

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.


Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Agricultural Economics Miscellaneous Report No. 91

January 1986



Pricing Adjustments for Durum and HRS Wheat in North Dakota (1985)

Steven P. Gunn William W. Wilson

Table of Contents

	Page
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
Highlights	V
Introduction	1
General Characteristics of Participating Elevators	1
Pricing Adjustments for Durum and HRS Wheat by North Dakota Elevators .	1
Analysis of the Price Adjustment Responses	2
Economics of Cleaning Wheat	4
Summary and Conclusions	5
Appendix A	6
Appendix B	
Appendix C	

List of Tables

Table		Page
1.	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSES FROM SEVEN REGIONS ACROSS	
	NORTH DAKOTA	26
2.	ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS	27
3.	LOAD-OUT CAPACITY OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS	28
4.	DISTANCE TO NEAREST COMPETITION OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS	29
5.	STORAGE CAPACITY OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS	30
6.	AVERAGE BOARD PRICE FOR NO. 1 HARD AMBER DURUM AND NO. 1 DNS 14	
	PERCENT PROTEIN HRS WHEAT AMONG RESPONDING ELEVATORS IN EACH	
	REGION, NOVEMBER 1, 1985	31
7.	USEAGE OF COMMISSION COMPANIES AND TRACK BUYERS BY RESPONDING	
	ELEVATORS FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT (FALL 1985)	32
8.	AVERAGE, HIGH, AND LOW PRICE ADJUSTMENTS FOR EACH FACTOR AMONG	
	RESPONDING NORTH DAKOTA COUNTRY ELEVATORS (FALLS OF	
	1985 & 1984)	33
9.	QUALITY OF 1984 AND 1985 DURUM AND HRS WHEAT CROPS	34
10.	PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG	
	ELEVATORS OF SPECIFIED REGIONS IN NORTH DAKOTA (FALL 1985)	35
11.	SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE PREMIUMS FOR HRS	
	WHEAT WITH 16 PERCENT PROTEIN (FALL 1985)	36
12.	SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR	
	HRS WHEAT WITH 12 PERCENT PROTEIN (FALL 1985)	37
13.	SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR	
	HRS WHEAT WITH PERCENT SHRUNKEN AND BROKEN KERNELS (FALL 1985)	38
14.	SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR	
	HRS WHEAT WITH 2 PERCENT CONTRASTING CLASSES	39
15.	SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR	
	HRS WHEAT WITH 5 PERCENT WHEAT OF OTHER CLASSES (FALL 1985)	40
16.	PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG	
	SELECTED TYPES OF ELEVATOR STRUCTURE ORGANIZATIONS (FALL 1985)	41
17.	PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS	
	WITH SELECTED LOADOUT CAPACITIES (FALL 1985)	42
18.	PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS	
	WITH SELECTED DISTANCES TO NEAREST COMPETITION (FALL 1985)	43
19.	PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS	
-	WITH SELECTED STORAGE CAPACITIES (FALL 1985)	44
20.	PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG EASTERN	
,	AND WESTERN NORTH DAKOTA ELEVATORS WITH HIGH AND LOW BOARD PRICES	
	(FALL 1985)	45
21.	AVERAGE, HIGH, AND LOW CLEANING COSTS AND WHEAT SCREENINGS PRICES	
	FOR 1984 AND 1985	46
22.	ECONOMICS OF CLEANING WHEAT WITH VARIOUS SPECIFIED CLEANING	
•	COSTS. SCREENING PRICES, AND DOCKAGE PERCENTAGES	47

<u>List of Figures</u>

Figure		Page
1.	Seven Regions Used to Divide Responding Elevators by Location in the State	7
2.	Frequency of Test Weight Discounts for 58 lb. Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	8
3.	Frequency of Moisture Discounts for 14.5 Percent Moisture Durum	9
4.	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	
5.	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota Frequency of Damage Discounts for 4 Percent Total Damage Durum	10
	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	11
6.	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	12
7.	Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Shrunken and Broken Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	13
8.	Frequency of Discounts for 2 Percent Contrasting Classes Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	14
9.	Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Wheat of Other Classes	15
10.	Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota Frequency of Test Weight Discounts for 57 lb. HRS Wheat	
11.	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota Frequency of Moisture Discounts for 14.5 Percent Moisture HRS	16
12.	Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota Frequency of Protein Premiums for 16 Percent Protein HRS Wheat	17
	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	18
13.	Frequency of Protein Discounts for 12 Percent HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	19
14.	Frequency of Damage Discounts for 4 Percent Total Damage HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	20
15.	Frequency of Discounts for 1 Percent Foreign Material HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	21
16.	Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Shrunken and Broken HRS Whea	t
17.	Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota Frequency of Discounts for 2 Percent Contrasting Classes HRS	22
18.	Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Wheat of Other Classes HRS	23
	Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota	24

Highlights

Premiums and discounts are important factors in the pricing of durum and HRS wheat since they are used as an indication for the demand for various quality levels. In this report the premium and discounts used by country elevators for durum and HRS wheat in the fall of 1985 are examined. The premiums and discounts for 1985 are also compared to those of 1984. The premiums and discounts were then examined for significant differences by location in the state, organizational structure, loadout capacity, distance to competition, storage capacity, and board price. Finally, the economics of cleaning wheat for 1985 were examined and compared to 1984.

The authors express their appreciation to the country elevator managers who responded to the mail survey. Without their help this study could not have been completed.

PRICING ADJUSTMENTS FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT IN NORTH DAKOTA (1985)

Steven P. Gunn and William W. Wilson*

Introduction

An important pricing factor in the marketing of durum and HRS wheat is the variability in quality. Premiums and discounts are an indication of the value placed by the market on various quality levels for durum and HRS wheat. The price adjustments (premiums and discounts) are determined in the market by the relative supply and demand of various quality levels of the particular commodity. The level of quality for durum and HRS wheat is measured by a set of grade and nongrade factors. Country elevators communicate the market determined price adjustments for each factor between destination markets and producers.

In this study country elevator managers in North Dakota were surveyed to document the price adjustments used for durum and HRS wheat as of November 1, 1985. The price adjustment questionnaire was mailed to 528 country elevators in North Dakota. The questionnaire also contained questions about the general characteristics of the responding elevators and the economics of cleaning wheat. Appendix C contains the questionnaire used in the survey.

A similar study of the pricing adjustments for durum and HRS wheat used by country elevators was conducted in 1984. The 1984 study was more comprehensive of the pricing and marketing practices of North Dakota country elevators than the 1985 study. The results of the 1984 study are available from the Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University. The results of the 1985 study are presented in this report.

General Characteristics of Participating Elevators

A diverse mixture of elevators participated in the 1985 study. The elevators participating varied in their location in the state, organizational stucture, loadout capacity, distance to competition, storage capacity, board price for durum and HRS wheat, and commission companies and track buyers used. The general characteristics of the elevators participating are presented in Figure 1 and Tables 1-7.1

Pricing Adjustments for Durum and HRS Wheat by North Dakota Elevators

Pricing adjustments were collected for grade and nongrade factors for durum and HRS wheat. Grade factors which are used to determine numerical grade. Nongrade factors are also used to indicate the quality of wheat. Grade factors used for both durum and HRS wheat are test weight, damaged

^{*}Gunn is a research assistant and Wilson is an associate professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, North Dakota State University, Fargo.

 $^{^{1}}$ All figures will be in Appendix A and all tables will be in Appendix B.

kernels, foreign material, shrunken and broken kernels, contrasting classes, and wheat of other classes. The base grades used were "No. 1 Hard Amber Durum" and "No. 1 Dark Northern Spring, 14 percent protein." The managers were asked to list the price adjustments used for each of the above grade factors from the base grade down to the minimal acceptable level for No. 2 grade. The managers were also asked to give their price adjustments for 14.5 percent moisture durum and HRS wheat, 12 and 16 percent protein HRS wheat, and "amber durum."

Most of the price adjustments for the 1985 Durum and HRS wheat crops averaged higher than those of the 1984 crops. The average high and low price adjustments for 1985 and 1984 durum and HRS wheat are presented in Table 8. All but four price adjustments averaged the same or higher in 1985 than 1984; those four are 1 percent foreign material and 5 percent shrunken and broken kernels for durum and 57 lb. test weight and 1 percent foreign material for HRS wheat. Part of the reason for differences in price adjustments between 1984 and 1985 is the difference in quality of the 1984 and 1985 durum and HRS wheat crops (Table 9). Price discounts had a tendency to be higher when the quality was lower between the two years. For example, durum in 1984 averaged 11.5 percent moisture while durum averaged 12.9 percent moisture for 1985. The higher moisture coincides with higher moisture discounts.

The range between high and low price adjustments indicates that the elevators varied considerably in their pricing adjustments. The frequency distributions given in Figures 2-18 indicate the dispersion of pricing adjustments for each factor. The distribution of responses varied among factors. Test weight discounts tended to have the smallest dispersion while protein price adjustments tended to have the widest dispersion of responses.

Analysis of the Price Adjustment Responses

The price adjustment responses were analyzed for significant differences by location in the state, organizational structure, loadout capacity, distance to competition, storage capacity, and board price. The price adjustments for each category were averaged, then compared to determine the relationship between the price adjustments in each category.²

Most of the price adjustment averages were similar among regions; however, some significant differences in price adjustment averages were found (Table 10). Only eight of the factors had significant differences between regional price adjustment averages and only five factors had more than two regional price adjustment averages which were significantly different. The average discount for 4 percent damaged durum in Region 6 was significantly higher than that of Region 2. Region 7 had a significantly higher average discount for durum with 5 percent wheat of other classes than did Region 3. The average discount for HRS wheat with 14.5 percent moisture was significantly higher in Region 2 than that in Region 4. Significant differences were found between the price adjustment averages for more than two regions for HRS wheat with 16 percent protein, 12 percent protein, 5 percent

 $^{^2\}mbox{A}$ two-tailed statistical test with a .025 significance level was used for each test for significance.

shrunken and broken kernels, 2 percent contrasting classes, and 5 percent wheat of other classes. The price adjustment averages for each region which are significantly different are indicated in Tables 11-15. The price adjustment averages in the west regions were significantly lower than those in the east for 12 and 16 percent protein HRS wheat. Price adjustment averages for 12 and 16 percent protein HRS wheat were significantly different between eastern and western North Dakota in 1984 also. The fact that HRS wheat grown in western North Dakota tends to be higher in protein than that grown in eastern North Dakota and that the destination markets for HRS wheat for eastern and western HRS wheat are different explains the difference in protein price adjustment averages. No pattern could be determined among the other factors.

The price adjustment averages varied little among elevators with cooperative and private organizational structures (Table 16). Only three significant differences in price adjustment averages were found between elevators with different organizational structures. Significant differences in price adjustment averages were found for durum with 14.5 percent moisture, durum with 2 percent contrasting classes, and HRS wheat with 2 percent contrasting classes. The cooperatives in each case had significantly higher average discounts than the privates.

The price adjustment averages varied little among elevators with different loadout capacities (Table 17). Only three significant differences in price adjustment averages were found between elevators with different loadout capacities. The three loadout capacity catagories used were 6 cars or less per day, from 7 to 26 cars per day, and more than 26 cars day. Significant differences in price adjustment averages were found for HRS wheat with 12 percent protein, 1 percent foreign material, and 5 percent shrunken and broken kernels. In each case the significant difference was found between elevators with loadout capacities of 6 or less cars per day and from 7 to 26 cars per day. The higher loadout capacity had significantly higher average discounts for 12 percent protein while the lower loadout capacity had significantly higher average discounts for 1 percent foreign material and 5 percent shrunken and broken kernels.

No significant differences were found among price adjustment averages among elevators with different distances to their nearest competition. The distance to competition categories used were less than 1 mile, from 1 to 5 miles, from 6 to 10 miles, and over 10 miles. The price adjustment averages for each category are given in Table 18. No significant differences were found between price adjustment averages between elevators with different storage capacities. The storage capacity categories used were 300,000 bushels and less and over 300,000 bushels. The price adjustment averages for each category are given in Table 19.

The price adjustment averages for elevators with high and low board prices were compared for significant differences. To correct for the differences in prices between eastern and western North Dakota, the elevators were divided into east and west sections. The dividing line was Highway 3, which runs north and south between Dunseith and Ashley. The average price for durum and HRS wheat in each region was used to divide high and low price. Most of the average price adjustments between high and low price elevators were not significant (Table 20). The only price adjustment averages for durum found

significantly different was for durum with 14.5 percent moisture among elevators in the west. In that region low board price elevators had higher average discounts for 14.5 percent moisture durum. Six price adjustment averages for HRS wheat were found to be significantly different between elevators with high and low board prices. Significant differences were found for HRS wheat with 14.5 percent moisture, 16 percent protein, 12 percent protein, 1 percent foreign material, 5 percent shrunken and broken kernels, and 2 percent contrasting classes. All of the significant differences in price adjustment averages for HRS wheat were found among elevators in the east region. All of the average price adjustments were higher for the low board price elevators except 16 and 12 percent protein. The results indicate that elevators with low board price tended to have higher price adjustment averages.

Economics of Cleaning Wheat

Managers were also asked questions about the economics of cleaning wheat. Of the 218 elevators responding, 213 cleaned wheat prior to shipment. Those elevators cleaning wheat could clean an average of 1,455 bushels/hour with a range of 200 to 12,000 bushels/hour. At harvest time the managers called incoming wheat clean at an average of 2.2 percent dockage. After harvest the managers called incoming wheat clean at an average of 1.9 percent dockage. Sixty-one managers indicated that they called incoming wheat clean at a lower dockage level after harvest than during harvest. During harvest the managers would clean wheat down to an average of 0.8 percent dockage. After harvest they would clean wheat down to an average of 0.7 percent dockage. Thirty-two managers indicated they cleaned wheat down to a lower dockage level after harvest than during harvest.

The costs of cleaning, the price of wheat screenings, the dockage level of the wheat, and the cost of transportation are the main factors determining the economics of cleaning wheat. The average cleaning costs were around 4.2 cents/bushel among the responses. Wheat screenings prices averaged \$33.19/ton (see Table 21). Table 21 contains the average, high, and low estimated cleaning cost and wheat screenings prices for 1984 and 1985. Average screenings prices have gone down and average cleaning costs have risen according to the responses. This would indicate that if transportation and dockage levels remain the same, cleaning wheat is less profitable in 1985 than 1984.

The economics of cleaning wheat were examined by using selected cleaning costs and price for wheat screenings. Using the following equation:

(W)(D)(S + T) - (CW) = net profit from cleaning,

where W = the amount of wheat in lbs.

D = the percentage of dockage in the wheat

S = the price received for wheat screenings per 1b.

T = the cost of transportation from the elevator to the destination market, and

C = the cost of cleaning wheat per 1b.

the net profit from cleaning was calculated. Table 22 contains the results from calculating the net profit from cleaning as the percentage of dockage, cost of cleaning, and price of wheat screenings are varied. The values used

for each of the above factors determined the range in which cleaning wheat was profitable. These figures in Table 22 are fairly gross averages and should not be used as managerial decisions. The profitability of cleaning wheat depends on the costs of transportation, cleaning, and the price for wheat screenings each of which varies by elevators. The assumption of cleaning down to 0.0 percent dockage instead of 0.5 or 1.0 percent also affects the profitability of cleaning wheat.

Summary and Conclusions


Elevators responding to the survey varied considerably by location in the state, organizational structure, loadout capacity, distance to competition, storage capacity, board price for durum and HRS wheat, and the commission companies and track buyers used. The price adjustments used by the elevators for durum and HRS wheat for each factor also had wide ranges. Although the price adjustment responses did vary few significant differences in price adjustment averages existed between location, organizational structure, loadout capacity distance to competition, storage capacity, and board price for durum and HRS wheat. The number of factors in which price adjustment averages were significantly different between categories were location (8), organizational structure (3), loadout capacity (3), distance to competition (0), storage capacity (0), and board price (7). The only patterns recognized between the price adjustment averages were that protein price adjustments for HRS wheat were higher in eastern North Dakota than in western North Dakota. In addition, on selected factors, low board price elevators tended to have higher price adjustment averages for HRS wheat than high board price elevators in eastern North Dakota. The price adjustment averages used in 1985 were higher for most of the price adjustment averages used in 1984.

The economics of cleaning wheat were also examined in the study. Using selected responses the net profit from cleaning wheat was calculated. Profitability of cleaning wheat was dependent on the cost of cleaning, the price of screenings, the cost of transportation, and the dockage level in the wheat. The increase in average cleaning costs and the decrease in average screening prices between 1985 and 1984 indicates that cleaning wheat was less profitable in 1985 than in 1984.

ស្ថិត និងមេនិង ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាព ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល មិនប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល មានប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពេល ប្រជាពិល ប្រជាពិល ប្រជាពិល ប្រជាពិល ប្រជាពិល ប

Sugar Control of the Company

Al refrancings your east and being the ្រាស់ ស្រី សម្រើសិស្សាល្អស្មាល់ មក្សាស្មាន្តិថា of Sonstand, Although dec of the Userk Res does not be to ារ ខេត្ត ដែលសំរាន់ ដែលស្ថិត ស្រាន់ នេះ នៅសំ មានប្រជាពី អំពីការ ខេត្តសមាតិ ប្រធានិការដែលប្រជ នណី ឬមិ ៤០០៖ សុរីជនថ្លៃសុំក្រុងស្ត្រីស ១០៤១ ១១៤ ១៦៤១ ១ Compared the state of the state ានស្មែនទី២ ទៅនឹង ចិត្ត។ សាការ ដែលបែនមាន។ មាន នៅមួយ នៅស្តី ចិត្តសម្តីច្រើន មេដែលមែន មាន មកដោយគេគឺរួ हमप्रमुद्भारत भित्रेष्ठ असमस्योगितमञ्जनेद्वा अस्तर पुरुष १ । स्वयं १००१ मा १००५ संस्थान<mark>स्थानस्थानस्थानस्य स्था</mark>तस The transfer was a second of the second of t coling notae elle nobel de medele . Anne celinopelos poscientes constitu or to the light allege out within a **capt**e control to the second of the s រីក់ស្តែ ក្រុងស្តេកម៉ា និកស្តើនប្រើក្រុង សតិធម្ម (ស. L. - ស. - L. - Li មណៈ ក្នុងស្តេច ក្នុង បាក់ស្តេច មកក្នុងលម្អ ាស្តីទីនៃការ៉ាស់ការ នេះមានក្រសួន និការការនេះស្ត្រីការ បានប្រស្សាល់ បានប្រជាពលរបស់ក្រសួន ក្រសួន និងការការ នេះនឹង អគ្គ



Seven Regions Used to Divide Responding Elevators by Location in the State. Figure 1.

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FREQ	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
	٥	23	23	12.169	12.17
	1	3	26	1.587	13.76
	2	127	153	67.196	80.95
	ч	29	182	15.344	96.30
	5	2	184	1.058	97.35
	6	3	187	1.587	98.94
	8	2	189	1.058	100.00
	0 35	70			
	PERCENTAGE	<u>-</u>			

Figure 2. Frequency of Test Weight Discounts for 58 lb. Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEI	L	FREO	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
·	∘ 🔯	14	14	7.407	7.4074
	2 🔯	9	23	4.762	12.1693
	3 🔯	9	32	4.762	16.9312
1	ч 🔯	17	49	8.995	25 <i>.</i> 9259
	5	20	69	10.582	36.5079
	6 🖁	10	79	5, 291	41.7989
	7	1,	80	0.529	42.3280
	8	52	132	27.513	69.8413
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9	1.,	133	0.529	70.3704
	10	23	156	12.169	82.5397
	11	3	159	1.587	84.1270
en e	12	9	168	4.762	88.8889
	13	1	169	0.529	89.4180
ing the state of t	14	3.	172	1.587	91.0053
	15	3	175	1.587	92.5926
	168		180	2.646	95. 2381
	88	6	186	3.175	98.4127
7	20	2	188	1.058	99.4709
. 2	25	1	189	0.529	100.0000
	0 15 30)			
	PERCENTAGE				

Figure 3. Frequency of Moisture Discounts for 14.5 Percent Moisture Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL	FREG	CUM, FRED	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0	1	1	0. 529	0.529
1	1	2	0.529	1.058
5	7	9	3.704	4.762
8	1	10	0.529	5.291
10	24	34	12.698	17.989
15	82	116	. 43.386	61.376
18	,	117	0. 529	61.905
20	57	174	30. 159	92.063
22	. 1	175	0.529	92.593
24	,	176	0. 529	93.122
25	5	181	2.646	95.767
30	. ч	185	2.116	97.884
40	3	188	1.587	99.471
50	1	189	0.529	100.000
	50			
	PERCENTAGE			

Figure 4. Frequency of Color Discounts for Durum (Amber Durum) Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS	JN I	CENTS	PER	BUSHEL		FREO	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
				0		17	17	8.99	8.995
				1		• 1	18	0.53	9.524
				2		11	29	5.82	15.344
			٠,	3		3	32	. 1.59	16.931
				Ų	\bigotimes	9	41	4.76	21.693
				5		10	51	5.29	26.984
.a				6		 50	101	26.46	53.439
• 14				. 7		j (1	102	0.53	53.968
•				. 8		52	154	27,5)	81.481
• ************************************			4.0	9		3	157	1.59	83.069
				1		8	165	4.23	87.302
				1	2	16	181	8.47	95 <i>.</i> 767
			٠.	. 1	5	ų	185	2.12	97.884
				1	5	1	186	0.53	98.413
				2		1	187	0.53	98.942
				3		1	188	0.53	99.471
				3	5	1	189	0.53	100.000
. •					0 10 20 3	0			
					PERCENTAGE				

Figure 5. Frequency of Damage Discounts for 4 Percent Total Damage Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FREO	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0		85	85	44.974	44.97
1		9	94	4.762	49.74
2		31	125	16.402	66.14
3		25	150	13.228	79.37
ų		3	153	1.587	80.95
5		28	181	14.815	95 <i>.</i> 77
6		3	184	1.587	97.35
		1	185	0.529	97.88
1	0	3	188	1.587	99.47
3:	2	1	189	0.529	100.00
	0 5	0			
	PERCENTAGE				

Figure 6. Frequency of Discounts for 1 Percent Foreign Material Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS	IN	CENTS	PER	BUSHEL			F	REO	CUM. FRED	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
				0				68	68	35.979	35.979
				1				ų	72	2.116	38.095
				2				15	87	7.937	46.032
				3	8	-		7	94	3.704	49.735
				ų	EXXX			8	102	4.233	53.968
				5	\bigotimes			20	122	10.582	64,550
				6	\otimes			.28	150	14.815	79.365
				8		_		ų	154	2.116	81.481
				9	2000	••		6	160	3.175	84,656
) t				28	188	14.815	99.471
•				12			•	1	189	0.529	100.000
					0	20	40	•			
					PER	CENTA	IGE				

Figure 7. Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Shrunken and Broken Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL	FREO	CUM. FREO	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0	33	33	17.460	17.460
ı)	3	36	1.587	19.048
2	12	48	6.349	25.397
з 🚃	36	84	19.048	կկ, կկկ
ч 💥	13	97	6.878	51.323
5	43	140	22.751	74.074
6	17	157	8.995	83.069
8	2	159	1.058	84. 127
10	27	186	14.286	98.413
15	2	188	1.058	99.471
20	1	189	0.529	100.000
0 10 2	0 30			•
PERCENT	AGE			

Figure 8. Frequency of Discounts for 2 Percent Contrasting Classes Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FREO	CUM. FREO	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
C) *****	45	45	23.810	23.8095
1	1	1	46	0. 529	24.3386
2		7	53	3.704	28.0423
3	3	2	55	1.058	29.1005
ų		5	60	2.646	31.7460
5	5 ⊠	16	76	8.466	40.2116
ę	5 8 .	. 5	81	2.646	42.8571
	3 8	6	87	3.175	46.0317
\$	9	1	88	0.529	46.5608
1	10	39	127	20.635	67.1958
:	12	5	132	2.646	69.8413
:	15	26	158	13.757	83.5979
:	16	. 1	159	0.529	84.1270
	20	19	178	10.053	94.1799
:	25 🔯	10	188	5. 291	99.4709
:	30	1	189	0.529	100.0000
•	0 15 3	1 30			
	PERCENTAG	E			

Figure 9. Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Wheat of Other Classes Durum Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FREQ	CUM. FREO	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
	0	21	21	9.72	9.72
	1	37	58	17.13	26 <i>.</i> 85
	2	142	200	65 <i>.</i> 74	92 <i>.</i> 59
	3	6	206	2.78	95.37
	ч	9	215	4.17	99.54
	5	1,	216	0.46	100.00
•	0 35 7	0 .			
	PERCENTAGE	·			

Figure 10. Frequency of Test Weight Discounts for 57 lb. HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FRE0	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
	· 📟	18	18	8.333	8.333
1	1	1	19	0.463	8.796
4	2 🔯	12	31	5.556	14.352
3	3 🔯	9	40	4. 167	18.519
t	4 🚃	30	70	13.889	32.407
•	5	19	89	8.796	41.204
	6	25	114	11.574	52.778
	8	43	155	18.981	71.759
	9	1	156	0.463	72.222
	10	31	187	14.352	86.574
	11	3	190	1.389	87.963
	12	В	198	3.704	91.667
	13	1	199	0.463	92.130
	14	10	209	4.630	96.759
	15	ч	213	1.852	98.611
	16	2	215	0. 926	99.537
	20	1	216	0.463	100.000
	0.0 9.5 1	9.0			
	PERCENTAGE	Ε			

Figure 11. Frequency of Moisture Discounts for 14.5 Percent Moisture HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

PREMUJMS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL	FRE0	CUM. FREO	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
20	1	1.	0.463	0.463
. 30	1	2	0.463	0. 926
32	3	5	1.389	2.315
35	5	10	2.315	4. 630
36	1	11	0.463	5.093
38	. 1	12	0.463	5.556
чо 🛱	11	23	5.093	10.648
чэ	1	24	0.463	11.111
વધ	2	26	0.926	12.037 -
પક	1	27	0.463	12.500
чв	1	28	0.463	12.963
50	ų	32	1.852	14.815
55	3	35	1.389	16.204
58	2	37	0.926	17.130
60	35	72	16.204	33. 333
63	1	73	0.463	33 <i>.</i> 796
64	1	74	0.463	34.259
65	21	95	9.722	43.981
. 66	1	96	0.463	પય. પપય
67	1	97	0.963	44.907
68	2	99	0.926	45.833
69	2	101	0.926	46.759
70	104	205	48. 148	94.907
72	1	206	0.463	95.370
75	2	208	0. 926	96.296
80	6	214	2.778	99.074
85	2	216	0.926	100.000
0 !	า 50			
PERCENTAGE				

Figure 12. Frequency of Protein Premiums for 16 Percent Protein HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

OF THE OFFICE OF STREET		FREQ	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHE	18	1	1	0.463	0,463
	20	. 1	2	0.463	0.926
	28	2	ч	0.926	1.852
	30	3	7	1.389	3.241
	34	1	8	0.463	3.704
	35	2	10	0.926	4.630
	40 8	8	18	3.704	8.333
	45	1	19	0.463	8.7 96
	48	1	20	0.463	9. 259
	50	2	22	0.926	10.185
	54	. 2	24	0.926	11.111
	56	19	43	8.796	19.907
	58	. 6	49	2.778	22.685
	60 🔯	- 15	64	6.944	29.630
	62	3	67	1.389	31.019
	64	3	70	1.389	32.407
	65	ų	74	1.852	34.259
	66	7 2	. 76	0.926	35.185
	67		82	2.778	37.9 63
	70	ų	3 130	22.222	60.185
	74		1 131	0.463	60.648
	75	2	6 157	12.037	72.685
	76		3 160	1.389	74.074
	78	-	1 161	0.463	. 74. 537
	80 🚃	5	2 213	3 24.074	98.611
. •	81		1 21	ı 0.463	99.074
	90	-	1 21	5 0.4 6 3	99. 537
	98		1 21	6 0.46	3 100.000
	0 10 20	30			
	PERCENT				

Figure 13. Frequency of Protein Discounts for 12 Percent HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL	F	REO	CUM. FREO	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0		28	28	12.963	12.96
1		2	30	0.926	13.89
2	XX	33	ц	5.093	18.98
3	Š X	7	48	3. 241	22.22
ų	XXX	10	58	4.630	26.85
5	X	10	68	4.630	31.48
. 6		34	102	15.741	47.22
7		1	103	0.463	47.69
8		69	172	31.944	79.63
9		2	174	0.926	80.56
· 10		17	191	7.870	88.43
17	2	18	209	8.333	96.76
15	5	2	211	0.926	97.69
36	5	4	215	1.652	99. 54
20		1	216	0.463	100.00
	0 20 40				
	PERCENTAGE				

Figure 14. Frequency of Damage Discounts for 4 Percent Total Damage HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL	FREO	CUM. FRED	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
o	117	117	54. 167	54.167
1	21	138	9.722	63,889
2	39	177	18.056	81.944
3	12	189	. 5. 556	87.500
ц	8	197	3.704	91.204
5 X	11	208	5.093	96.296
6	. 1	209	0.463	96.759
7	6	215	2.778	99. 537
8	1	216	0.463	100.000
)- 0	20 40 60			,
P	PERCENTAGE			

Figure 15. Frequency of Discounts for 1 Percent Foreign Material HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FREQ	CUM. Freq	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0		83	83	38.426	38.426
1	8	5	88	2.315	40.741
2		34	122	15 <i>.7</i> 41	56.481
3	X	13	135	6.019	62.500
ų		18	153	8.333	70.833
5		17	170	7.870	78.704
.6	⊠ .	22	192	10.185	88.889
8		2	194	0.926	89.815
11		16	210	7.407	97.222
1:	2	6	216	2.778	100.000
	0 20 4	1 10			
	PERCENTAGE				

Figure 16. Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Shrunken and Broken HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

OJSCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL	FREQ	CUM. FREQ	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0	6 9	69	31,944	31.944
1 💆	. 9	78	4.167	36.111
2	37	115	17.130	53.241
з 🔯	18	133	8. 333	61.574
ų 🐰	22	155	10.185	71.759
5	23	178	10.648	82.407
е 🔯	13	191	6.019	88.426
8	2	193	0.926	89. 352
10	15	208	6. 944	96.296
11	1.	209	0.463	96.759
12	6	215	2.778	99.537
15	1	216	0.463	100.000
0 20	40			
PERCENT	TAGE			

Figure 17. Frequency of Discounts for 2 Percent Contrasting Classes HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

DISCOUNTS IN CENTS PER BUSHEL		FREO	CUM. FREO	PERCENT	CUM. PERCENT
0		62	62	28.704	28.704
1		3	65	1.389	30.093
2		12	77	5.556	35.648
3		1	78	0.463	36.111
ų.		11	89	5.093	41.204
5		24	113	11.111	52.315
6		6	119	2.778	55.093
7		1	120	0.463	55.556
8		9	129	4.167	59.722
9		1	130	0.463	60.185
		45	175	20. 633	81.019
12		6	181	2.778	83.796
15		14	195	6.481	90.278
16		1	196	0.463	90.741
17		6	202	2.778	93.519
20		7	209	3. 241	96.759
25		5	214	2.315	99.074
27		1	215	0.463	99.537
31		1	216	0.463	100.000
i	3 10 20 30)			
•	PERCENTAGE				

Figure 18. Frequency of Discounts for 5 Percent Wheat of Other Classes HRS Wheat Among Selected Country Elevators in North Dakota

A PERSONAL PROPERCENTIALS OF RESPONSES FROM SEVER FACTORS ACROSS WORTH

dar, gada aksali ar i ka sada si akksalikara aspir aba ada si kara.	8 xibnac	<u>idy</u>	d the things of view washing the species and the temperature of a species of
Contract of the Contract of th	258	215	77
y (Spirithord)	74		35
e system breediktys	₹ <u></u>	35	4 0
r Burnett	. 82	22	情報
1	as .	35	53
	123		?
	e t _i	55	;; ;
ik to tetra ₹ to	63	11.6	53
a man control de la completa apropriata a la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la com	e submature e e e estada e que primeiro demanda que se estada distribución en consequencia.	raktion famili sali Baratt oraș arangolompolom arangolompolom (p. 1888).	-5450eura
360g f 244	i lovecors Rengività Rucstin medices	Namber of Elevators Responding	Prichainge as throughing
	_{ನಿ} ಷಾ ರಕ್ಕು ೨ಕ್ಷ	•	÷

somethy anserter i.

TABLE 1. NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSES FROM SEVEN REGIONS ACROSS NORTH DAKOTA

Region	Number of Elevators Receiving Questionnaires	Number of Elevators Responding	Percentage Responding
	•		-Percent-
1 (Northwest)	67	25	37
2 (North Central)	49	. 27	55
3 (Northeast)	109	47	43
4 (Southwest)	92	39	42
5 (Central)	52	22	42
6 (East Central)	85	34	40
7 (Southeast)	<u>74</u>	_24	32
Total	528	218	41

SOURCE: Question 2.

TABLE 2. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS

Types	Number	Percentage
		-Percent-
Locally owned cooperatives	147	68
Harvest states line elevators	7	3
Locally owned private elecators	42	19
Line elevator of large private company	_22_	10
Total	218	100

SOURCE: Question 3.

TABLE 3. LOAD-OUT CAPACITY OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS

Load-Out Capacity	Number	Percentage
		-Percent-
6 or less cars/day	51	23
7 to 26 cars/day	132	61
27 to 54 cars/day	27	12
More than 54 cars/day	8_	4
Total	218	100

SOURCE: Question 4.

TABLE 4. DISTANCE TO NEAREST COMPETITION OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS

Distance to Competition	Number	Percentage
		-Percent-
Less than 1 mile	54	25
1 to 5 miles	27	12
6 to 10 miles	92	42
More than 10 miles	<u>45</u>	21
Total	218	100

SOURCE: Question 5.

- 30 -

TABLE 5. STORAGE CAPACITY OF RESPONDING ELEVATORS

Storage Capacity	Number	Percentage
		-Percent-
Less than 100,000 bushels	17	8
100,000 to 199,000 bushels	46	21
200,000 to 299,000 bushels	50	23
300,000 to 399,000 bushels	32	15
400,000 to 1,000,000 bushels	59	27
Over 1,000,000 bushels	14	6
Total	218	100

SOURCE: Question 6.

TABLE 6. AVERAGE BOARD PRICE FOR NO. 1 HARD AMBER DURUM AND NO. 1 DNS 14 PERCENT PROTEIN HRS WHEAT AMONG RESPONDING ELEVATORS IN EACH REGION, NOVEMBER 1, 1985

Region	Average Durum Price	Average HRS Wheat Price
1 (Northwest)	\$2.93	\$3.28
2 (North Central)	2.97	3.34
3 (Northeast)	3.11	3.48
4 (Southwest)	3.07	3.30
5 (Central)	3.09	3.40
6 (East Central)	3.18	3.55
7 (Southeast)	3.33	3.52
State	3.09	3.42

SOURCE: Question 15 an	d 18.	
• • •	1.4	Ship the first
Park	The State of the S	2.2.4.14.1
$\Omega_{N} \mathbf{o} J_{n}^{2}$	11.70.2	1

The state of the s

in the support of the state of the state of the support of the support of the support of the state of the state of the support of the support

TABLE 7. USEAGE OF COMMISSION COMPANIES AND TRACK BUYERS BY RESPONDING ELEVATORS FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT (FALL 1985)

Company	Durum	HRS Wheat
	Pe	rcent
Harvest states	37.7	34.1
Atwood-Larson	15.7	15.1
Benson-Quinn	14.0	12.3
Kellogg	9.8	8.2
Cargill	6.5	9.3
Peavey	4.0	6.8
Continental	3.0	3.0
Archer-Daniels-Midland	2.8	0.8
International Multifoods	2.3	3.5
Pillsbury	0.8	1.3
Others	<u>· 3,4</u>	5.6
Total	100.0	100.0

SOURCE: Question 7.

Note: Useage percentages shown are not weighted by the amount of durum and HRS wheat handled by each elevator and thus indicate the average useage among the elevators, not the amount of durum and HRS wheat handled by each company in North Dakota.

ဌ

TABLE 8. AVERAGE, HIGH, AND LOW PRICE ADJUSTMENTS FOR EACH FACTOR AMONG RESPONDING NORTH DAKOTA COUNTRY ELEVATORS (FALLS OF 1985 & 1984)

Commodity			1985				1984		
(Base Grade)	Factor	Number of Responses	Average	High	Low	Number of Responses	Average	High	Low
			£/bu.	¢/bu.	¢/bu.		€/bu.	¢/bu.	€/bu.
Durum	58 lbs. test weight	189	- 2.2	- 8	0	74	- 2.2	- 5	0
(#1 HAD)	14.5% moisture	189	- 7.6	-25	0	74	- 6.0	-10	0
(AT HAD)	Amber durum	189	-16.7	-50	0	74	- 5.7	-15	- 5
	4% damaged kernels	189	- 6.9	-35	0	74	- 6.0	-15	0
	1% foreign material	189	- 1.9	-12	0	74	- 2.8	- 5	0
	5% shrunken and								
	broken kernels	189	- 3.9	-12	0	74	- 6.6	-10	0
	2% contrasting classes	189	- 4.4	-20	0	74	- 2.0	- 5	0
	5% wheat of other							•	
	classes	189	- 9.1	-30	0				
	57 The test weight	216	- 1.8	- 5	0	77	- 1.9	- 4	- 1
HRS Wheat	57 lbs. test weight	216	- 6.8	-20	ŏ	77	- 5.9	-10	- 2
(#1 DNS 14%		216	63.4	85	20	77	41.0	68	8
protein)	16% protein	216	-67.4	- 9 8	-18	77	-38.0	-68	-13
	12% protein	216	- 6.6	-20	0	 77	- 2.0	- 5	Ö
	4% damaged kernels	216	- 1.3	- 8	Ö	77	- 1.4	- 4	Ŏ
	1% foreign material	210	- 1.5	U	v	. **	•••	•	•
	5% shrunken and	216	- 3.0	-12	0	77	- 2.2	- 8	0
	broken kernels		- 3.2	-15	ŏ	77	- 1.6	-10	Õ
	2% contrasting classes 5% wheat of other	216				•	1.0	10	·
	classes	216	- 7.0	-31	0	<u> </u>			

SOURCE: Questions 16 and 19, and 1984 study.

TABLE 9. QUALTTY OF 1984 AND 1985 DURUM AND HRS WHEAT CROPS

Commodity (Base Grade)	Factor	1984 Average Value	1985 Average Value
Durum	Test weight	61.3 lbs.	60.7 lbs.
(#1 HAD)	Moisture	11.5%	12.9%
	Color	Hard Amber Durum	Amber Durum
	Shrunken & Broken Kernels	1.3%	0.6%
	Foreign Material	0.1%	0.1%
	Damaged Kernels	0.3%	0.3%
	Contrasting Classes	0.3%	0.7%
HRS Wheat	Test weight	60.1 lbs.	59.2 lbs.
(#1 DNS 14%	Moisture	10.7%	12.9%
protein)	Color	14.7% ·	14.0%
•	Shrunken & Broken Kernels	2.3%	1.3%
	Foreign Material	0.0%	0.2%
	Damaged Kernels	0.0%	0.2%
	Contrasting Classes	0.0%	0.0%

SOURCE: 1985 Durum wheat and HRS wheat quality reports, Department of Cereal Technology, North Dakota State University, Fargo.

- 35

TABLE 10. PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS OF SPECIFIED REGIONS IN NORTH DAKOTA (FALL 1985)

Commodity (Base Grade)	Factor	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7
					¢/bushel			
Durum (#1 HAD)	58 lbs. Test weight 14.5% moisture	- 2.3 - 8.1	- 2.2 - 9.0 -16.9	- 2.1 - 6.8 -16.2	- 2.2 - 6.5 -17.0	- 2.6 - 7.2 -15.6	- 2.0 - 7.5 -17.6	- 1.9 - 9.1 -17.7
	Amber durum 4% damaged kernels* 1% foreign material	- 6.7 - 1.7	- 5.4	- 6.9 - 2.0	- 7.1 - 1.7	- 7.0 - 2.3	- 9.0 - 2.4	- 5.7 - 1.6
	5% shrunken and broken kernels 2% contrasting classes 5% wheat of other classes*	- 3.4 4.6 -10.1	- 4.6 - 4.8 - 8.4	- 4.7 - 4.6 - 6.6	- 3.1 - 4.2 - 9.5	- 3.9 - 4.1 - 9.0	- 4.2 - 4.5 -10.6	- 2.7 - 4.2 -11.7
HRS Wheat (#1 DNS 14% protein)	57 lb. test weight 14.5% moisture* 16% protein** 12% protein** 4% damaged kernels 1% foreign material	- 2.2 - 7.2 48.8 -60.5 - 7.6 - 1.9	- 1.7 - 8.7 61.4 -67.0 - 5.8 - 1.8	- 1.8 - 6.6 67.6 -72.3 - 6.7 - 1.0	- 1.6 - 5.6 61.3 -60.1 - 5.8 - 0.9	- 1.8 - 7.3 64.9 -69.1 - 6.7 - 1.4	- 1.6 - 6.3 68.6 -72.5 - 7.6 - 1.3	- 1.7 - 7.1 68.1 -68.6 - 5.7 - 1.1
	5% shrunken and broken kernels** 2% contrasting classes** 5% wheat of other classes**	4.2 - 5.1 -11.2	- 4.6	- 2.7 - 3.3 - 5.0	- 2.2 - 2.1 - 6.0	- 2.6 - 2.8 - 7.0	- 2.4 - 2.6 - 7.3	- 1.9 - 2.5 - 5.0

^{*}Significant differences were found between the highest and lowest average price adjustment.

**More than two price adjustment averages were found significantly different.

SOURCE: Questions 2, 16, and 19.

TABLE 11. SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE PREMIUMS FOR HRS WHEAT WITH 16 PERCENT PROTEIN (FALL 1985)

Region	Average Premium for HRS Wheat with 16 Percent Protein	Grouping*
	¢/bushel	
6 (East Central)	68.6	A
7 (Southeast)	68.1	A
3 (Northeast)	67.6	A
5 (Central)	64.9	A B
2 (North Central)	61.4	В
4 (Southwest)	60.4	В
1 (Northwest)	48.8	C

^{*}Regional averages with the same letter are not significantly different.

TABLE 12. SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR HRS WHEAT WITH 12 PERCENT PROTEIN (FALL 1985)

Region	Average Discount for HRS Wheat with 12 Percent Protein	Grouping*
	/bushel	
6 (East Central)	-72.5	A
3 (Northeast)	-72.3	A
5 (Central)	-69.1	A
7 (Southeast)	-68.6	A
2 (North Central)	-67.0	A
l (Northwest)	-60.5	В
4 (Southwest)	-59.6	В

^{*}Regional averages with the same letter are not significantly different.

TABLE 13. SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR HRS WHEAT WITH PERCENT SHRUNKEN AND BROKEN KERNELS (FALL 1985)

Region	Average Discounts for HRS Wheat with 5 Percent Shrunken and Broken Kernels	Gr	oupi	ng*
	£ /bushel			
2 (North Central)	-4.7	A		
1 (Northwest)	-4.2	A	В	
6 (East Central)	-2.8	A	В	C
3 (Northeast)	-2.7		В	C
5 (Central)	-2.7 .		В	C
4 (Southwest)	2.2			С
7 (Southeast)	-1.9			C

^{*}Regional averages means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SOURCE: Question 2 and 19.

TABLE 14. SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR HRS WHEAT WITH 2 PERCENT CONTRASTING CLASSES

Region	Average Discounts for HRS Wheat Region with 2 Percent Contrasting Classes		Grouping [*]			
1 (Northwest)	5.1	A				
2 (North Central)	4.6	Α	В			
3 (Northeast)	3.3		В	С		
5 (Central)	2.8			С		
6 (East Central)	2.6			С		
7 (Southeast)	2.5			С		
4 (Southwest)	2.0			С		

^{*}Regional averages means with the same letter are not significantly different.

TABLE 15. SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGIONAL AVERAGE DISCOUNTS FOR HRS WHEAT WITH 5 PERCENT WHEAT OF OTHER CLASSES (FALL 1985)

	Region	Average Discount for HRS Wheat with 5 Percent Wheat of Other Classes	Gro	oupi	ng*
_		€/bushe1			
1	(Northwest)	-11.2	A		
2	(North Central)	- 9.1	A	В	
6	(East Central)	- 7.3		В	C
, 5	(Central)	- 7.0		В	C
4	(Southwest)	- 6.1		В	C
3	(Northeast)	- 5.0			C
7	(Southeast)	- 5.0			С

^{*}Regional averages with the same letter are not significantly different.

TABLE 16. PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG SELECTED TYPES OF ELEVATOR STRUCTURE ORGANIZATIONS (FALL 1985)

Commodity (Base Grade)	Factor	Cooperative*	Private
		t/bushe	
		p, busile	•
Durum	58 lb. test weight	- 2.1	- 2.4
(#1 HAD)	14.5% moisture**	- 8.1	- 6.5
	Amber durum	-16.3	-17.7
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.9	- 6.7
	1% foreign material	- 1.9	- 2.0
	5% shrunken and broken		
	kernels	- 3.9	- 3.8
	2% Contrasting classes**	- 4.9	- 3.8
	5% Wheat of other classes	- 9.7	- 7.7
HRS Wheat	57 lb. test weight	- 1.8	- 1.8
(#1 DNS 14%	14.5% moisture	- 7.0	- 6.1
protein)	16% protein	63.4	63.4
.	12% protein	-67.5	-67.0
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.7	- 6.3
	1% foreign material	- 1.2	- 1.4
	5% shrunken and broken		
	kernels	- 3.2	- 2.6
	2% contrasting classes**	- 3.6	- 2.1
	5% wheat of other classes	- 7.4	- 5.8

^{*}Includes Harvest States line elevators.
**Averages are significantly different.

SOURCE: Questions 3, 16, and 19.

TABLE 17. PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS WITH SELECTED LOADOUT CAPACITIES (FALL 1985)

Commodity (Base Grade)	Factor	Six Cars or Less	7 to 26 Cars	More Than 26 Cars
			¢/bushel-	
Durum	58 lb. test weight	- 2.3	- 2.3	- 1.7
(#1 HAD)	14.5% moisture	- 7.4	- 7.4	- 8.5
•	Amber durum	-17.3	-16.3	-17.0
	4% damaged kernels	- 5.7	- 7.3	- 7.1
	1% foreign material	- 2.3	- 1.7	- 2.1
	5% shrunken and broken			
	kernels	- 4.3	- 4.0	- 3.0
	2% contrasting classes	- 4.5	- 4.3	- 5.0
	5% wheat of other classes	- 9.3	- 8.7	-10.5
HRS Wheat	57 lb. test weight	- 1.8	- 1.8	- 1.7
(#1 DNS 14%	14.5% moisture	- 6.9	- 6.6	- 7.2
protein)	16% protein*	60.1	64.5	64.0
•	12% protein	-62.9	-69.4	-66.5
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.4	- 6.7	- 6.2
	1% foreign material*	- 1.9	- 1.1	- 1.3
	5% shrunken and broken			
	kernels*	- 4.2	- 2.7	- 2.3
	2% contrasting classes	- 3.6	- 2.9	- 3.4
	5% wheat of other classes	- 8.1	- 6.5	- 7.0

^{*}High and low price adjustment averages are significantly different.

SOURCE: Questions 4, 16, and 19.

TABLE 18. PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS WITH SELECTED DISTANCES TO NEAREST COMPETITION (FALL 1985)

Commodity (Base Grade)	Factor	Less Than 1 Mile	1 to 5 Miles		More Than 10 Miles
			£/	bushel -	
Durum	58 lb. test weight	- 2.1	- 2.1	- 2.2	- 2.2
(#1 HAD)	14.5% moisture	- 8.1	- 7.3		- 7.4
	Amber durum	-15.6	-18.5	-16.6	-16.8
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.0	- 8.1	- 7.1	- 6.8
	1% foreign material	- 1.9	- 2.0	- 2.1	- 1.7
	8% shrunken and broken				
	kernels	- 3.4	- 4.3	- 4.2	- 3.6
	1% contrasting classes	- 3.8	- 4.3	- 4.9	- 4.4
	5% wheat of other classes	- 8.9	- 8.7	· - 8.9	-10.0
HRS Wheat	57 lb. test weight ·	- 1.8	- 1.7	- 1.7	- 1.8
(#1 DNS 14%	14.5% moisture	- 6.6	- 7.3	- 6.8	- 6.5
protein)	16% protein	63.7	64.0	64.3	61.0
p. 0 00,	12% protein	-65.4	-68.3	-68.4	-67.1
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.0	- 7.0		- 5.7
	1% foreign material	- 1.3	- 1.5	- 1.4	- 1.0
	5% shrunken and broken		3.0		
	kernels	- 2.5	- 2.6	- 3.5	- 2.9
	2% contrasting classes	- 2.7	- 2.9	- 3.5	- 3.1
	5% wheat of other classes	- 6.1	- 6.4	- 7.1	- 8.0

SOURCE: Questions 5, 16, and 19.

TABLE 19. PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG ELEVATORS WITH SELECTED STORAGE CAPACITIES (FALL 1985)

Commodity (Base Grade)	Factor	300,000 Bushels or Less Storage Capacity	Over 300,000 Bushels Storage Capacity
		£/ bu	shel
Durum	58 lb. test weight	- 2.3	- 2.1
(#1 HAD)	14.5% moisture	- 7.6	- 7.5
(AT HVD)	Amber durum	-16.7	-16.6
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.5	- 7.3
	1% foreign material	- 1.9	- 2.0
,	5% shrunken and broken	2.5	
	kernels	- 4.0	- 3.7
	7% contrasting classes	- 4.4	- 4.5
	5% wheat of other classes	- 8.2	-10.2
HRS Wheat	57 lb. test weight	- 1.8	- 1.7
(#1 DNS 14%	14.5% moisture	- 7.2	- 6.4
protein)	16% protein	62.3	64.8
procein	12% protein	-67.4	-67.6
	4% damaged kernels	- 6.7	- 6.4
	1% foreign material	- 1.4	- 1.1
	5% shrunken and broken	•••	
	kernels	- 3.4	- 2.5
	2% contrasting classes	- 3.4	- 2.9
	5% wheat of other classes	- 7.1	- 6.8

SOURCE: Questions 6, 16, and 19.

TABLE 20. PRICE ADJUSTMENT AVERAGES FOR DURUM AND HRS WHEAT AMONG EASTERN AND WESTERN NORTH DAKOTA ELEVATORS WITH HIGH AND LOW BOARD PRICES (FALL 1985)

Commodity	Location	Factor	Low Price	High Price
			-¢/ bu	ishel
Durum	East	58 lb. test weight	- 2.1	- 2.1
		14.5% moisture	- 8.5	- 7.6
		Amber durum	-15.6	-17.5
		4% damaged kernels	- 6.2	- 7.1
		1% foreign material	- 1.9	- 2.0
		5% shrunken and broken		
		kernels	- 3.5	- 4.5
		2% contrasting classes	- 4.5	- 4.6
		5% wheat of other classes	- 8.6	- 8.9
	West	58 lb. test weight	- 2.1	- 2.6
		14.5% moisture*	- 8.2	- 5.8
		Amber durum	-16.5	-16.1
		4% damaged kernels	- 7.2	- 6.7
		1% foreign material	- 2.2	- 1.4
		5% shrunken and broken		
		kernels	- 3.6	- 3.2
		2% contrasting classes	- 4.6	- 3.9
		5% wheat of other classes	- 9.4	- 9.8
HRS Wheat	East	57 lb. test weight	- 1.8	- 1.7
		14.5% moisture*	- 8.2	- 6.5
		16% protein*	64.0	68.0
		12% protein*	-67.9	-72.0
		4% damaged kernels	- 5.9	- 6.9
		1% foreign material	- 1.9	- 1.0
		5% shrunken and broken		
		kernels*	- 4.2	- 2.5
		2% contrasting classes*	- 4.5	- 2.6
		5% wheat of other classes	- 7.8	- 5.8
	West	57 lb. test weight	- 1.9	- 1.7
		14.5% moisture	- 6.2	- 6.6
		16% protein	57.1	63.5
		12% protein	-62.2	-65.9
		4% damaged kernels	- 6.3	- 7.2
		1% foreign material	- 1.3	- 1.3
		5% shrunken and broken		-,-
		kernels	- 2.8	- 3.2
		2% contrasting classes	- 3.2	- 2.5
		5% wheat of other classes	- 7.2	- 8.2
		Jo Wileat Of Other Classes	, . L	

^{*}Averages are significantly different.

SOURCE: Questions 15, 16, 18, and 19.

TABLE 21. AVERAGE, HIGH, AND LOW CLEANING COSTS AND WHEAT SCREENINGS PRICES FOR 1984 AND 1985

		1984			1985	
Item	Average	High	Low	Average	High	Low
Cleaning Costs	3.5¢/bu.	.nq/\$6	0¢/bu.	4.2¢/bu.	22¢/bu. 0¢/bu.	0¢/bu.
gs Prices	\$42.67/ton	\$55.00/ton	\$25.00/ton	\$33.19/ton	\$55.00/ton \$0/ton	\$0/ton

TABLE 22. ECONOMICS OF CLEANING WHEAT WITH VARIOUS SPECIFIED CLEANING COSTS, SCREENING PRICES, AND DOCKAGE PERCENTAGES

Incoming	6.0¢/E	Bushel ng Cost	4.0¢/Bo Cleanin		2.0¢/Bu Cleaning	Cost
Dockage Percent	Screening \$40/Ton	Screening \$20/Ton	Screening \$40/Ton	Screening \$20/Ton	Screening \$40/Ton	Screening \$20/Ton
	Ne	t Savings on	a 50,000 lb.	Transaction	for Cleaning	
5	\$25.00	\$ 0.00	\$41.67	\$16.67	\$58.33	\$33.33
4	10.00	- 10.00	26.67	6.67	43.33	23.33
3	- 5.00	- 20.00	11.67	- 3.33	28.33	13.33
2	- 20.00	- 30.00	- 3.33	- 13.33	13.33	3.33
1	- 35.00	- 40.00	18.33	- 23.33	- 1.67	- 6.67

Notes: assume transportation cost = 1t/lb.

net profit from cleaning = (W) (D) (S + T) - (W)(C)

where: W = total weight of unclean grain in lbs.

D = percent dockage in wheat

S = price of wheat screening per 1b.

T = cost of transportation per lb.
C = cost of cleaning per lb.
assume wheat is cleaned down to 0.0 percent dockage level

13.56/ No. 1 (45)

\$8.65

A A A CONTRACT OF THE SECOND

EELL SERVICE SERVICE

4710.0 .24.00

Appendix C

The Morth 1995 and the first of the Mortal State of the Mortal Sta

GRAIN MARKETING QUESTIONNAIRE (Fall 1985)

1.	Name of firm	
2.	Location of firm	
3.	(t	 Locally owned cooperative elevator Harvest States line elevator Locally owned private elevator Line elevator of a large private compan Other
4.	What is the largest number of rone day?	rail cars that your elevator can load in
	(b	 Less than 6 cars Between 7 and 26 cars Between 27 and 54 cars More than 54 cars
5.	How far away is your nearest co	mpetition? .
	(b	 Less than 1 mile 1 to 5 miles 6 to 10 miles More than 10 miles
6.	What is the total storage capac	ity at this facility?bushels
7.	What were the major commission Durum and HRS Wheat through and each?	companies or track buyers you sell your the approximate percentage of sales to
		Approximate Percent of Sales
	<u>Name</u>	Durum HRS Wheat
	a. Harvest States b. Peavey c. Cargill d. Atwood-Larson e. Benson-Quinn f. Kellogg g. Continental h. IMF i.	
8.	Do you clean grain for shipment	?YesNo
9.	At what dockage percentage do y Harvest_	ou not clean wheat? Post Harvest
10.	How many bushels can you clean	per hour?

_	<u>—</u>	Post Harvest
2.	What would you estimate your clear	ning costs to be in cents per bushel?
3.	To whom do you sell your screening	gs?
4.	What price do you receive for whe	at screenings?
5.	What was your board price for #1	Hard Amber Durum on November 1, 1985?
6.	What are your discounts for Durum (Base grade = #1 HAD)	which grade the following values?
	a. 58 lb. Test Weight	% /Bu.
	b. 14.5% Moisture	 ⊈/Bu.
	c. Amber Durum (Color)	<u></u> ¢/Bu.
	d. 4% Total Damaged Kernels	g/Bu.
	e. 1% Foreign Material	<u> </u>
	f. 5% Shrunken & Broken Kernels	g/Bu.
	g. 2% Contrasting Classes	
	h. 5% Wheat of Other Classes i. Other	
В.	What was your board price for #1	DNS 14% protein on November 1, 1985?
9.	What are your discounts and premi values? (Base grade = #1 DNS 14%	ums for HRS wheat which grade the following protein)
	a. 57 lb. Test Weight	⊈/Bu.
	b. 14.5% Moisture	Ç/Bu.
	c. 16% Protein	### ### ### ##########################
	d. 12% Protein	#/Bu. (tested "as is" moisture)
	e. 4% Total Damaged Kernels f. 1% Foreign Material	⊈/Bu. ⊈/Bu.
	g. 5% Shrunken & Broken Kernels	
	h. 2% Contrasting Classes	
	i. 5% Wheat of Other Classes	¢/Bu.
	j. Other	₹/Bu.
0.	How have these discounts changed	since harvest?
1.	Comments:	

j1p:85