

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Department of Agriculture
and the

United States Department of Agriculture
Soil Conservation Service

-- 0 --

Second
Annual Report
of the
Farm Management Service
for
Farmers in Soil Erosion Control Demonstration Areas
for the year
1936
(April 1936 to March 1937)

-- 0 ---

Name:

Mimeographed Report No. 87
Division of Agricultural Economics
University Farm
St. Paul, Minnesota
June, 1937

Second Annual Report of the Farm Management Service for Farmers in Soil Erosion Control Demonstration Areas

Prepared by W. P. Ranney and G. A. Pond,
Division of Agricultural Economics;
James C. Jensen and Austin B. Sanford, Soil Conservation Service

#### INDEX

	rage
Introduction	. 1
Summary of Farm Inventories	. 7
Summary of Farm Earnings (Cash Statement)	. 8
Summary of Farm Earnings (Enterprise Statement)	
Analysis of the Reasons for Differences in Operator's Earnings	
Effect of Well Balanced Efficiency on Operator's Earnings	
Measures of Farm Organization and Management Efficiency	
Thermometer Chart	
Distribution of Acres in Farm	
Crop Yields	
Livestock Summary	
Factors of Cost and Returns in Dairy Production	
<del>-</del>	
Feed Costs and Returns for Hogs	
Feed Costs and Returns for Poultry	
Feed Costs and Returns for Sheep	
Feed Costs per Horse and Other Power Expense Items	
Distribution of Farm Produce Used in House	
Distribution of Household and Personal Expenses	
Summary of Farm Earnings by Areas (Cash Statement)	
Distribution of Acres in Farm, and Crop Yields, by Areas	22
Measures of Farm Organization and Management by Areas	23
Efficiency and Livestock Summary by Areas	23
Soil Conservation and the Farm Organization	24

#### Introduction

Through a joint agreement between the Division of Agricultural Economics of the University of Minnesota and the Soil Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, a complete farm record service has been made available to farmers in the Erosion Control Demonstration Areas of Minnesota. Farmers in the Gilmore Creek Area at Winona, the Beaver Creek Area at Caledonia, and the Deer-Bear Creek Area at Spring Valley, who were cooperating with the Soil Conservation Service and operating their farms under a complete erosion control program, had the opportunity to keep records. Eighty-one farmers in the three areas completed books in 1936, 25 of these farmers in Gilmore and Deer-Bear Creek Areas having completed their second year of record keeping. A few new cooperators have started keeping record books but they are few in number, and it is to be expected that the number of record keepers will remain about the same.

The work of supervising these records is taken care of by James C. Jensen of Spring Valley, Minnesota, and Austin B. Sanford of Winona, Minnesota, both on the staff of the Soil Conservation Service. The summary and analysis are under the direction of G. A. Pond and W. P. Ranney of the Department of Agricultural Economics of the University of Minnesota. The record books were furnished by the Division of Agricultural Extension, University of Minnesota, which is also cooperating in this study.

Note: Completion of this project was made possible by workers supplied on Federal Students' Work Project, 1936-37, Project No. 41-100, and Project No. 813-120, Minnesota Works Progress Administration. Sponsor: University of Minnesota.

Full cooperation has been given during the past year by members of the several sections of the Division of Operations, Soil Conservation Service, and the Division of cricultural Extension, University of Minnesota, as well as county agricultural agents in the locality.

## Records Kept

The records kept by the cooperators included inventories at the beginning and end of the year, cash receipts and expenses, a report of feed fed to the various classes of livestock, and a record of farm produce used by the family. Supplementary information was also secured during the year regarding crop and livestock production practices.

The cooperators were assisted and supervised in keeping their records by the fieldmen from the Soil Conservation Service, who visited each farm several times during the year. In addition to securing the supplementary information, the fieldmen's duties included numerous services, viz., helping the farmer place uniform values on real estate and equipment, checking the cash and feed records, answering any questions that might arise as to how the entries should be made in the account book, and helping with farm management problems which came up due to changes brought about by the introduction of a complete erosion control program.

At the end of the year, the books were taken to the central office at the University Farm where they were checked for completeness and accuracy. Then the field-man of the Soil Conservation Service visited each cooperator and asked for corrections and secured any data which had been omitted.

Sixty-eight of the books contained complete household statements which were summarized and tabulated on page 20. This portion of the summary was an extra service given in addition to the regular farm accounts and it was entirely up to the cooperator as to whether he kept that portion of the record or not.

#### Topography, Soils, Climate

The Gilmore Creek Area, in which 14 records were completed, is located at the southwestern edge of the city of Winona, in Winona county. The valley and side coulees are very narrow with steep sides. The ridges are narrow, varying from a few rods to usually less than one-fourth of a mile in width. The upland soils fall mainly into two types, Clinton silt loam, a forest soil developed on loess, and Dubuque silt loam, a forest soil developed on residual limestone. The valley slopes fall in the Boone Series and soils on the valley floor are mostly included in the Genesee Series. A considerable portion of the steep valley slopes is classified as rough, stony land. Serious sheet and gully erosion has taken place over the area. The annual rainfall of this area is approximately 34 inches and is distributed throughout the year satisfactorily for crop production; approximately 70 per cent occurs between April first and September thirtieth. The winters are cold, and followed by short but warm summers; the annual mean temperature is 46 degrees. Droughts may endure for short periods; or unusual precipitation, with heavy water and soil losses may occur; but these unusual periods are not frequent.

The Beaver Creek Area in which 35 of the records were kept is located in Houston county in the southeastern portion of the state. The area may be divided into two parts, the gently undulating to moderately rolling prairie region of the upper one-third of the watershed, and the undulating to hilly region of the lower two-thirds of the area.

In the upper portion of the area the greatest agricultural development has taken place, since the land is more level, less cut up by ravines, and has a lower degree of erosion all of which permit more land in cultivation and much larger fields. The soil in this section is predominantly a deep prairie soil (Tama Silt Loam) which is high in organic matter, but needs lime for the best production of alfalfa or sweet clover.

The lower two-thirds of the area is composed of a main valley with accompanying tributary valleys surrounded by high steep ridges. The bottom of the valley is excellent corn land but due to annual overflow is not adaptable to other crops. A broad terrace on either side affords excellent soil for cultivated fields many of which extend part way up the lower slopes of the adjoining ridges. Due to the steep character of the ridge slopes about 25 per cent of the area is on land too steep for crops or pasture so is predominantly in woods. On the ridge tops we again find fields with soil very similar to that of the soils on the lower slopes of the ridges. This is a forest soil (Fayette Silt Loam), low in nitrogen, shows a marked response to barnyard manure or legumes in rotation and needs lime for the best growth of alfalfa or sweet clover. Sheet erosion has taken a severe tell and many of the old fields have less than three inches of topsoil remaining.

The Deer-Bear Creek Area, in which 32 records were completed, is located in Fillmore and Mower counties and is drained by the middle branch of the Root River. The topography varies from very gently rolling to almost level land, in the upper part of the area, to very steep, hilly and rough land in the lower end. It many cases the upper end of the area lacks sufficient undulation of surface to allow proper drainage, in contrast to the lower, where creeks have cut deeply into the underlying limestone. The entire area has been glaciated almost equally between soils composed of drift material and of loessial mantle overdrift. Carrington, and Lindley, silt loam soils with glacial drift derivation and Toma, Clinton, lit loams with loess derivation are among the more important soil types of the area. varies from slight amounts of sheet erosion in the upper reaches of the drapped reaches of areas to severe sheet and gully erosion in the middle and lower parts of the area. The mean annual temperature for the area is 45 degrees Fahrenheit, with a tange of -37 to 108 degrees, occurring in January and July, respectively. The average growing season is around 150 days with an annual precipitation of 32 to 33 inches well distributed throughout the growing season.

# Type of Farming

Agriculture in the three areas covered by this report centers primarily around the dairy enterprise with smaller proportions of hogs, poultry and sheep included. In the Deer-Bear Creek and Beaver Creek Areas a few farmers have both dairy cattle and beef cattle enterprises. Dairy products were sold principally as cream altho a few farmers had an outlet for whole milk. In those cases where cream was sold, the skimmilk was fed to calves, hogs, and poultry.

The principal crops grown are oats, barley, hay, and corn. The proportion of total farm land devoted to crop production and rotation pasture land varies from 40 per cent on some of the rougher farms in the Gilmore Creek Area to more than 80 per cent on some of the Deer-Bear Creek farms, with an average of 59 per cent for all farms studied. Approximately 20 per cent of the areas is devoted to permanent pasture, with twice as much woodland in the Gilmore Creek Area as in the Deer-Bear Creek Area, and an average of 12 per cent of all the farms being handled as protected timber areas.

#### Purpose of the Project

The farm management section of the Operations Division of the Soil Conservation Service has three main objectives; first enabling the cooperator to know the returns he is getting for his labor and management, second to secure information which when compared with similar data secured on other farms will enable the cooperator to increase his efficiency and organize his farm on a more profitable basis and third to rebalance the farm business in light of economic conditions after the establishment of the erosion control program.

Since success under our present economic order is measured in terms of dollars and cents, and since the profit motive is the governing factor in our modern agriculture, it is important that both the cooperator and the soil conservationist know what returns the farmer is obtaining for his capital, management, and labor. In other words, the farmer's income is the yardstick by which we measure the success of his enterprise and if the soil conservation program is to succeed it must increase or at least maintain the farmer's income. This information may be obtained through farm account books and furnish a common basis from which the conservationist and the farmer may build a better erosion control program for that farm.

In any community we find certain farms above the average yet almost adjoining it will be a farm far below the community standard. Sometimes physical conditions will make it impossible to change the situation, but frequently it is a question of inefficiency and poor management.

Through the records kept for the farm management service, each cooperator furnishes data dealing with the operation of his farm or affecting its income. By comparing this data with that obtained on the most profitable farms the operator can often find many ways of operating his farm more efficiently.

Farms cannot be operated efficiently if the soil has been allowed to become so badly eroded as to reduce crop yields. In order to prevent this, very decided changes have been made in the field plans of the individual farm and in the crop rotations. These changes are bound to upset the fine balance formerly existing on a well-managed farm. Readjustment of labor and livestock is bound to follow and the sooner these readjustments are made the easier it will be. By means of farm account books both the cooperator and the fieldman can see just how the income is being affected and take steps to improve the situation. At the same time, the fieldman is able to get the information which he can apply on other farms in the locality and know that he has concrete evidence to back his statements.

Fortunately most practices which make for efficient farm management are also important measures in good erosion control. In this section of the country livestock farming is in practically every case the most profitable type of operation, but it requires efficient mandling if the full benefits are to be received. Good quality pasture throughout the grazing season, high quality roughages for the feeding season, and above all a balanced ration. Good erosion control requires fencing out of very steep hillsides to woods, to prevent silting and gullying of fertile land lower down the slope. Other land that is not so steep but too rough to cultivate makes excellent permanent hayfields and pasture. Of our various permanent hay crops alfalfa is one of the best and without question it is the best roughage we have for dairy cattle. Well balanced rotations make for higher crop yields and at the same time are important factors in good erosion control. In other words, good farm management and good erosion control in this area call for efficient livestock farming, good land utilization and all done with a minimum of labor.

#### Analysis of the Farm Business

On pages eight and nine are presented financial summaries of the year's business, showing the average results for the 81 farms on which the work was completed for the twelve months' period, April 1936 to March 1937, the average results for the highest one-fifth of the farms in respect to Operator's Labor Earnings, and the average for the lowest one-fifth. In the "your farm" column, in the copy sent to the farmer, the results of his individual farm business are inserted in order that he may compare his figures with the averages of the various groups.

The data on pages 10 to 23 should suggest to each cooperator some possibilities for improvement in his production, control of expenses, and in his organization of the various enterprises and of the business as a whole. There are some variations in soil and climatic conditions and available markets in this area, which, of course, affect the choice of crops and classes of livestock. Each farm is an individual problem and has its particular advantages and limitations in respect to natural resources and markets. However, it is significant that the same general factors account for financial success in both of the soil conservation areas.

## Capital Investment in Farm Business

The data on page 7 shows that the average size of the farms in this report was 190 acres. The average farm inventory was \$14,060. This does not include the value of the house in which the operator lived. In 1936, 50 per cent of the average farm inventory consisted of land; 22 per cent of permanent improvements; 5 per cent of feeds and supplies; 8 per cent of machinery and equipment; and 15 per cent of livestock, of which about one-third or an average of \$692 was the average inventory value of milk cows.

# Returns to Operators for Their Labor and Management (See page 8)

The average cash receipts per farm were \$3,077. In addition, farm produce to the value of \$361 was consumed by the farm family and there was an average inventory increase of \$254 per farm. The total average receipts per farm were the sum of these three items, \$3,692. The average total expense per farm, \$1,741, includes \$1,654 cash expense and an estimated allowance of \$87 for board of hired labor. The difference between the total income and total expense figure is \$1,951. This is the return which the farmer received for his own labor and management, the services of members of his family and the use of his capital. After deducting a charge of 5 per cent on the average inventory valuation, \$703, for the services of capital, there remains \$1,248 for the services of the farmer and his family. The average value of family labor used, if computed at hired man's wages, was \$241. The average operator's labor earnings are the family earnings less their allowance of \$241, or \$1,007. This is the return to the farmer for his labor and management over and above a 5 per cent return for his capital and going wages for other members of the family.

The average total value of farm produce used in the house, \$361, represents an important item in the farmer's income. This produce is figured at farm prices; if it was purchased at retail prices, the total value would be approximately double this figure. On many farms a saving could be made if more produce were raised on the farm rather than purchased. The table on page 20 shows the average amounts and values for each item included in the total of farm produce used in the house.

#### Household and Personal Expenses

In the case of a farm with no debt, the family has, besides the operator's labor earnings, two other sources of income to expend for living and personal expense. One is the amount charged as interest on investment, and the other is the amount allowed for family labor. On the other hand, a farm with a heavy debt must pay interest and in most cases at a higher rate than the 5 per cent charged. In these cases, the Operator's Labor Earnings and the allowance for family labor constitute practically the only sources of funds for family living; and if in these cases the farm shows a minus Operator's Labor Earnings more than enough to offset the allowance for family labor, it means that there is no income for family living expenses outside of the farm produce furnished by the farm for the household. These farmers and others, whose family incomes are not sufficient to cover household and personal cash expenses, must go deeper and deeper in debt, in order to meet these expenses.

It is important to know the family income and the reasons why it is not higher. It is also worth-while to know the household and personal expenses and whether they are within the family income. Sixty-eight farmers included in this report kept a detailed record of personal and household expenses. The distribution of these expenses is shown on page 20, with averages for the 68 farms, and for the 14 most profitable and 14 least profitable in this group.

Taking into consideration the number of members (adult equivalents)\* in his family and the number in the average family, each farmer can compare his item of expense with those of the average.

<sup>\*</sup>All members of the family including women and children are reduced to a full man equivalent on the basis of relative food consumption; the "other" adult equivalents as shown in table on page 20, are the hired help boarded. They must be added to the adult equivalents as shown for the family in studying the food expense per adult persons.

Summary of F	arm In	ventories			
Items	Your farm	Average of 81 farms	l6 most profitable farms	р#	least fitable ms
Size of farm (acres) Size of business (days of prod.work) (1) Average farm inventory (without house) Land		190 550 \$14,060 6,990	242 769 \$17,861 9,099	\$1:	186 413 2,970 7,253
Farm improvements  Machinery and equipment (total)  General machinery and equipment  Tractor  Truck  Auto (farm share)  Gas engine (farm share)  Electrical equipment (farm share)		3,049 1,205 85 17 4	3,470 1,443 918		7,233 2,658 975 789 44 14 71 9
Feeds and seeds Miscellaneous supplies Horses (total) Horses Colts Productive livestock (total) Cows Other cattle Hogs Sheep Poultry		1,685 69 43 30	72 2,289 22 825 32 558	- Annual Control of the Control of t	429 11 474 416 59 1,170 605 226 187 53 98

The total "Days of Productive Work" for any one farm are a measure of size of that farm business. The average number of "ten-hour days" of man labor required per head of productive livestock and per acre of crops is used in combining the crops and the livestock in one single measure of size of business.

"Days of Productive Work".

(1) Explanation of term:

The number of days of productive work for each animal and each acre of crops, computed from data presented in Minnesota Technical Bulletin 44, "A Study of Dairy Farm Organization in Southeastern Minnesota", are listed as follows:

Miles and the second se	W	No. of days :	,	No.	of days
Item	Per	of prod.work:	Item	Per of p	rod.work
Cows	Cow	16.6 :	Corn for grain	Acre	₽.1
Other cattle	Animal unit*	7.6 :	(husked)		La company
Sheep	Animal unit*	2.7 :	Corn for grain	<b>!</b> 1	₽.8
Poultry	100 hens	20.1 :	(husk.& shred.)	)	
Hogs	100 lbs.hogs	.55 :	Corn for silage	Ħ	<b>12.</b> 6
	produced	:	Corn hogged	II	1.25
Alfalfa	Acre	1.5 :	Corn for fodder	tī	8,1
Tame & wild hay	11	.6 :	Sweet corn	11	<b>3.</b> 0
Small grain & flax	tt	1.0 :	Potatoes	11:	6.4
Small grain hogged	11	.4 :	Sugar beets	tl .	4.0
Canning peas	11	2.5 :	_		

<sup>\*</sup>Animal Unit represents one cow, one bull, two head of young cattle, seven head of sheep, fourteen lambs, five hogs, ten pigs, or 100 hens.

Summary o	f Farm F	arnings		
T	Your	Average	16 most	16 least
Items	farm	of 81 farms	profitable	profitable
CASH EXPENSES		18111118	farms	farms
Tractor (new & exp.)	¢	\$117	6300	<b>\$</b> 19
Truck (new & eap.)	Φ	ф117 42	\$300 <b>75</b>	φ 19 11
Auto (new & exp.) (farm share)		92	136	70
Gas engine (new & exp.) (farm share)		5	9	3
Electricity (new & exp.) (parm share)		9	6 .	9
Machinery and equipment (new)		139	194	69
Maddinery and equipment (exp.)		36	52	27
Buildings, fences, tiling (new)		96	263	85
Buildings, fences, tiling (exp.) Hired labor		39	67	22
Feed for livestock		167 271	300 3 <b>24</b>	76 26 <b>5</b>
Other expense for livestock	Accession and address of the second	30	38	203 32
Horses bought		42	29	42
Coms bought		39	72	58
Other cattle bought		75	33	21
Hogs bought		51	94	8
Sheep bought		43	37	0
Poultry bought		30	27	34
Cros (seed, twine, spray) Taxes and insurance		108 20 <b>4</b>	144 251	77 185
General farm		19	251 3 <b>7</b>	21
		40	0.	<b>~</b>
(1) Total cash expense		1,654	2,488	1,134
(2) Decrease in farm inventory		-		182
(3) Board for hired labor		87	147	63 1,3 <b>7</b> 9
(4) Total expense (sum of (1),(2)& (3	'/	1, /**1	2,635	1,375
CASH RECEIPTS				
Horses		25	33	18
C o wis	-	122	129	94
Dairy products	<del>;                                      </del>	812	1,164	615
Other cattle		258	304	121
Hogs Sheep		80 <b>2</b> 1 <b>5</b> 9	1,126 216	<b>4</b> ⊖ <b>7</b> <b>4</b> 6
Poultry		142	210 69	270
Egs	Memory and the second second	136	158	69
Small grain		183	472	62
Colen		8	33	1
Haw:		16	18	14
Root crops		24	5	32
Other crops	-	62	142	10
Miscellaneous		115	268	<b>4</b> 8
Income from work off the farm Agricultural Conservation payments		8 <b>2</b> 131	188 168	3 <b>5</b> 100 -
(5) Fotal cash receipts	***************************************	3,077	4,493	1,942
(6) Increase in farm inventory	*******	2 <b>54</b>	1,284	<b>~</b> 7∩ <b>7</b>
(7) Farm produce used in house (8) Total receipts (sum of (5) & (6)		361 3,692 ·	3 <b>7</b> 1 6,148	30 <b>7</b> 2, 2 <b>4</b> 9
Total expenses (4)		1,741	2,635	1,379
(9) Ret. to cap. & fam. labor (8) minus(	4)	1,951	3,513	870
(10) Interest on farm inventory	-/	703	893	649
(11) Family labor earnings (9) minus (1	.0)(0.	1,248	2,620	221
(14) Unpaid family labor	-	241	175	308
(18) Oper.labor earnings (11) minus (1	.2)	1,007	2,445	-87

	Your	Average	16 most	7 4	least
· • · · · · · ·					
tems	farm	of 81	profitable	7 1 111	ofitable
	***************************************	farms	farms		<b>c</b> ms
EXPENSES AND NET DECREASES					
Total power	\$	\$ 368	\$ 470	8	257
Hired		42	69		23
Tractor		<b>4</b> 8	78		16
Truck		14	24		15
Auto (farm share)		55	48		41
Gas engine (farm share)		6	9		5
Elec. plant or current (farm share)		7	10		6
Horses		196	232		151
General machinery and equipment		128	136		106
Buildings, fencing, tiling		108	98		116
Productive livestock misc. expense		14	15		18
Crop		80	104		66
Real estate taxes		157	194		144
Personal property tax		23	29		23
Insurance		24	28		18
General farm	***************************************	14	12		21
Hired labor & board, & unpaid family labor	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		622		447
Interest on farm inventory	V1	703	893		649
•		105	090		0.43
(1) Total		2,114	2,601	1.	,865
RETURNS AND NET INCREASES				10 10 10 10 10	ı
All productive livestock		2,645	3,775		,744
Cows		1,028	1,421		757
Other cattle		401	562	100	219
Hogs		813	1,326	To the second	400
Sheep		115	206		26
Poultry		288	260		34 <b>2</b>
Crops, feed, vegetables and fuel		238	827		-106
Agricultural Conservation payments	***************************************	131	168		
Miscellaneous		11	32		100
Income from work off the farm	- and an appropriate of the				4
THOOME TIOM WOLK OIL THE LETTH		96	244		36
(2) Total		3,121	5,046	1,	,778
Total expenses (1)		2,114	2,601	1	865
(3) Oper. labor earnings (2) minus (1)					

<sup>(</sup>A) Cash receipts and expenses are adjusted for changes in inventory for each enterprise and for each item of expense in order to show total receipts and net increases, and total expenses and net decreases. The operator's labor earnings are the same as those on page 8.

#### Analysis of the Reasons for Differences in Operator's Earnings

The financial statement on the preceding pages shows that there is a wide range in earnings. The average operator's labor earnings for the sixteen most profitable farms was \$2,445, and for the sixteen least profitable farms -\$87. The difference between the averages for these two groups was \$2,632. Some of the causes for these differences in earnings may be beyond the control of the farmer. It is significant, however, that the data secured from the records on these 81 farms indicate that there are several very definite factors that enable some farmers to make substantial earnings on these farms that are subject to rather serious erosion, while others fail to meet expenses. These factors and their relationship with earnings are the following:

1	able 1. Relat	ion of Dairy	Production to	Farm Earnings.
1	bs. butterfat	per cow	No. of	Average
G	roup	Average	Farms	Earnings
	elow 150	119	23	\$773
1	50 - 199	17 <b>7</b>	32	993
12	00 and above	240	25	1281

High production per cow tends to lower the cost of producing a pound of butterfat. This is very important on those farms on which butterfat sales are the major source of income.

Table 2. Relation of Returns Above Feed for Other Productive Livestock to Farm Earnings.

Returns above fee of prod. livestoc	d per animal unit	No. of	Average
Group	Average	Farms	Earnings
Below <b>\$2</b> 0 <b>\$2</b> 0 - <b>3</b> 9	\$4	26	\$762
\$20 - 39	29	35	1081
40 and above	<b>5</b> 9	20	1129

These farms have, in addition to the dairy herd, quite an investment in other classes of productive livestock, as young cattle, hogs, sheep, or poultry. Most or all of the feed raised is fed, and considerable additional feed is purchased. Feed is the major item of cost in livestock production. High returns from livestock above the value of feed usually accompanies greater profits from the livestock. This means another addition to the farm earnings.

Table 3. Relation of Amount of Productive Livestock to Farm Earnings Productive livestock units per 100 A. No. of Average Group Average Farms Earnings Below 11.5 11.8 23 **\$**661 11.5 to 16.4 17.0 38 1176

25.3

16.5 and above

On some farms the returns from livestock are so low that they do not cover feed and other costs. Such livestock is unprofitable, especially if there is more than enough to utilize what would otherwise be waste feed. This was especially true during the winter of 1936-1937, when feed prices were very high.

**SO** 

1084

If the livestock is yielding a net return, an increased amount of livestock adds to size of business and the opportunity to increase the farm earnings. Livestock produces manure and aids in keeping up the fertility of the land, and utilizes waste products on the farm. Livestock also helps to provide productive employment throughout the year. Any method that aids in utilizing the available resources to full and efficient capacity should add to the farm income.

Table 4. Relation of Crop Yields to Farm Earnings.

Per cent crop yields were of the

average for all t	he 81 farms	No. of	Average
Group	Average	Farms	Earnings
Below 85	70	21	<b>\$57</b> 0
85 - 114	100	39	871
115 and above	130	21	1698

High production per acre, up to certain limits, tends to lower the cost per bushel of grain or per ton of hay. Any possible method of management that will increase crop yields and therefore lower cost of production more than the extra expense incurred in securing the higher yields should be given consideration. As a rule, plowing under legumes and manure and control of erosion tend to increase crop yields on these farms.

Table 5. Relation of Choice of Crops to Farm Earnings.

Per cent of tillable land

in high return cro	*sqc	No. of	Average
Group	Average	Farms	Earnings
Below 30.0	<b>25.</b> 9	25	\$941
30.0 - 39.9	33.5	26	9 <b>83</b>
40.0 and above	48.5	30	1083

\*Crops are marked on page 15 as (A), (B), (C), (D). All of acres in (11 crops, one-half of acres in (B) crops, and one-fourth of acres in (C) crops are used in calculating per cent of tillable land in high return crops.

As a rule, on these farms, such crops as alfalfa, sweet clover, red clover, corn, barley, winter wheat, and flax bring a higher net return per acre than other crops usually grown. Additions can be made to earnings by putting a greater percentage of the tillable land into these higher return crops.

Soil erosion and fertility maintenance are vital problems on the farms included in this study. Biennial and perennial legumes, especially alfalfa and sweet clover, form a sod that helps to check erosion, conserve humas and soil fertility. If properly inoculated they tend to increase the nitrogen content of the soil. Legume hays and pastures are also valuable for feed, for they lessen the necessity to purchase high-priced protein feeds. Alfalfa is undoubtedly the most profitable crop available for these farms.

Table 6. Relation of Size of Business (days of productive work) to Famin Earnings.

Days of productive	work	No. of	Average
Group	Average	Farms	Earnings
Below 400	328	24	<b>\$55</b> 0
<b>4</b> 00 to 699	554	42	<b>9</b> 09
700 and above	897	15	2018

Average farm earnings tend to increase with an increase in size of business where size of business is measured by days of productive work. However, for those farmers who are operating their farms at a loss, the larger the volume of business the larger will be the loss. On the other hand, a farmer who is making a profit, could make a larger profit if he increased his size of business, providing that in so doing he does not lower materially the efficiency in some one or more important branches of his business. Those farmers who have large businesses usually have more flexibility of their organization than does the man with a small business, and can utilize more efficiently and to better advantage available labor, power, machinery and buildings.

Table 7. Relation of Amount of Work Accomplished per Worker to Farm Earnings.

Days of productiv	e work per worker	No. of	Average
roup	Average	Farms	Earnings
Below 250 250 - 349 250 and above	201	25	<b>\$45</b> 3
<b>25</b> 0 - <b>34</b> 9	297	39	931
50 and above	458	17	1 <b>9</b> 96

fore days of productive work accomplished per worker reduce the labor charge per unit of business. Higher labor accomplishment can be secured in several ways. In the first place the business must be large enough so that there will be at least sufficient work available for the family labor. The farm should be so organized that the labor requirements are well distributed throughout the year. Handling pastures in an efficient manner, in such a way that as large a proportion as possible of the year's feed for livestock may be obtained from them, helps to reduce labor requirements. Proper planning of the farm work, economical use of labor saving machinery, etc., help to increase the work accomplished per worker.

Table 8. Relation of Power. Machinery and Building Expense to Farm Earnings.\*

Impense per day of	productive work	No. of	Average
Group	Average	Farms	Earnings
1.40 and above	\$1.62	23	\$691
.90 to 1.39	1.10	35	1006
Helow .90	.69	23	1322

Includes building, fencing, and all machinery expense, horse feed, and miscellaneous horse expense.

The expense factor shows a higher relation with earnings when prices are very low than when they are high. Some farms are under-equipped. On a few farms, excessive expenses constitute the main factor causing earnings to be very low. Some of the cash expenses can be kept down by careful management. Oftentimes necessary repairs and improvements can be made by using the available farm labor rather than by hiring extra help. Repairs and overhauling should be done before spring work begins insofar as possible; or on rainy days or in other spare time during the summer. Reducing the number of horses to the minimum required for efficient operation of the farm, helps reduce the power expense. In some cases farmers can offset some or all of the power and machinery expense by using their equipment for outside work.

#### Effect of Well Balanced Efficiency on Farm Profits

is quite evident from this report that few farmers have a monopoly on efficiency. Quite often farm operators show efficient management in one part of the farm business, which is offset by poor results in other phases. These farmers get medium returns while those who fall down all along the line get the lowest returns, and on the other hand those few who can manage to attain high efficiency in all parts of their preparization receive returns well above the average. This is well illustrated in Table 9.

Which the Farmer Is Above the Average

	one tarm	er is ad	ove the Average	
No. of factors			The length of the shaded lines	Average
in which farm	No. of	You <b>r</b>	are in proportion to the average	Operator's
excels	Farms	Farm	operator's labor earnings	
Seven or eight	6		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$2339
SIX	8		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1542
Five	12		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1311
Four	21		XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1154
Tree	13		xxxxxxxxx	682
Turo	15		xxxxx	33 <b>7</b>
One or none	6		XXX	220
	• • • •			

The array in Table 9 indicates that it will be worth-while for each cooperator to study carefully his ranking on pages 13 and 14, and learn his standing in respect to each of the above factors and the elements of strength and weakness in his farm business.

on page 14.  Farm of 81 profit- pr farms able ab farms	1 least rofit- rofit- role rms -87 146 23 4.2 77 34.9
(1) Pounds of butterfat per cow       178       184         (2) Return over feed(pr.lvst.other than cows)* \$       28       32         (3) Productive livestock units per 100 acres       17.6       16.5       1         (4) Crop yields**       100       103         (5) % of tillable land in high return crops***       36.7       37.7       3         (6) Size of businessdays of productive work       550       769	146 23 4.2 77 34.9 413
(2) Return over feed(pr.lvst.other than cows)* \$       28       32         (3) Productive livestock units per 100 acres       17.6       16.5       1         (4) Crop yields**       100       103         (5) % of tillable land in high return crops***       36.7       37.7       3         (6) Size of businessdays of productive work       550       769	23 .4.2 .77 .34.9 .413
(3) Productive livestock units per 100 acres       17.6       16.5       1         (4) Crop yields**       100       103         (5) % of tillable land in high return crops***       36.7       37.7         (6) Size of businessdays of productive work       550       769	77 34.9 413
(4) Crop yields**       100       103         (5) % of tillable land in high return crops***       36.7       37.7         (6) Size of businessdays of productive work       550       769	77 34.9 413
(5) % of tillable land in high return crops*** 36.7 37.7 3 (6) Size of businessdays of productive work 550 769	34.9 413
(6) Size of businessdays of productive work 550 769	413
(7) Days of productive work per worker 301 414	
	233
(8) Power and eq. exp. per day of prod. work \$ 1.13 .95 1	23
Measures and items related to some of the above measures:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.55
	.88 .62
	1.05
(6) Days of productive work on crops 136 210	100
Days of productive work on prod.livestock 382 478	301
Days of other productive work 32 81	12
(7) Total number of workers 1.9 2.0	1.9
Number of family workers 1.5 1.4	1.5
Number of hired workers4 .6	.4
	<b>6</b> 9
Mach & equip. exp. per day of prod. work23 .18	. 25
Blds. & fencing exp. per day of prod. work22 .13	. 29

<sup>\*</sup>Given as returns over feed cost per animal unit of productive livestock other than cows.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Given as a percentage of the average.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Crops are marked on page 15 as (A), (B), (C), (D). All of the acres in (A) crops, one-ralf of acres in (B) crops, and one-fourth of acres in (C) crops are used in calculating per cent of tillable land in high return crops.

Using your figures from page 13, locate your standing with respect to the various measures of farm organization and management efficiency. The averages for 81 farms included in this summary are located between the two dotted lines across the center of this page.

Ope lab ear ing	or n-	Lbs b.f per cow	over per lvsk	rns feed prod. other cows	Pr.1 uni per 100	ts :	Oro yie	lds	land nigh	able	Days of prod work	pr.	.work	eq pe	wer & .exp. r day .worl	
\$3500	305	<u>-</u>	\$70	_ 29	.0=	,140		60.	0 =	9 <b>5</b> 0		500		<b>6.</b> 30		
3200	290		65	27	. 5	13 <b>5</b>		57.		900		475		.40		
2900	275		60	26	• 0 =	130		54.		850		<b>45</b> 0		.50		
2600	<b>2</b> 60		55	24	. 5 -	125		51.		300	=	425		. 60		
2300	245		50	23	•	120		48.		<b>75</b> 0		<b>4</b> 00	E	.70		
2000	230	<u>-</u>	45	21	.5	115	=	45.		<b>7</b> 00	=	3 <b>75</b>		.80		
1700	215		40	20	•	110	=	42.		6 <b>5</b> 0		350		.90		
1400	200	<u>-</u>	35	.	.5	105		39.		600		- 325		1.00		
1100	185 178 <del>-</del>		30 28	- 17 17	:	100		36. 36.		<b>55</b> 0		300		1.10 13-	<u> </u>	
800	170		25	15	. 5	95		33.		<b>5</b> 00	Ē	275		1.20		
500	155		20 =	14.		90		30.		<b>45</b> 0		250	Ē	1.30		
200	140		15	12.	. 5	85		27.0		400		225		1.40		
-100	125		10	11.	.0	80	=	24.0		<b>35</b> 0		<b>20</b> 0		1.50		
-400 =-	110		5	-	. 5	75		21.0		300		175		1.60		
-700	95		0 =	8.	0 = -	<b>7</b> 0		18.0		250		150		1.70		
-1000	80		-5	6.	5 =	65	Ξ	15.0		200		125		1.80		
	) (		{	ز		) (	5	Ĭ		)	$\bigcup$		셍		J	

Distribution of Acres in Farm Crop | 16 most No. of Your Aver. 16 least (A) (C) (D) refer to farms Farm of profitprofitranking used in calculating 81 growing able able % of willable land in High this farms farms farms Return Crops (see page 11). crop Winter wheat 1.8 2.1 (B) .0 Spring wheat (C) 17 1.7 1.2 3.9 Oats (D) 48 13.8 20.6 10.6 Barley 23.4 9.9 8.3 (B) Rye .5 (D) .8 1.3 Flax 1.7 (B) 4.5 .0 Wheat and oats Oats and barley 6.6 (¢) 9 2.6 .0 (C) 9.1 9.8 8.5 31 Miscellaneous (C) .2 3 .4 .0 Total grain 41.3 69.4 32.6 Corn, grain (B) 69 13.2 23.8 6.2 Corn, silage 64 (C) 13.4 7.2 10.8 Corn, fodder 7 1.1 3.2 (D) 1.6 Potatoes .7 (A).7 tal cultivated crops 25.8 40.9 15.7 Alfalfa 14.2 21.6 10.8 (A) 64 Red clover 4.4 4.0 6.6 (B) 30 Other legumes & mixtures 9.9 (C) 45 8.8 10.5 Timothy 23 2.5 2.2 1.1 (D) Annual hay (millet, Sudan grass, .1 1.3 sm. grain, etc.) (D) . 5 8 Miscallaneous hays and seed crops 17 6.2 1.3 (C) 3.0 Wild hay (non-tillable land) .0 .0 Total hay 33.6 45.2 30.4 Total crop acreage 100.7 155.5 78.7 3.9 .1 Sweet clover pasture (B) 1.5 Alfalfa pasture . 1 (A) .2 .3 .0 Red dover or rape pasture (hogs) .6 (B) .3 4.0 Miscellaneous legume pasture (0)4.0 1.0 Other tillable pasture (D) 8.7 11.5 7.3 Non-lillable pasture 32.3 57.4 40.0 Total pasture 54.7 52.0 66.5 Till ble land not cropped 2.2 2.8 3.0 Timber (not pastured) 19.9 22.8 30.2 Roads and waste 4.1 6.3 3.9 Farmstead 4.8 6.5 3.6 Total acres in farm 242.4 189.9 185.9 % of land tillable 62. 75. 47.

36.7

37.7

34.9

% of tillable land in high return crops

Yield	of Crops			
	Your	Average		l6 least
field of crops per acre	farm	81 farms	-	profitable ferms
		- C4-1140		
Winter wheat, bu.		17.4	17.4	-
Spring wheat, bu.	***************************************	10.3	13.1	5.3
Oats, bu.	-	20.8	23.6	10.9
Barley, bu.	and the state of t	18.1	21.5	9.9
Rye, bu.		12.6	18.0	9.6
Flax, bu.		3.8	4.2	-
Wheat and oats, bu.		23.7	21.6	-
Oats and barley, bu.		22.3	22.1	17.0
			-	
Corn, grain, bu.		30.1	29.4	18.1
Corn, silage, tons		5.7	5 <b>.</b> 5	4.3
Corn, fodder, tons		1.8	1.3	1.6
Potatoes, bu.		83.5	66.1	106.1
Alfalfa, tons		. 1.8	1.9	1.9
Red clover, tons		2.1	1.7	2.1
Clover and timothy, tons		1.7	1.5	1.6
Timothy hay, tons		1.3	1.1	1.8
Miscellaneous crops				COLORADO A A LO SA COLORADO A LO SA COLO
Summary of Amo	t of Ti			
	omir of th	vestock		
	Your	Average	16 most	16 least
Items		Average 81	profitable	profitable
I tems	Your	Average		
I tems	Your	Average 81	profitable	profitable
No. of horses	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2	profitable farms 4.8	profitable farms 4.0
No. of horses No. of colts	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2	profitable farms  4.8 1.0	profitable farms
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2	profitable farms 4.8	profitable farms 4.0
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2	profitable farms  4.8 1.0	profitable farms 4.0 .8
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows per worker	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2	ofitable farms  4.0 .8 12.7
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows per worker Head of other cattle	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4 21.1	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows per worker Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows per worker Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head)	Your	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) No. of hens	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) No. of hens  Total no. of prod. livestock animal units	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6 39.8	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) No. of hens  Total no. of prod. livestock animal units	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) No. of hens  Total no. of prod. livestock animal units % of tot. prod. lvst. units that are cows % of tot. prod. lvst. units that are other	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9 31.2	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6 39.8 43.9	9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5 22.8
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) No. of hens  Total no. of prod. livestock animal units  % of tot. prod. lvst. units that are cows % of tot. prod. lvst. units that are othe cattle	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9 31.2 48.2 27.6	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6 39.8 43.9 28.2	9.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5 22.8 57.1
% of tot. prod. lvst. units that are hogs	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9 31.2 48.2 27.6 12.8	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6 39.8  43.9 28.2 14.5	9.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5 22.8 57.1 22.5 12.1
No. of horses No. of colts No. of cows No. of cows No. of cows per worker  Head of other cattle Litters of pigs raised Pounds of hogs produced Head of sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) No. of hens  Total no. of prod. livestock animal units % of tot. prod. lvst. units that are cows % of tot. prod. lvst. units that are othe cattle	Your farm	Average 81 farms 4.2 .9 13.9 7.6 17.2 7.6 8404 23.7 78.9 31.2 48.2 27.6	profitable farms  4.8 1.0 16.2 8.4  21.1 10.1 15030 39.5 109.6 39.8 43.9 28.2	4.0 .8 12.7 7.0 9.7 3.9 4608 7.3 99.5 22.8 57.1

Factors of Cost	Your Farm	Average 81 farms	16 farms highest in B.F. per cow	16 farms lowest in B.F. per cow
ows				
Pounds of butterfat per cow Feeds per cow, lbs.:		178	258	107
		77	9 <b>9</b>	47
Corn Small grain		350	479	168
Com. feeds - under 25% protein		35	53	34
Com. feeds - over 25% protein		8	15	4
Tame hay Alfalfa	**************************************	1601 2448	1 <b>4</b> 63 3302	1359 1851
Wild hay		60	3302 0	99
Corn fodder		241	419	194
Silage		6086	8359	4456
Total concentrates		4 <b>7</b> 0	646	253
Total dry roughage			5184	3 <b>5</b> 03
Feed cost per cow:	æ	\$ 6.27	\$ 8.51	<b>\$</b> 4.33
Roughages	Ψ	25.63	32.03	ψ <del>4.</del> 35 19.25
Pasture		<b>5.</b> 63	6.13	5.48
TOTAL FEED COSTS TOTAL VALUE OF PRODUCT	93 \$\$	\$37.53 \$74.59	\$46.67 \$99.70	\$29.06 \$49.19
RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER COW	\$	\$37.06	<b>\$5</b> 3.03	\$20.13
Price received per lb. B.F. sold:				
An manufacturing cream	\$	\$ .31	\$ .30	\$ .29
Feed cost per 1b. B.F.		.21	.18	.27
Number of cows		13.9	11.8	14.4
YOUND CATTLE				
Feeds used per head, lbs.:				
Oppcentrates		132	243	53
Hay and fodder	AND THE PERSON AND TH	19 <b>7</b> 9	1966	1725
Silage		2840	2421	1773
Wiple milk		<b>47</b> 3	315	<b>54</b> 0
Skimmilk Feed cost per head:		1107	1119	984
	\$	\$ 2.02	<b>\$</b> 3.49	\$.75
Roughages	Ψ	10.92	10.16	9.41
Mik		8.36	6.89	10.14
Fasture		2.62	2.26	2.35
TOTAL	\$	<u>\$23.92</u>	<u>\$22.80</u>	<b>\$</b> 22.65
RETURNS PER HEAD	\$	\$26.80	\$26.55	\$24 <u>.20</u>
RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEAD	\$	\$ 2.88	\$ 3.75	<u>\$ 1.55</u>
N CHILD II				

	TIC COTT	s for Hogs		
I tems	Your farm	Average 80 farms	16 farms highest in returns above feed	returns
The of feed are 100 lbs been amphosed.				Manager Co.
Lbs. of feed per 100 lbs. hogs produced:		237	162	293
Corn	****	170	98	231
Small grain Commercial grain feeds	-	15	8	~ 1
Commercial grain leeds		_ 15	8	Management of the Control of the Con
Total grain and commercial feeds		422	268	5 <b>59</b>
Tankage		18	19	14
Ski mmi 1½		370	315	478
Tank of fact can loo like home amplicant.				
Cost of feed per 100 lbs. hogs produced: Grain and commercial feeds	¢	\$5.76	\$3.65	\$7.46
	Ψ	.72	.60	4,.11
Tankage and skimmilk Pasture	*	.21	.14	. A.
	<u>.</u>	\$6.69	\$4.39	8.64
Total Feed Cost per 100 lbs. Hogs Prod.	Ψ	\$0.03	ф <del>т. 05</del>	<b>PC.</b> 0∓
RETURNS PER 100 LBS. HOGS PRODUCED	\$	\$9.00	<b>\$</b> 9.80	<b>87.</b> 13
RET. ABOVE FEED COST PER 100# HOGS PROD.	\$	\$2.31	\$5.41	- 81.51
Price received per 100# hogs sold	Ď	\$9.22	\$9.99	<b>\$</b> 8. <b>6</b> 5
Total no. of litters		7.7	5.1	6 <b>.3</b>
Total no. of pigs weaned per litter		<b>5.</b> 6	5.6	5.3
	<del></del>			7 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (
Pounds of hogs produced		9048	6516	7766
Feed Costs and			•	
•	Your	Average	16 farms	16 farms
Feed Costs and		Average 81	16 farms highest in	lowest in
,	Your	Average	16 farms highest in returns	lowest in returns
·	Your	Average 81	16 farms highest in returns above feed	lowest in returns above feed
	Your	Average 81	16 farms highest in returns	lowest in returns
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen:	Your	Average 81 farms	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen	lowest in returns above feed
Items	Your	Average 81	16 farms highest in returns above feed	lowest in returns above feed
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen:	Your	Average 81 farms	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen	lowest in returns above feed
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk	Your	Average 81 farms 95 46	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk Cost of feed per hen: Concentrates	Your	Average 81 farms	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen	lowest in returns above feed
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk Cost of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk	Your	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46 .08	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk Cost of feed per hen: Concentrates	Your	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50 \$1.80	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen:    Concentrates    Skimmilk  Cost of feed per hen:    Concentrates    Skimmilk     TOTAL	Your farm	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46 .08	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50 \$1.80 .09	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk Cost of feed per hen: Concentrates Skimmilk	Your farm	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46 .08 \$1.54	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50 \$1.80 .09 \$1.89 \$5.12	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen:     Concentrates     Skimmilk  Cost of feed per hen:     Concentrates     Skimmilk     TOTAL  Value of product per hen  RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEN	Your farm  \$ \$ \$ \$	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46 .08 \$1.54 \$2.32 \$.78	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50 \$1.80 .09 \$1.89 \$5.12 \$3.23	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen:     Concentrates     Skimmilk Cost of feed per hen:     Concentrates     Skimmilk     TOTAL  Value of product per hen  RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEN  Price received per doz. eggs sold (cents	Your farm  \$ \$ \$ \$	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46 .08 \$1.54 \$2.32 \$.78 18.	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50 \$1.80 .09 \$1.89 \$5.12 \$3.23 19.	lowest in returns above feed per hen
Items  Lbs. of feed per hen:     Concentrates     Skimmilk  Cost of feed per hen:     Concentrates     Skimmilk     TOTAL  Value of product per hen  RETURNS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEN	Your farm  \$ \$ \$ \$	Average 81 farms 95 46 \$1.46 .08 \$1.54 \$2.32 \$.78	16 farms highest in returns above feed per hen  121 50 \$1.80 .09 \$1.89 \$5.12 \$3.23	lowest in returns above feed per hen

ems	Your farm	urns For She Average of 34	7 farms highest in	7 farms lowest in
1		farms	returns above feed	returns above feed
edg uged non hood # lbs .				
eds used per head, * lbs.: Concentrates		r.	7 O.D	10
8 (B) (A)		<b>5</b> 3	107	49
Tane hay		136	187	125
Alfalfa		153	24	139
Corn fodder and wild hay		59	<b>7</b> 8	0 (1 <b>2</b> 0
Silage ed cost per head:		100	41	<b>≥2</b> 0
Concentrates	<b>.</b>	\$.67	\$1.39	\$.67
Roughages	Φ	'	•£1.39	,
Pasture		1.36 .80		1.40 .77
TOTAL	<u> </u>		.99	\$2.84
10141	Φ	\$2.83	<u>\$3.26</u>	<u>\$2.04</u>
ue of production per head:	\$	\$5.10	<u>\$10.35</u>	<u>- \$.28</u>
PURIS ABOVE FEED COST PER HEAD	) \$	\$2.27	\$7.09	- \$3.12
nber of head of sheep*	T	53	31	19
o lambs under six-months! old	considered	as one head.		
			1	
Feed Costs per	Horse and Ot			
23.757	Horse and Ot Your	Average	16 most	16 least
7.		Average of 81	16 most profitable	profitable
Feed Costs per	Your	Average	16 most	l6 least profitable
rms with tractors	Your	Average of 81	16 most profitable	profitable
ed per horse, * lbs.:	Your	Average of 81 farms	16 most profitable farms	profitable farms
ed per horse, * lbs.:  Grain	Your	Average of 81 farms	16 most profitable farms	profitable farms
ed per horse, * lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa	Your	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973	profitable farms  1130 4460
ed per horse, * lbs.:  Grain	Your	Average of 81 farms	16 most profitable farms	profitable farms
ed per horse, * lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder	Your	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973	profitable farms  1130 4460
ed per horse, * lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain	Your	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973	profitable farms  1130 4460
ed per horse, * lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain	Your farm	Average of 81 farms 1433 4891 1719 \$17.70	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19	profitable farms 1130 4460 563 \$15.03
ed per horse, * lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse:	Your farm	Average of 81 farms 1433 4891 1719	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123	profitable farms 1130 4460 563
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Rowshage	Your farm	Average of 81 farms 1433 4891 1719 \$17.70 19.97	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82	profitable farms 1130 4460 563 \$15.03 20.82
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Roughage Pasture TOTAL	Your farm	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891 1719  \$17.70 19.97 3.01 \$40.69	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82 2.90 \$41.91	profitable farms  1130 4460 563  \$15.03 20.82 3.15  \$39.00
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Roughage Pasture TOTAL	Your farm	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891 1719  \$17.70 19.97 3.01 \$40.69 4.2	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82 2.90 \$41.91 4.8	profitable farms  1130 4460 563  \$15.03 20.82 3.15 \$39.00 4.0
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Rowghage Pasture TOTAL	Your farm	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891 1719  \$17.70 19.97 3.01 \$40.69	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82 2.90 \$41.91	profitable farms  1130 4460 563  \$15.03 20.82 3.15  \$39.00
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Roughage Pasture TOTAL  her of work horses her of colts	Your farm	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891 1719  \$17.70 19.97 3.01 \$40.69 4.2	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82 2.90 \$41.91 4.8	profitable farms  1130 4460 563  \$15.03 20.82 3.15  \$39.00 4.0
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Roughage Pasture  TOTAL abort of work horses abort of colts Gal acres in farm	Your farm	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891 1719  \$17.70 19.97 3.01 \$40.69  4.2 .9	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82 2.90 \$41.91 4.8 1.0	profitable farms  1130 4460 563  \$15.03 20.82 3.15 \$39.00 4.0 .8
ed per horse,* lbs.: Grain Tame hay and alfalfa Wild hay and fodder ed costs per horse: Grain Roughage Pasture	Your farm  \$ \$	Average of 81 farms  1433 4891 1719  \$17.70 19.97 3.01 \$40.69  4.2 .9 190	16 most profitable farms  1618 4973 123  \$20.19 18.82 2.90 \$41.91 4.8 1.0 242	profitable farms  1130 4460 563  \$15.03 20.82 3.15  \$39.00 4.0 .8 186

Distribution of Farm Produce Used in House

Distribution of Fa		uantities	nouse	Vol	u es
	Your	Avera		our	Average
•	farm	8lfar	•	arm	. 81 farms
		<u> </u>		- CA-III	TOI TAIMS
Thole milk	ď	ts. 1003	ats. \$		\$35.34
Skimmilk			qts		.35
Cream	p				47.04
Farm-made butter	ĺ		lbs.		13.39
Egg s	d		doz.		33.63
Poultry	h		head _		16.25
Cattle	1		lbs.		14.98
logs	1		lbs.		52.86
Sheep	1		lbs.		.51
Potatoes	b		mi.		25.70
Vegetables and fruit		u. 0-	_		56.32
Farm fuel		ds. 28	cds.	<del></del>	64.33
. <del> </del>	c	us, au	- L		J-1.00
Total			\$_		<b>5</b> 360. <b>7</b> 0
	The second secon		7	(our	Average
				arm	81 farms
Average value of farm dwelling			\$_	···	<b>\$1</b> 966
Interest and depreciation on farm dwel	lling				157
Distribution of Household and Personal Accounts of	These Ex	penses 1936			
	These Ex Your	penses 1936 Average	14 mos	st :	l <b>4</b> least
	These Ex	penses 1936 Average 68 farms	14 mos	st :	l4 least
Accounts of umber of persons, Family	These Ex Your	penses 1936 Average	14 mos	st :	l <b>4</b> least
Accounts of  Tumber of persons,)Family  adult equivalent )Other*	These Ex Your	penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0	14 mos profit 6.2	st ;	14 least profitable 3.8
Accounts of  Tumber of persons, ) Family  adult equivalent ) Other*	These Ex Your farm	penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2	st :	14 least profitable 3.8
Accounts of  Tumber of persons, ) Family  adult equivalent ) Other*  Tood  Operating and supplies	These Ex Your farm	penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2	st ; cable ;	l4 least profitable 3.8 .3
Accounts of  Tumber of persons, )Family  dult equivalent )Other*  Tood  perating and supplies  Turnishings and equipment	These Ex Your farm	Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13	st ; cable ;	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82
Accounts of  Tumber of persons, Family dult equivalent )Other*  Tood  The persons of the second of t	These Ex Your farm	Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54	st ; cable ;	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent )Other*  Jood  Operating and supplies  Jurnishings and equipment  Hothing and materials  Jurnishings and materials	These Ex Your farm	Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54 109.03	st ; sable ; 3 4	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent Other*  Food  Operating and supplies  Furnishings and equipment  Flothing and materials  Health  Development and recreation	These Ex Your farm	Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54 109.03 59.48	st ; %able ; 3 4 6 8 8 8 8	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03
	These Ex Your farm	Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54 109.02 59.48 69.98	st ; zable ; 3 4 2 3 4	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 22.85
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent Other*  Jood  Departing and supplies  Jurnishings and equipment  Jothing and materials  Jealth  Development and recreation  Dersonal  Jife insurance and savings	These Ex Your farm	Penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11 32.84	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54 109.02 59.48 69.98 25.64	st ; cable ; 3 4 2 3 4 4 4	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  dult equivalent )Other*  Jood  Perating and supplies  Jurnishings and equipment  Jothing and materials  Jealth  Development and recreation  Personal  Jife insurance and savings  Personal share of auto expense	These Ex Your farm	### Penses 1936  Average 68 farms  4.0 .5  \$214.74   53.70   61.48   103.43   49.96   66.11   32.84   56.54	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.4( 61.1; 47.54 109.0; 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34	st ; cable ; 3 4 2 3 4 7	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 22.85 11.00
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  Adult equivalent )Other*  Jood  Derating and supplies  Jurnishings and equipment  Jothing and materials  Jealth  Development and recreation  Personal  Jife insurance and savings  Personal share of auto expense  Jousing	These Ex Your farm	penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11 32.84 56.54 88.46	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54 109.03 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34 147.33	st ; sable ; 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 7 2	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 23.85 11.00 46.11
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  Adult equivalent )Other*  Jood  Operating and supplies  Jurnishings and equipment  Jothing and materials  Jealth  Development and recreation  Personal  Jife insurance and savings  Personal share of auto expense  Jousing  Jotal Household & Personal Cash Expens	These Ex Your farm	### Penses 1936   Average   68   farms	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.46 61.13 47.54 109.02 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34 147.33 25.48 \$828.38	st ; sable ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 7 ; 5 ; 5 ; 5 ; 5 ; 5 ; 5 ; 5 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7 ; 7	14 least profitable 3.8 .3  193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 23.85 11.00 46.11 45.89  547.78
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent Other*  Food  Operating and supplies  Furnishings and equipment  Flothing and materials  Health  Development and recreation  Personal	These Ex Your farm	Penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11 32.84 56.54 88.46 30.98 \$758.24	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.40 61.13 47.54 109.02 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34 147.37 25.48 \$828.38	st ; zable ; 3 4 2 3 4 7 5 5 \$ 7	14 least profitable 3.8 .3  193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 22.85 11.00 46.11 45.89  547.78 234.14
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent Other*  Jood  Departing and supplies  Furnishings and equipment  Clothing and materials  Health  Development and recreation  Dersonal  Life insurance and savings  Dersonal share of auto expense  Housing  Lotal Household & Personal Cash Expens  Tood furnished by the farm  Fuel furnished by the farm	These Ex Your farm	penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11 32.84 56.54 88.46 30.98 \$758.24 \$296.12 66.33	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.46 61.13 47.54 109.02 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34 147.37 25.41 \$828.38 \$348.8' 56.56	st ; zable ; 3 4 2 3 4 7 5 5 \$ 7 \$	14 least profitable 3.8 .3  193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 22.85 11.00 46.11 45.89  547.78 234.14 66.50
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent Other*  Jood  Departing and supplies  Furnishings and equipment  Clothing and materials  Health  Development and recreation  Personal  Life insurance and savings  Personal share of auto expense  Housing  Jotal Household & Personal Cash Expense  Food furnished by the farm  July June 1988  July July July July July July July July	These Ex Your farm	Penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11 32.84 56.54 88.46 30.98 \$758.24 \$296.12 66.33 151.14	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.46 61.13 47.54 109.03 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34 147.33 25.41 \$828.33 \$348.86 56.50 147.57	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	14 least profitable 3.8 .3 193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 22.85 11.00 46.11 45.89 547.78 234.14 66.50 142.89
Accounts of  Jumber of persons, Family  adult equivalent Other*  Jood  Departing and supplies  Furnishings and equipment  Clothing and materials  Health  Development and recreation  Personal  Life insurance and savings  Personal share of auto expense  Housing  Cotal Household & Personal Cash Expens	These Ex Your farm	penses 1936 Average 68 farms 4.0 .5 \$214.74 53.70 61.48 103.43 49.96 66.11 32.84 56.54 88.46 30.98 \$758.24 \$296.12 66.33	14 mos profit 6.2 1.2 \$205.46 61.13 47.54 109.02 59.48 69.98 25.64 77.34 147.37 25.41 \$828.38 \$348.8' 56.56	st	14 least profitable 3.8 .3  193.77 30.82 33.31 77.69 37.03 49.31 22.85 11.00 46.11 45.89  547.78 234.14 66.50

<sup>\*</sup> Hired help or others boarded.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Personal share of auto, gas engine, and electric plant, and household goods.

	-21-		
Summary	of Farm Earnings		
T +	Deer-Bear Creek		
Items Number of farms .	Area	<b>Area</b> 35	Area 14
CASH EXPENSES	02	00	T. #
Tractor (new & exp.)	\$ 161	\$ 113	\$ .25
Truck (new & exp.)	25	52	53
Auto (new & exp.) (farm share)	128	73	71
Gas engine (new & exp.) (farm share)		5	6
Electricity (new & exp.) (farm share		9	10
Machinery and equipment (new)	214	94	79
Machinery and equipment (exp.)	43	32	30
Buildings, fences, tiling (new)	6 <b>2</b>	151	36 27
Buildings, fences, tiling (exp.)	56 356	<b>2</b> 9	23 128
Hiret labor Feed for livestock	256 29 <b>7</b>	166	470
Other expense for livestock	3 <del>4</del>	24	39
Horses bought	55	3 <b>5</b>	27
Cowsbought	33	24	88
Other cattle bought	155	26	16
Hogs bought	75	41	20
Sheep bought	106	4	0
Poulty bought	25	21	63
Crop (seed, twine, spray)	144	97	54
Taxes and insurance	227	189	186
General farm	13	21	28
(7)	93.90	1303	1452
<ul><li>(1) Total cash expense</li><li>(2) Decrease in farm inventory</li></ul>	2126	1303	101
(3) soard for hired labor	125	63	58
(4) Total expense (sum of (1),(2),&		1366	1611
	(0)		
CASH RECEIPTS			
Horses	30	28	4
Cows	161	91	111
Dair; products	705	802	1080
Other cattle	353	228	116
Hogs	840	988	249
Sheep	362	38	0
Poultry	85 1 <b>7</b> 2	93 99	395 1 <b>4</b> 5
Eggs Small grain	294	91	157
Corn	15	6	1
Нау	23	11	9
Root crops	3	5	120
Other crops	83	51	41
Miscellaneous	179	86	40
Income from work off the farm	141	53	21
Agricultural Conservation payments	162	104	128
(5) Total cash receipts	3608	2774	2617
(6) Increase in farm inventory	612	67	على خياتها سب
(7) Farm produce used in house	35 <del>4</del>	371	350
(8) Notal receipts (sum of (5) & (		3212	2967
Total expenses (4)	2251	1366	1611
(9) Ret. to cap. & fam. labor (8) minu	s(4) 2323	1846	1356
(10) Interest on farm inventory	737	685	66 <b>5</b>
(11) Family labor earnings (9) mimus		1161	691 755
(12) Unpaid family labor (13) Oper.labor earnings (11) minus	124	301 860	355 36
	140/ 1400	000	200

Distribution of Acres in Farm and Average Yields per Acre Crop Yields Distribution of Acres : Beaver Gilmore : Deer-Bear Beaver Gilmore Deer-Bear Creek Creek : Creek Creek treek Creek Area Area Area Area : Area Area Winter wheat 3.3A. . AS. 2.0A. : 16.5 bu. 19.0 bu 19.8 bu. 15.7 " .7 3.0 : 10.2 " 4.8 " Spring wheat 2.3 23.5 " 15.2 " 13.3 : 22.0 " Oats 17.8 10.4 14.8 : 17.3 " 26.2 " 9.3 " 13.4 Barley 4.0 : 15.6 " 9.6 # 1.4 Rye .6 .0 ---.0 4.2 : 3.8 " Flax .0 28.1 " 2.6 .0 : 20.1 " Oats and wheat 3.6 21.6 " Oats and barley 9.7 12.2 .0 : 23.3 " .0 Miscellaneous .6 .0 31.1 33.1 55.9 Total grain Corn, grain 16.1 14.0 4.9 : 27.1 bu. 35.4 bull8.8 bu. : 4.5 tons 4.7 tons 4.2 tons 15.6 7.8 7.0 Corn, silage ; 2.3 " 1.9 1.5 " Corn, fodder .0 2.2 69.6 but 10.5 bu. : 58.4 bu. Potatoes .3 .2 2.8 33.9 22.0 16.9 Total cultivated crops 17.6 16.7 1.7 tons 2.0 tons 1.6 tons Alfalfa 10.2 2.2 " 1.9 " Clover 2.6 4.48.5 : 2.1 " 1.7 1.6 " 1.6 " 9.4 7.9 Other legumes & mixtures 10.0 1.6 " : 1.1 " 1.7 " Timothy 4.2 1.4 1.4 .2 .7 " Annual hay 1.1 .0 .9 " Misc. seed crops 6.8 .3 .6 Wild hay (non-tillable) . 5 Total hay and seed 41.7 24.7 37.4 77.8 Total crop acreage 131.5 87.4 Sweet clover pasture 3.4 .3 .0 Alfalfa pasture .5 .1 .1 .0 . 4 •6 Red clover or rape pasture Misc. legume pasture **5.**9 3.6 .6 2.5 Other tillable pasture 16.3 6.5 Non-tillable pasture 26.9 44.4 58.6 53.0 51.3 66.4 Total pasture Tillable land not cropped 4.54.0 .9 Timber & brush (not pastured) 18.9 49.4 15.6 Roads and waste 4.7 4.0 3.6 Farmstead 6.5 3.7 3.8 Total acres in farm 215.8 156.2 215.0 Per cent of land tillable 77 54 45

Measures of Farm Organization and Man	Deer-Bear		Gilmore
Land of the state	Creek	Creek	Creek
	Area	Area	Area
	4		
Operator's labor earnings	<b>\$14</b> 62	\$860	<b>\$33</b> 6
Pounds of butterfat per cow	197	1,66	151
Returns over feed (prod. livestock other than cows)	\$28	<b>\$</b> 24	\$3 <del>4</del>
Productive livestock units per 100 acres	17.0	19.9	13.0
Crop y elds	100	103	82
Per cent of tillable land in high return crops	33.3	37.2	<b>4</b> 3 <b>.5</b>
Size of business - days of productive work	603	<b>5</b> 30	481
Days of productive work per worker	<b>35</b> 6	273	248
Power, machinery and building expense per day			
of productive work	\$1.13	\$1.14	\$1.11
Returns over feed per head other cattle	<b>\$4.</b> 68	\$4.35	\$1.63
Returns over feed per 100 lbs. hogs produced	2.47	1.72	<b>3.4</b> 6
Returns over feed per hen	. 57	.22	.80
Returns over feed per head sheep	1.45	.81	_
Amount of Livestoc	<u>k</u>		<u> </u>
No. of horses	4.6	3.8	4.0
No. of colts	1.1	.7	1.2
No. of cows	11.9	14.7	16.1
No. of cows per worker	7.3	7.6	8.3
Mo. of Comb Del Worker	7.0	,,,	0.0
Head of other cattle	21.0	16.5	10.1
Litters of pigs raised	7.6	9.5	2.7
Pounds of hogs produced	9877.0	9128.0	3230.0
Head of sheep	<b>5</b> 3.6	5.8	.0
No. of hens	97.5	133.7	113.6
Total number of productive livestock animal units	35.4	30.0	24.4
% of total prod. livestock units that were cows	38.4	49.9	66.4
% of total prod. livestock units that were other cat		27.4	22.3
1 illibrat brock transpoor mirras arms ages correct offi		15.4	6.6
% of theal prod. livestock units that were bore			
% of total prod. livestock units that were hogs	12.6 15.3		
% of total prod. livestock units that were hogs % of total prod. livestock units that were sheep % of total prod. livestock units that were hens	15.3 3.6	2.7 4.6	.0 4.7

# Soil Conservation and the Farm Organization

It is usually held that livestock farming results in improving the fertility of the farm because most of the crops which are raised on the farm are returned to the fields in the form of manure. However, unless a farmer uses considerable amounts of purchased feeds in addition to those raised on the farm, he will usually have a total aggregate loss of about 15 to 25 per cent of the phosphoric acid and potash removed by the crops he grows on his farm. The extent of such losses will vary according to the type of farming carried on and the different practices carried out.

In addition to the losses of fertility through the feeding of crops and the handling of mamure, there are, through erosion, equal or even more serious losses, not only of soil fertility but of the soil itself. Generalization of a careful study of the three areas included in this report can be made to the extent that from 2 to 6 inches of the top soil has been lost by erosion since the fields were first broken. In some instances the entire top soil has actually been removed by erosion and the crops are being grown on the much less productive sub-soil. This loss is much more serious than the loss of fertility alone, because fertility can be replaced within a relatively few years by proper cropping systems and the addition of some of the more important plant food elements which have been removed by the growth of crops. But when we lose the surface soil, we lose not only the fertility but also the organic matter, which affects the water holding capacity and the tilth. When these are lost they must be replaced before the fertility of the soils can be restored.

It has been estimated that with a loss of the first 4 inches of the top soil of Clinton silt loam (and at least one-half of the soils in the areas studied are Clinton silt loam) there has been an accompanying loss of one-fourth to one-half of the original amount of phosphorus of the top soil. Also a considerable portion of the potash and most of the humus and organic matter of the land are lost when the upper 4 inches of the top soil are washed away. When humus and organic matter are washed away, there is a serious loss of nitrogen and a general lowering of yields of most crops.

Consideration of these serious losses draws attention to the necessity of better farm management practices and erosion control measures to combat and reduce such serious losses. Introduction of erosion control practices and devices into any farm organization will necessitate careful consideration on the part of the farmer who owns and operates the land and on the part of any cooperating agency that helps to inaugurate such plans. Careful review of the data contained in this report will give some insight as to those farm organizations and as to those farm practices proving most profitable in the three areas represented.