



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

THE STATA JOURNAL

Editor

H. Joseph Newton
Department of Statistics
Texas A & M University
College Station, Texas 77843
979-845-3142; FAX 979-845-3144
jnewton@stata-journal.com

Associate Editors

Christopher Baum
Boston College
Rino Bellocco
Karolinska Institutet, Sweden and
Univ. degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Italy
A. Colin Cameron
University of California–Davis
David Clayton
Cambridge Inst. for Medical Research
Mario A. Cleves
Univ. of Arkansas for Medical Sciences
William D. Dupont
Vanderbilt University
Charles Franklin
University of Wisconsin–Madison
Joanne M. Garrett
University of North Carolina
Allan Gregory
Queen's University
James Hardin
University of South Carolina
Ben Jann
ETH Zurich, Switzerland
Stephen Jenkins
University of Essex
Ulrich Kohler
WZB, Berlin

Stata Press Production Manager

Stata Press Copy Editor

Editor

Nicholas J. Cox
Geography Department
Durham University
South Road
Durham City DH1 3LE UK
n.j.cox@stata-journal.com

Jens Lauritsen
Odense University Hospital
Stanley Lemeshow
Ohio State University

J. Scott Long
Indiana University
Thomas Lumley
University of Washington–Seattle
Roger Newson
Imperial College, London
Marcello Pagano
Harvard School of Public Health
Sophia Rabe-Hesketh
University of California–Berkeley
J. Patrick Royston
MRC Clinical Trials Unit, London
Philip Ryan
University of Adelaide
Mark E. Schaffer
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh
Jeroen Weesie
Utrecht University
Nicholas J. G. Winter
University of Virginia
Jeffrey Wooldridge
Michigan State University

Lisa Gilmore

Gabe Waggoner

Copyright Statement: The Stata Journal and the contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) are copyright © by StataCorp LP. The contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) may be copied or reproduced by any means whatsoever, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the Stata Journal.

The articles appearing in the Stata Journal may be copied or reproduced as printed copies, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the Stata Journal.

Written permission must be obtained from StataCorp if you wish to make electronic copies of the insertions. This precludes placing electronic copies of the Stata Journal, in whole or in part, on publicly accessible web sites, file servers, or other locations where the copy may be accessed by anyone other than the subscriber.

Users of any of the software, ideas, data, or other materials published in the Stata Journal or the supporting files understand that such use is made without warranty of any kind, by either the Stata Journal, the author, or StataCorp. In particular, there is no warranty of fitness of purpose or merchantability, nor for special, incidental, or consequential damages such as loss of profits. The purpose of the Stata Journal is to promote free communication among Stata users.

The *Stata Journal*, electronic version (ISSN 1536-8734) is a publication of Stata Press, and Stata is a registered trademark of StataCorp LP.

Stata tip 36: Which observations?

Nicholas J. Cox
Department of Geography
Durham University
Durham City, UK
n.j.cox@durham.ac.uk

A common question is how to identify which observations satisfy some specified condition. The easiest answer is often to use `list`, as in

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r9/auto, clear  
(1978 Automobile Data)  
. list rep78 if rep78 == 3  
(output omitted)
```

An equivalent is to use `edit` instead. In either case, the basic ingredients to an answer are

1. At least an `if` condition and possibly an `in` condition, too. Even if we start out interested in all observations, the condition of interest will be specified using `if`.
2. The observation numbers themselves. Evidently some commands will show them (`list` and `edit` being examples), but otherwise we will need to work a little harder and do something like

```
. gen long obsno = _n
```

and work with that new variable. Here I spelled out that the variable type to be used is a `long`. Consulting the help for data types shows that an `int` will work for datasets with up to 32,740 observations. The default for a new variable is `float`: this will often be fine, but it is dangerous for very large datasets because not every large integer less than Stata's maximum dataset size can be held exactly.

What other complications will we need to worry about when specifying conditions?

- Precision problems with noninteger values, prominently documented but nevertheless a frequent source of minor grief (e.g., see Cox [2006] and references therein).
- Ties; i.e., more than one observation may satisfy a specified condition.
- Conditions involving string comparisons as well as numeric comparisons.

`list` or `edit` shows us the observation numbers for a particular condition, but not compactly or retrievably. We do not want to have to type out those numbers if we need them for some other purpose. To get a more compact display, one approach uses `levelsof` after generating an observation number variable.

```
. levelsof obsno if rep78 == 3
1 2 4 6 8 9 10 11 13 14 16 19 25 26 27 28 31 32 34 36 37 39 41 42 44 49 50 54 60 65
```

In an (updated) Stata 8, use `levels`, not `levelsof`. The help for `levelsof` shows that you can put the list of observation numbers into a local macro for further manipulation and that this list is accessible immediately after issuing the command as `r(levels)`.

If you want the `obsno` variable for this kind of purpose, you might want it shortly for something similar, so it might as well be left in memory as long as there is plenty to spare. But `obsno` will remain identical in contents to `_n` only as long as the sort order is not changed.

```
. assert obsno == _n
```

is a good way to check whether that remains true. `assert` gives no output if the assertion made is true for every observation, no news thus being good news in this example. See also Gould (2003).

Asking for the levels of an observation number variable works when ties are present and when string comparisons are specified. You can also add whatever other `if` or `in` conditions apply.

The main problem to worry about in practice is the precision problem. Consider

```
. summarize gear
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
gear_ratio	74	3.014865	.4562871	2.19	3.89

What if we want to see which observations are equal to the maximum?

```
. levelsof obsno if gear == 3.89
```

shows nothing and so fails to find the observation(s), whereas

```
. levelsof obsno if gear == float(3.89)
56
```

happens to give the right answer, but you will not always be so lucky. In other circumstances, what you see (3.89) might be more rounded than it should be. The best approach in general is to use the saved results produced by commands such as those, which are documented in the manual entry for each command. Thus after `summarize`,

```
. levelsof obsno if gear == r(max)
56
```

gives the right answer, as it does in this example,

```
. levelsof obsno if gear == 'r(max)'
56
```

Nevertheless, I recommend using `r(max)` rather than `'r(max)'` because the former gives you access to the maximum precision possible. A similar comment applies to e-class results.

Incidentally, because `levelsof` is r-class it will overwrite the r-class results left behind by `summarize`, so you will need to issue such commands in the right order. Thus if we wanted to see both the maximums and the minimums, we would need to repeat commands. As a variation, we use the `meanonly` option, which despite its name does leave the maximum and minimum in memory.

```
. summarize gear, meanonly
. levelsof obsno if x == r(max)
56
. summarize x, meanonly
. levelsof obsno if x == r(min)
12
```

References

- Cox, N. J. 2006. Stata tip 33: Sweet sixteen: Hexadecimal formats and precision problems. *Stata Journal* 6: 282–283.
- Gould, W. 2003. Stata tip 3: How to be assertive. *Stata Journal* 3: 448.