIOS TOK HIMESOTA

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	106,897	113,441	129,803	146,165	162,527	178,888
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	64,407	65,944	69,788	73,632	77,475	81,319
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfy	77,084	77,084	77,084	77,084	77,084	77,084
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	9,807	9,814	9,831	9,849	9,866	9,884
(13) Comm & Pub Util	59,404	61,870	68,033	74,196	80,359	86,523
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	85,931	86,186	86,822	87,458	88,094	88,730
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	99,859	100,984	103,798	106,612	109,425	112,239
(17) Bus & Pers Service	54,840	54,740	54,492	54,243	53,994	53,745
(18) Prof & Soc Service	9,522	9,522	9,522	9,522	9,522	9,522
(19) Government	11,717	11,950	12,531	13,113	13,694	14,276
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

¢

ſ

¢

C

¢

C

6

Ć

(

¢

ŀ

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	134,306	143,195	165,418	187,642	209,865	232,089
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	42,768	43,597	45,667	47,737	49,807	51,877
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	68,731	68,731	68,731	68,731	68,731	68,731
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	86,901	89,305	95,315	101,325	107,335	113,345
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	9,844	10,028	10,489	10,950	11,411	11,872
(13) Comm & Pub Util	70,887	72,315	75,884	79,452	83,021	86,590
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	64,708	65,097	66,071	67,044	68,017	68,991
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	93,810	93,888	94,084	94,279	94,475	94,670
(17) Bus & Pers Service	33,096	33,096	33,096	33,096	33,096	33,096
(18) Prof & Soc Service	8,918	8,918	8,918	8,918	8,918	8,918
(19) Government	9,499	9,596	9,841	10,085	10,329	10,573
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

APPENDIX TABLE 83. PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 6, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS) .

¢

Ę

ų,

٤

í.

í.

.

ŧ

ίς.

I.

231 ı

(

(

٤

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	122,330	129,468	147,313	165,157	183,002	200,847
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	53,369	55,096	59,414	63,731	68,049	72,366
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfy	64,726	64,726	64,726	64,726	64,726	64,726
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	13,859	14,108	14,732	15,356	15,980	16,604
(13) Comm & Pub Util	103,396	108,578	121,532	134,487	147,442	160,397
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	104,639	106,528	111,251	115,974	120,696	125,419
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	111,254	112,196	114,552	116,907	119,263	121,619
(17) Bus & Pers Service	37,561	37,561	37,561	37,561	37,561	37,561
(18) Prof & Soc Service	13,751	13,751	13,751	13,751	13,751	13,751
(19) Government	11,353	11,508	11,895	12,283	12,671	13,058
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

€

ſ

¢

(

6

6

€

6

(

€

APPENDIX TABLE 84. PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 7, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

6

- F

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	1,155,676	1,231,537	1,421,188	1,610,839	1,800,490	1,990,141
3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
7) Construction	37,639	38,910	42,089	45,268	48,447	51,626
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	23,501	23,501	23,501	23,501	23,501	23,501
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfy	98,086	100,868	107,822	114,776	121,730	128,684
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	7,184	7,484	8,235	8,986	9,736	10,487
(13) Comm & Pub Util	64,219	67,124	74,389	81,654	88,919	96,184
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	71,396	71,491	71,730	71,968	72,206	72,444
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	39,915	40,231	41,021	41,810	42,600	43,390
(17) Bus & Pers Service	15,096	15,096	15,096	15,096	15,096	15,096
(18) Prof & Soc Service	8,353	8,353	8,353	8,353	8,353	8,353
(19) Government	10,276	10,350	10,537	10,723	10,909	11,096
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

APPENDIX TABLE 85. PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

Ę

ŧ

ŧ.

6

É

í,

6

ł

£.

6

.

(

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	123,536	132,002	153,164	174,327	195,489	216,652
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	69,009	72,702	81,936	91,170	100,403	109,637
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	57,013	57,013	57,013	57,013	57,013	57,013
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	13,357	13,795	14,890	15,985	17,080	18,176
(13) Comm & Pub Util	132,120	138,664	155,026	171,387	187,748	204,109
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	109,572	112,662	120,385	128,109	135,832	143,556
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	114,502	117,978	126,668	135,359	144,049	152,739
(17) Bus & Pers Service	49,769	49,769	49,769	49,769	49,769	49,769
(18) Prof & Soc Service	15,481	15,481	15,481	15,481	15,481	15,481
(19) Government	14,633	14,948	15,735	16,523	17,310	18,097
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

6

€

`€

€

•

ſ

ſ

6

APPENDIX TABLE 86. PROJECTED GROSS BUSINESS VOLUME TO EMPLOYMENT (PRODUCTIVITY) RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA REGION 9, SELECTED YEARS 1983 TO 2005 (1980 = BASE DOLLARS)

€

۴

€

(

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1)&(2) Agriculture	167,485	179,566	209,771	239,975	270,179	300,38
3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	. 10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,00
6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
7) Construction	55,624	57,922	63,667	69,413	75,158	80,90
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfy	73,019	75,125	80,391	85,656	90,922	96,188
10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
12) Transportation	13,163	13,744	15,196	16,648	18,100	19,55
13) Comm & Pub Util	98,296	103,423	116,241	129,060	141,878	154,69
14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,00
15) Retail Trade	82,044	83,646	87,653	91,660	95,667	99,67
16) Fin, Ins, Real Esta	te 102,524	107,046	118,353	129,659	140,966	152,272
(17) Bus & Pers Service	32,221	32,221	32,221	32,221	32,221	32,22
(18) Prof & Soc Service	8,955	8,955	8,955	8,955	8,955	8,95
(19) Government	13,204	13,509	14,270	15,031	15,792	16,554
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,00

						(PRODUCTIVITY)	RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA
REGION 10,	SELECT	ED YEARS 1983 TO) 2005 (19)	80 = BAS	E DOLLARS)		

APPENDIX TABLE 88.	PROJECTED GROSS	BUSINESS VOLUME	TO EMPLOYMENT	(PRODUCTIVITY)	RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA
REGION 11, SELECT	ED YEARS 1983 TO	2005 (1980 = BA)	SE DOLLARS)		

,

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	213,460	226,256	258,248	290,240	322,232	354,223
(3) Metal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	63,253	65,775	72,080	78,386	84,691	90,996
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	53,197	53,197	53,197	53,197	53,197	53,197
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	91,166	93,356	98,831	104,306	109,781	115,256
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	11,205	11,547	12,403	13,260	14,116	14,972
(13) Comm & Pub Util	96,550	99,749	107,747	115,745	123,743	131,742
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	89,339	90,019	91,721	93,422	95,123	96,824
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	82,592	83,176	84,635	86,094	87,553	89,012
(17) Bus & Pers Service	32,129	32,129	32,129	32,129	32,129	32,129
(18) Prof & Soc Service	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988
(19) Government	14,275	14,437	14,843	15,248	15,653	16,059
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

¢

€

۴

· (

€

¢

¢

۲

€

¢

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 89.	PROJECTED GRO	SS BUSINESS VOLUME	TO EMPLOYMENT	(PRODUCTIVITY)	RATIOS FOR MINNESOTA,
SELECTED YEARS 19	83 TO 2005 (19	BO = BASE DOLLARS)			•

t t é é é é é é é

Sector	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1)&(2) Agriculture	295,758	314,501	361,360	408,219	455,078	501,937
(3) Metal Mining	143,043	148,508	162,171	175,833	189,496	203,158
(4) Coal Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(6) Nonmetallic Mining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(7) Construction	41,005	42,401	45,889	49,377	52,865	56,354
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	31,844	31,154	29,432	27,709	25,986	24,263
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	93,716	96,236	102,537	108,837	115,138	121,438
(10) Pet Refining	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(11) Metal Processing	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(12) Transportation	10,517	10,912	11,898	12,884	13,870	14,856
(13) Comm & Pub Util	73,480	77,006	85,820	94,635	103,449	112,264
(14) Electric Generation	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
(15) Retail Trade	75,948	76,349	77,354	78,359	79,364	80,368
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	52,710	53,345	54,932	56,520	58,107	59,695
(17) Bus & Pers Service	20,697	19,968	18,145	16,322	14,499	12,676
(18) Prof & Soc Service	8,885	8,637	8,017	7,397	6,777	6,157
(19) Government	10,661	10,777	11,067	11,358	11,648	11,938
(20) Households	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

		19	80	19	85	19	90		95		00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Aitkin	Aitkin	0.0184373		0.0180099		0.0175923		0.0171845		0.0167861		0.0163970	
	Hill City	0.0055520	0.0055521	0.0057729	0.0055900	0.0060025	0.0055447	0.0062413	0.0054090	0.0064896	0.0051788	0.0067477	0.004855
Beltrami	Bemidji	0.1140514	0.1140525	0.1150205	0.1113771	0.1159977	0.1071508	0.1169832	0.1013824	0.1179773	0.0941472	0.1189797	0.085610
	Blackduck	0.0068020		0.0064391		0.0060955		0.0057703		0.0054624		0.0051709	
	Lower Red Lake	0.0297389	0.0297392	0.0297692	0.0288263	0.0297996	0.0275269	0.0298300	0.0258519	0.0298604	0.0238289	0.0298909	0.021507
Cass	Cass Lake	0.0104270		0.0091530		0.0080347		0.0070530		0.0061913		0.0054349	
	East Gull Lake	0.0061041	0.0061041	0.0070433	0.0068202	0.0081271	0.0075073	0.0093776	0.0081270	0.0108206	0.0086350	0.0124856	0.008984
	Lake Shore	0.0060728	0.0060729	0.0072909	0.0070599	0.0087532	0.0080857	0.0105089	0.0091075	0.0126167	0.0100683	0.0151473	0.010899
	Pine River	0.0091768	0.0091769	0.0093322	0.0090366	0.0094902	0.0087664	0.0096508	0.0083638	0.0098142	0.0078318	0.0099803	0.007181
	Walker	0.0101041		0.0094753		0.0088856		0.0083327		0.0078141		0.0073278	
Clearwater	Bagley	0.0137603		0.0133925		0.0130347		0.0126863		0.0123473		0.0120174	
	Clearbrook	0.0060312		0,0057705		0.0055212		0.0052826		0.0050543		0.0048359	
Crow Wing	Baxter	0.0273434	0.0273437	0.0339676	0.0328916	0.0421964	0.0389782	0.0524187	0.0454282	0.0651174	0.0519644	0.0808925	0.058205
	Brainerd	0.1196758		0.1145037		0.1095552		0.1048205		0.1002905		0.0959563	
	Crosby	0.0231039		0.0218073		0.0205834		0.0194282		0.0183379		0.0173087	
	Crosslake	0.0110830	0.0110831	0.0173938	0.0168429	0.0272980	0.0252161	0.0428418	0.0371284	0.0672362	0.0536553	0,1055212	0.075926
	Deerwood	0.0060416	0.0060416	0.0060944	0.0059013	0.0061476	0.0056787	0.0062013	0.0053743	0.0062555	0.0049919	0.0063101	0.004540
	Emily	0.0061250	0.0061250	0.0068627	0.0066453	0.0076893	0.0071029	0.0086154	0.0074665	0.0096532	0.0077033	0.0108157	0.007782
	Ironton	0.0055937		0.0051125		0.0046726		0.0042707		0.0039033		0,0035675	
	Nisswa	0.0146560	0.0146562	0.0169380	0.0164015	0.0195753	0.0180823	0.0226231	0.0196061	0.0261456	0.0208645	0.0302165	0.021742

APPENDIX TABLE 90. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINHESOTA REGION 2, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

- continued -

e

€ -

€

Ę

۴

ſ

•

ŧ

¢

€

6

€

			80		85		90		95		00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Crow Wing	Pequot Lakes	0.0070936	0.0070937	0.0077020	0.0074580	0.0083625	0.0077247	0.0090797	0.0078688	0.0098584	0.0078671	0.0107038	0.007702
	West Crow Wing	0.0380725	0.0380728	0.0480000	0.0464796	0.0605163	0.0559008	0.0762962	0.0661213	0.0961908	0.0767613	0.1212729	0.087260
Hubbard	Park Rapids	0.0309996		0.0303508		0.0297155		0.0290936		0.0284846		0.0278884	
Itasca	Bovey	0.0084687		0.0077581		0.0071071		0.0065107		0.0059644		0.0054640	
	Bowstring Lake	0.0101039	0.0101040	0.0102460	0.0099214	0.0103900	0.0095976	0.0105361	0.0091310	0.0106842	0.0085261	0.0108344	0.007796
	Coleraine	0.0116249		0.0109249		0.0102670		0.0096488		0.0090678		0.0085218	
	Deer Lake	0.0284684	0.0284687	0.0336512	0.0325853	0.0397774	0.0367437	0.0470190	0.0407486	0.0555790	0.0443526	0.0656972	0.047271
	Deer River	0.0094478		0.0090987		0.0087625		0.0084387		0.0081268		0.0078265	
	Grand Rapids	0.0826429	0.0826437	0.0832033	0.0805678	0.0837675	0.0773787	0.0843355	0.0730886	0.0849074	0.0677570	0.0854831	0.061508
	Keewatin	0.0150311		0.0143134		0.0136300		0.0129793		0.0123596		0.0117694	
	La Prairie	0.0055832	0.0055833	0.0067011	0.0064889	0.0080428	0.0074294	0.0096532	0.0083659	0.0115860	0.0092458	0.0139058	0.010006
	Narble	0.0078853		0.0074812		0.0070977		0.0067340		0.0063888		0.0060614	
	Nashwauk	0.0147811		0.0138899		0.0130525		0.0122655		0.0115260		0.0108311	
	Northeast Itasca	0.0122499		0.0121853		0.0121211		0.0120572		0.0119937		0.0119305	
Koochiching	International Falls	0.0584473		0.0549063		0.0515799		0.0484550		0.0455194		0.0427617	
	Little Fork	0.0095622	0.0095623	0.0097378	0.0094293	0.0099166	0.0091603	0.0100987	0.0087520	0.0102842	0.0082069	0.0104730	0.007536
	Northome	0.0032499	0.0032499	0.0032569	0.0031538	0.0032640	0.0030150	0.0032710	0.0028348	0.0032781	0.0026160	0.0032852	0.002364
	Northwest	0.0066562	0.0066563	0.0066594	0.0064485	0.0066627	0.0061545	0.0066659	0.0057769	0.0066691	0.0053220	0.0066724	0.004801
	Rainy Lake	0.0499265		0.0491705		0.0484259		0.0476927		0.0469705		0.0462592	
	South International Falls	0.0292283	0.0292286	0.0296912	0.0287507	0.0301615	0.0278611	0.0306391	0.0265531	0.0311243	0.0248376	0.0316172	0.022750
						- continued	I -						

.

.

APPENDIX TABLE 90. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINHESOTA REGION 2, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

ŧ

t t

É

E

6

¢.

Ł

¢

٤

ŧ

		19	80	19	85	19	90	19	95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected		Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Lake of													
the Woods	Baudette	0.0121874		0.0111046		0.0101181		0.0092192		0.0084001		0.0076538	
	Rainy River	0.0231872	0.0231875	0.0233512	0.0226115	0.0235163	0.0217228	0.0236826	0.0205243	0.0238501	0.0190326	0.0240187	0.017282
Wadena	Henahga	0.0102083	0.0102084	0.0105802	0.0102451	0.0109658	0.0101295	0.0113654	0.0098497	0.0117795	0.0094002	0.0122087	0.008785
	Sebeka	0.0080624		0.0078194		0.0075837		0.0073551		0.0071335		0.0069184	
	Staples	0.0027499	0.0027500	0.0034697	0.0033598	0.0043778	0.0040439	0.0055236	0.0047870	0.0069693	0.0055615	0.0087933	0.036327
	Verndale	0.0052499		0.0049376		0.0046439		0.0043676		0.0041078		0.0038634	
	Wadena	0.0489469	0.0489474	0.0490576	0.0475036	0.0491685	0.0454185	0.0492796	0.0427077	0.0493910	0.0394146	0.0495026	0.035619
SUM OF DECL	INING COUNTIES	0.4582967		0.4376041		0.4180799		0.3996457		0.3822297		0.3657655	
ONE MINUS C	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.5417033		0.5623959		0.5819201		0.6003543		0.6177703		0.6342345	
SUM OF INCR	REASING COUNTIES	0,5416980		0.5807926		0.6299659		0.6927371		0.7741373		0.8814557	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.99999996		0.9999994		0.9999998		0.9999998		0.9999997		0.999999

.

C

.

۲

C

6

Ę

C

APPENDIX TABLE 90. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 2, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUE))

6

¢

¢

€

6

_			80		85		90	19	95	20	000	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected									
Carlton	Carlton	0.0041393	0.0041394	0.0041953	0.0041262	0.0042521	0.0040885	0.0043097	0.0040274	0.0043681	0.0039443	0.0044272	J.003840
	Cloquet	0.0535035	0.0535044	0,0571804	0.0562378	0.0611099	0.0587575	0.0653095	0.0610312	0.0697978	0.0630255	0.0745944	0.064706
	Moose Lake	0.0067613		0.0067296		0.0066981		0.0066667		0.0066355		0.0066045	
	North Carlton	0.0065404		0.0061878		0.0058542		0.0055386		0.0052400		0.0049575	
	Scanlon	0.0050421		0.0050219		0.0050018		0.0049817		0.0049618		0.0049419	
Cook	Grand Marais	0.0061897	0.0061898	0.0062590	0.0061559	0.0063291	0.0060855	0.0064000	0.0059807	0.0064716	0.0058437	0.006544!	·).005677
	West Cook	0.0067421		0.0065710		0.0064044		0.0062419		0.0060836		0.0059292	
Lake	Silver Bay	0.0140075		0.0133572		0.0127371		0.0121457		0.0115818		0.0110441	
	Two Harbors	0.0193954		0.0189322		0.0184801		0.0180388		0.0176080		0.0171875	
2 ine	Hinckley	0.0046243	0.0046244	0.0048341	0.0047544	0.0050533	0.0048588	0.0052826	0.0049365	0.0055222	0.0049864	0.0057727	0,005007
	Pine City	0.0119522	0.0119524	0.0128401	0.0126285	0.0137940	0.0132630	0.0148188	0.0138480	0.0159197	0.0143750	0.0171023	0.014835
	Rock Creek	0.0042738	0.0042739	0.0045548	0.0044797	0.0048543	0.0046675	0.0051735	0.0048346	0.0055137	0.0049787	0.0058762	0.005097
	Sandstone	0.0076544	0.0076545	0.0078100	0.0076813	0.0079688	0.0076621	0.0081309	0.0075982	0.0082962	0.0074912	0.0084649	0.007343
S t. Louis	Aurora	0.0128214	0.0128216	0.0128427	0.0126310	0.0128640	0.0123688	0.0128853	0.0120412	0.0129067	0.0116544	0.0129281	0.011214
	Babbitt	0.0116930		0.0116733		0.0116536		0.0116340		0.0116144		0.0115949	
	Birch Lake	0.0033566	0.0033566	0.0034779	0.0034205	0.0036035	0.0034648	0.0037338	0.0034892	0.0038687	0.0034933	0.0040085	0.003477
	Biwabik	0.0068573		0.0065269		0.0062125		0.0059132		0.0056283		0.0053572	
	Buhl	0.0061658		0.0059853		0.0058101		0.0056400		0.0054749		0.0053146	
	Chisholm	0.0284761		0.0275487		0.0266515		0.0257836		0.0249439		0.0241316	
	Cook	0.0038416	0.0038416	0.0043219	0.0042506	0.0048622	0.0046750	0.0054701	0.0051117	0.0061539	0.0055569	0.0069233	0.006006
	Duluth	0.4456816		0.4360532		0.4266326		0.4174157		0.4083979		0.3995749	
	Ely	0.0231458		0.0227624		0.0223853		0.0220144		0.0216497		0.0212910	
	Ely Lake	0.0056279	0.0056280	0.0057041	0.0056101	0.0057813	0.0055588	0.0058596	0.0054758	0.0059390	0.0053627	0.0060194	0.005221

APPENDIX TABLE 91. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINKESOTA REGION 3, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

٤

E

(

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

é

.

۲

Ŕ.

- continued -

€

		· 19	80	19	85	19	90	19	95	20	00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
St. Louis	Eveleth	0.0242118		0,0237768		0.0233496		0.0229300		0.0225180		0.0221134	
	Floodwood	0.0031117	0.0031117	0.0031195	0.0030681	0.0031273	0.0030069	0.0031352	0.0029298	0.0031430	0.0028381	0.0031509	0.002733
	Gilbert	0.0130662	0.0130664	0.0134062	0.0131852	0.0137550	0.0132255	0.0141130	0.0131884	0.0144802	0.0130753	0.0148570	0.012888
	Heikkila Lake	0.0077600	0.0077602	0.0088812	0.0087348	0.0101643	0.0097730	0.0116327	0.0108707	0.0133134	0.0123216	0.0152368	0.013217
	Hermantown	0.0324568	0.0324574	0.0342310	0.0336667	0.0361021	0.0347124	0.0380755	0.0355813	0.0401568	0.0362605	0.0423519	0.036738
	Hibbing	0.1017674	0.1017691	0.1078485	0.1060708	0.1142930	0.1098934	0.1211227	0.1131881	0.1283604	0.1159061	0.1360306	0.117999
	Hoyt Lakes	0.0152990	0.0152993	0.0155062	0.0152506	0.0157162	0.0151112	0.0159290	0.0148855	0.0161447	0.0145782	0.0163633	0.014194
	Mountain [ron	0.0198513	0.0198516	0.0247413	0.0243335	0.0308359	0.0296489	0.0384318	0.0359142	0.0478988	0.0432514	0.0596978	0.051785
	Northwest St. Louis	0.0027420		0.0025560		0.0023827		0.0022211		0,0020705		0.0019300	
	Pike Lake	0.0030733	0.0030733	0.0034485	0.0033917	0.0038696	0.0037207	0.0043421	0.0040577	0.0048724	0.0043996	0.0054673	0.004743
	Proctor	0.0152701	0.0152704	0.0157528	0.0154931	0.0162507	0.0156252	0.0167644	0.0156662	0.0172942	0.0156162	0.0178409	0.015476
	Sand Lake	0.0067276	0.0067277	0.0077013	0.0075743	0.0088158	0.0084765	0.0100917	0.0094306	0.0115522	0.0104314	0.0132241	0.011471
	Towner	0.0030733		0.0028782		0.0026955		0.0025243		0.0023641		0.0022140	
	Virginia	0.0530912		0.0506956		0.0484080		0.0462236		0.0441379		0.0421462	
SUM OF DECL	INING COUNTIES	0.6636264		0.6472557		0.6313567		0.6159133		0.6009099		0.5863323	
ONE MINUS D	ECLINING COUNTIES	0.3363736		0.3527443		0.3686433		0.3840867		0.3990901		0.4136677	
SUM OF INCR	EASING COUNTIES	0.3363675		0.3586562		0.3834019		0.4110113		0.4419730		0.4768813	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.9999995		0.9999997		0.9999998		0.9999997		0.9999998		0.999999

f

(

.

ſ

(

٢

(

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 91. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 3, SELECTED YEARS 1990 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

€

(

(

¢

		19	80	19	85	19	90	19	95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected		Projected		Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Becker	Detroit Lakes	0,1372414	0,1372420	0.1375046	0.1371946	0.1377681	0.1370373	0.1380321	0.1367764	0.1382967	0.1364174	0.1385617	0.135965
	Frazee	0.0247986		0.0244637		0.0241333		0.0238074		0.0234859		0.0231687	
	Lake Park	0.0138285		0.0130102		0.0122403		0.0115160		0.0108345		0.0101934	
Clay	Barnesville	0.0426244	0.0426246	0.0434549	0.0433569	0.0443015	0.0440665	0.0451645	0.0447537	0.0460445	0.0454188	0.0469415	0.046062
-	Dilworth	0.0499256		0.0497040		0.0494834		0.0492637		0.0490451		0.0488273	
	Glyndon	0.0170346	0.0170347	0.0186630	0.0186210	0.0204472	0.0203387	0.0224018	0.0221981	0.0245434	0.0242099	0.0268897	0,026386
	Hawley	0.0315582	0.0315583	0.0317749	0.0317033	0.0319931	0.0318234	0.0322128	0.0319198	0.0324340	0.0319933	0.0326567	0.032045
	Hoorhead	0.5793669	0.5793697	0.5857560	0.5844358	0.5922165	0.5890751	0.5987483	0.5933017	0.6053521	0.5971265	0.6120278	0.600559
	Ulen	0.0099272		0.0095420		0.0091718		0.0088160		0.0084740		0.0081452	
Mahnomen	Mahnomen	0.0247793		0.0226736		0.0207468		0.0189837		0.0173705		0.0158943	
Norman	Ada	0.0380571		0.0355758		0.0332476		0.0310717		0.0290382		0.0271378	
	Halstad	0.0133264		0.0128428		0.0123768		0.0119277		0.0114949		0.0110777	
	Twin Valley	0.0175174		0.0168766		0.0162591		0.0156643		0.0150912		0.0145391	
SUM OF DEC	LINING COUNTIES	0.1921700		0.1846885		0.1776590		0.1710504		0.1648341		0.1589836	
ONE MINUS	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.8078300		0.8153115		0.8223410		0.8289496		0.8351659		0.8410164	
SUM OF INC	REASING COUNTIES	0.8078254		0.8171532		0.8267263		0.8365595		0.8466705		0.8570774	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.9999991		1.0000000		0,9999998		0.9999993		0.99999999		1.000000

ti ti ti

APPENDIX TABLE 92. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 4, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

έ é

Ė

¢

6

t t

			980	19	85	19	90	19	95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted.	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Douglas	Alexandria	0.1961364	0.1961383	0.2036117	0.2026456	0.2113720	0.2090240	0.2194280	0.2152705	0.2277911	0.2213817	0.2364728	0.227354
	Evansville	0.0147205	0.0147206	0.0160796	0.0160033	0.0175642	0.0173691	0.0191859	0.0188224	0.0209574	0.0203677	0.0228924	0.022010
	Osakis	0.0349326		0.0348860		0.0348395		0.0347930		0.0347466		0.0347003	
Grant	Elbow Lake	0.0350099		0.0342405		0.0334881		0.0327522		0.0320324		0.0313285	
	Kerman	0.0154683		0.0146509		0.0138767		0.0131434		0.0124488		0.0117910	
	Hoffman	0.0162673	0.0162675	0.0165402	0.0164617	0.0168176	0.0166308	0.0170997	0.0167757	0.0173866	0.0163974	0.0176782	0.016997
Otter Tail	Battle Lake	0.0182526		0.0182060		0.0181595		0.0181131		0.0180668		0.0180207	
	Fergus Falls	0.3227460		0.3172993		0.3119444		0.3066800		0.3015044		0.2964161	
	Henning	0.0214494		0.0207155		0.0200068		0.0193223		0.0186612		0.0180228	
	New York Mills	0.0250586	0.0250588	0.0262435	0.0261190	0.0274845	0.0271792	0.0287842	0.0282383	0.0301453	0.0292971	0.0315708	0.030353
	Parkers Prairie	0.0236407	0.0236409	0.0240047	0.0238908	0.0243743	0.0241035	0.0247496	0.0242806	0.0251307	0.0244236	0.0255176	0.024534
	Pelican Rapids	0.0481318	0.0481322	0.0496261	0.0493906	0.0511668	0.0505984	0.0527553	0.0517558	0.0543931	0.0528627	0.0560819	0.053919
	Perham	0.0537777	0.0537782	0.0545510	0.0542922	0.0553354	0.0547207	0.0561312	0.0550676	0.0569383	0.0553362	0.0577571	0.055530
fraverse	Browns Valley	0.0228673		0.0221476		0.0214506		0.0207756		0.0201217		0.0194885	
	Wheaton	0.0507618		0.0502455		0.0497345		0.0492286		0.0487279		0.0482324	
Wilkin	Breckenridge	0.1007758		0.0988058		0.0968744		0.0949806		0.0931239		0.0913034	
SUM OF DEC	LINING COUNTIES	0.6222634		0.6111969		0.6003742		0.5897886		0.5794337		0.5693033	
ONE MENUS I	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.3777366		0.3888031		0.3996258		0.4102114		0.4205663		0.4306967	
SUM OF INC	REASING COUNTIES	0.3777328		0.3906567		0.4041147		0.4181337		0.4327423		0.4479706	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.99999998		0.99999999		0.9999997		0.99999999		0.9999999		1.000000

e

¢

¢

€

€ €

€ €

APPENDIX TABLE 93. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 5, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

۲

€

.

			80		85		90		95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
lenton	Foley	0.0139249	0.0139250	0.0142009	0.0134405	0.0144824	0.0127087	0.0147695	0.0117773	0.0150623	0.0107027	0.0153609	0.009545
	St. Cloud	0.0402744	0.0402748	0.0410959	0.0388952	0.0419340	0.0367982	0.0427892	0.0341205	0.0436619	0.0310244	0.0445524	0.027683
	Sartell	0.0106387	0.0106388	0.0152793	0.0144611	0.0219440	0.0192564	0.0315158	0.0251309	0.0452627	0.0321619	0.0650059	0.040392
	Sauk Rapids	0.0502281	0.0502284	0.0511381	0.0483997	0.0520646	0.0456881	0.0530080	0.0422690	0.0539684	0.0383478	0.0549452	0.034142
anabec	Мога	0.0250579		0.0246032		0.0241567		0.0237184		0.0232880		0.0228654	
tille Lacs	Isle	0.0049682		0.0047151		0.0044749		0.0042469		0.0040305		0.0038252	
	Milaca	0.0182428		0.0175950		0.0169703		0.0163677		0.0157865		0.0152250	
	Onamia	0.0059913		0.0056705		0.0053668		0.0050793		0.0048073		0.0045498	
	Princeton	0.0272775	0.0272777	0.0272869	0.0258257	0.0272962	0.0239532	0.0273056	0.0217737	0.0273150	0.0194089	0.0273243	0.016978
	Little Falls	0.0628614		0.0578872		0.0533066		0.0490884		0.0452041		0.0416270	
	Pierz	0.0088266		0.0086781		0.0085320		0.0083884		0.0082473		0.0081085	
	Randall	0.0045694		0.0042733		0.0039964		0.0037374		0.0034952		0.0032687	
	Royalton	0.0057225		0.0054984		0.0052830		0.0050760		0.0048771		0.0046861	
Sherburne	Becker	0.0052110	0.0052110	0.0058729	0.0055584	0.0066189	0.0058083	0.0074596	0.0059484	0.0084072	0.0059738	0.0094751	0.005887
	Big Lake	0.0191619	0.0191620	0.0245934	0.0232764	0.0315645	0.0276987	0.0405115	0.0323042	0.0519947	0.0369453	0.0667328	0,041455
	Elk River	0.0588294	0.0588298	0.0766537	0.0725489	0.0998784	0.0876459	0.1301399	0.1037746	0.1695701	0.1204898	0.2209470	0,137289
	St. Cloud	0.0383323	0.0383326	0.0387643	0.0366885	0.0392011	0.0344000	0.0396429	0.0316116	0.0400897	0.0284861	0.0405414	0.025191
	Zimmerman	0.0093122	0.0093122	0.0118964	0.0112593	0.0151977	0.0133364	0.0194152	0.0154819	0.0248031	0.0176241	0.0316862	0.019689
Stearns	Albany	0.0136041		0.0130802		0.0125765		0.0120922		0.0116265		0.0111788	
	Avon	0.0069711	0.0069711	0.0075271	0.0071240	0.0081274	0.0071320	0.0087757	0.0069978	0.0094756	0.0067330	0.01023;4	0.006357
	Belgrade	0.0069798		0.0068083		0.0066410		0.0064778		0.0063186		0.0061634	
	Brooten	0.0056098		0.0051909		0.0048032		0.0044445		0.0041125		0.0038054	

APPENDIX TABLE 94. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 6, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

ŧ ť

6 6

ŧ

ŧ

ti ti

٤

Ę

- continued -

€

		19	180	19	85	19	90	19	95		00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Stearns	Cold Spring	0.0198902		0.0197708		0.0196521		0.0195342		0.0194169		0.0193003	
	Eden Valley	0.0025231		0.0023916		0.0022669		0.0021487		0.0020367		0.0019305	
	Freeport	0.0048815		0.0044420		0.0040420		0.0036781		0.0033469		0.0030455	
	Holdingford	0.0055058		0.0053688		0.0052353		0.0051051		0.0049781		0.0048543	
	Kimball Prairie	0.0056445		0.0055150		0.0053885		0.0052649		0.0051442		0.0050262	
	Melrose	0.0208873		0.0200266		0.0192014		0.0184101		0.0176515		0.016924:	
	Paynesville	0.0185550		0.0181414		0.0177371		0.0173417		0.0169552		0.0165773	
	Richmond	0.0075174		0.0072489		0.0069901		0.0067405		0.0064998		0.0062673	
	Rockville	0.0051763	0.0051763	0.0054759	0.0051827	0.0057929	0.0050834	0.0061283	0.0048867	0.0064830	0.0046066	0.0068583	0.004262
	St. Cloud	0.2904631		0.2840344		0.2777480		0.2716008		0.2655896		0.2597114	
	St. Joseph	0.0259596	0.0259597	0.0287671	0.0272267	0.0318784	0.0279742	0.0353262	0.0281694	0.0391468	0.0278162	0.0433807	0.026955
	Sartell	0.0190750	0.0190751	0.0248180	0.0234890	0.0322901	0.0283354	0.0420118	0.0335006	0.0546605	0.0388396	0.0711175	0.044190
	Sauk Center	0.0321590		0.0301977		0.0283560		0.0266266		0.0250027		0.0234773	
	Waite Park	0.0303121	0.0303123	0.0323594	0.0306266	0.0345449	0.0303141	0.0368782	0.0294070	0.0393689	0.0279740	0.042028)	0.026115
Todd	Bertha	0.0044220		0.0040150		0.0036455		0.0033101		0.0030055		0.002728?	
	Browerville	0.0060087		0.0054914		0.0050187		0.0045866		0.0041918		0.0038309	
	Clarissa	0.0057486		0.0055562		0.0053702		0.0051904		0.0050167		0.0048483	
	Eagle Bend	0.0051416		0.0047475		0.0043837		0.0040477		0.0037374		0.0034510	
	Long Prairie	0.0247891		0.0240572		0.0233469		0.0226575		0.0219886		0.0213393	
	Staples	0.0227428		0.0209931		0.0193780		0.0178872		0.0165110		0.015240?	
SUM OF DEC	CLINING COUNTIES	0.6393129		0.6159974		0.5938672		0.5728466		0.5528659		0.533858	
ONE MINUS	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.3606871		0,3840026		0.4061328		0.4271534		0.4471341		0.4661413	
SUM OF IN	CREASING COUNTIES	0.3606840		0.4057288		0.4628153		0.5356770		0.6292697		0.7501873	
ADJUSTEI	D TOTAL		0.9999995		0.9999998		0.9999998		0.9999998		0.99999995		0.9999999

Ç

6

¢

¢

ſ

¢

¢

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 94. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 6, SELECTED YEARS 1930 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

¢

ę

¢

		19	80	19	85	19	90	19	95	2000		2005	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Ádjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Big Stone	Clinton	0.0096397		0.0095452		0.0094517		0.0093592		0.0092675		0.0091767	
	Graceville	0.0120883		0.0115300		0.0109975		0.0104895		0.0100050		0.0095429	
	Ortonville	0.0395195		0.0377525		0.0360645		0.0344520		0.0329116		0.0314400	•
Chippewa	Clara City	0.0243936	0.0243937	0.0244677	0.0241980	0.0245419	0.0239182	0.0246164	0.0235646	0.0246911	0.0231471	0.0247660	0.022675
	Granite Falls	0.0121657	0.0121657	0.0127795	0.0126387	0.0134243	0.0130831	0.0141017	0.0134992	0.0148132	0.0138870	0.0155607	0.014247
	Montevideo	0.0905849		0.0881467		0.0857742		0.0834655		0.0812189		0.0790328	
Kandiyohi	Atwater	0.0174817	0.0174817	0.0178859	0.0176887	0.0182994	0.0178343	0.0187226	0.0179225	0.0191555	0.0179577	0.0195984	0.017943
-	New London	0.0125843		0.0125321		0.0124801		0.0124283		0.0123767		0.0123254	
	Prinsburg	0.0086321	0.0086322	0.0087440	0.0086476	0.0088573	0.0086322	0.0089720	0.0085887	0.0090883	0.0085200	0.0092060	0.008429
	Raymond	0.0112048	0.0112049	0.0113111	0.0111864	0.0114183	0.0111281	0.0115265	0.0110340	0.0116357	0.0109082	0.0117460	0.010754
	Spicer	0.0140875	0.0140875	0.0151787	0.0150114	0.0163544	0.0159388	0.0176212	0.0168684	0.0189862	0.0177990	0.0204569	0.018729
	Willmar	0.2463391	0.2463400	0.2646686	0.2617513	0.2843621	0.2771345	0.3055211	0.2924673	0,3282543	0.3077285	0.3526790	0.322897
Lac Qui Parle	Dawson	0.0294614		0.0290095		0.0285646		0.0281265		0.0276951		0.0272703	
	Madison	0.0342813		0.0325386		0.0308844		0.0293144		0.0278242		0.0264098	
Pope	Glenwood	0.0391011		0.0374048		0.0357821		0.0342298		0.0327449		0.0313243	
	Starbuck	0.0189694		0.0188382		0.0187079		0,0185785		0.0184500		0.0183223	
Renville	Bird Island	0.0212631		0.0205102		0.0197840		0.0190835		0.0184078		0.0177560	
	Buffalo Lake	0.0121193		0.0120148		0.0119111		0.0118083		0.0117064		0.0116054	
	Danube	0.0091437	0.0091437	0.0092404	0.0091386	0.0093382	0.0091009	0.0094370	0.0090338	0.0095368	0.0089405	0.0096377	0.008824
	Fairfax	0.0217745		0.0207459		0.0197660		0.0188323		0.0179427		0.0170951	

APPENDIX TABLE 95. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 7, SELECTED YEARS 1930 TO 2005

Ċ

E

k.

ŧ

Ę

É

ĺ.

Ĺ

k

É

- continued -

- 247 -

¢

_			80	19	85	19	90	19	95	. 20	00	200	15
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
	Franklin	0.0079349		0.0075414		0.0071675		0.0068120		0.0064742		0.0061532	
	Hector	0.0194033		0.0185922		0.0178151		0.0170704		0.0163568		0.0156731	
	Morton	0.0085083		0.0079658		0.0074579		0.0069824		0.0065372		0.0061203	
	Olivia	0.0434243	0.0434245	0.0438420	0.0433587	0.0442636	0.0431385	0.0446893	0.0427799	0.0451191	0.0422978	0.0455531	0.041706
	Renville	0.0231383		0.0228412		0.0225478		0.0222583		0.0219725		0.0216903	
	Sacred Heart	0.0103216		0.0098685		0.0094353		0.0090212		0.0086252		0.0082466	
tevens	Chokio	0.0086633		0.0086202		0.0085774		0.0085347		0.0084923		0.0084500	
	Hancock	0.0135916		0.0129062		0.0122553		0.0116373		0.0110504		0.0104931	
	Horris	0.0831769	0.0831771	0.0854945	0.0845521	0.0878767	0.0856431	0.0903253	0.0864660	0.0928423	0.0870368	0.0954292	0.087371
wift	Appleton	0.0285471		0.0264825		0.0245672		0.0227904		0.0211422		0.0196131	
	Benson	0.0566602		0.0546910		0.0527903		0.0509557		0.0491848		0.0474754	
	Kerkhoven	0.0117939	0.0117939	0.0118824	0.0117514	0.0119715	0.0116672	0.0120613	0.0115460	0.0121518	0.0113920	0.0122430	0.011209
UM OF DEC	LINING COUNTIES	0.5181547		0.5000772		0.4827812		0.4662296		0.4503856		0.4352158	
NE MINUS	DECLINING COUNTIES	0,4818453		0.4999228		0.5172188		0.5337704		0.5496144		0.5647842	
IM OF INC	REASING COUNTIES	0.4818431		0.5054945		0.5307075		0.5575943		0.5862742		0.6168758	
ADJUSTED	D TOTAL		0.99999995		0,9999998		0.99999999		0.99999999		0.9999998		1.000000

Ø

¢

(

6

¢

¢

¢

¢

¢

Ę

APPENDIX TABLE 95. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 7, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

6

.

		19	80	19			90		95		00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Anoka	Andover	0.0047010		0.0044430		0.0041992		0.0039687		0.0037509		0.0035450	
	Anoka	0.0078295	0.0078296	0.0081620	0.0073978	0.0085087	0.0067141	0.0088701	0.0058296	0.0092468	0.0048202	0.0096396	0.003780
	Blaine	0.0143018	0.0143021	0.0188411	0.0170771	0.0248210	0.0195860	0.0326990	0.0214904	0.0430773	0.0224554	0.0567496	0.022253
	Centerville	0.0003676	0.0003676	0.0004217	0.0003822	0.0004839	0.0003818	0.0005551	0.0003648	0.0006369	0.0003320	0.0007307	0.000287
	Circle Pines	0.0016632		0.0016420		0.0016211		0.0016005		0.0015801		0.0015600	
	Columbia Heights	0.0100306		0.0098008		0.0095763		0.0093569		0.0091426		0.0089332	
	Çoon Rapids	0.0179415	0.0179418	0.0211042	0.0191283	0.0248245	0.0195887	0.0292006	0.0191912	0.0343480	0,0179050	0.0404029	0.015843
	East Bethel	0.0033183	0.0033183	0.0046191	0.0041867	0.0064299	0.0050738	0.0089506	0.0058825	0.0124595	0.0064949	0.0173439	0.006801
	Fridley	0.0151381	0.0151383	0.0169976	0.0154062	0.0190856	0.0150602	0.0214301	0.0140843	0.0240626	0.0125434	0.0270185	0.010595
	Ham Lake	0.0039223	0.0039223	0.0056779	0.0051463	0.0082194	0.0064859	0.0118986	0.0078200	0.0172245	0.0089788	0.0249344	0.009777
	Hilltop	0.0004092	0.0004092	0.0004165	0.0003775	0.0004240	0.0003346	0.0004316	0.0002837	0.0004394	0.0002290	0.0004473	0.000175
	Lexington	0.0010767	0.0010767	0.0011216	0.0010166	0.0011683	0.0009219	0.0012170	0.0007998	0.0012677	0.0006608	0.0013205	0.000518
	Lino Lakes	0.0024870	0.0024870	0.0028403	0.0025744	0.0032438	0.0025597	0.0037047	0.0024348	0.0042310	0.0022055	0.0048321	0.001895
	Ramsey	0.0050545	0.0050546	0.0081713	0.0074062	0.0132099	0.0104238	0.0213556	0.0140353	0.0345240	0.0179967	0.0558124	0.021886
	St. Francis	0.0005930	0.0005930	0.0006007	0.0005444	0.0006085	0.0004802	0.0006164	0.0004051	0.0006245	0.0003255	0.0006326	0.000248
	Spring Lake Park	0.0031891	0.0031892	0.0035844	0.0032488	0.0040286	0.0031789	0.0045279	0.0029759	0.0050891	0.0026529	0.0057199	0.002243
Carver	Carver	0.0003215	0.0003215	0.0003290	0.0002982	0.0003367	0.0002657	0.0003446	0.0002265	0.0003527	0.0001838	0.0003609	0.000142
	Chanhassen	0.0031846	0.0031846	0.0068004	0.0061637	0.0145218	0.0114590	0,0310101	0.0203805	0.0662197	0.0345190	0.1414070	0.055450
	Chaska	0.0041796	0.0041797	0,0053390	0.0048392	0.0068201	0.0053816	0.0087119	0.0057256	0.0111285	0.0058011	0.0142155	0.005574
	Cologne	0.0002729		0.0002700		0.0002671		0.0002643		0.0002614		0.0002586	
	Norwood	0.0006105	0.0006105	0,0006149	0.0005573	0.0006193	0.0004887	0,0006238	0.0004100	0.0006283	0.0003275	0.0006329	0.000248

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

ί.

l:

- continued -

E

E E

_			080		85		90	19	95	20	00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Carver	Victoria	0.0007136	0.0007137	0.0009127	0.0008272	0.0011673	0.0009211	0.0014928	0.0009811	0.0019092	0.0009952	0.0024417	0.000957
	Waconia	0.0013211	0.0013211	0.0013302	0.0012056	0.0013393	0.0010568	0.0013485	0.0008863	0.0013577	0.0007078	0.0013671	0.000536
	Watertown	0.0009105	0.0009105	0.0009880	0.0008955	0.0010722	0.0008460	0.0011635	0.0007647	0.0012626	0.0006582	0.0013701	0.000537
	Young America	0.0006195	0.0006195	0.0007430	0.0006734	0.0008911	0.0007031	0.0010687	0.0007024	0.0012818	0.0006682	0.0015373	0.000603
Chisago	Branch	0.0009345	0.0009345	0.0012179	0.0011039	0.0015874	0.0012526	0.0020689	0.0013597	0.0026964	0.0014056	0.0035142	0.001378
	Chisago	0.0008183	0.0008183	0.0009328	0.0008455	0.0010634	0.0008391	0.0012122	0.0007967	0.0013819	0.0007204	0.0015753	0.030618
	Harris	0.0003395		0.0003378		0.0003361		0.0003344		0.0003328		0.0003311	
	Lindstrom	0.0009876	0.0009876	0.0011571	0.0010488	0.0013557	0.0010697	0.0015884	0.0010439	0.0018610	0.0009701	0.0021804	0.000855
	North Branch	0.0007998	0.0007998	0.0008609	0.0007803	0.0009267	0.0007313	0.0009976	0.0006556	0.0010738	0.0005598	0.0011559	0.000453
	Rish City	0.0006000		0.0005782		0.0005573		0.0005371		0.0005176		0.0004988	
	Stacy	0.0004988	0.0004988	0.0006949	0.0006298	0.0009680	0.0007639	0.0013485	0.0008863	0.0018786	0.0009793	0.0026171	0.001026
	Taylors Falls	0.0003120		0.0003048		0.0002977		0.0002908		0.0002840		0.0002775	
	Wyoming	0.0007808	0.0007808	0.0010153	0.0009202	0.0013203	0.0010418	0,0017169	0.0011284	0.0022326	0.0011638	0.0029033	0.001138
Jakota	Apple Valley	0.0109264	0.0109266	0.0130703	0.0118466	0.0156348	0.0123372	0.0187025	0.0122917	0.0223721	0.0116622	0.0267618	0.010494
	Burnsville	0.0178657	0.0178660	0.0195282	0.0176998	0.0213454	0.0168434	0.0233317	0.0153341	0.0255028	0.0132941	0.0278760	0.010931
	Eagen	0.0103666	0.0103667	0.0154106	0.0139677	0.0229088	0.0180770	0.0340553	0.0223818	0.0506254	0.0263901	0.0752579	0.029511
	Farmington	0.0021885	0.0021885	0.0024284	0.0022010	0.0026946	0.0021262	0.0029899	0.0019650	0.0033177	0.0017294	0.0036813	0,001444
	Hastings	0.0064237	0.0064238	0.0066399	0.0060183	0.0068634	0.0054158	0.0070944	0.0046626	0.0073332	0.0038227	0.0075801	0.002972
	Inver Grove Heights	0.0085992	0.0085993	0.0088616	0.0080319	0.0091321	0.0072060	0.0094108	0.0061850	0.0096980	0.0050554	0.0099940	0.003919
	Lakeville	0.0074068	0.0074069	0.0140020	0.0126910	0.0264697	0.0208869	0,0500389	0.0328865	0.0945944	0.0493102	0.1788232	0.070122

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION B, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

- continued -

E

6

€

۴

¢

F

6

۶

•

¢

€

		19	80	19	85	19	90		95		00	200	_
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
-	Mendota	0.0036498	0.0036499	0.0037849	0.0034306	0.0039251	0.0030972	0.0040704	0.0026751	0.0042211	0.0022004	0.0043773	0.001716
	Rosemount	0.0025456	0.0025456	0.0035535	0.0032208	0.0049605	0.0039143	0.0069246	0.0045510	0.0096665	0.0050389	0.013494)	0.005291
	South St. Paul	0.0106346		0.0099587		0.0093259		0.0087332		0,0081782		0.0076585	
	West St. Paul	0.0092783	0.0092785	0.0095626	0.0086673	0.0098557	0.0077770	0.0101577	0.0066759	0.0104690	0.0054573	0.0107899	0.004231
liennepin	Bloomington	0.0409814	0.0409820	0.0437001	0.0396086	0.0465993	0.0367709	0.0496907	0.0326577	0.0529873	0.0276212	0.0565025	0.022156
	Brooklyn Center	0.0156401	0.0156403	0.0157295	0.0142568	0.0158194	0.0124829	0.0159099	0.0104563	0.0160009	0.0083410	0.0160924	0,006310
	Brooklyn Park	0.0217005	0.0217008	0.0294467	0.0266897	0.0399580	0.0315304	0.0542215	0.0356355	0.0735765	0.0383540	0.0998405	0.039150
	Champlin	0.0045102	0.0045103	0.0069547	0.0063036	0.0107241	0.0084623	0.0165365	0.0108681	0.0254991	0.0132922	0.0393194	0.015418
	Corcoran	0.0021294	0.0021294	0.0027403	0.0024837	0.0035265	0.0027827	0.0045382	0.0029826	0.0058401	0.0030444	0.0075156	0.002947
	Crystal	0.0127920		0.0122438		0.0117191		0.0112169		0.0107362		0.0102761	
	Dayton	0.0020382	0.0020383	0.0033296	0.0030179	0.0054392	0.0042920	0.0088855	0.0058397	0.0145152	0.0075665	0.0237117	0.009298
	Deephaven	0.0018610		0.0018138		0.0017677		0.0017229		0.0016792		0.0016365	
	Eden Prairie	0.0081445	0.0081446	0.0095244	0.0086327	0.0111382	0.0087890	0.0130254	0.0085605	0.0152323	0.0079403	0.0178132	0.006985
	Edina	0.0230731	0.0230734	0.0245887	0.0222865	0.0262038	0.0206771	0.0279251	0.0183530	0.0297594	0.0155130	0.0317142	0.012436
	Excelsior	0.0012635		0.0012624		0.0012614		0.0012603		0.0012592		0.0012581	
	Golden Valley	0.0114057	0.0114058	0.0120555	0.0109268	0.0127424	0.0100549	0.0134684	0.0088517	0.0142358	0.0074209	0.0150469	0.005900
	Greenfield	0.0006966	0.0006966	0.0007997	0.0007248	0.0009181	0.0007244	0.0010539	0.0006927	0.0012099	0.0006307	0.0013890	0.000545
	Greenwood	0.0003270	0.0003270	0.0003272	0.0002966	0.0003273	0.0002583	0.0003275	0.0002152	0.0003277	0.0001708	0.0003273	0.000129
	Hanover	0.0001242	0.0001242	0.0001534	0.0001390	0.0001895	0.0001495	0.0002341	0.0001538	0.0002891	0.0001507	0.0003571	0.000140
	Hopkins	0.0076802	0.0076804	0.0078225	0.0070901	0.0079674	0.0062870	0.0081150	0.0053334	0.0082654	0.0043086	0.0084185	0.003301

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

ŧ

E

Ę

€

ŧ

ŧ

٤

ŧ

6

ŧ

- continued -

		19	80 ·	19	85	19	90		95		00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Hennepin	Independence	0.0013221	0.0013221	0.0014525	0.0013165	0.0015957	0.0012592	0.0017531	0.0011522	0.0019260	0.0010040	0.0021159	0.000830
	Long Lake	0.0008749	0.0008749	0.0009516	0.0008625	0.0010350	0.0008167	0.0011257	0.0007399	0.0012244	0.0006383	0.0013317	0.000522
	Maple Grove	0.0102789	0.0102791	0.0169535	0.0153662	0.0279620	0.0220645	0.0461188	0.0303102	0.0760655	0.0396515	0.1254579	0.049195
	Maple Plain	0.0007116	0.0007116	0.0007880	0.0007143	0.0008726	0.0006886	0.0009663	0.0006351	0.0010701	0.0005578	0.0011850	0.000465
	Medina	0.0013136	0.0013136	0.0014344	0.0013001	0.0015663	0.0012359	0.0017103	0.0011241	0.0018676	0.0009735	0.0020394	0.000800
	Minneapolis	0.1857734		0.1643765		0.1454440		0.1286922		0.1138697		0.1007545	
	Minnetonka	0.0193726	0.0193729	0.0204130	0.0185018	0.0215094	0.0169728	0.0226646	0,0148956	0.0238818	0.0124491	0.0251644	0.00936č
	Minnetonka Beach	0.0002880		0.0002760		0.0002644		0.0002534		0.0002429		0.0002327	
	Minnetrista	0.0016206		0.0015772		0.0015350		0.0014939		0.0014539		0.0014150	
	Hound	D.0046475	0.0046475	0.0050198	0.0045498	0.0054220	0.0042784	0.0058564	0.0038490	0.0063257	0.0032974	0.0068325	0.002579
	New Hope	0.0115620	0.0115622	0.0174479	0.0158143	0.0263300	0.0207767	0.0397338	0.0261139	0.0599610	0.0312565	0.0904851	0,035482
	Orono	0.0034280		0.0034001		0.0033724		0.0033450		0.0033178		0.0032907	
	Osseo	0.0014894	0.0014894	0.0015348	0.0013911	0.0015817	0.0012481	0.0016300	0.0010713	0.0016797	0.0008756	0.0017310	0.000679
	Plymouth	0.0158326	0.0158329	0.0201704	0.0182819	0.0256965	0.0202768	0,0327367	0.0215152	0.0417057	0.0217404	0.0531319	0.020335
	Richfield	0.0189559		0.0174017		0.0159750		0.0146652		0.0134629		0.0123591	
	Robbinsdale	0.0072226		0.0066122		0.0060535		0.0055419		0.0050736		0.0046449	
	Rockford	0.0001903	0.0001903	0.0003101	0.0002811	0.0005053	0.0003988	0.0008235	0.0005412	0.0013418	0.0006995	0.0021866	0.000857
	Rogers	0.0003265	0.0003265	0.0003537	0.0003205	0.0003831	0.0003023	0.0004149	0.0002727	0.0004494	0.0002342	0.0004867	0.000191
	St. Anthony	0.0028140		0.0027745		0.0027356		0.0026972		0.0026594		0.0026221	
	St. Bonifacius	0.0004292	0.0004292	0.0004480	0.0004060	0.0004676	0.0003690	0.0004881	0.0003208	0.0005095	0.0002656	0.0005318	0.000209
			-										

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

- continued -

¢

¢

¢

(

¢

(

€ .

¢

(

C

6

		19	80	19	85	19	90		95		00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Hennepin	St. Louis Park	0.0215000		0.0202754		0.0191205		0.0180314		0.0170044		0.0160359	
	Shorewood	0.0023252	0.0023252	0.0024124	0.0021866	0.0025030	0.0019751	0.0025969	0.0017067	0.0026943	0.0014045	0.0027954	0.001096
	Spring Park	0.0007337	0.0007337	0.0008438	0.0007648	0.0009705	0.0007658	0.0011162	0.0007336	0.0012838	0.0006692	0.0014766	0.000579
	Tonka Bay	0.0006781		0.0006600		0.0006423		0.0006252		0.0006084	•	0.0005922	
	Wayzata	0.0018134		0.0017651		0.0017180		0.0016722		0.0016276		0.0015842	
	Woodland	0.0002634		0.0002590		0.0002547		0.0002504		0.0002462		0.0002421	
Isanti	Braham	0.0005083	0.0005083	0.0005220	0.0004732	0.0005361	0.0004230	0.0005506	0.0003619	0.0005654	0.0002948	0.0005807	0.000223
	Cambridge	0.0015875		.0.0015578		0.0015286		0.0015000		0.0014719		0.0014443	
	Isanti	0.0004297	0.0004297	0.0004600	0.0004170	0.0004925	0.0003887	0.0005273	0.0003466	0.0005646	0.0002943	0.0006045	0.003237
icLeod	Brownton	0.0003491		0.0003298		0.0003116		0.0002944		0.0002781		0.0002628	
	Glencoe	0.0022015	0.0022015	0.0022498	0.0020391	0.0022991	0.0018142	0.0023495	0.0015441	0.0024010	0.0012516	0.0024537	0.000962
	Hutchinson	0.0046293	0.0046294	0.0048333	0.0043808	0.0050463	0.0039820	0.0052637	0.0034627	0.0055008	0.0028675	0.0057432	0,002252
	Lester Prairie	0.0006155	0.0006155	0,0006178	0.0005600	0.0006201	0.0004893	0.0006224	0.0004091	0.0006248	0.0003257	0.0006271	0.000245
	Silver Lake	0.0003496		0.0003368		0.0003246		0.0003127		0.0003014		0.0002904	
	Stewart	0.0003085		0.0002849		0.0002630		0.0002429		0.0002243		0.0002071	
	Winsted	0.0007622	0.0007622	0.0007705	0.0006984	0.0007789	0.0006146	0.0007873	0.0005174	0.0007959	0.0004149	0.0008045	0.000315
Heeker	Cosmos	0.0002860		0.0002812		0.0002766		0.0002720		0.0002675		0.0002631	
	Dassel	0.0005339		0.0005319		0.0005300		0.0005281		0.0005262		0.0005242	
	Eden Valley	0.0002364		0.0002177		0.0002006		0.0001848		0.0001702		0.0001568	
	Grove City	0.0002985	0.0002985	0.0003000	0.0002719	0.0003015	0.0002379	0.0003030	0.0001992	0.0003046	0.0001588	0.0003061	0.000120
	Litchfield	0.0029567		0.0029018		0.0028478		0,0027949		0,0027429		0.0026919	
	Watkins	0.0003791		0.0003599		0.0003416		0.0003242		0.0003078		0.0002921	

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED

E E

6

È

- continued -

6

é é

	19	180	19	85	19	90	19	995	20	000	200	5
City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Arden Hills	0.0040124	0.0040125	0.0045313	0.0041071	0.0051174	0.0040381	0.0057792	0.0037982	0.0065266	0.0034022	0.0073707	0.002890
Falcon Heights	0.0026498		0.0024343		0.0022363		0.0020544		0.0018873		0.0017338	
Lauderdale	0.0009941		0.0009801		0.0009664		0.0009528		0.0009394		0.0009262	
Little Canada	0.0035566	0.0035567	0.0040085	0.0036332	0.0045177	0.0035649	0.0050917	0.0033464	0.0057385	0.0029914	0.0064676	0.002536
Haplewood	0.0135165	0.0135168	0.0140361	0.0127219	0.0145756	0.0115015	0.0151359	0.0099476	0.0157177	0.0081933	0.0163218	0.006430
Nounds View	0.0063066	0.0063067	0.0070549	0.0063944	0.0078921	0.0062276	0.0088287	0.0058024	0.0098763	0.0051483	0.0110483	0.004332
New Brighton	0.0116531	0.0116533	0.0151797	0.0137585	0.0197736	0.0156031	0.0257577	0.0169285	0.0335529	0.0174905	0.0437071	0.017139
North Oaks	0.0014253	0.0014253	0.0018482	0.0016752	0.0023968	0.0018913	0.0031080	0.0020427	0.0040304	0.0021010	0.0052265	0.002049
North St. Paul	0.0059700	0.0059701	0.0061366	0.0055620	0.0063078	0.0049774	0.0064838	0.0042613	0.0066647	0.0034742	0.0068507	0.002686
Roseville	0.0179385	0.0179388	0.0187397	0.0169851	0.0195766	0.0154477	0.0204509	0.0134408	0.0213643	0.0111368	0.0223184	0.008752
St. Anthony	0.0011829	0.0011829	0.0018150	0.0016451	0.0027849	0.0021976	0.0042732	0.0028084	0.0065567	0.0034179	0.0100605	0.003945
St. Paul	0.1353320		0.1232510		0.1122485		0.1022282		0.0931023	·	0.0847911	
Shoreview	0.0086638	0.0086639	0.0102098	0.0092539	0.0120318	0.0094942	0.0141789	0.0093187	0.0167092	0.0087102	0.0196910	0.007721
Spring Lake Park	0.0000546	0.0000546	0.0000565	0.0000512	0.0000584	0.0000461	0.0000604	0.0000397	0.0000625	0.0000326	0.0000646	0.000025
Vadnais Heights	0.0025596	0.0025596	0.0029046	0.0026326	0.0032961	0.0026009	0.0037405	0.0024583	0.0042447	0.0022127	0.0048169	0.001889
White Bear Lake	0.0112871	0.0112873	0.0122766	0.0111272	0.0133529	0.0105366	0.0145235	0.0095452	0.0157968	0.0082345	0.0171816	0.006737
Faribault	0.0081335		0.0076082		0.0071167		0.0066570		0.0062270		0.0058248	
Lansdale	0.0005809	0.0005809	0.0006644	0.0006022	0.0007598	0.0005996	0.0008690	0.0005711	0.0009939	0.0005181	0.0011366	0.000446
Norristown	0.0003200		0.0003052		0.0002911		0.0002777					
Northfield	0.0062911	0.0062912		0.0059063	0.0067497	0.0053261		0.0045949	-	0.0037751	0.0075013	0.002941
				_	continued	_						
	Arden Hills Falcon Heights Lauderdale Little Canada Haplewood Nounds View New Brighton North Oaks North Oaks North St. Paul Roseville St. Anthony St. Paul Shoreview Spring Lake Park Vadnals Heights White Bear Lake Faribault Lonsdale Norristown	City Projected Arden Hills 0.0040124 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 Lauderdale 0.0009941 Little Canada 0.0035566 Haplewood 0.0135165 Nounds View 0.0063066 New Brighton 0.0116531 North Oaks 0.0014253 North St. Paul 0.0059700 Roseville 0.0179385 St. Anthony 0.0011829 St. Paul 0.135320 Shoreview 0.0086638 Spring Lake Park 0.000546 Vanais Heights 0.0025596 White Bear Lake 0.0112871 Faribault 0.0081335 Lonsdale 0.0005809	Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 1.00035566 0.0035567 Lauderdale 0.0035566 0.0035567 1.00135165 0.0135165 Haplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0063066 0.0063067 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.0116533 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 North St. Paul 0.0059700 0.0059701 Roseviile 0.011829 0.0011829 St. Anthony 0.001829 0.0011829 St. Paul 0.135320 Shoreview Shoreview 0.0086638 0.0025596 White Bear Lake 0.0112871 0.0112873 Faribault 0.0081335 Lonsdale Lonsdale 0.0003200 0.0005809	City Projected Adjusted Projected Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 Lauderdale 0.0009941 0.0009801 Little Canada 0.0035566 0.0035567 0.0040085 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 Nounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.0151797 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0018482 North St. Paul 0.0059700 0.0059701 0.0061366 Roseville 0.011829 0.011829 0.018150 St. Anthony 0.0011829 0.011829 0.0102098 Spring Lake Park 0.0000546 0.0000546 0.0002559 Valais Heights 0.002596 0.002596 0.0029046 White Bear Lake 0.0112871 0.0112873 0.0122766 Faribault 0.0005809 0.0005680 0.00006644 Morristown <td>City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0029801 Lauderdale 0.0009941 0.0009801 0.0035566 0.0040085 0.0036332 Haplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 0.0127219 Mounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 0.0063944 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.0151797 0.0137585 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0018482 0.0016752 North St. Paul 0.0059700 0.0059701 0.0061366 0.0055620 Roseville 0.0179385 0.0179388 0.0187397 0.0169851 St. Anthony 0.0011829 0.0018150 0.0016451 St. Paul 0.1353320 0.1232510 0.0025393 Spring Lake Park 0.0025596 0.0025596 0.0029046 0.0025326 White Bear Lake 0.0112871</td> <td>City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 Lauderdale 0.0009941 0.0009801 0.0009664 Little Canada 0.0035566 0.0035567 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 Mounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 0.0063944 0.0078921 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.0151797 0.0137585 0.0197736 North St. Paul 0.0059700 0.0063067 0.0018422 0.0023968 North St. Paul 0.0179385 0.0179388 0.0187397 0.0169051 0.0027849 St. Anthony 0.0011829 0.0011829 0.0025506 0.0002565 0.0000512 0.0000584 Spring Lake Park 0.0025596 0.00</td> <td>City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0040381 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 0.0009664 Lauderdale 0.00035566 0.0035567 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 0.0035649 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 0.0115015 Mounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 0.0063944 0.0078921 0.0062276 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.015177 0.0137585 0.0197736 0.018913 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0018482 0.0063078 0.0049774 Roseville 0.0179385 0.0179388 0.0187397 0.0169851 0.0195766 0.015477 St. Anthony 0.001829 0.0018150 0.0005450 0.00027849 0.0021976 St. Paul 0.1353320</td> <td>City Projected Adjusted Output <tho< td=""><td>City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0040381 0.0057792 0.0037982 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 0.0020544 0.0009528 Lauderdale 0.0003566 0.0035566 0.0035667 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 0.0035649 0.00099476 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135165 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 0.0115015 0.015139 0.0059077 0.0038024 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.011879 0.0137585 0.0197736 0.0156031 0.022757 0.005808 0.002427 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0014825 0.0016752 0.0023968 0.0018913 0.00210277 0.0024027 North St. Paul 0.0059701 0.0061366 0.0055620 0.0063078 0.0049774 0.0024890 0.0024272</td><td>City Projected Adjusted Quods <</td><td>City Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.004313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0004081 0.002544 0.0018873 0.0029914 Lauderdale 0.003566 0.003567 0.0040085 0.003632 0.0045177 0.0035649 0.0009917 0.003464 0.009976 0.0157177 0.0081933 Maplewood 0.011653 0.011653 0.011673 0.011577 0.0137585 0.019716 0.008287 0.0069024 0.0098763 0.0017493 New Brighton 0.011653 0.014253 0.001872 0.0063078 0.004977 0.0064818 0.0021365 0.0004977 0.00648</td><td>City Projected Adjusted Ou034022 Ou03707 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0023565 0.0029801 0.002644 0.0009344 0.0009344 0.0007385 0.001738 Little Lenada 0.003566 0.003567 0.0040085 0.003532 0.004577 0.005947 0.003464 0.0073459 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333</td></tho<></td>	City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0029801 Lauderdale 0.0009941 0.0009801 0.0035566 0.0040085 0.0036332 Haplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 0.0127219 Mounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 0.0063944 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.0151797 0.0137585 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0018482 0.0016752 North St. Paul 0.0059700 0.0059701 0.0061366 0.0055620 Roseville 0.0179385 0.0179388 0.0187397 0.0169851 St. Anthony 0.0011829 0.0018150 0.0016451 St. Paul 0.1353320 0.1232510 0.0025393 Spring Lake Park 0.0025596 0.0025596 0.0029046 0.0025326 White Bear Lake 0.0112871	City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 Lauderdale 0.0009941 0.0009801 0.0009664 Little Canada 0.0035566 0.0035567 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 Mounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 0.0063944 0.0078921 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.0151797 0.0137585 0.0197736 North St. Paul 0.0059700 0.0063067 0.0018422 0.0023968 North St. Paul 0.0179385 0.0179388 0.0187397 0.0169051 0.0027849 St. Anthony 0.0011829 0.0011829 0.0025506 0.0002565 0.0000512 0.0000584 Spring Lake Park 0.0025596 0.00	City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0040381 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 0.0009664 Lauderdale 0.00035566 0.0035567 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 0.0035649 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135168 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 0.0115015 Mounds View 0.0063066 0.0063067 0.0070549 0.0063944 0.0078921 0.0062276 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.015177 0.0137585 0.0197736 0.018913 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0018482 0.0063078 0.0049774 Roseville 0.0179385 0.0179388 0.0187397 0.0169851 0.0195766 0.015477 St. Anthony 0.001829 0.0018150 0.0005450 0.00027849 0.0021976 St. Paul 0.1353320	City Projected Adjusted Output Output <tho< td=""><td>City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0040381 0.0057792 0.0037982 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 0.0020544 0.0009528 Lauderdale 0.0003566 0.0035566 0.0035667 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 0.0035649 0.00099476 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135165 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 0.0115015 0.015139 0.0059077 0.0038024 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.011879 0.0137585 0.0197736 0.0156031 0.022757 0.005808 0.002427 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0014825 0.0016752 0.0023968 0.0018913 0.00210277 0.0024027 North St. Paul 0.0059701 0.0061366 0.0055620 0.0063078 0.0049774 0.0024890 0.0024272</td><td>City Projected Adjusted Quods <</td><td>City Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.004313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0004081 0.002544 0.0018873 0.0029914 Lauderdale 0.003566 0.003567 0.0040085 0.003632 0.0045177 0.0035649 0.0009917 0.003464 0.009976 0.0157177 0.0081933 Maplewood 0.011653 0.011653 0.011673 0.011577 0.0137585 0.019716 0.008287 0.0069024 0.0098763 0.0017493 New Brighton 0.011653 0.014253 0.001872 0.0063078 0.004977 0.0064818 0.0021365 0.0004977 0.00648</td><td>City Projected Adjusted Ou034022 Ou03707 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0023565 0.0029801 0.002644 0.0009344 0.0009344 0.0007385 0.001738 Little Lenada 0.003566 0.003567 0.0040085 0.003532 0.004577 0.005947 0.003464 0.0073459 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333</td></tho<>	City Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.0045313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0040381 0.0057792 0.0037982 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0024343 0.0022363 0.0020544 0.0009528 Lauderdale 0.0003566 0.0035566 0.0035667 0.0040085 0.0036332 0.0045177 0.0035649 0.00099476 Maplewood 0.0135165 0.0135165 0.0140361 0.0127219 0.0145756 0.0115015 0.015139 0.0059077 0.0038024 New Brighton 0.0116531 0.0116533 0.011879 0.0137585 0.0197736 0.0156031 0.022757 0.005808 0.002427 North Oaks 0.0014253 0.0014253 0.0014825 0.0016752 0.0023968 0.0018913 0.00210277 0.0024027 North St. Paul 0.0059701 0.0061366 0.0055620 0.0063078 0.0049774 0.0024890 0.0024272	City Projected Adjusted Quods <	City Projected Adjusted Arden Hills 0.0040124 0.0040125 0.004313 0.0041071 0.0051174 0.0004081 0.002544 0.0018873 0.0029914 Lauderdale 0.003566 0.003567 0.0040085 0.003632 0.0045177 0.0035649 0.0009917 0.003464 0.009976 0.0157177 0.0081933 Maplewood 0.011653 0.011653 0.011673 0.011577 0.0137585 0.019716 0.008287 0.0069024 0.0098763 0.0017493 New Brighton 0.011653 0.014253 0.001872 0.0063078 0.004977 0.0064818 0.0021365 0.0004977 0.00648	City Projected Adjusted Ou034022 Ou03707 Falcon Heights 0.0026498 0.0023565 0.0029801 0.002644 0.0009344 0.0009344 0.0007385 0.001738 Little Lenada 0.003566 0.003567 0.0040085 0.003532 0.004577 0.005947 0.003464 0.0073459 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333 0.0016333

¢

¢

¢

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINKESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

€

(

€

F

F

¢

E

		19	80	19	85	19	90 .	19	95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Scott	Belle Plaine	0.0013792	0.0013792	0.0014245	0.0012911	0.0014713	0.0011609	0.0015196	0.0009987	0.0015694	0.0008181	0.0016510	0.000636
	Jordan	0.0013336	0.0013337	0.0014601	0.0013234	0.0015985	0.0012613	0.0017500	0.0011501	0.0019159	0.0009987	0.0020976	0.000323
	New Prague	0.0009505		0.0009355		0.0009207		0.0009062		0.0008919		0.0008778	
	Prior Lake	0.0036479	0.0036479	0.0059022	0.0053496	0.0095498	0.0075357	0.0154517	0.0101552	0.0250008	0.0130324	0.0404514	0.015362
	Savage	0.0019802	0.0019802	0.0025804	0.0023388	0.0033627	0.0026535	0.0043820	0.0028800	0.0057104	0.0029767	0.0074414	0,002918
	Shakopee	0.0049784	0.0049785	0.0055323	0.0050144	0.0061479	0.0048512	0.0068320	0.0044901	0.0075922	0.0039576	0.0084369	0.003308
Washington	Afton	0.0012770	0.0012771	0.0024191	0.0021926	0.0045826	0.0036161	0.0086810	0.0057053	0.0164447	0.0085723	0.0311516	0.012215
	Bayport	0.0014684		0.0013572		0.0012545		0.0011595		0.0010718		0.0009907	
	Birchwood Village	0.0005303	0.0005304	0.0005782	0.0005241	0.0006304	0.0004974	0.0006873	0.0004517	0.0007494	0.0003906	0.0008170	0.000320
	Cottage Grove	0.0095122	0.0095124	0.0098060	0.0088879	0.0101088	0.0079768	0.0104210	0.0068489	0.0107428	0.0056000	0.0110746	0.004343
	Dellwood	0.0003761	0.0003761	0.0004435	0.0004019	0.0005229	0.0004126	0.0006165	0.0004052	0.0007270	0.0003790	0.0008572	0.000336
	Forest Lake	0.0023017	0.0023017	0.0025733	0.0023324	0.0028770	0.0022702	0.0032166	0.0021140	0.0035962	0.0018746	0.0040207	0.001577
	Hugo	0.0018885	0.0018885	0.0029042	0.0026322	0.0044660	0.0035241	0.0068679	0.0045137	0.0105615	0.0055055	0.0162416	0.006369
	Lake Elmo	0.0026522	0,0026523	0.0044157	0.0040022	0.0073515	0.0058010	0.0122393	0.0080439	0.0203770	0.0106221	0.0339250	0.013303
	Lakeland	0.0009074	0,0009075	0,0011315	0.0010256	0.0014110	0.0011134	0.0017594	0.0011563	0.0021939	0.0011436	0,0027356	0.001073
	Lake St. Croix Beach	0.0005889	0.0005889	0.0006301	0.0005711	0.0006742	0.0005320	0.0007213	0.0004741	0.0007718	0.0004023	0.0008258	0.000324
	Landfall	0.0003400	0.0003401	0.0003588	0.0003252	0.0003786	0.0002988	0.0003995	0.0002626	0.0004216	0.0002198	0.0004448	0.000174
	Mahtomedi	0.0019286	0,0019286	0.0021143	0.0019164	0.0023180	0.0018291	0.0025412	0.0016701	0.0027860	0.0014523	0,0030543	0.00119:
	Marine on St. Croix	0.0002719		0.0002688		0.0002656		0.0002626		0.0002595		0.0002565	

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

6 6

ť

6

ų ų

ų (

Ŀ

ŝ

- continued -

6

		19	80	19	85	19	90	19	95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Washington	Kewport	0.0016642	0.0016642	0.0017153	0.0015547	0.0017680	0.0013951	0.0018224	0.0011977	0.0018784	0.0009792	0.0019361	0.000159
	Oakdale	0.0060712	0.0060713	0.0064078	0.0058078	0.0067630	0.0053366	0.0071379	0.0046912	0.0075336	0.0039271	0.0079513	0.003:13
	Oak Park Heights	0.0012976	0.0012976	0.0020497	0.0018578	0.0032379	0.0025550	0.0051148	0.0033615	0.0080796	0.0042117	0.0127631	0.005305
	St. Paul Park	0.0024359	0.0024359	0.0025430	0.0023049	0.0026549	0.0020949	0.0027717	0.0018216	0.0028936	0.0015084	0.0030209	0.001135
	Stillwater	0.0061548	0.0061549	0.0064149	0.0058143	0.0066859	0.0052758	0.0069684	0.0045798	0.0072629	0.0037860	0.0075697	6.002363
	Willernie	0.0003275		0.0003084		0.0002903		0.0002734		0.0002574		0.0002423	
	Woodbury	0.0051567	0.0051568	0.0055363	0.0050179	0.0059437	0.0046901	0.0063812	0.0041939	0.0068509	0.0035712	0.0073551	165500.0
Wright	Albertville	0.0002825	0.0002825	0.0003183	0.0002885	0.0003587	0.0002831	0.0004043	0.0002657	0.0004556	0.0002375	0.0005134	0.000201
	Annandale	0.0007852	0.0007853	0.0008338	0.0007558	0.0008854	0.0006987	0.0009402	0.0006179	0.0009984	0.0005204	0.0010601	0.000416
	Buffalo	0.0011629		0.0009284		0.0007412		0.0005918		0.0004725		0.0003772	
	Cokato	0.0010296	0.0010297	0.0010798	0.0009787	0.0011325	0.0008936	0.0011877	0.0007806	0.0012456	0.0006493	0.0013064	0.000512
	Delano	0.0012420	0.0012420	0.0013073	0.0011849	0.0013760	0.0010858	0.0014484	0.0009519	0.0015246	0.0007947	0.0016047	0.000529
	Hanover	0.0001998	0.0001998	0.0002311	0.0002094	0.0002672	0.0002109	0.0003090	0.0002031	0.0003574	0.0001863	0.0004133	0.000162
	Howard Lake	0.0006210		0.0006183		0.0006155		0.0006128		0.0006101		0.0006074	
	Haple Lake	0.0005669		0.0005502		0.0005340		0.0005183		0.0005030		0.0004882	
	Monticello	0.0014173	0.0014173	0.0015759	0.0014284	0.0017523	0.0013827	0.0019485	0.0012806	0.0021666	0.0011294	0.0024092	0.000945
	Montrose	0.0003816	0.0003816	0.0004350	0.0003943	0.0004959	0.0003913	0.0005654	0.0003716	0.0006445	0.0003360	0.0007347	S85000.0
	Rockford	0.0010156	0.0010156	0.0013691	0.0012409	0.0018456	0.0014563	0.0024879	0.0016351	0.0033538	0.0017483	0.0045210	0.00173
	St. Michael	0.0007607	0.0007607	0.0008704	0.0007890	0.0009960	0.0007859	0.0011397	0.0007490	0.0013041	0.0006798	0.0014922	0.000585
SUM OF DECL	INING COUNTLES	0.4486119		0.4083795		0.3721488		0.3395016		0.3100652		0,2835073	
UNE MINUS DE	ECLINING COUNTIES	0.5513881		0.5916205		0.6278512		0.6604984		0.6899348		0.7164927	
SUM OF INCRE	EASING COUNTIES	0.5513787		0.6527339		0.7956669		1.0049877		1.3235378		1.8271875	
ADJUSTED '	TOTAL		0.9999993		0.9999993	1	0.9999985		0.9999996		1.0000095		1.000012

(

6

¢

¢

¢

€

C

¢

¢

(

APPENDIX TABLE 96. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

		19	80	19	85	19	90	19		20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Cottonwood	Mountain Lake	0.0333756	0.0333761	0.0336982	0.0332721	0.0340239	0.0330256	0.0343527	0.0326491	0.0346348	0.0321550	0.0350201	0.031555
	Westbrook	0.0143353		0.0137928		0.0132709		0.0127686		0.0122854		0.0118205	
	Windom	0.0683928	0.0683939	0.0703746	0.0694848	0.0724138	0.0702892	0.0745122	0.0708170	0.0766713	0.0710793	0.0788929	0.071088
Jackson	Heron Lake	0.0114770		0.0109048		0.0103610		0.0098444		0.0093535		0.0088872	
	Jackson	0.0556557		0.0556441		0.0556324		0.0556207		0.0556090		0.0555974	
	Lakefield	0.0270437		0.0264465		0.0258625		0.0252914	•	0.0247330		0.0241868	
Lincoln	Hendricks	0.0108028		0.0102800		0.0097826		0.0093092		0.0088587		0.0084300	
	Ivanhoe	0.0111546		0.0109793		0.0108067		0.0106369		0.0104697		0.0103051	
	Lake Benton	0.0127376		0.0122359		0.0117540		0.0112911		0.0108464		0.0104192	
	Tyler	0.0198319	0.0198322	0.0200960	0.0198419	0.0203635	0.0197661	0.0206347	0.0196114	0.0209094	0.0193844	0.0211878	0.019092
Lyon	Balaton	0.0110227		0.0108022		0.0105861		0.0103744		0.0101669		0.0099636	
	Cattanwood	0.0135439	0.0135441	0.0140035	0.0138265	0.0144788	0.0140540	0.0149702	0.0142278	0.0154782	0.0143493	0.0160035	0.014420
	Marshall	0.1635926	0,1635954	0.1804817	0.1781998	0.1991141	0.1932722	0.2196706	0.2087767	0.2423489	0.2246731	0.2673688	0.240918
	Minneota	0.0215470	0.0215474	0.0215743	0.0213015	0.0216017	0.0209679	0.0216291	0.0205564	0.0216565	0.0200770	0.0216840	0.019539
	Tracy	0.0363220		0.0340004		0.0318272		0.0297928		0.0278885		0.0261060	
Murray	Fulda	0.0191724		0.0190024		0.0188339		0.0186669		0.0185014		0.0183373	
	Slayton	0.0354719		0.0341881		0.0329508		0.0317583		0.0306089		0.0295011	
Nobles	Adrian	0.0195828		0.0194598		0.0193376		0.0192161		0.0190954		0.0189755	
	Brewster	0.0081937		0.0081761		0.0081586		0.0081410		0.0081236		0.0081061	
	Ellsworth	0.0092198		0.0089293		0.0086479		0.0083755		0.0081116		0.0078560	

APPENDIX TABLE 97. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 9, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

y y y y

ŧ

Ľ

۲.

£

V V

- continued -

Ľ

			80 081		85		90		95		60	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Pipestone	Edgerton	0.0164607		0.0163665		0.0162729		0.0161797		0.0160871		0.0159951	
	Jasper	0.0107149		0.0100131		0.0093572		0.0087444		0.0081716		0.0076364	
	Pipestone	0.0716326		0.0680406		0.0646287		0.0613879		0.0583096		0.0553856	
Redwood	Lamberton	0.0151268		0.0143154		0.0135475		0.0128207		0.0121330		0.0114821	
	Morgan	0.0142914		0.0138685		0.0134582		0.0130600		0.0126735		0.0122986	
	Redwood Falls	0.0763654	0.0763666	0.0778165	0.0768326	0.0792953	0.0769687	0.0808021	0.0767950	0.0823376	0.0763323	0.0839023	0.075602
	Sanborn	0.0075927		0.0073574		0.0071295		0.0069085		0.0066944		0.0064870	
	Wabasso	0.0109201		0.0104460		0.0099926		0.0095588		0.0091438		0.0087469	
•	Walnut Grove	0.0110373		0.0102840		0.0095820		0.0089280		0.0083186		0.0077508	
Rock	Hills	0.0087653	0.0087654	0.0088254	0.0087138	0.0088859	0.0086252	0.0089468	0.0085032	0.0090082	0.0083512	0.0090700	0.008173
	Luverne	0.0669568		0.0661623		0.0653772		0.0646015		0.0638349		0.0630774	
rellow	• •												
Medicine	Canby	0.0314117		0.0304716		0.0295596		0.0286750		0.0278168		0.0269843	
	Clarkfield	0.0171643		0.0169189		0.0166771		0.0164387		0.0162037		0.0159721	
	Granite Falls	0.0390774	0.0390780	0.0399467	0.0394416	0.0408354	0.0396373	0.0417438	0.0396736	0.0426724	0.0395601	0.0436218	0.039306
SUM OF DECI	LINING COUNTIES	0.5555007		0.5390854		0.5233940		0.5083898		0.4940385		0.4803075	
DNE MENUS I	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.4444993		0.4609146		0.4766060		0.4916102		0.5059615		0.5196925	
SUM OF ENCE	REASING COUNTIES	0.4444916		0.4668167		0.4910121		0.5172621		0.5457671		0.5767509	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.9999996		0.9999998		0.99999999		0.9999998		0.99999999		1.000000

€

¢

¢

¢

¢

€

¢

¢

6

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 97. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 9, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

		19	80	19	85		90		95		00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Blue Earth	Amboy	0.0043109		0.0041112		0.0039208		0.0037392		0.0035660		0.0034008	•
	Eagle Lake	0.0104570	0.0104571	0.0131415	0.0130556	0.0165152	0.0162564	0.0207549	0.0201864	0.0260831	0.0249941	0.0327791	0.030852
	Good Thunder	0.0039836	0.0039836	0.0040106	0.0039844	0.0040377	0.0039745	0.0040651	0.0039537	0.0040926	0.0039217	0.0041203	0.003878
	Lake Crystal	0.0147822	0.0147823	0.0150693	0.0149708	0.0153621	0.0151214	0.0156605	0.0152315	0.0159647	0.0152981	0.0162748	0.015318
	Madison Lake	0.0042113	0.0042114	0.0042787	0.0042508	0.0043473	0.0042791	0.0044169	0.0042959	0.0044876	0.0043002	0.0045594	0.004291
	Mankato	0.2038137	0.2038156	0.2055107	0.2041672	0.2072220	0.2039755	0.2089473	0.2032236	0.2106870	0.2018906	0.2124411	0.199951
	Mapleton	0.0107843	0.0107844	0.0112299	0.0111565	0.0116939	0.0115107	0.0121771	0.0118435	0.0126802	0.0121508	0.0132042	0.012428
	St. Clair	0.0046595	0.0046595	0.0051632	0.0051294	0.0057213	0.0056317	0.0063398	0.0061662	0.0070252	0.0067319	0.0077846	0.007327
Brown	Comfrey	0.0038983		0.0036444		0.0034070		0.0031851		0.0029776		0.0027837	
	New Ulm	0.0978490	0.0978498	0.0993460	0.0986965	0.1008661	0.0992859	0.1024094	0.0996041	0.1039762	0.0996351	0.1055672	0.099360
	Sleepy Eye	0.0254743		0.0246764		0.0239036		0.0231549		0.0224297		0.0217272	
	Springfield	0.0163829		0.0151542		0.0140175		0.0129662		0.0119937		0.0110941	
Faribault	Blue Earth	0.0293940		0.0281795		0.0270152		0.0258991		0.0248290		0.0238032	
	Elmore	0.0062743		0.0057442		0.0052588		0.0048144		0.0044076		0.0040352	
	Kiester	0.0047662		0.0044739		0.0041995		0.0039419		0.0037002		0.0034732	
	Minnesota Lake	0.0052926		0.0051785		0.0050668		0.0049576		0.0048507		0.0047461	
	Wells	0.0197548		0.0188160		0.0179218		0.0170701		0.0162589		0.0154862	
	Winnebago	0.0132956		0.0124487		0.0116558		0.0109134		0.0102183		0.0095674	
Le Sueur	Cleveland	0.0049724	0.0049725	0.0055417	0.0055055	0.0061762	0.0060795	0.0068834	0.0066948	0.0076715	0.0073512	0.0085498	0.008047
	Kasota	0.0052570		0.0052274		0.0051980		0.0051687		0.0051396		0.0051107	
	Le Center	0.0139925	0.0139926	0.0141894	0.0140967	0.0143892	0.0141637	0.0145917	0.0141920	0.0147971	0.0141793	0.0150054	0.014123
	Le Sueur	0.0267690		0.0266076		0.0264473		0.0262878		0.0261294		0.0259719	
	Montgomery	0.0167102		0.0165070		0.0163063		0.0161080		0.0159121		0.0157187	
	New Prague	0.0074979		0.0074691		0.0074404		0.0074118		0.0073834		0.0073550	
	Waterville	0.0122143		0.0119077		0.0116088		0.0113174		0.0110334		0.0107564	

APPENDIX TABLE 98. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 10, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

((

Ę Ę

(((

Ċ

- continued -

¢

		19	80		85		90		95	20	00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Martin	Ceylon	0.0038628		0.0036997		0.0035435		0.0033940		0.0032507		0.0031135	
	Fairmont	0.0818504	0.0818512	0.0821304	0.0815935	0.0824113	0.0811201	0.0826932	0.0804279	0.0829760	0.0795116	0.0832598	0.073364
	Sherburn	0.0090700		0.0088150		0.0085671		0.0083263		0.0080922	•	0.0078646	
	Trimont	0.0057266		0.0053000		0.0049053		0.0045399		0.0042017		0.00388888	
	Truman	0.0099023		0.0097802		0.0096596		0.0095404		0.0094228		0.0093065	
	Welcome	0.0060823	0.0060823	0.0060845	0.0060448	0.0060868	0.0059915	0.0060891	0.0059223	0.0060914	0.0058371	0.0060937	0.005735
Nicollet	Lafayette	0.0036067		0.0034566		0.0033127		0.0031748		0.0030427		0.0029160	
	Nicollet	0.0050435	0.0050436	0.0053166	0.0052818	0.0056044	0.0055166	0.0059077	0.0057459	0.0062275	0.0059675	0.0065647	0.0(6179
	North Hankato	0.0650548	0.0650554	0.0697932	0.0693369	0.0748768	0.0737038	0.0803307	0.0781302	0.0861819	0.0825837	0.0924593	0.027023
	St. Peter	0.0644220		0.0630326		0.0616733		0.0603433		0.0590419		0.0577686	
Sibley	Arlington	0.0126553		0.0125074		0.0123612		0.0122167		0.0120739		0.0119328	
	Gaylord	0.0137507	0.0137508	0.0138107	0.0137204	0.0138709	0.0136536	0.0139315	0.0135498	0.0139922	0.0134080	0.0140533	0.013227
	Gibbon	0.0055985		0.0052172		0.0048618		0.0045307		0.0042221		0.0039345	
	Henderson	0.0052570		0.0050795		0.0049079		0.0047421		0.0045819		0.0044271	
	Winthrop	0.0097885		0.0094139		0.0090537		0.0087072		0.0083740		0.0080535	
Vaseca	Janesville	0.0134947	0.0134949	0.0139508	0.0138596	0.0144223	0.0141963	0.0149097	0.0145012	0.0154135	0.0147700	0.0159344	0.011998
	New Richland	0.0089846	0.0089847	0.0090660	0.0090067	0.0091481	0.0090048	0.0092310	0.0089781	0.0093146	0.0089257	0.0093989	0.003846
	Waseca	0.0584674	0.0584680	0.0611490	0.0607493	0.0639536	0.0629516	0.0668868	0.0650545	0.0699545	0.0670338	0.0731630	0.063861
Watonwan	Butterfield	0.0045101		0.0043999		0.0042923		0.0041874		0.0040850		0.0039851	
	Medelia	0.0151523		0.0144847		0.0138465		0.0132365		0.0126533		0.0120958	
	St. James	0.0309163		0.0300621		0.0292315		0.0284239		0.0276385		0.0268749	
SUM OF DECI	LINING COUNTIES	0.3777600		0.3653939		0.3535833		0.3422982		0.3315095		0.3211911	
ONE MINUS	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.6222400		0.6346061		0.6464167		0.6577018		0.6684905		0.6788089	
SUM OF LINCI	REASING COUNTIES	0.6222337		0.6387820		0.6567050		0.6762254		0.6976167		0.7212127	
ADJUSTED	TOTAL		0.99999994		0.99999998		0.99999998		0.9999998		0.99999998		1.000000

C

(

¢

e

C

(

6

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 98. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 10, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUE))

6

(

(

		19	80		85		90		95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Dodge	Claremont	0.0025607	0.0025608	0.0026000	0.0025645	0.0026398	0.0025565	0.0026802	0.0025377	0.0027212	0.0025087	0.0027629	0.002470
	Dodge Center	0.0078686	0.0078687	0.0079764	0.0078675	0.0080857	0.0078307	0.0081965	0.0077607	0.0083089	0.0076601	0.0084227	0.007531
	Hayfield	0.0053859	0.0053859	0.0056033	0.0055269	0.0058296	0.0056457	0.0060650	0.0057426	0.0063099	0.0058173	0.0065647	0.005370
	Kasson	0.0122491	0.0122493	0.0132464	0.0130656	0.0143248	0.0138730	0.0154911	0.0146675	0.0167523	0.0154443	0.0181162	0.016198
	Mantorville	0.0030547	0.0030548	0.0031880	0.0031445	0.0033270	0.0032221	0.0034721	0.0032875	0.0036235	0.0033406	0.0037816	0.003381
	West Concord	0.0033017		0.0031111		0.0029314		0.0027621		0.0026026		0.0024523	
Fillmore	Chatfield	0.0050262		0.0047293		0.0044500		0.0041871		0.0039398		0.0037071	
	Harmony	0.0049092		0.0046165		0.0043413		0.0040825		0.0038391		0.0036102	
	Lanesboro	0.0039993		0.0036936		0.0034113		0.0031506		0.0029097		0.0026873	
	Mabel	0.0037307		0.0036187		0.0035100		0.0034046		0.0033024		0.0032033	
	Preston	0.0064041		0.0061138		0.0058366		0.0055719		0.0053193		0.0050791	
	Rushford	0.0064041		0.0062850		0.0061681		0.0060534		0.0059409		0.0058334	
	Rushford Village	0.0029811		0.0029753		0.0029695		0.0029637		0.0029579		0.0029521	
	Spring Valley	0.0113350		0.0108324		0.0103521		0.0098930		0.0094544		0.0090351	
Freeborn	Albert Lea	0.0831928		0.0819240		0.0806745		0.0794440		0.0782323		0.0770391	
	Alden	0.0029767		0.0028408		0.0027111		0.0025872		0.0024691		0.0023563	
	Clarks Grove	0.0026864	0.0026865	0.0029589	0.0029185	0.0032590	0.0031562	0.0035895	0.0033987	0.0039536	0.0036449	0.0043545	0.003394
	Glenville	0.0036874	0.0036874	0.0037840	0.0037323	0.0038831	0.0037606	0.0039848	0.0037729	0.0040892	0.0037699	0.0041953	0.003'52
Goodhue	Cannon Falls	0.0114954	0.0114955	0.0117234	0.0115634	0.0119560	0.0115789	0.0121932	0.0115449	0.0124351	0.0114642	0.0126818	0.011339
	Goodhue	0.0028468		0.0028271		0.0028075		0.0027881		0.0027688		0.0027497	
	Kenyon	0.0066251		0.0062438		0.0058844		0.0055457		0.0052265		0.0049257	
	Lake City	0.0020365		0.0019484		0.0018642		0.0017835		0.0017064		0.0016326	
	Pine Island	0.0086051	0.0086052	0.0091390	0.0090143	0.0097059	0.0093998	0.0103081	0.0097600	0.0109476	0.0100928	0.0116258	0.01039:
	Red Wing	0.0595170	0.0595178	0.0608581	0.0600276	0.0622294	0.0602667	0.0636315	0.0602484	0.0650654	0.0599853	0.0665315	0.059483

APPENDIX TABLE 99. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 11, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005

Ľ

ŧ

E

E

Ę

¢

H-

- continued -

E

			80		85		90		95		00	200	
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted								
Goodhue	Wanamingo	0.0031067	0.0031067	0.0031906	0.0031471	0.0032768	0.0031735	0.0033654	0.0031864	0.0034563	0.0031864	0.0035497	0.003174
	Zumbrata	0.0092249		0.0091662		0.0091080		0.0090501		0.0089925		0.0089354	
llouston	Caledonia	0.0116600		0.0112925		0.0109365		0.0105918		0.0102580		0.0099346	
	Hokah	0.0029724		0.0028449		0.0027229		0.0026061		0.0024943		0.0023873	
	Houston	0.0045799		0.0043563		0.0041436		0.0039413		0.0037489		0.0035659	
	La Crescent	0.0159190	0.0159192	0.0165675	0.0163414	0.0172424	0.0166986	0.0179448	0.0169908	0.0186759	0.0172177	0.0194367	0.017379
	Spring Grove	0.0055245		0.0052184		0.0049292		0.0046560		0.0043980		0.0041542	
Hower	Adams	0.0034534		0.0032948		0.0031434		0.0029991		0.0028613		0.0027299	
	Austin	0.0997447		0.0909460		0.0829234		0.0756086		0.0689389		0.0628577	
	Brownsdale	0.0029941		0.0029409		0.0028887		0.0028374		0.0027871		0.0027376	
	Grand Headow	0.0041813		0.0041454		0.0041097		0.0040744		0.0040394		0.0040045	
	LeRoy	0.0040297		0.0038140		0.0036099		0.0034168		0.0032339		0.0030609	
	Lyle	0.0024958		0.0023568		0.0022255		0.0021015		0.0019844		0.0018739	
0)msted	Byron	0.0074310	0.0074311	0.0090266	0.0089034	0.0109649	0.0106191	0.0133194	0.0126112	0.0161794	0.0149162	0.0196536	0.017573
	Chatfield	0.0038780	0.0038780	0.0040987	0.0040427	0.0043319	0.0041953	0.0045784	0.0043350	0.0048390	0.0044611	0.0051143	0.004573
	Eyota	0.0053901	0.0053902	0.0063015	0.0062155	0.0073670	0.0071346	0.0086126	0.0081547	0.0100688	0.0092827	0.0117713	0.010525
	Oronoco	0.0024871		0.0023900		0.0022967		0.0022071		0.0021209		0.0020381	
	Rochester	0.2508304	0.2508339	0.2621372	0.2585599	0.2739538	0.2653131	0.2863032	0.2710811	0.2992091	0.2758476	0.3126969	0.279594
	Stewartville	0.0170068	0.0170070	0.0201466	0.0198717	0.0238661	0.0231133	0.0282723	0.0267691	0.0334920	0.0308770	0.0396753	0.035475
Steele	Blooming Prairie	0.0085316		0.0083735		0.0082184		0.0080661		0.0079167		0.0077700	
	Ellendale	0.0024048		0.0023604		0.0023169		0.0022741		0.0022322		0.0021910	
	Medford	0.0033580	0.0033581	0.0034739	0.0034265	0.0035937	0.0034803	0.0037177	0.0035200	0.0038459	0.0035456	0.0039786	0.003557
	Owatonna	0.0807320	0.0807332	0.0838614	0.0827170	0.0871119	0.0843644	0.0904886	0.0856774	0.0939961	0.0866571	0.0976396	0.087303

APPENDIX TABLE 99. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 11, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUED)

- continued -

C

¢

¢

¢

€

¢

6

(

(

¢

C

		19	80	19	85	19	90	19	95	20	00	200	5
County	City	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted	Projected	Adjusted
Wabasha	Elgin	0.0028901	0.0028901	0.0029412	0.0029011	0.0029933	0.0028989	0.0030463	0.0028843	0.0031002	0.0028581	0.0031551	0.002821
	Lake City	0.0195200	0.0195203	0.0199009	0.0196293	0.0202892	0.0196492	0.0206851	0.0195853	0.0210887	0.0194421	0.0215002	0.017224
	Mezeppa	0.0029464	0.0029464	0.0031360	0.0030932	0.0033377	0.0032325	0.0035525	0.0033636	0.0037810	0.0034858	0.0040243	0.003598
	Plainview	0.0104683	0.0104684	0.0107314	0.0105850	0.0110011	0.0106542	0.0112777	0.0106781	0.0115612	0.0106585	0.0118518	0.013597
	Wabasha	0.0102778		0.0097049		0.0091640		0.0086533		0.0081710		0.0077155	
Winona	Goodview	0.0111226	0.0111227	0.0125008	0.0123302	0.0140498	0.0136067	0.0157907	0.0149512	0.0177474	0.0163617	0.0199465	0.01.835
	Lewiston	0.0053122	0.0053122	0.0055061	0.0054310	0.0057072	0.0055272	0.0059155	0.0056010	0.0061315	0.0056528	0.0063554	0.005683
	Rollingstone	0.0022878	0.0022878	0.0023581	0.0023259	0.0024305	0.0023538	0.0025051	0.0023719	0.0025820	0.0023804	0.0026613	0.002380
	St. Charles	0.0094632		0.0093971		0.0093315		0.0092664		0.0092017		0.0091375	
	Stockton	0.0022401	0.0022402	0.0025911	0.0025558	0.0029972	0.0029026	0.0034668	0.0032825	0.0040100	0.0036969	0.0046384	0.004147
	Winona	0.1086489		0.1041375		0.0998134		0.0956689		0.0916964		0.0878890	
SUM OF DEC	CLINING COUNTIES	0.4384427		0.4184987		0.3997930		0.3822358		0.3657442		0.3502419	
ONE MINUS	DECLINING COUNTIES	0.5615573		0.5815013		0.6002070		0.6177642		0.6342558		0.6497581	
SUM OF IN	CREASING COUNTIES	0.5615492		0.5895464		0.6197542		0.6524535		0.6879706		0.7266874	
ADJUSTE	D TOTAL		0.9999997		0.9999998		0.99999999		0.99999996		0,9999998		1.000000

APPENDIX TABLE 99. PROJECTED AND ADJUSTED CITY SHARE OF REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT BY CITY AND COUNTY, MINNESOTA REGION 11, SELECTED YEARS 1980 TO 2005 (CONTINUE))

ų (

(

(

ų ų

t t

X

k

•

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		260,417	
1961		258,608	
1962	276,403	267,536	- 3.2
1963		268,384	
1964		284,349	
1965	297,960	299,634	0.6
1966	318,154	343,480	8.0
1967	338,031	361,172	6.8
1968	364,843	363,215	- 0.4
1969	402,604	414,510	3.0
1970	442,856	434,162	- 2.0
1971	480,062	464,370	- 3.3
1972	524,683	494,286	- 5.8
1973	608,392	612,435	0.7
1974	675,695	693,837	2.7
1975	747,909	748,763	0.1
1976	877,401	866,170	- 1.3
1977	976,211	936,165	- 4.1
1978	1,089,407	1,050,114	- 3.6
1979	1,220,824	1,171,125	- 4.1
1980	1,331,118	1,293,451	- 2.8
1981	1,488,385	1,340,788	- 9.9
1982		1,402,441	
	Absolute Difference -1.033 (S.D. = 4.347)		3.5

APPENDIX TABLE 100. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 2, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

^aThe input-output personal income estimates are in current-year dollars to correspond with Department of Commerce estimates.

622

100

<u>ست</u>

فغ

\$

¥4...

÷

٤

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		606,959	
1961		593,510	
1962	599,261	612,451	2.2
1963		631,739	
1964		669,776	
1965	681,387	703,786	3.3
1966	724,301	776,648	7.2
1967	768,136	812,366	5.8
1968	818,893	880,221	7.5
1969	827,328	937,894	13.4
1970	951,373	964,879	1.4
1971	1,009,273	987,902	- 2.1
1972	1,079,370	1,040,274	- 3.6
1973	1,187,261	1,267,085	6.7
1974	1,298,913	1,420,699	9.4
1975	1,481,878	1,600,105	8.0
1976	1,710,447	1,759,393	2.9
1977	1,776,479	1,714,830	- 3.5
1978	2,042,004	2,196,643	7.6
1979	2,267,991	2,594,554	14.4
1980	2,413,441	2,608,311	8.1
1981	2,654,163	2,890,121	8.9
1982		3,085,430	
	e Absolute Difference 5.422 (S.D. = 5.173) .041		6.4

APPENDIX TABLE 101. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME® AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 3, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

46

اللك ا

لغف

4

ن.

ون.

مرد

w

÷

(esc

€

1

w

\$

 \mathbf{v}

5

اند

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		121,045	
1961		124,076	
1962	136,588	128,633	- 5.8
1963		135,937	
1964		146,500	
1965	166,540	159,664	- 4.1
1966	170,051	170,912	0.5
1967	188,198	186,755	- 0.8
1968	195,995	195,370	- 0.3
1969	215,601	218,346	1.3
1970	250,444	221,751	-11.5
1971	266,444	246,954	- 7.3
1972	289,419	264,717	- 8.5
1973	408,649	371,038	- 9.2
1974	429,621	413,688	- 3.7
1975	388,795	391,809	0.8
1976	479,335	513,066	7.0
1977	510,344	540,202	5.9
1978	616,789	638,086	3.5
1979	624,625	698,146	11.8
1980	707,259	786,148	11.2
1981	793,195	848,636	7.0
1982		873,784	
	Absolute Difference -0.122 (S.D. = 6.942)		5.6

APPENDIX TABLE 102. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 4, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		155,777	
1961		159,923	
1962	143,431	156,798	9.3
1963		164,322	
1964		171,597	
1965	170,751	189,715	11.1
1966	176,286	207,563	17.7
1967	189,918	223,716	17.8
1968	201,311	235,807	17.1
1969	222,048	235,177	5.9
1970	252,364	265,385	5.2
1971	277,890	286,780	3.2
1972	301,695	305,577	1.3
1973	446,884	448,154	0.3
1974	459,939	509,814	10.8
1975	443,658	482,857	8.8
1976	448,304	510,537	13.9
1977	535,002	587,516	9.8
1978	573,816	620,750	8.2
1979	632,195	726,488	14.9
1980	688,378	861,327	25.1
1981	805,769	852,258	5.8
1982		870,732	
Average	Absolute Difference 10.344 (S.D. = 6.491)		10.3
$U_1 = 0.$			

APPENDIX TABLE 103. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 5, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

لوي

محقف

نیں

د.

لغن

کرنے۔

کری

ون ا

ہے۔

ک

S.

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		286,601	
1961		298,493	
1962	286,943	312,965	9.1
1963		311,113	
1964		331,530	
1965	339,145	361,700	6.7
1966	373,533	417,903	119
1967	406,603	447,464	10.0
1968	456,415	479,412	5.0
1969	516,174	532,524	3.2
1970	574,552	567,469	- 1.2
1971	619,344	616,301	- 0.5
1972	677,515	684,590	1.0
1973	834,557	891,397	6.8
1974	921,350	936,009	1.6
1975	1,010,557	1,041,291	3.0
1976	1,054,679	1,154,055	9.4
1977	1,227,163	1,274,663	3.9
1978	1,382,528	1,366,170	- 1.2
1979	1,571,642	1,583,193	0.7
1980	1,720,901	1,798,238	4.5
1981	1,933,442	1,863,030	- 3.6
1982		1,970,561	
	e Absolute Difference 3.906 (S.D. = 4.400) .021		4.6

ريشا

بنها

14

w

APPENDIX TABLE 104. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 6, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		229,609	
1961		236,396	
1962	187,746	245,190	30.6
1963		256,866	
1964		253,530	
1965	256,696	284,516	10.8
1966	276,594	321,158	16.1
1967	278,029	332,450	19.6
1968	298,887	345,671	15.7
1969	328,267	395,173	20.4
1970	372,682	416,905	11.9
1971	383,865	431,575	12.4
1972	422,650	460,025	8.8
1973	695,640	714,910	2.8
1974	682,929	766,225	12.2
1975	675,488	730,638	8.2
1976	571,883	677,524	18.5
1977	817,456	857,833	4.9
1978	867,230	945,528	9.0
1979	945,675	1,069,018	13.0
1980	983,656	1,252,233	27.3
1981	1,132,748	1,269,185	12.0
1982		1,287,611	
Average Mean = U ₁ = 0.	Absolute Difference 14.122 (S.D. = 7.160)		14.1

APPENDIX TABLE 105. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 7, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

مين ،

مدن.

موند. موند ب

لات

الت

÷

-40

فين

اون.

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		4,024,927	
1961		4,256,590	
1962	4,692,652	4,597,202	- 2.0
1963		4,767,968	
1964		5,108,350	
1965	5,760,188	5,535,077	- 3.9
1966	6,313,759	6,237,127	- 1.2
1967	6,884,702	6,799,956	- 1.2
1968	7,619,246	8,019,724	5.3
1969	8,484,226	8,700,395	2.5
1970	9,133,746	8,694,707	- 4.8
1971	9,682,834	8,960,516	- 7.5
1972	10,324,131	9,470,577	- 8.3
1973	11,509,262	10,997,903	- 4.4
1974	12,631,099	12,073,306	- 4.4
1975	13,633,599	13,687,275	0.4
1976	15,183,020	15,328,456	1.0
1977	17,038,654	16,875,248	- 1.0
1978	19,135,660	17,988,666	- 6.0
1979	21,839,915	20,884,845	- 4.4
1980	24,442,731	23,075,499	- 5.6
1981	27,033,406	24,401,441	- 9.7
1982		26,805,334	
-	Absolute Difference -3.067 (S.D. = 3.888)		4.1
$U_1 = 0$	•		

APPENDIX TABLE 106. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME® AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 8, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

^aThe input-output personal income estimates are in current-year dollars to correspond with Department of Commerce estimates.

w

÷

8

.

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		287,115	
1961		303,577	
1962	242,345	316,293	30.5
1963		336,303	
1964		345,554	
1965	338,789	367,320	8.4
1966	370,705	439,757	18.6
1967	361,199	478,402	32.4
1968	392,700	497,602	26.7
1969	436,003	573,026	31.4
1970	499,169	619,637	24.1
1971	504,327	626,247	24.2
1972	580,590	752,977	29.7
1973	834,817	1,022,732	22.5
1974	769,394	1,083,742	40.9
1975	808,680	992,373	22.7
1976	773,853	976,342	26.2
1977	1,120,333	1,137,553	1.5
1978	1,163,823	1,315,155	13.0
1979	1,292,194	1,424,831	10.3
1980	1,320,233	1,645,803	24.7
1981	1,520,022	1,645,798	8.3
1982		1,647,136	
	e Absolute Difference 22.006 (S.D. = 10.188) .091		22.0

APPENDIX TABLE 107. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 9, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

الات ا

لىنى

لانتها

⁄ن

<u>ب</u>ون

مخنف

ک

لىن

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		349,252	
1961		390,779	
1962	371,721	408,727	10.0
1963		328,530	
1964		461,049	
1965	496,170	484,423	- 2.4
1966	550,134	566,590	3.0
1967	551,353	592,556	7.5
1968	596,003	613,448	2.9
1969	652,741	722,153	10.6
1970	736,615	772,973	4.9
1971	760,860	790,274	3.9
1972	839,739	908,315	8.2
1973	1,166,992	1,219,179	4.5
1974	1,185,408	1,361,983	14.9
1975	1,237,973	1,332,539	7.6
976	1,281,783	1,457,101	13.7
1977	1,565,656	1,568,181	0.2
L978	1,647,655	1,764,500	7.1
1979	1,832,913	1,939,499	5.8
1980	1,912,667	2,221,566	16.2
1981	2,161,768	2,207,697	2.1
1982		2,347,079	
	Absolute Difference 6.706 (S.D. = 5.015)		7.0

÷

v

Ľ

×

APPENDIX TABLE 108. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 10, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

^aThe input-output personal income estimates are in current-year dollars to correspond with Department of Commerce estimates.

-1

Year	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		674,175	
1961		716,796	~ -
1962	703,696	745,330	5.9
1963		757,709	
1964		794,966	
1965	819,669	884,359	7.9
1966	895,652	992,578	10.8
1967	944,732	1,052,933	11.5
1968	1,040,253	1,120,484	7.7
1969	1,135,638	1,219,946	7.4
1970	1,248,120	1,292,970	3.6
1971	1,305,046	1,350,810	3.5
1972	1,427,197	1,470,984	3.1
1973	1,732,462	1,868,545	7.9
1974	1,800,071	2,046,443	13.7
1975	1,948,921	2,151,842	10.4
1976	2,138,632	2,374,278	11.0
1977	2,475,992	2,552,475	3.1
1978	2,712,664	2,772,297	2.2
1979	3,024,344	3,282,442	8.5
1980	3,270,428	3,557,390	8.8
1981	3,643,135	3,787,262	4.0
1982		3,996,617	
	Absolute Difference 7.278 (S.D. = 3.438)		7.3

APPENDIX TABLE 109. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA REGION 11, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

مغاذ

. S

.....

lear	Department of Commerce Estimate	Input-Output Analysis Estimate	Percent Difference
1960		7,132,851	
1961		7,472,237	
1962	7,777,598	7,935,007	2.0
1963		8,184,337	
1964		8,737,128	
1965	9,490,628	9,459,177	- 0.3
1966	10,328,721	10,666,547	3.3
1967	11,093,868	11,495,091	3.6
1968	12,163,054	12,965,901	6.6
1969	13,468,271	14,206,291	5.5
1970	14,721,328	14,489,916	- 1.6
1971	15,564,119	15,054,170	- 3.3
1972	16,774,613	16,155,861	- 3.7
1973	19,886,564	19,864,614	- 0.1
1974	21,351,382	21,852,084	2.3
1975	22,835,014	23,686,040	3.7
1976	25,013,304	26,187,027	4.7
1977	28,562,121	28,665,950	0.4
1978	31,912,119	31,387,187	- 1.6
1979	35,934,732	36,193,287	0.7
1980	39,590,325	39,937,043	0.9
1981	44,059,745	42,123,019	- 4.4
1982		45,270,023	
Average	Absolute Difference		2.7
	1.039 (S.D. = 3.199)		

APPENDIX TABLE 110. ESTIMATES OF PERSONAL INCOME^a AND DIFFERENCES IN ESTIMATES, MINNESOTA, 1960-1982 (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

^aThe input-output personal income estimates are in current-year dollars to correspond with Department of Commerce estimates.

w

لافتها

فنه

5

الفظ

\$

. ت

5

5

APPENDIX TABLE 111. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 2, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

Ę

6

ŧ

٤

ŧ

£

ŧ

¢

ŧ

.

ŧ

		Histor	ric Employ	ment			Model	-Projecte	ed Employn	nent	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) & (2) Agriculture	9,480	5,928	6,586	6,655	6,723	6,589	6,472	6,237	6,060	5,922	5,811
3) Metal Mining	4,536	2,758	1,312	1,351	834	1,032	961	797	649	516	395
4) Coal Mining											
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext											
6) Nonmetallic Mining											
7) Construction	1,195	1,938	1,904	1,736	1,550	1,738	1,794	1,927	2,050	2,164	2,270
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	7,324	7,369	10,797	10,468	10,281	10,551	10,870	11,669	12,467	13,265	14,063
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	4,300	6,150	5,675	5,779	5,591	5,687	5,706	5,744	5,773	5,795	5,813
10) Pet Refining											
11) Metal Processing											
12) Transportation	855	932	1,248	1,271	1,236	1,247	1,266	1,316	1,365	1,415	1,464
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	1,354	1,401	1,587	1,618	1,572	1,605	1,630	1,685	1,733	1,775	1,812
14) Elec Generation	-										
15) Retail	6,443	8,683	13,921	14,239	14,158	14,706	15,471	17,384	19,297	21,210	23,124
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	876	1,191	1,918	2,039	2,073	2,068	2,193	2,515	2,851	3,202	3,569
17) Bus & Pers Services	970	1,539	3,078	3,259	3,306	3,426	3,579	3,961	4,344	4,726	5,108
18) Prof & Soc Services	2,733	4,627	9,204	9,743	9,885	10,327	10,844	12,137	13,430	14,723	16,01
19) Government	8,480	12,729	15,786	16,174	15,822	16,224	16,810	18,212	19,529	20,769	21,94
20) Households											
roject ^a										•	
TOTAL	48,546	55,245	73,016	74,332	73,031	75,199	77,596	83,583	89,547	95,481	101,383

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. . Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 2, there was no project employment. ŧ

		Histo	ric Emplo	yment			Mode	1-Project	ed Employ	ment	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) & (2) Agriculture	5,265	2,943	3,476	3,512	3,548	3,439	3,302	3,015	2,784	2,596	2,440
(3) Metal Mining	17,579	15,230	16,163	16,649	10,268	13,501	13,686	14,091	14,433	14,724	14,976
(4) Coal Mining											
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext											
(6) Nonmetallic Mining											
(7) Construction	3,344	4,328	5,450	4,969	4,437	5,001	5,175	5,611	6,045	6,479	6,912
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	4,091	3,837	3,414	3,310	3,251	3,331	3,337	3,353	3,366	3,377	3,386
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	18,159	21,534	15,409	15,691	15,182	15,225	14,861	14,038	13,321	12,690	12,130
(10) Pet Refining											
(11) Metal Processing											
(12) Transportation	3,230	2,231	2,172	2,212	2,150	2,155	2,115	2,033	1,972	1,923	1,884
(13) Comm & Pub Utilities	2,716	3,239	3,065	3,124	3,036	3,100	3,149	3,261	3,361	3,451	3,532
(14) Elec Generation											
(15) Retail	12,423	17,648	22,586	23,102	22,970	23,364	24,232	26,403	28,573	30,744	32,914
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate		3,642	3,960	4,208	4,280	4,672	4,850	5,295	5,741	6,186	6,632
(17) Bus & Pers Services	3,166	4,355	5,060	5,356	5,434	5,391	5,619	6,196	6,784	7,383	7,995
(18) Prof & Soc Services	8,042	11,379	16,800	17,783	18,044	18,250	19,013	20,920	22,827	24,734	26,642
(19) Government	16,613	24,603	26,326	974, 26	26,387	26,909	27,596	29,232	30,759	32,189	33,530
(20) Households											
Project ^a											
TOTAL	97,572	114,969	123,881	126,890	118,987	124,338	126,936	133,448	139,966	146,477	152,973

APPENDIX TABLE 112. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 3, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

.

l

6

€

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 3, there was no project employment.

¢

¢

¢

¢

¢

¢

¢

APPENDIX TABLE 113.	HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED	EMPLOYMENT BY ECO	ONOMIC SECTOR, MINI	INESOTA REGION 4, SE	LECTED YEARS 1960
TO 2005					

6

Ę

ŧ

٤

Ë

Ę

é

¢

¢

Ę

		Histor	ic Employ	ment		•	Mode	-Projecte	ed Employn	nent	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	. 1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) & (2) Agriculture	5,138	4,463	4,085	4,128	4,170	4,094	4,034	3,913	3,823	3,753	3,696
3) Metal Mining											
4) Coal Mining											
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext							~~			·	
6) Nonmetallic Mining											
7) Construction	1,162	1,186	1,286	1,172	1,047	1,177	1,195	1,235	1,269	1,298	1,323
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod							**				
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	2,161	3,087	3,932	4,004	3,874	4,002	4,156	4,510	4,826	5,109	5,364
10) Pet Refining											
11) Metal Processing											
12) Transportation	878	985	1,190	1,212	1,178	1,208	1,236	1,299	1,352	1,398	1,439
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	414	365	668	680	661	683	709	770	825	875	92
14) Elec Generation				~~							
15) Retail	3,387	4,597	6,382	6,528	6,491	6,608	6,885	7,536	8,133	8,683	9,192
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	449	642	979	1,040	1,058	1,050	1,099	1,218	1,328	1,432	1,530
17) Bus & Pers Services	582	797	1,656	1,753	1,779	1,824	1,930	2,196	2,462	2,728	2,99
18) Prof & Soc Services	1,550	3,158	5,921	6,267	6,359	6,587	6,993	8,009	9,025	10,040	11,05
19) Government	3,710	5,798	7,206	7,383	7,222	7,395	7,634	8,180	8,661	9,087	9,46
20) Households		••									
roject ^a											
TOTAL	20,431	25,078	33,305	34,167	33,839	34,628	35,873	38,866	41,703	44,403	46,98

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 4, there was no project employment.

		Histo	ric Employ	yment		•	Mode	I-Project	ed Employ	nent	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	· 1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) & (2) Agriculture	10,203	7,805	6,926	6,998	7.070	6,930	6,812	6,568	6,379	6,228	6,105
(3) Metal Mining											
(4) Coal Mining											
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext											
(6) Nonmetallic Mining											
(7) Construction	774	1,061	1,059	965	862	967	991	1,046	1,096	1,140	1,181
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod											
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	2,447	2,752	5,415	5,514	5,335	5,570	5,873	6,630	7,387	8,144	8,900
(10) Pet Refining									•		
(11) Metal Processing											
(12) Transportation	552	696	975	993	965	1,002	1,050	1,171	1,291	1,410	1,529
(13) Comm & Pub Utilities	1,238	1,307	1,171	1,194	1,160	1,181	1,193	1,220	1,242	1,260	1,276
(14) Elec Generation											
(15) Retail	3,623	4,972	6,897	7,054	7,014	7,161	7,513	8,382	9,239	10,084	10,916
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	591	932	1,120	1,190	1,210	1,195	1,244	1,363	1,475	1,582	1,683
(17) Bus & Pers Services	636	781	1,120	1,186	1,203	1,199	1,262	1,421	1,581	1,743	1,907
(18) Prof & Soc Services	1,890	3,111	4,967	5,257	5,334	5,678	5,979	6,731	7,484	8,236	8,988
(19) Government	3,515	5,129	6,121	6,272	6,135	6,277	6,476	6,943	7,368	7,757	8,114
(20) Households											
Project ^a											
TOTAL	25,469	28,546	35,771	36,623	36,288	37,160	38,393	41,474	44,541	47,585	50,601

APPENDIX TABLE 114. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 5, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 5, there was no project employment.

۲

¢

(

Ç

¢

ę

(

€

€

€

APPENDIX TABLE 115.	HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 6, SELECTED YEARS 1960
TO 2005	

Ę

ŧ

¢

Ŕ

ŧ

¢

ŧ

¢

ŧ

ŧ

		Histo	ric Employ	yment			Mode	1-Project	ed Employ	ment	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) & (2) Agriculture	14,847	11,615	11,775	11,898	12,020	11,824	11,694	11,429	11,226	11,067	10,938
3) Metal Mining											
4) Coal Mining				••							
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext											
6) Nonmetallic Mining											
7) Construction	1,991	2,164	3,663	3,339	2,982	3,338	3,464	3,760	4,031	4,279	4,507
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	1,061	1,554	1,624	1,575	1,547	1,624	1,676	1,805	1,934	2,063	2,192
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	8,589	13,249	18,791	19,135	18,514	19,213	20,004	21,806	23,395	24,805	26,066
10) Pet Refining											
11) Metal Processing											
12) Transportation	1,497	1,939	2,480	2,527	2,455	2,536	2,631	2.856	3,061	3,250	3,424
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	1,286	1,396	2,179	2,221	2,159	2,231	2,318	2,522	2,708	2,878	3,033
14) Elec Generation											
15) Retail	8,303	11,809	18,447	18,868	18,761	19,207	20,238	22,761	25,211	27,592	29,905
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	1,029	1,639	2,569	2,730	2,776	2,770	2,935	3,348	3,759	4,168	4,576
17) Bus & Pers Services	1,059	1,679	3,536	3,743	3,798	3,945	4,164	4,712	5,259	5,807	6,354
18) Prof & Soc Services	3,921	6,416	12,744	13,489	13,687	13,693	14,513	16,564	18,616	20,667	22,718
19) Government	7,415	12,425	16,452	16,857	16,490	17,023	17,867	19,903	21,840	23,685	25,446
20) Households											
roject ^a											
TOTAL	50,998	65,885	94,260	96,382	95,189	97,404	101,505	111,466	121,040	130,261	139,159

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 6, there was no project employment. ŧ

		Histo	ric Employ	yment			Mode	I-Project	ed Employa	nent	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
(1) & (2) Agriculture	13,342	10,305	9,482	9,581	9,680	9,507	9,378	9,110	8,900	8,731	8,592
(3) Metal Mining											
4) Coal Mining											
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext											
6) Nonmetallic Mining											
7) Construction	1,501	1,513	1,992	1,816	1,622	1,827	1,864	1,945	2,016	2,078	2,13
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod											
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	3,683	5,346	9,491	9,665	9,351	9,848	10,367	11,665	12,963	14,261	15,559
10) Pet Refining											
11) Metal Processing											
(12) Transportation	735	808	1,007	1,026	9 97	1,026	1,058	1,132	1,200	1,262	1,320
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	1,038	1,047	984	1,003	974	988	990	994	998	1,000	1,00
14) Elec Generation											
(15) Retail	5,423	6,620	8,122	8,308	8,260	8,373	8,658	9,329	9,945	10,512	11,03
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	808	1,238	1,523	1,619	1,646	1,628	1,700	1,874	2,042	2,202	2,35
17) Bus & Pers Services	671	751	1,870	1,979	2,008	2,105	2,215	2,491	2,767	3,043	3,31
18) Prof & Soc Services	2,053	3,288	5,104	5,403	5,482	5,794	6,100	6,865	7,630	8,395	9,16
(19) Government	5,234	7,804	9,403	9,634	9,424	9,672	10,041	10,920	11,743	12,516	13,24
20) Households											
project ^a	••										
TOTAL	34,488	38,720	48,978	50,034	49,444	50,768	52,370	56,325	60,203	64,001	67,72

APPENDIX TABLE 116. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 7, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

•

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 7, there was no project employment.

€

6

6

¢

6

¢

¢

¢

6

6

6

ŧ

			toric Empl	oyment			Mod	el-Project	ed Employm	ent	
Sector	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
1) & (2) Agriculture	20,492	15,578	15,281	15,441	15,599	15,291	15,014	14,452	14,021	13,682	13,40
3) Metal Mining			 -								
4) Coal Mining											
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext											
6) Nonmetallic Mining							·				
7) Construction	44,696			53,590	47,861	49,420	50,211	51,979	53,500	54,820	55,97
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	18,722		31,231	30,279	29,737	30,548	31,516	33,936		38,777	41,19
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	237,279	322,755	347,134	353,482	342,023	345,909	352,201	366,509			400,15
10) Pet Refining											
11) Metal Processing											
12) Transportation	40,798		44,989	45,833	44 ,539	45,255	45,541	46,166	46,687	47,127	47,50
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	28,691	29,724	32,673	33,306	32,366	32,867	33,050	33,445			34,27
14) Elec Generation											
15) Retail	116,562	162,998		231,158	229,845	234,762	246,327	275,106	303,695	332,095	360,30
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	43,520	57,408	82,091	87,237	88,713	87,823	91,579	100,718			
17) Bus & Pers Services	38,498	63,044	101,124	107,041	108,608	110,971	116,398	129,964		157,098	170,66
18) Prof & Soc Services	68,993	108,044	170,590	180,571	183,215	188,233	198,061	222,631		271,771	296,34
19) Government	102,662	164,847	202,679	207,666	203,145	209,042	218,164	240,405			302,64
20) Households											
rojecta											
OTAL	760,913	1,040,937	1,312,580	1,345,604	1,325,651	1,350,119	1,398,062	1,515,313	1,629,230	1,740,221	1,848,61

APPENDIX TABLE 117. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 8, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

E

٤

ŧ

Ē

ŧ

e

¢

5

Ë

Ę

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 8, there was no project employment.

.

Sector	Historic Employment						Model-Projected Employment						
	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005		
(1) & (2) Agriculture	17,290	13,573	13,064	13,201	13,336	13,071	12,850	12,404	12,066	11,801	11,588		
(3) Metal Mining													
(4) Coal Mining													
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext													
(6) Nonmetallic Mining													
(7) Construction	2,068	1,958	1,979	1,804	1,611	1,775	1,775	1,774	1,773	1,772	1,772		
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod													
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	5,730	7,922	12,594	12,824	12,409	12,881	13,634	15,515	17,396	19,278	21,159		
(10) Pet Refining													
(11) Metal Processing													
12) Transportation	872	880	1,380	1,406	1,366	1,399	1,428	1,492	1,547	1,595	1,638		
(13) Comm & Pub Utilities	1,241	1,199	998	1,017	988	1,004	1,011	1,024	1,035	1,044	1,051		
14) Elec Generation													
(15) Retail	7,060	9,311	10,050	10,280	10,221	10,325	10,607	11,248	11,812	12,312	12,758		
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate		1,558	1,937	2,059	2,093	2,051	2,103	2,221	2,324	2,414	2,495		
17) Bus & Pers Services	788	911	1,781	1,885	1,913	2,021	2,134	2,415	2,696	2,978	3,259		
(18) Prof & Soc Services	1,874	4,171	5,853	6,195	6,286	6,705	7,084	8,033	8,981	9,930	10,878		
(19) Government	5,737	8,446	9,526	9,760	9,548	9,780	10,111	10,881	11,577	12,211	12,789		
(20) Households													
Projecta													
TOTAL	43,822	49,929	59,162	60,431	59,771	61,013	62,735	67,006	71,208	75,334	79,386		

APPENDIX TABLE 118. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 9, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 9, there was no project employment.

6

Ø

Ç

€

ę

6

6

6

6

¢

€

•

.

APPENDIX TABLE 119.	HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED	EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC	SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION	10, SELECTED YEARS 1960
TO 2005				

6

Ĺ

.

¢

٤

¢

¢

¢

ŧ

۲

Ċ

.

Sector	Historic Employment						Model-Projected Employment						
	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005		
(1) & (2) Agriculture	16,504	12,865	12,192	12,319	12,446	12,186	11,952	11,487	11,138	10,868	10,652		
(3) Metal Mining													
4) Coal Mining													
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext													
6) Nonmetallic Mining													
7) Construction	2,735	3,028	3,290	2,999	2,679	2,973	3,014	3,101	3,174	3,236	3,289		
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod													
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	14,075	20,511	27,584	28,088	27,177	27,853	28,852	31,120	33,110	34,869	36,436		
10) Pet Refining													
(11) Metal Processing				**									
12) Transportation	1,645	1,863	2,055	2,093	2,034	2,074	2,099	2,154	2,199	2,237	2,270		
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	.1,988	1,899	1,892	1,928	1,874	1,903	1,914	1,937	1,955	1,970	1,982		
14) Elec Generation													
15) Retail	10,069	14,106	18,271	18,688	18,582	18,873	19,587	21,256	22,780	24,176	25,459		
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate	2,262	3,129	3,013	3,201	3,256	3,172	3,216	3,310	3,388	3,454	3,509		
17) Bus & Pers Services	1,385	1,817	3,769	3,990	4,048	4,254	4,498	5,110	5,721	6,333	6,944		
(18) Prof & Soc Services	4,769	8,262	14,037	14,858	15,076	15,901	16,823	19,130	21,436	23,743	26,049		
(19) Government	8,081	12,434	14,618	14,977	14,651	15,008	15,519	16,703	17,766	18,728	19,600		
(20) Households													
project ^a													
TOTAL	63,513	79,914	100,721	103,141	101,823	104,196	107,474	115,308	122,669	129,613	136,191		

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 10, there was no project employment. Ć

Sector	Historic Employment						Model-Projected Employment						
	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005		
(1) & (2) Agriculture	19,814	15,802	15,214	15,373	15,531	15,241	15,001	14,507	14,122	13,813	13,560		
(3) Metal Mining	184	6											
(4) Coal Mining													
(5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext													
(6) Nonmetallic Mining													
<pre>(7) Construction</pre>	4,855	5,542	5,430	4,950	4,421	4,558	4,581	4,632	4,674	4,711	4,742		
(8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	1,935	3,432	3,666	3,554	3,490	3,599	3,713	3,998	4,283	3,568	4,852		
(9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	28,405	35,334	42,442	43,218	41,817	42,654	43,656	45,968	48,037	49,899	51,584		
(10) Pet Refining													
(11) Metal Processing													
(12) Transportation	3,901	4,274	4,575	4,660	4,529	4,623	4,691	4,845	4,979	5,097	5,202		
(13) Comm & Pub Utilities	3,044	2,803	3,335	3,400	3,304	3,372	3,423	3,537	3,634	3,720	3,794		
(14) Elec Generation													
(15) Retail	16,426	22,771	28,977	29,638	29,469	29,976	31,201	34,184	37,058	39,829	42,503		
(16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate		4,446	6,105	6,488	6,597	6,525	6,797	7,462	8,104	8,725	9,325		
(17) Bus & Pers Services	3,680	5,433	8,398	8,889	9,019	9,252	9,685	10,767	11,850	12,932	14,014		
(18) Prof & Soc Services	12,405	18,257	31,528	33,372	33,861	34,923	36,646	40,953	45,260	49,568	53,875		
(19) Government	12,405	18,862	22,630	23,186	22,682	23,267	24,131	26,208	28,175	30,040	31,811		
(20) Households													
Project ^a													
TOTAL	110,135	136,962	172,300	176,728	174,720	177,989	183,525	197,060	210,176	222,900	235,263		

APPENDIX TABLE 120. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA REGION 11, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

^aProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of Region 11, there was no project employment.

(

¢

6

€

€

¢

¢

¢

€

€

Sector		Hist	coric Emplo	oyment		Model-Projected Employment ^a						
	1960	1970	1980	1981	1982	1983	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005	
(1) & (2) Agriculture	142,761	108,308	105,197	106,297	107,389		103,583	100,046	97,332	95,188	93,448	
(3) Metal Mining	22,299	17,993	17,475	18,000	11,102	14,533	14,647	14,888	15,082	15,240	15,371	
4) Coal Mining												
5) Pet/NG Exp/Ext												
6) Nonmetallic Mining												
7) Construction	65,118	75,050	85,696	78,120	69,769	73,552	74,853	77,824	80,462	82,828	84,972	
8) Lumber & Assoc Prod	33,487	39,870	52,294	50,700	49,793	51,269	52,779	56,556	60,330	64,102	67,871	
9) Ag Proc & Misc Mfg	327,687	443,933	494,367	503,408	487,088	494,826	505,441	529,943	551,971	571,946	590,202	
10) Pet Refining												
11) Metal Processing												
12) Transportation	55,463	57,076	62,669	63,844	62,042	63,131	63,732	65,105	66,314	67,391	68,366	
13) Comm & Pub Utilities	43,578	44,846	49,210	50,163	48,747	49,598	50,057	51,078	51,955	52,716	53,384	
14) Elec Generation												
15) Retail	192,863	267,767	365,188	373,521	371,399	379,065	396,627	439,945				
16) Fin, Ins, Real Estate		76,471	106,196	112,853	114,762	114,005	118,813	130,528	141,825			
17) Bus & Pers Services	51,924	81,897	132,525	140,279	142,333	145,588	152,758	170,691	188,637	206,596		
18) Prof & Soc Services	110,337	174,032	281,616	298,092	302,457	311,446	327,701	368,320	408,907	449,465	489 ,999	
19) Government	177,325	278,065	336,734	345,020	337,508	346,713	360,609	394,162	426,128	456,648	485,839	
20) Households												
roject ^b						-*						
TOTAL	,280,013	1,665,308	2,089,167	2,140,297	2,104,389	2,149,044	2,221,602	2,399,084	2,571,430	2,739,178	2,902,804	

Ĺ

6

٤

٤

É

ŧ

APPENDIX TABLE 121. HISTORIC AND MODEL-PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, MINNESOTA, SELECTED YEARS 1960 TO 2005

6

٤

¢

E

.

¢

^aThe Minnesota adaptation of NEDAM does not project state level data, therefore, regional model-projected employments were summed to

obtain Minnesota totals. ^bProject employment refers to direct employment associated with a site-specific single- or multiple-project development. Because no developments were included in either the historic or projected economic base of any state region, there was no project employment.

Bibliography

- Bartch, B. L. "Analysis of Intersectoral and Intercommunity Structure in Southwestern North Dakota." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1968.
- Borchert, John R. and Russel B. Adams. <u>Trade Centers and Trade Areas of the</u> <u>Upper Midwest</u>. Urban Report No. 3, Upper Midwest Council, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1963.
- Bowles, G. K., C. L. Beale, and E. S. Lee. <u>Net Migration of the Population</u>, <u>1960-70 by Age, Sex, and Color</u>. University of Georgia Printing Department, Athens, 1975.
- Bureau of Economic Analysis. <u>Personal Income by Place of Residence</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1962, 1965-1981.
- Bureau of Economic Analysis. <u>Personal Income by Place of Residence</u>, <u>Wyoming</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1958-1967.
- Bureau of Economic Analysis. "Survey of Current Business." Vol 63, Number 4, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., April 1983.
- Bureau of Economic Analysis and U.S. Department of Agriculture. <u>1972 OBERS</u> <u>Projections: Economic Activity in the United States</u>. Department of <u>Commerce and Economic Research Service</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- Bureau of Land Management. <u>Public Land Statistics</u>. U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1981.
- Bureau of Mines. <u>Minerals Yearbook</u>, <u>Area Reports</u>: <u>Domestic</u>. Volume II, United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1960-1981.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Census of Agriculture</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1959, 1960, 1969, 1974, and 1978.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Census of Manufacturing</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1958, 1963, 1967, 1972, and 1977.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Census of Population--General Social and Economic</u> <u>Characteristics</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1970, 1980, and 1981.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Census of Wholesale Trade</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1958, 1963, 1967, 1972, and 1977.

- Bureau of the Census. <u>County Business Patterns</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1960-1981.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>County Business Patterns</u>, <u>Minnesota</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964-1981.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Years 1981</u>, <u>1982</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1981, 1982.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Governmental Finances in 1981-82</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, Government Finances, GF82, No. 5, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1983.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>1980 Census of Population--General Social and Economic</u> <u>Characteristics</u>: <u>Minnesota</u>. PC80-1C25, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., August 1983.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>1977 Census of Governments</u>: <u>Finances of County</u> <u>Government</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, GC77(4)-3, Governmental Finances Volume 4, Number 3, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., May 1979.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>1977 Census of Governments</u>: <u>Finances of Municipalities</u> and <u>Township Governments</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, GC77(4)-4, Government Finances Volume 4, Number 4, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., May 1979.
- Bureau of the Census. <u>Projections of the Population of the United States</u> <u>1977 to 2050</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce, Current Population Reports <u>P-25</u>, No. 704, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977.
- Chalmers, J. A., E. J. Anderson, T. Beckhelm, and W. Hannigan. "An Empirical Model of Spatial Interaction in Sparsely Populated Regions." Paper presented at 24th Annual Meeting of Regional Science Association, Philadelphia, November 1977.
- Chase, Robert A., Randal C. Coon, Connie L. Chase, Carlena F. Vocke, Rebecca J. Vuchetich, F. Larry Leistritz, Thor A. Hertsgaard, William Ransom-Nelson, Steve H. Murdock, Pai-Sung Yang, and Rakesh Sharma. <u>Expansion and Adaptation of the North Dakota Economic-Demographic</u> <u>Assessment Model (NEDAM) for Montana: Technical Description</u>. Agricultural Economics Miscellaneous Report No. 61, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, December 1982.

- Chase, Robert A., Randal C. Coon, F. Larry Leistritz, and William Ransom-Nelson. <u>Fiscal Impact Analysis of Repository Siting In Nevada</u>: <u>Adaptation of the SEARS Model Fiscal Component to the Nevada Test Site</u>. <u>Final Report Submitted to the MITRE Corporation, Department of</u> <u>Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota</u> <u>State University, Fargo, September 1983.</u>
- Chase, Robert A. and F. Larry Leistritz. "A Case Study in Impact Assessment Model Adaptation." Paper presented at the Mid-Continent Regional Science Association meeting, Vail, Colorado, June 1982.
- Coon, Randal C., Carlena F. Vocke, Robert A. Chase, Brenda L. Ekstrom, William Ransom-Nelson, Richard W. Rathge, Thor A. Hertsgaard, F. Larry Leistritz, Rebecca J. Vuchetich, and Babu Ranganathan. Expansion and Adaptation of the North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM) for Wyoming: Technical Description. Agricultural Economics Miscellaneous Report No. 63, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, May 1983.
- Department of Economic Development. <u>Gross Sales in Minnesota</u>. Research Division, Minnesota Department of Economic Development, St. Paul, 1977.
- Department of Economic Development. <u>1976 Lodging Receipts</u>. Research Division, Department of Economic Development, St. Paul, 1976.
- Department of Energy, Planning, and Development, Tourism Division. <u>1981</u> <u>Minnesota Tourist-Travel Indicators</u>. Minnesota Tourism Division, St. Paul, 1981.
- Executive Office of the President/Bureau of the Budget. <u>Standard Industrial</u> <u>Classification Manual</u>, <u>1967</u>. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1967.
- Ford, Doug. Telephone Interview by Randal C. Coon. Forest Economist, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, January 1984.
- Forest Industries Council. <u>Minnesota Forest Productivity Report</u>, <u>1979</u>. Forest Productivity Project, Washington, D.C., 1979.
- Goodier, John T. Wyoming Mineral Development Monitoring System. Unpublished Data and Update, Wyoming Department of Economic Planning and Development, Cheyenne, January 1983.
- Gray, S. L., J. R. McKean, and J. C. Weber. <u>The Economy of Northwestern</u> <u>Colorado: Description and Analysis</u>. Technical Report No. 25, Colorado Water Resources Research Institute, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, 1981.
- Hertsgaard, T. A., R. C. Coon, F. L. Leistritz, and N. L. Dalsted. <u>Developing</u> <u>Economic Impact Projection Models for the Fort Union Coal Region</u>. <u>EPA-908/4-77-009</u>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Denver, Colorado, 1977.

- Hertsgaard, T. A., S. H. Murdock, N. E. Toman, M. Henry, and R. Ludtke. <u>REAP</u> <u>Economic-Demographic Model: Technical Description</u>. North Dakota <u>Regional Environmental Assessment Program, Bismarck</u>, 1978.
- House, Peter W. and John McLeod. Large-Scale Models for Policy Evaluation. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1977.
- Irwin, Richard. <u>Guide for Local Area Population Projections</u>. U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977.
- Leholm, Arlen G., F. Larry Leistritz, and James S. Wieland. <u>Profile of North</u> <u>Dakota's Electric Power Plant Construction Work Force</u>. Agricultural Economics Statistical Report No. 22, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1976.
- Leistritz, F. Larry and Steve H. Murdock. <u>The Socioeconomic Impact of</u> <u>Resource Development: Methods for Assessment</u>. Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, 1981.
- Leistritz, F. Larry, Steve H. Murdock, and Robert A. Chase. "Socioeconomic Impact Assessment Models: Review and Evaluation." Impact Assessment Bulletin, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1982.
- Leistritz, F. Larry, Steve H. Murdock, Donald M. Senechal, and Thor A. Hertsgaard. "Computerized Socioeconomic Impact Models: Usefulness and Limitations." Paper presented at Conference on Computer Models for Forecasting Socioeconomic Impacts of Growth and Development, Jasper, Alberta, April 1980.
- Leistritz, F. Larry, William Ransom-Nelson, Richard W. Rathge, Randal C. Coon, Robert A. Chase, Thor A. Hertsgaard, Steve H. Murdock, Rakesh Sharma, and Pai-Sung Yang. North Dakota Economic-Demographic Assessment Model (NEDAM): Technical Description. Agricultural Economics Report No. 158, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, Fargo, September 1982.
- Leuthold, Raymond M. "On the Use of Theil's Inequality Coefficients." American Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. 57, No. 2, 1975.
- Martinez Salazar, Angel S. "A Methodology for the Evaluation of the Economic-Demographic Simulation Models." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1981.
- Minnesota Agricultural Statistics Service. <u>Minnesota Agricultural Statistics</u>. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Statistical Reporting Service Cooperating with Minnesota Department of Agriculture, St. Paul, annual reports, 1961-1983.

- Minnesota Center for Health Statistics. <u>Minnesota Health Statistics 1981</u>. Minneapolis, 1981.
- Minnesota Center for Health Statistics. Unpublished Data. Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1983.
- Minnesota Department of Economic Development and Revenue. <u>1979 Minnesota Tax</u> Guide. Research Office, St. Paul, 1979.
- Minnesota Department of Economic Security. <u>Minnesota Nonagricultural Wage and</u> <u>Salary Employment</u>. Research and Statistical Services Office, St. Paul, 1950-1983.
- Minnesota Department of Economic Security. <u>Minnesota Nonagricultural Wage and</u> <u>Salary Employment Hours and Earnings</u>. Research and Statistical Services Office, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, St. Paul, April 1983.

ينها.

1

- Minnesota Department of Education. The <u>ABC's of Minnesota School</u> <u>Finance--Paying for the Schools in 1982-1983</u>. Published by the Publications and Public Information Section, St. Paul, 1983.
- Minnesota Department of Revenue. <u>Addendum To The 1982 Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>. Research Office, St. Paul, September 1983.
- Minnesota Department of Revenue. <u>Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of State</u> <u>and Local Taxes In Minnesota 1982 Edition</u>. Research Office, St. Paul, August 1982.
- Minnesota Department of Revenue. <u>Minnesota Tax Handbook</u>: <u>A Profile of State</u> <u>and Local Taxes In Minnesota 1980-81</u> Edition. Research Office, St. Paul, January 1981.
- Minnesota Department of Revenue. <u>1981 Minnesota State Individual Income Tax</u>. Bulletin No. 58, Commission of Revenue, St. Paul, August 1983.
- Minnesota Department of Revenue. <u>Property Taxes Levied in Minnesota</u>: <u>1982</u> <u>Assessments--Taxes Payable in 1983</u>. Property Tax Bulletin No. 12, St. Paul, 1983.
- Minnesota Forest Industries, Inc. <u>Forest Industries Facts and Figures</u>. Minnesota Forest Industries Information Committee, Duluth, 1963-1981.
- Mountain West Research, Inc. <u>Construction Worker Profile</u>. Old West Regional Commission, Washington, D.C., December 1975.
- Muller, Thomas. <u>Fiscal Impacts of Land Development</u>: <u>A Critique of Methods</u> <u>and Review of Issues</u>. URI 98000, The Urban Institute, Washington, D.C., 1975.

- Murdock, Steve H., Lonnie L. Jones, F. Larry Leistritz, and Donald R. Andrews. "The Texas Assessment Modeling System (TAMS): A Case Study in Model Adaptation." Paper presented at the Conference on Computer Models and Forecasting Growth and Development, Jasper, Alberta, April 1980.
- Murdock, Steve H. and F. Larry Leistritz. "Computerized Socioeconomic Assessment Models: Selection, Development, and Adaptation." Unpublished Manuscript, Department of Rural Sociology, Texas A&M University, College Station, 1980.
- Murdock, Steve H. and F. Larry Leistritz. "Selecting Socioeconomic Assessment Models: A Discussion of Criteria and Selected Models." <u>Journal of</u> Environmental Management, Vol. 10, 1980.
- Murdock, Steve H., F. Larry Leistritz, Rita Hamm, Robert Chase, and Barbara Kiel. <u>The Socioeconomic Analysis of Repository Siting (SEARS</u>): <u>Technical Description</u>. A Report to the Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation, Battelle Columbus and Department of Energy, Department of Rural Sociology, Texas A&M University, College Station, 1982.
- North Central Consultants, Inc. <u>Representative Costs of Public Facilities In</u> <u>Energy Development Areas</u>. Working Paper, Jamestown, North Dakota, 1974.
- Office of Economic Opportunity. <u>Federal Outlays in Minnesota</u>. National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 1968-1980.
- Pindyck, Robert S. and Daniel L. Rubinfeld. <u>Econometric Models and Economic</u> Forecasts. Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
- Prestgard, P. G. "Effect of Coal Development on Public Costs in North Dakota." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1974.
- Rand-McNally. <u>1982 Commercial Atlas and Marketing Guide</u>. 113th Edition, Chicago, Illinois, 1982.
- Sand, L. D. "Analysis of Effects of Income Changes In Intersectoral and Intercommunity Economic Structure." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1968.
- Senechal, Donald M. "Analysis of Validity of North Dakota Input-Output Models." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1971.
- Shryock, H. S., and J. S. Siegel. <u>The Methods and Materials of Demography</u>. U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1973.

کنن

. میری

Ś

- Tarver, J. D., and T. R. Black. <u>Making County Population Projections</u>: <u>A</u> <u>Detailed Explanation of a Three-Component Method</u>, <u>Illustrated by</u> <u>Reference to Utah Counties</u>. Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Logan, 1966.
- Toman, N. E., S. H. Murdock, and T. A. Hertsgaard. <u>REAP Economic-Demographic</u> <u>Model Version II: Technical Description</u>. North Dakota Regional Environmental Assessment Program, Bismarck, 1979.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. <u>Survey of Current Business</u>. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., various issues.
- U.S. Treasury Department. <u>Annual Report of the Secretary of the Treasury on</u> <u>the State of the Finances</u>. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1960-1967.
- Wieland, James S. "Socioeconomic Characteristics and Settlement Patterns Associated with Operating and Construction Work Forces in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Montana." Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1977.

NeL