

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

The Stata Journal (2004) 4, Number 3, p. 356

Stata tip 11: The nolog option with maximum-likelihood modeling commands

Patrick Royston MRC Clinical Trials Unit, London patrick.royston@ctu.mrc.ac.uk

Many Stata commands fit a model by maximum likelihood, and in so doing, they include a report on the iterations of the algorithm towards (it is hoped) eventual convergence. There may be tens or even hundreds or thousands of such lines in a report, which are faithfully recorded in any log file you may have open. Suppose that you did this with stcox:

- . use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r8/cancer, clear
- . describe
- . stset studytime, failure(died)
- . xi: stcox i.drug age, nohr

You get six useless lines of output detailing the progress of the algorithm. This is a nice example, as sometimes progress is much slower or more complicated.

Those lines are of little or no statistical interest in most examples and may be omitted by adding the nolog option:

```
. xi: stcox i.drug age, nohr nolog
```

The nolog option works with many vital Stata commands, including glm, logistic, streg, and several more. My own view is that nolog should be the default in all of them. Be that as it may, you can compress your logs to good effect by specifying nolog routinely. It will remain obvious when your estimation fails to converge.