

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Department of Agriculture

--- 0 ----

TRACTOR COSTS AND RATES OF PERFORMANCE

A preliminary report of data secured in 1935 covering the cost of operation and the rate of performance of farm tractors in Minnesota and summaries for 1933 and 1934

By

George A. Pond Division of Agricultural Economics and Arthur J. Schwantes Division of Agricultural Engineering

--- 0 ----

Mimeographed Report No. 74 Division of Agricultural Economics University Farm St. Paul, Minnesota

May, 1936

TRACTOR COSTS AND PATES OF PERFORMANCE

Source of Data

The operators of 30 tractors submitted records of the cost of operating their tractors and of the amount and kind of work done by them during the year 1935 to the Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station. These records were obtained from 24 farms. On six of these farms, two tractors were used. Only 22 of the 30 records cover the use of a tractor for a full calendar year as some of the records were started or discontinued during the year. The distribution of the tractors by makes and models was as follows: Case, Model C, 1; Case Model CC, 1; Case, Model L, 2; Farmall 20, 4; Farmall 30, 1; McCormick-Deering, Model 10-20, 3; McCormick-Deering, Model 15-30. 3; John Deere, Model F-12, 1; John Deere, Model A, 7; John Deere, Model B, 1; John Deere, Model D, 3; and John Deere, Model GP, 3. The years in which these tractors were purchased ranged from 1928 to 1935. Seven were purchased prior to 1930 and 13 in 1934 and 1935. The average years of use per tractor prior to 1935 were two and one-half. The average estimate of the operators as to the total life of these tractors was ten years. Fifteen tractors were equipped with rubber tires. The average estimated life of these was six and one-half years. These records were supervised and tabulated jointly by the Divisions of Agricultural Engineering and Agricultural Economics.

Cost of Tractor Operation

The cost per hour of operating these tractors is shown in Tables 1 and 2. Only tractors for which records for the full calendar year were available are included in this table. The separation into two-plow and three-plow tractors is more or less arbitrary. It is based in part on the rating of the tractor and in part on the plows used. Two-bottom plows were used with all of the tractors listed in Table 1 and three-bottom plows with all but one listed in Table 2. In the latter case, a four-bottom plow was used and the tractor would usually be classed as a three-four plow size altho with other tractors of the same rating only three bottoms were used. Fuel and lubricants were charged at the purchase price. Man labor spent in servicing and repairing was charged at 25 cents per hour. Annual depreciation was determined by dividing the purchase price of the tractor by the farmer's estimate of the number of years it would last. Interest is charged at the rate of eight per cent on the average value of the tractor in 1935. Costs are shown for each individual tractor for 1935 and also average costs for both size groups in 1935 and also for the tractors for which records were kept in 1933 and 1934.

There is a wide variation among different tractors in each of the items To a considerable extent, this reflects differences among the operators in of cost. their ability to handle their tractors economically and to provide adequate work for them. To a lesser extent it reflects chance differences such as variations in fuel prices in different localities and the irregularity with which repairs and overhauling are necessary. Fuel is the most variable item of operating costs. The amount of fuel per hour varied from 1.0 to 1.8 gallons per hour for the two-plow tractors and from 1.8 to 3.1 gallons for the three-plow tractors. There was also a variation in the kind of fuel used and in the price of each of the three fuels. Gasoline was used exclusively as fuel for seven tractors, distillate was used except in starting for twelve, kerosene for two, and gasoline and distillate in the ratio of one to two for another. The average price per gallon of these three fuels was gasoline 12.9 cents, kerosene 9.3 cents, and distillate 7.7 cents. The average fuel cost per hour for the three-plow tractors using gasoline was one-third higher than those using distillate. The difference was almost altogether a price difference as there was little difference in the number of gallons used per hour, Of the total fuel used 57 per cent was distillate. 34 per cent gasoline. and 9 per cent kerosene.

The fixed charges per hour varied relatively more among different tractors than did the operating costs. This variation is largely due to differences in the number of hours of work annually for which the tractors were used. In general, the larger the number of hours of work annually the lower the fixed charges per hour. There is also some variation in the operators' estimates of the length of life of different tractors. Since this was used as a basis for the depreciation charge, these variations in the operators' judgment are reflected in the fixed charges.

An analysis of these figures shows no advantage or disadvantage for rubber tires. The fixed charges are somewhat higher for the tractors equipped with rubber tires but this was offset by the larger number of hours that these tractors were used. The average purchase price of all rubber tires reported in this study was \$211. The average annual interest and depreciation charge as estimated by the operators was \$40.91. On the basis of the average number of hours of work per tractor, this would represent an average additional cost of 6.7 cents per hour for the use of the rubber tires. No significant difference was noted in the amount of work done per hour between tractors equipped with rubber tires and those equipped with steel wheels.

Amount and Kind of Work Done Annually

The annual hours of use of the tractors included in Tables 1 and 2 are shown in Table 3. The three-plow tractors are used for belt work much more than are the two-plow tractors. Silo filling, threshing, corn shredding, and feed grinding were the common belt operations. More power could be used advantageously for these operations than could be supplied by the smaller tractors. On the other hand. it was impossible to utilize the capacity of the large tractors to god advantage at many drawbar operations. Ninety-two per cent of the tractor-drawbar work is done on the home farm but only one-half of the belt work. The larger tractors are used much more extensively for custom work than are the smaller ones.

Rate of Tractor Performance

The rate at which various operations were performed with these tractors is shown in Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7. The rate of performing drawbar operations varied with the size of implement used, the kind and condition of the soil, the size and shape of field, the distance travelled to work, and the yield of crops. Undoubtedly, the rate for tillage operations varied somewhat with the amount of overlapping in case of such items as disking, harrowing, and field cultivating. In case of feed grinding, the output was expressed in bushels but the kind of grain was not specified. In these cases, the weight per bushel was estimated at 40 pounds. It is quite apparent that the proportion of the capacity of the tractor utilized for belt operations varies widely. These tables include all tractors for which records are available for any portion of the year. They do not include minor operations for which no output is recorded or which are performed by only one tractor or under conditions that vary widely from farm to farm. Average rates of performance in 1933 and 1934 are shown in comparison with the 1935 average rate.

Use of Data

These summaries should be useful to the individual tractor operator for purposes of comparison with his own figures. They should point out cost items in which economies might well be adopted. They should also indicate to some degree, at least, the effectiveness with which he is securing a satisfactory rate of performance. Furthermore, they may suggest the possibilities of using the tractor for operations for which it is not now used and the advantage of using implements large enough to utilize the capacity of the tractor to best advantage. In some cases, full utilization of the capacity of the tractor may be secured by using a combination of two implements at the same time. Two operations may be performed at the same time at very little additional expense since none of the items of tractor cost except fuel would be materially affected by the heavier load and the rate of travel would probably be about the same.

- 2 -

Table 1

Cost	per Hour	of Oper	ating T	NO-Plow	Tracto	rs in l	935 wit	h Avera	ges for	<u>1933 a</u>	nd 1934	, 		- 1
Tractor Number:	1151*	3122	2112	20 8 2	1112	4122	2132	1182	1082	1192	2192	1935	Average 1934	1077
Tractor Number:	<u> </u>		CLIC	2002	1110	7122	<u></u>		1002	1192	2192	1900	1994	1933
Operating Cost:														
Fuel	\$.109	\$.148	\$.110	\$.125	\$.137	\$.119	\$.133	\$.169	\$.154	\$.154	\$.125	\$.137	\$.154	\$.141
Lubricants	.025	.051	.013	.011	036	.022	.031	.031	.019	068	.024	.031	.050	.037
Labor	008	.027	,009	.009	.014	.016	.014	.012	.017	.008	.014	.014	.018	.019
Cash repairs		3 444	.003	.010		,015	.009				-	.026	028	
Total	.142	.226	.135	.155	.159 .346	.172	.187	<u>,008</u> ,220	.054 .244	.230	,163	,208	,250	.222
ixed Charges:											•			
Depreciation	.259	.385	.181	.174	.104	,128	.170	.129	.102	.112	.100	.159	.214	,233
Interest at 8% on avg.v.	alue 140			125	.060		032		.074	.075	.060	.074	.086	.110
Total	.399	<u>.075</u> .460	<u>.130</u> .311	.299	<u>.060</u> .164	.020	.202	<u>.092</u> .221	.176	.187	.160	.233	.300	.34
								100 ,000,000		Manufacturer.				and the second
otal cost per hour	.541	.6 8 6	.446	.454	.510	.320	.389	.441	.420	.417	.323	.441	.550	.56
ours of work per year	445	145 1	469 늘	620	654	710불	717 호	844	976	993	1146	728	516	587
abor and Materials per 1	00 Hrs:													
Servicing, hrs.	3.3	8.3	3.6	3.5	3.4	4.9	4.0	4.8	4.3	3.1	5,2	4.5	5.5	5.3
Repairing, hrs.	••	2.6	-		2.1	1.6	1.5		2.5		.3	1.1	1.1	3.
Fuel: Gasoline, gal.	91.0	15.1	104.5	5.6	9.6	21.7	17.5	8.0	17.1	40.5	10.8	25.0	40.0	54.0
Kerosene, gal.		 ~			-	5.3	129.9				-	13.5	35.0	22.0
Distillate, gal.	E.4	166.3		142.0	<u>156.5</u>	118.5		<u>195.1</u>	166.1	131.7	139.8	121,6	.95.0	92.0
Total	91.0	181.4	104.5	147.6	166.1	145.5	147.4	203.1	183.2	172.2	150.6	160.1	170.0	168.0
Oil, gal.	4.9	8.4	2.5	1.9	4.4	3.5	6.2	5.0	2.8		4.2	3.9	7.1	5.8
Grease, 1b.		-		1.6	7.6	2.8	4.3	4.g	1.5	2.0	.9		• 16.1**	
r -				-	• -			-		-			-	

1077 - 1 107)

*One-plow tractor, not included in averages. **Average of farms reporting.

Cost per	r Hour o	of Opera	ting Th	ree-Plo	w Tract	ors in	<u>1935 wi</u>	th Aver	ages fo	r 1933	an d 193	4		
Tractor Number:	1033	1153	4193	2113	1073	2123	3013	2013	3193	2133	2183	1935	Average 1934	1933
Tractor Number:	10))		4195	211)	10/5	_ (12)	5015	2015		_ 21))	210)	1999	1907	19))
Operating Cost: Fuel Lubricants Labor Cash repairs Total	\$.361 .069 .058 <u>.211</u> .699	\$.183 .064 .008 	\$.237 .029 .006 .272	\$.265 .024 .008 .297	\$.334 .018 .002 .009 .363	\$.235 .0 ⁴ 3 .019 .297	\$.203 .024 .009 .107 .343	\$.298 .026 .011 .022 .357	\$.213 .038 .008 .005 .264	\$.187 .041 .017 <u>.032</u> .277	\$.188 .076 .025 <u>.048</u> .337	\$.246 .0 ¹ 1 .016 .039 .342	\$.256 .057 .022 .045 .380	\$.160 .036 .015 .033 .244
Fixed Charges: Depreciation Interest at 8% on avg.valu Total	.364 291. .655	.482 .269 .751	.308 ,209 .517	.326 .147 .473	.260 .083 .343	.191 .130 .321	.216 .103 .319	. 193 . 092 . 285	.099 .024 .123	.220 .062 .282	.130 .044 .174	.254 .132 .386	.308 .1 ¹ 47 .455	.227 .100 .327
Total cost per hour	1.354	1,006	.789	.770	.706	.618	.662	.642	.387	•559	.511	.728	.835	.571
Hours of work per year	231 호	259 ¹ / ₂	451	460	461章	463 <u>‡</u>	490	519	670 1	681	957호	564	406	664
Labor and Materials per 100 Servicing, hrs. Repairing, hrs.	Hrs.: 11.6 11.4	3.4	2.4	3.3	.9	7.7	3.1 .5	4.5 _	2.3 .9	6.0 1.3	4.8 5.2	4,5 1,8	5.4 3.2	4.5 1.5
Fuel: Gasoline, gal. Kerosene, gal. Distillate, gal. Total	306.3 	19.6 <u>264.7</u> 284.3	21.1 <u>252.1</u> 273.2	251.7 - 251.7	256.9 	25.1 .5 <u>248.5</u> 274.1	179.9 	265.3 265.3	134.0 <u>56.0</u> 190.0	20.3 187.8 	6.3 <u>231.4</u> 237.7	135.1 17.1 <u>95.7</u> 247.9	83.0 65.0 <u>123.0</u> 271.0	17.0 201.0 218.0
Oil, gal. Grease, lbs.	11.7	12.7	4.7	⁴ .7	13.0	7.2 1.6	2.6 8.7	3.6 5.1	5.7 3.8	7.7 12.1	1 ¹⁴ .0	8.0 6.3*	9.7 19.3*	7.5 20.1*

*Average of farms reporting.

Table 2

1 F 1

٠	-	5	- gasti

т	ab	le	- 3

Amount	of Belt	and Drawba	r Work per	Tractor	and	Proportion
		of Homo	and Custom	W o wle		

Tractor		urs of Work			ce of Custo	
number	Drawbar	Belt	Total	Drawbar	Belt	Tota
		Two-P	low Tractor	5		
3122	125	201	1454	0	0	0
1151	445		<u>4</u> 45	2	_	2
2112	496章	·	4961	14	-	14
2082	434	186	620	5	70	24
1112	11751	178 ¹	654	5 0	10	 C
4122	475 <u>-</u> 687 -	-103 -103		7	U	
	670	22 3	710 1	7 5	7	7
2132	672 1	45	717호	2	, -	5
1182	805	39 241	844	21		20
1082	735		976	9	60	22
1192	735	258	993 1146		38	10
2192	1070불	75 1	1146	10	***	10
Avg. hours	607	97 14	704			
Percentage	86	14	444	8	35	12
1033 1153 4193 2113 1073 2123	12212 115 28912 224 16233 37122	109 144늘 161호 236 298늘 91호	231 259 451 460 461 463 463	18 - 19 11	75 45 56 71 64	32 29 32 36 48
3013	482	8	490	2		ע ו
2013	52	467	519	12	59	9 1 54 55
3193	280	390 1	670 1	31	71	55
2133	619 3	61	681	õ	0	ĺ ĺ
2183	622	61 1 335 2	957불	6	45	20
-				Ŭ	• •	
Avg. hours	304	209	513		- 6	
Percentage	59	41	5×2	8	56	28
			Tractors			
Avg. hours	456	153	609			
Percentage	75	25		g	50	18

Table 4

Operation:	Plo	wing	Dis	in 1935 u sking	Sprin	gtooth	Fi	eld	Har	rowing	See	eding	
	Size	Acres	Size	Acres	<u>harr</u>	owing	Culti	vating	Si ze	Acres	<u> </u>	rain	
Tractor No.					Si ze	Acres	Si ze	Acres		- 	Size	Acres	•
3122	2-14"	.73	-	-		-	-	-	261	6,08	-	**	
1151	2 - 14"	.68	. gt	2.31	10'	2,06	**		18'	5.65	-	**	
2112	-	***	104	2.67		-			261	7.23	10'	2.97	
2082	2-14"	.94	10'	2,96	81	2,00		-	201	6.81	101	2.55	
1112	3-14#	1,29*	71	2.00	141	4.95			201	9.32		-	
4122	2-14"	,60	ġ1	3,01	-	-	716"	1.81	201	7.18	-		
2132	2-14"	.75			-		. 91	2,72	221	8.74	141	3.75	
1182	2-16"	.99	14 •	4,80	10'	4.87			16'	9.03		-	
1082	2-14"	,63	151	3.97	.	-	-	-	-	**	10'	2,52	
1192	2-14"	98	g1	3.40			10'	3.75	-	-			
2192	2-14"	68	g I	2,09	gı	2.30		-	241	8,81	-		
2032	2-16"	1.06	gt	2.41	91	3,29			201	11,84	10'	4.30	
4193		-		-	_	_					91	1.00	
4082	2 1 6"	.98		,	71	2,96	**	-	201	8.65	111	3,92	
3082	2-16"	.94	151	3.71	71	3.00	-	,			-		
1012	2-14"	.69	ĝt	3.70	-	-	-	-	261	6,27	16'	1.71	
1232	2-14"	.91	15!	3.11	-	-	71	3.68	201	6.01			
1062	2-14"	.90	141	4_84	91	5.56		-	20 1	8,57		**	
Avg. 1935	<u> </u>	,83		3.21		3.44	<u></u>	2,99		7,87		2,84	-
Avg. 1934		.83		3.21		2,97		2,58		7,45		3.33	
Avg. 1933		.85		3.30		-		2,60		8,02		3.32	_

Size of Implement and Acres Covered per Hour for Drawbar Operations with Two-Plow Tractors in 1935 with Averages for 1933 and 1934

*Not included in average.

1

1 6 1

					continue	d)						
Operation:		ting ain		ting rn		vating rn		ting	Pick CC	ing Mrn		owing Hay
Tractor No.	Size	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Size	Acres	Size	Acres
3122		-	4000 ··· .	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
1151	g i	2.03	-		2-row	1.82	2-row	1.34		-	-	-
2112	81	2,18	4-row	2.76	2-row	1.91	2-row	1.82	-	-	71	1.71
2082	10'	2.17	-	-	2-row	2.02		-	***	***		•••
1112	81	2.13	-			-			1-row	• 74		-
4122	7 '	1.58	-		2-row	2,10	-		l-row	.75		
2132	-		4-row	2,62	2-row	1.79	-	-	l-row	. 87	7'	2.72
1182	7 '	1.62	-	-		-			-			
	10'	2.67	-		2-row	2.78	-	-	-			
1082	gı	1.53	3-row	2.09	3-row	2,69	l-row	.67	l-row	.87		⊷.
1192	81	2,34	***	_	2-row	2,22	-	-	l-row	.70		-
2192	71	1.29		-	2-row	2.08	l-row	.73	2-row	1.16	-	•••
2032		-		-	-	***		-	-	-		-
4193	10†	2,19		-	2-row	2,65	1-row	.75		1 000		
4082	-		-	-	2-row	2,17	-	-	****	 *	7.1	3.10
3082	gı	1.96	-		-		-		-	-	-	-
1012	71	1.27	3-row	2,18	3-row	2.64	1-row	1.06	l-row	.56	71	1.79
1232	-		4-row	3.35	-		-		2-row	1.67	_	
1062	-	-	-	-	2-row	2.83	-	1.50	-	-	Gam 4 13	_
Avg. 1935		1.92		2,60		2,60		1,12		.92		2.33
Avg. 1934		1.97		2,41		2.19		1.33		1.03		2,58
Avg. 1933		1.45		2.73		2,29		.82		.80		••••

Operation:			Di	sking	Sprin	ngtootl	h Fi	eld		rowing		ading		tting	Cult	ivating	Cut	tting	Pic	cing
	Size	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Harr	rowing	Cult	ivating	Si ze	Acres	G:	rain	G	rain	<u> </u>	orn	0	orn	Co:	rn
ractor					Si ze	Acres	Size	Acres			Size	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Si ze	Acres	Size	Acres
lo.																				
1033	3-14"	1.25	_		11'	3.79	-	-	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
1153		1.22	g i	2.06		-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
+193				4.51			10'	2,32	281	5.93	-	-	10'	2,52	-	-	l-row	.79		
				3.15					261	8.11	_	-		-	-	-	-		l-row	1.00
1073	4-14"	1.64*		_	91	3.07	-	-				-	-	-		_	-		-	·
2123	3-14"	1.05	151	3.37	-		8161	3.45	201	6.67	-	-	10'	2.61		-	-		2-row	1.45
013	3-14"	1.07			-			_		6.45	-	-		2.09	2-row	2,01		-	-	-
2013	3-14"	1,10	-		-	-		-	-	_		-	-	-	-	-				-
-	2-16"	1,00*		-		-	<u> </u>	-		-			-	-						
193	3-14"	.98		3.05	91	2.78	91	3.39	201	5.07	-		81.	2.08	-	-	-	-	***	
	-	-	-	_		-		3.26	-	-			-			-	-	-	-	
2133	3-16"	1.32	10'	3.53	-	-	- 91	2,99	221	9.64	141	3.64		-	2-row	1.84		-	-	
2183	3-16"	1.26	17'	4.78	1116"	3.00		-		-		-	10'	2,66		-	-	-	l-row	.98
				3.25		-		****		-			-	-			-	-		-
.203	3-14"	•57		-		-	۶ı	2.84	221	7.01	-	-	71	1,18		-	-	-		
vg. 1935		1.10		3.46	y_n_,	3.16		3.04		6.98		3.64		2.19		1.92		.79		1.14
vg. 1934		1,22		3.33		3.71		3.25		8.62		4.00		2,12		1.69		-		1.14
vg. 1933		1.36		3.16				3.11		-		3.52		1.70		2.17		***		.99

Size of Implement and Acres Covered per Hour for Drawbar Operations with Three-Plow Tractors

*Not included in average.

Table 5

Table 6

- 9 -

Operation:	<u>Grindin</u>	g Feed	ors in 1935 Shelling	Corn	Filli	ng Silo		reshing
1	Mill	Pounds	Туре	Bushels	Si ze	Tons	Size	Bushels
Iractor		per		per		per		per
No.		hour		hour		hour		hour
							×.	1
3122	-	-	-	-	16"	6.8	-	-
1151	-			****	-	-	-	
2122	Bar	-		-				-
2082		-			**		22 "	58.5
1112	8" burr	2656				-	22"	96.2
+122	-	· · · · · ·	-		12"	14.3		.
2132	Hammer	1284	No.2 cyl.	92.7		-	-	-
1182	10" burr	988	-	-		-	-	
L082	10" burr	2070			-		26"	66.6
192	Hammer	3185				11.3	2511	165.5
2192		·	-	-	15"	4.6	-	
2032	-	1338	-				-	
+192	-		2-hole	49.5	16"	7.5	-	_
4082	8" burr	1949		· -			22 1	33.1
3082	8" burr	2200	•••		-	-	22"	68.8
1012	10" Letz	1224	2 m2	-	_	_	-	
1232	Hammer	845	No.2 cyl.	58.0	16"	7.7	_	
1062	10" burr	1911	No.2 cyl.	75.1	16"	4.6	22"	88.8
		*7**	NO'E CAT'	[]+ ±	10	4 0		00.0
Avg. 1935		1786		68.8		8.1		82.5
Avg. 1934		1544		50.4		8,1		76.6
Avg. 1933		2254		93.0		8.7		128.0

Size of Implement and Rate of Performance per Hour for Belt Operations with Two-Plow Tractors in 1935 with Averages for 1933 and 1934

Table 7

Size of Implement and Rate of Performance per Hour for Belt Operations with Three-Plow Tractors in 1935 with Averages for 1933 and 1934

Operation:			Shelling	Corn	Fillin	g Silo	Three	shing	<u>Shreddi</u>	ng Cor
	Mill	Pounds	Type	Bu.	Si ze	Tons	Si ze	Bu.	Type	Bu.
Fractor		per		per		per		per		per
No.		hour		hour		hour		hour		hour
1033	8" burr	1600	_	_	19 "	9.9	361	101.0	_	-
1153	Hammer	2657	_	_	19 16"	19.7	-	151.8		
4193	10" burr	1622	-		10	-7-1	24-46	70 7	-	_
2113	-		-		HC-B.	13.0		123.8	-	-
1073	10" burr	1618		-	15"	9.2			6-roll	28.0
2123	8" burr	2372	Cylinder	103.3		····	28-46	85.5	-	
3013	10" burr	2489	-		-	· 🗕			6 112	
2013	10" burr	2089	-	- N	IcD-A	7.5	32-54	111.6	4-roll	29.1
3193		. –	-	-	16"				4-roll	
2133	Hammer	1663	No.2 cyl.	64.2	-		28-50			-
2183	8" burr	3205	-	-	16"	8.0	-		-	-
-	-	-	-	-	11"	8.0	-		-	-
Avg. 1935		2146	<u></u>	83,8		10.2	<u></u>	102.3		27.8
Avg. 1934		1666		104.9		10.2		73.3		-
Avg. 1933		1889		160.0		12.4		138.0		-