

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Department of Agriculture and UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Bureau of Agricultural Economics Cooperating

--- 0 ---

A Preliminary Report of Data Secured in 1936 on the

FARM ACCOUNTING ROUTE

in

WINONA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

By

S. A. Engene, G. A. Pond R.H. Loreaux, Routeman

--- 0 ---

Mimeographed Report No. 86 Division of Agricultural Economics University Farm St, Paul, Minnesota June, 1937

Ι	Ni.	D	н	х

	Page
Source of Data	
Methods of Computing and Presenting Data	. 2
Facts About the Organization and Production of the Farms	
Financial Statement	4
Farm Inventories	5
Farm Produce Used in the House	5
Household and Personal	56
Cost and Return per Cow	
Cost and Return per Head of Other Cattle	9
Cost and Return per Unit of All Cattle	ιó
Cost and Return per Sheep	·•• 17
Cost and Return per 100 Pounds of Hogs Produced	12
Cost and Return per 100 Hens	
Cost of Homes Work non Homes	••• .
Cost of Horse Work per Horse	
Cost per Mile for Automobiles and Trucks.	••• ‡2
Cost per Hour for Tractors	1 2
Summary of Hours of Man Labor Used per Acre in Performing Crop (<u> </u>
erations	16 & 17
Comparative Cost and Return per Acre for Small Grain and Corn Gr	
Comparative Cost per Acre for Roughage Crops	
Some Factors Affecting Earnings	••• al
	1

SOURCE OF DATA

Method of Study

A three-year study of the organization and management of a selected group of farms in Winona County was started on March 1, 1935. This study is being conducted under the supervision of the Division of Agricultural Economics of the University of Minnesota in cooperation with the Bureau of Agricultural Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture. Farms which were representative of the better managed farms of the area were chosen with the aid of the county agricultural agent, Mr. H. C. Pederson. The farmers cooperating in this study keep a complete record of cash receipts and expenses, a daily record of the labor used on each crop and class of livestock, and a record of farm produce used in the house. These records are checked at least twice per month by a field man and supplemented with inventories, feed records, reports of cropping practices and yields, and other significant facts about the farm business. The data collected are sent to the central office at University Farm, St. Paul, where a detailed set of records for each farm is kept. This report on farmers' carnings and crop and livestock returns for 1936 was prepared from these farmers' records.

Description of the Area

Winona County lies in the southeastern part of the state. The topography varies from gently rolling to very hilly. Much of the county is covered with a deposit of very productive loessial material. The surface soil is deficient in lime, but lime deposits underlie it at a relatively shallow depth. The soil washes easily, with the steeper slopes subject to considerable crossion. The growing season varies from 140 to 160 days. The average rainfall is approximately 29 inches, 70 per cent of which is received during the months of April to September, inclusive. Livestock and livestock products constitute the major source of income.

Note: Completion of this project was made possible by workers supplied on Federal Student Work Project, 1936-37, Project Number 39-100, Sponsor: University of Minnesota,

Description of the Ferms

The average size of the farms studied in 1936 was 301 acres, and of those studied in 1935, 334 acres. The average size of all Winona County farms in 1934 was 170 acres, as given in the 1935 census. A larger proportion of the land was in legumes on the farms studied than for the county as a whole. Other facts about the organization and production of these farms are presented on page 3.

There is a soil erosion problem on most of the farms studied. Most of the operators are cooperating with the Federal Soil Conservation Service in an erosion control program. Since they have not yet had time to put this program into full operation, few of the effects are apparent in this report.

Description of the Crop Seasons

Heavy precipitation, plus the moisture from the winter snows on unfrozen ground, provided sufficient moisture for good yields in 1935. Heavy summer rains, however, interfered with the curing of hay and drying of grain in the shock. Moisture was plentiful during the early part of the 1936 season, but scant rains and high temperatures during July reduced yields of grain and corn. Seeding began in 1936 almost two weeks later than in 1935. Grain harvest, however, began almost a week earlier in 1936.

METHODS OF COMPUTING AND PRESENTING DATA

Financial Statements

Average earnings, inventories and household and personal expenses are presented on pages 4 to 6 for all farmers, for the five farmers with the highest labor earnings, and for the five farmers with the lowest.

Some of the farms studied were either partly or entirely rented. The rental contracts varied. In order to have the data for these farms comparable with the owned farms, they were adjusted to a full ownership basis. All farm property, regardless of ownership, was included in the inventory. Cash rent was excluded from the expenses and the landlord's expenses were included. The landlord's share of the crops was included in the receipts. The value of farm produce used in the house was included in receipts and the value of board furnished hired laborers was included in expenses. Board for hired labor was charged at \$15 per month. Wages for unpaid family labor were calculated at 20 cents per hour. All interest actually paid was omitted and five per cent interest was charged on the total inventory.

The returns to capital and family labor is the amount left as pay for the use of the farm capital and for the labor of the farm operator and his family. Family labor earnings is what is left as pay for the labor of the operator and his family, after deducting an allowance for interest on the investment from the returns to capital and family labor. The operator's labor earnings is the amount left to the farm operator as pay for his labor and management after all farm expenses, interest on the investment and an allowance for the unpaid family labor have been paid. A minus (-) operator's labor earnings indicates the extent to which the receipts were insufficient to cover the expenses.

Livestock Statements

The comparative costs and returns for each of the different classes of livestock maintained in 1936 are presented in this preliminary report. All data are shown on the basis of a standard unit such as one head or 100 pounds gain in weight. Both quantities--pounds of feed, days of pasture, man and horse hours,

Facts About	the	Organization	and	Production	of	the Farms	
THOUS RECORD	0440		Curr ce	1100001100		<u> </u>	

	1935		1936	
	Average	Average	Range for	each item
Acres in barley	51	38	0 to	-
Acres in oats Acres in mixed oats and barley	35 3 7	26 5	0 to 0 to	
Acres in mixed oats and wheat	7	5 2 8	0 to	
Acres in wheat	11		0 to	26
Acres in corn	26	32	15 to	1 1 1
Acres in flax	1	14 	0 to	66
Acres in other grains Acres in alfalfa	11 18	7 14	0 to 0 to	
Acres in clover and timothy	11		0 to	81
Acres in wild hay		2	0 to	
Acres in other hay	5	23 2 3 15	0 to	28
Acres in other crops	3 5 3 185		0 to	
Total crop acres	185	179	65 to	
Acres in wood and pasture Acres in farmstead, road and waste	135 14	109 13	g to 5 to	
Total acres per farm	334	301	96 to	
% of land tillable	58	68	**	
	50	00	31 to	95
Number of cows	19	20	10 to	
Number of other cattle	, 25	26	8 to	4
Number of sheep	21 9459	18 13124	0 to	
Number of pounds hogs produced Total number of chickens	9499 187	204	3345 to 0 to	37700 520
Number of laying hens	117	130	0 to	
Total hours of man labor	8829	• <u>-</u> 9319	5659 to	-
Total man hours on livestock	3802	4544	2716 to	
Total man hours on crops		2469	1016 to	
Total man hours miscellaneous labor	2468	>38 2306	865 to	
Total hours exchange labor received	324	246	56 to	488
Total hours hired labor	3617	3410		10438
Total hours unpaid family labor Total hours operator labor	1688 3200	2373 3290	0 to 2189 to	
Hours per man per work day	9.5	10.5	7_{-3} to	12.0
Hours per man per Sunday	3.2	4.3	7.3 to 2.4 to	6.0
Tractor farms:				-
Number of farms	14	18		
Total crop acres per farm	210	198	66 to	360
Number of work horses per farm	6	6	3 to	9
Average no, of hours worked per horse	904	847	533 to	2 ·
Number crop acres per horse	39	36	19 to	72
Non-tractor farms:		-		
Number of farms	. 5	6		3.0.5
Total crop acres per farm Number of work horses per farm	118 6	120	73 to	
Number of work horses per 131m Number hours worked per horse	840	5 852	4 to 616 to	
Number of crop acres per horse	18	23	19 to	-
• •				

Financial Statement

	1935		1936	
	All	All	Five	Five
	farms	farms	highest	lowest
RECEIPTS	\$1049	\$1360	\$1262	\$1065
Dairy products Cattle	\$1049 771	۶1360 671	837	\$1065 517
Нодв	725	1169	906	1105
Sheep and wool	93	102	211	6
Poultry and eggs	310	528	415	1041
Horses	110	111	76	16
Barley	344	560	981	191
Wheat	147	96	244	34
Flax	36	19	47	
Other crops	99	275	732	43
Income from work off the farm	252	151	126	147
Miscellaneous	143	536	75 ⁴	136
Agricultural Conservation and A.A.A.	105	231	2 93	138
payments				
Total Cash Farm Receipts	4184	5809	6584	4439
Farm Produce Used in House	363	384	415	328
Increase in Inventory	14	1009	2019	435
			-	
TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS	4561	7202	9318	5202
EXPENSES	167		466	Ed
Cattle bought	153 45 7	334	400 87	58 70
Hogs bought Sheep bought	45	95 16	87 49	70
Poultry bought	20	38 38	3 9	225
Horses bought	29 64	65	82	70
Feed for livestock	292	698	464	39 983
Other livestock expense	37	48	46	53
Crop expense	199	215	263	53 214
Hired labor	366	360	359	289
Real estate	213	425	134	321
Machinery	35 ⁸	384	566	303
Tractor	207	313	4 31	17
Truck	121	126	252	30
Auto	83	95	96 31	122
Electricity	40	39	31	19
Taxps	244	268	349	180
Insurance	39	55	71	5 2
Miscellaneous	29	29	31	29
Total Cash Farm Expenses	2526	3653	3816	3004
Board for Hired Labor	167	156	179	110
board for hired Lason	101	- JC	-17	
	-			
TOTAL FARM EXPENSES	2693	3809	3995	3114
Returns to Capital and Family Lab	or 1868	3393	5323	2088
Interest on Farm Inventory	862	900	1134	664
Family Labor Earnings	1006	2493	4189	1424
Wages for Unpaid Family Labor	338	453	544	511
OPERATOR'S LABOR EARNINGS	668	2040	3645	913
			~ ~	

Average Farm Inventories

	1935		1936	
	All farms	All farms	5 with highest earnings	5 with lowest earnings
Land Buildings Horses Cattle Sheep Swine Poultry Feeds, seeds and miscellaneous Auto (farm share) Truck (farm share) Tractor Machinery and equipment	\$5944 5128 750 1446 110 294 80 1358 70 115 315 1633	\$5999 5216 793 1763 91 370 135 1447 72 149 366 <u>1637</u>	\$3017 6066 703 2283 160 341 107 2187 60 315 534 <u>1905</u>	\$4902 3637 510 1218 15 357 263 992 76 28 30 1267
Total	17243	18038	22678	13295

Farm Produce Used in the House

	1935		1936	
	<u>All Farms</u> <u>Quantity</u> Valu	<u>All Farms</u> le Quantity Va	s 5 with lue highest earnings	5 with lowest carnings
Milk Cream Butter Skimmilk Eggs Poultry Hogs Cattle Sheep Potatoes Fuel Fruits and vegotables	79 qt 205 doz 42 159 lb 19 992 lb 92 247 lb 14	57 277 pt. 29 34 - 30 1.52 qt. 14 214 doz. 47 34 209 1b. 24 39 804 1b. 75 50 393 1b. 26 54 - 70 39 bu. 26 45 67	0.05 \$54.57 04 37.68 04 46 67 46 85 24.36 524 74.50 582 27.69 635 31.04 7.08 75.00 0.63 42.00	36 53 24 15 27 28 70 20 38 78 89 6 36 21 83 65 00 46 00
Total	363.	27 38 ¹	4.23 414.99	328.11
Size of family (man equ	ivalent) 4	.9	4.6 4.9	4.2

- 5 -

Household and Personal

	1.935		1936	
	All farms	All farms	5 with highest earnings	5 with lowest earnings
Inventories:			earnings	earnings
House, woodshed and smokehouse Furnishings and equipment Clothing, jewelry, etc. Electric plant and motors* Gas engine*	\$2823 451 224 8 2	\$2614 415 218 7	\$3020 354 245 14	\$ 2792 515 200 9
Auto and truck*	246	233	407	183
Total	3754	3487	4040	3699
Cash Expenses:				
Food Operating and supplies Furnichings and equipment Additions and repairs on house Hired belp Electricity ²² Glothing and materials Health School expenses Reading materials Church, charity, etc. Recreation Fersonal Life insurance and savings Auto and truck [*] Total cash expenses	292 39 53 22 30 141 47 21 6 39 18 136 1 ³ 4 314 314	312 50 95 171 19 33 134 50 17 5 47 19 128 126 296	294 39 130 35 12 31 94 12 34 5 41 19 160 86 652 1644	358 72 100 5 14 19 185 65 26 56 45 247 115 190
-		±)0L	TO -1-4	
Cash Receipts: Household and personal	271	121	46	150
Not Cash Expenses Volue of Farm Produce Used Decrease in Inventory Interest on Average Inventory Total Household and Personal Expenses	1090 363 19 188 1660	1381 384 -1145+ 174 1794	1598 415 -96+ 202 2119	1353 328 54 185 1920

*Household and personal share. +Increase in inventory.

.

pounds produced, etc.--and money costs and returns are shown. The amounts of feed, with the exception of pasture, are given in pounds rather than in bushels or tons. All corn has been reduced to a shelled corn basis. The man hours include both regular daily chore labor and irregular labor such as tending sick animals, marketing livestock and livestock products, and hauling feed and bedding. The horse hours likewise include both regular and irregular work.

Local prices were used, insofar as possible, in determining the costs and returns. Marketable feeds were charged at local prices and non marketable feeds on a comparative-feeding-value basis. No charge was made for straw or for corn stalk pasture. Man labor was figured at 20 cents per hour and horse work was charged to the individual farm at the rate determined for that farm. The shelter charge was based on the annual cost of the buildings housing livestock, prorated on the basis of the space occupied. The equipment charge was based upon the annual cost of the particular equipment used by that class of livestock. The expense for portable brooder houses and hog houses was included in the equipment charge and omitted from the shelter charge. The equipment charge also includes a charge for the use of the auto and truck in connection with the livestock work. Interest was calculated at five per cent on the average of the beginning and ending inventories. Miscellaneous cash costs include such cash expenses as veterinary fees, medicine, salt, minerals, hatching expense, fuel for brooders, incubators and tank heaters, horse-shoeing, sheep-shearing, etc. In arriving at the manure credit, consideration was given to the kind and the amount of feed consumed and the proportion of the fertilizing elements returned in the manure. Credit was allowed for manure produced, regardless of whether or not it was utilized.

The value of livestock production was determined by adding the sales, the products used in the house and the ending inventory and then doducting from this sum the sum of the beginning inventory and purchases. In the case of the different classes of cattle, transfers from one group to another were considered the same as purchases and sales. The weight produced was calculated in the same manner as the value produced except that weights were used instead of values.

The returns have been expressed in several ways. The gain is the amount left after deducting all the charges listed in the table. The return over feed cost is what is left after deducting the feed cost from the value of the product, excluding manure. In other words, the return over feed cost and the manure are what the farmer has to pay him for his labor, the horse work, shelter, equipment, interest and miscellaneous cash costs. In each case a minus (-) indicates a failure to meet the particular expenses involved.

In considering the returns from livestock, one should keep in mind that these are comparative figures and include some charges which do not represent actual cash outlay. The feed, man labor, horse work, use of buildings and equipment, and interest on the investment have been charged to the enterprise, although they may represent very little direct cash expense. Therefore, a minus return means that the particular class of livestock has failed to pay the usual market prices charged for the different factors. There may be no other more profitable alternative use for the buildings, much of the labor, or for the non-marketable feeds. A return above the price of marketable feeds and cash expenses may justify continued production although these figures fail to show a gain.

Cost and Return per Cow

		1935		
	Range for	specified it	oms <u>Average</u>	Average
Number of farms Number of cows per farm Butterfat per cow, lb.	9 127	to 44 to 317	24 20 207	20 19 189
Man labor, hours Horse work, hours Costs:	60_0 0_8	~ ~ ~	140.5 5.2	126.1 3.9
Feed Man labor Horse work Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash Total costs Manure credit Appreciation		to \$134.77	\$37.46 28.11 52 7.25 4.06 2.43 <u>1.24</u> \$81.07 3.75 42	\$27.57 25.23 7.83 7.83 2.19 1.04 \$68.07 2.61 2.26
Total credit Net cost Value of dairy products: Sola	1.67 3 9.19		4.17 76.90	4.87 63.20 54.93
Usel in house Fed to livestock Total product Return over all cost Return over feed cost Price received per pound of B.F. Feeds:	53.34 -9.12 30.56 .35	to 83.89	69.73 4.17 <u>15.22</u> \$89.12 12.22 52.08 .36	94-95 4-18 <u>11.70</u> \$70.81 7.61 45.50 .33
Corn, lb. Small grain, lb. Other concentrates, lb. Hay, lb. Fodder and stover, lb. Silage, lb. Total concentrates, lb. Total roughage, * lb. Pasture, days	107 3 ¹ 422 57		187 626 229 3266 260 5908 1042 5495 168	86 323 214 2029 230 6311 623 4363 142

*Three pounds of silage considered as one pound of roughage.

Cows

The costs and returns are for cows only. They neither include any feed or expense for the bull nor any credit for calves born. Due to the fact that calves were in some cases allowed to nurse for a short time, it was necessary to estimate their consumption of whole milk while nursing. It was assumed that the calves that were nursing received two gallons of milk per day. The value of the dairy products fed includes all milk and skimmilk fed to calves as well as to the other classes of livestock. The butterfat per cow was calculated by dividing the total butterfat utilized (including that sold, used in the house, and fed to livestock) by the average number of cows in the herd.

Cost and Return per Head of Other Cattle

	<u>Dairy</u>	Herds	<u>Milk-and-I</u>	eef Herds
	1936	1935	1936	1935
Number of farms	17	13	7	7
No. of head per farm	18	20	45	34
Man labor, hour	22_9	18.2	15 <u>4</u>	11_0
Horse work, hour	2_1	1.5	1_2	_9
Costs: Feed Labor Horse work Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash Total costs Manure credit Net cost Value of product Return over all costs Return over feed cost	\$22 52 4 58 20 5 22 05 1 62 41 34 60 1 94 32 66 30 02 -2 64 7 50	\$19.47 3.64 13 5.91 2.1 1.34 26 30.96 1.50 29.46 23.86 60 9.39	\$19.82 3.08 10 3.95 09 1.52 28.81 <u>1.74</u> 27.07 24.34 -2.73 4.52	\$16.35 2.20 07 4.63 16 1.17 <u>13</u> 2 ¹⁴ .71 <u>1.39</u> 23.32 27.55 4.23 11.20
Feeds: Grain, lb. Mill feeds, lb. Hay, lb. Fodder and stover, lb. Silage, lb. Total concentrates, lb. Total roughages,* lb. Whole milk, lb. Skimmilk, lb. Pasture, days	300 26 1540 132 2177 326 2398 273 2152 124	228 33 825 89 3070 261 1937 275 1909 111	271 6 1398 286 1989 277 2347 155 818 135	247 8 871 460 2349 255 2114 220 837 121
Range for specified items, 1936: No. of head per farm Net cost Value of product Return over all costs Return over feed cost Total concentrates, lb. Total roughage,* lb. Whole milk, lb. Skimmilk, lb. Pasture, days	8 to \$22.25 to 18.75 to -15.73 to -2.96 to 35 to 1507 to 1305 to 79 to	57.14 22.52	35 to \$14.00 to 3.99 to -20,99 to -13.10 to 6 to 1381 to 59 to 325 to 26 to	\$41.87 53.67 11.80 23.13 662 3571 283

*Three pounds of silage considered as one pound of roughage.

Other Cattle

Other cattle include all cattle except the cows. The data for the herds where calves were raised only for replacement or for sale as veal or breeding stock were placed in one group; the data for the herds where some cattle, raised or purchased, were fattened for sale as beef were placed in another.

Cost and Return per Unit of All Cattle

	<u>Dairy</u>	<u>Herds</u>	<u>Milk-and-I</u>	Beef Herds
	1936	1935	1936	1935
Number of farms	17	13	7	7
Units per farm	27	39	Ն Լ ՆԼ	37
Man labor, hours	125.7	98.8	67.1	64.6
Horse work, hours	5.5	4.1	2.9	2.1
Costs: Feed Man labor Horse work Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash Total costs Manure credit Net cost Value of product:	\$40.74 24.79 56 8.74 2.99 2.88 1.21 81.91 <u>3.76</u> 78.15	\$31.36 19.76 34 9.53 2.49 2.43 66.74 <u>2.67</u> 64.07	\$39.92 13.42 26 7.14 1.86 2.73 <u>.77</u> 66.10 <u>.3.92</u> 62.18	\$28.78 12.93 16 7.60 2.42 2.09 <u>.67</u> 54.65 <u>2.72</u> 51.93
Animal	20.57	21_24	27.27	26.14
Dairy	<u>65.94</u>	47_54	<u>37.83</u>	<u>33.85</u>
Total product	86.51	63_78	65.10	59.99
Return over all costs	8.36	4_71	2.92	8.06
Return over feed cost	45.77	37_42	25.18	31.21
Feeds: Corn, 1b. Small grain, 1b. Mill feeds, 1b. Hay, 1b. Fodder and stover, 1b. Silage, 1b. Milk, 1b. Skimmilk, 1b. Total concentrates,* 1b. Total roughage,* 1b. Pasture, days	178 594 179 3054 306 5502 176 1596 1246 5194 204	68 351 172 1719 199 6510 171 1450 861 4088 166	261 532 67 3367 399 5118 152 916 1038 5473 223	192 262 32 2065 607 5044 191 872 663 4352 201
Pange for specified items, 1936: Units per farm Man labor, hours Net cost Total value of product Return over all costs Return over feed cost Total concentrates,* lb. Total roughage,+ lb. Pasture, days	59.00 to -12.42 to	b 190.9 b \$121.68 b 117.47 b 21.02 b 64.70 b 2698 b 81.97	32 to 43.6 to \$40.07 to 47.66 to -13.99 to 558 to 194 to 3884 to 59 to	36 ¹ 4 \$88 22 101 10 16 73 40 48 2348 8094

*Six pounds of milk or skimmilk considered as one pound of concentrates. Three pounds of silage considered as one pound of roughage.

<u>All Cattle</u>

Expenses and returns per unit of all cattle, including cows and other cattle, are presented. One cow, one bull, two yearlings, three calves six months to one year old, or four calves under six months were considered as one unit. In this statement any milk used by the calves was included in the feed and in the credit for dairy products fed to livestock.

	1936 Range for specified items	Average	1935 Average
Numb er of farms Numb er of shee p per fa rm	9 to 83	12 35	12 33
Man labor, hours Boose work, hours Costs:	l _e l to 4 ₀	2.4 .1	2 .6
Feed Man labor Horse work Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash		\$1.49 48 01 70 11 24 19	\$1.56 .51 .03 .59 .12 .25 .18
Total cost Manure credit Net cost Value produced:	\$1.83 to \$5.31 \$1.82 to \$5.07	\$3.22 <u>13</u> \$3.09	\$3.24 \$3.13
Sheep Wool Total product Return over all costs Return over feed cost	\$.43 to\$11.19 -2.83 to 9.37 -12 to 10.28	3,50 <u>1,84</u> \$5,34 2,25 3,85	2.77 1 <u>.73</u> \$4.50 1.37 2.94
Weight of flecce, lb. Per cent lamb crop Per cent death loss, lambs Per cent death loss, sheep Feeds:	6.1 to 10.7 59 to 165 8 to 57 3 to 35	7.8 100 13 13	8.3 86 19 10
Grain, lb. Hay and fodder, lb. Silage, lb. Total roughage.* lb. Pasture days	1 to 50 47 to 355 183 to 236	16 168 58 187 211	21 108 240 188 156

*Three pounds of silage considered as one pound of roughage.

Sheep

The cost and return per head for sheep are presented above. The number of head of sheep is the average number of mature head for a year when two lambs up to six months of age are considered equal to one mature sheep. The fleece weight was calculated by dividing the total clip by the number of sheep sheared. The per cent death loss is based on the total number of sheep and lambs, regardless of the length of time that they were on the farm. The lambs raised per ewe is the number of lambs raised to six months of age divided by the number of lambing time.

Cost and Return per 100 Pounds of Hogs Produced

	Range for spec	1936 ified items	Average	<u> </u>
Number of farms Pounds produced per farm	3345 to	37700	24 13124	19 9 7 41
Man labor, hours Horse work, hours Guidan	2 _. 1 to	6.0	3.4 .3	2.9 .3
Bodd Max labor Hon se work Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash Total cost Manure credit Net cost Average selling price, per cwt. Return over all costs Return over feed	\$5.94 to 27 to 5.48 to 8.05 to -1.42 to 48 to	46 9.79 12.12 6.62	\$6.62 67 03 20 09 15 06 \$7.82 35 7.47 9.18 1.71 2.56	\$4 94 57 03 24 19 18 05 \$6 20 37 5 83 8 99 3 16 4 05
Average weight of hogs sold Pigs raised por litter Feeds:	113 to 2 _• 3 to		226 6_0	235 5•9
Corn, lb. Small grain, lb. Commercial feed, lb. Total concentrates, lb. Skimmilk equivalent,* lb. Pasture, days	133 to 217 to 0 to		214 147 12 373 578 27	236 151 17 404 597 27

*One pound of tankage considered as ten pounds of skimmilk.

. ...

Hogs

The cost and return per one hundred pounds of hogs are presented above. The number of pigs per litter was calculated by adding togother the number of pigs raised to six months of age and those that were sold or butchered at an earlier age. This sum was divided by the number of litters farrowed. The average market weight and the price received per hundred pounds are based on the total sales of hogs and pigs. The pounds of hogs produced include any gain in weight of breeding hogs and likewise the expenses include the cost of maintaining the breeding herd. The return over all costs is the difference between the net expenses per hundred pounds and the selling price. It does not include any receipts from corn-hog benefit payments. The return over feed is the difference between the feed cost and the selling price.

Cost	and	Return	per	100	Hens

	Range for	ape	1936 ecified items	Average	<u> 1935 </u> Average
Number of farms Number of laying hens per farm Number of other chickens per farm Eggs per hen		to to to	314 206 186	23 136 77 121	19 124 79 119
Man labor, hours Horse work, hours	164	to	909	355 9	329 9
Costs: Feed Man labor Horse work Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash Total cost Manure credit	\$149.59 4.34	to to	\$506_84 15_89	\$201.93 71.04 .90 18.31 15.96 3.83 13.05 \$325.02 9.22	\$175.76 65.82 .77 18.51 20.08 3.65 <u>.17.36</u> \$301.95 9.49
Net cost Value of product:	145.25	to	506 84	315.80	292,46
Poultry Eggs Total product Return over all costs* Return over feed cost* Selling price per dozen eggs	4 96 109 16 136 48 -276 78 -39 87 18	to to to to	358,54 501,45 186,10	69.32 209.08 278.40 -37.40 76.47 .21	76.49 <u>218.44</u> 294.93 2.47 119.17 .23
Feeds: Corn, lb. Small grain, lb. Other concentrates, lb. Meat scrap and tankage Skimmilk Total concentrates Skimmilk equivalent, lb. ⁺	5736 1202		15517 35022	3687 4226 2778 425 6217 10691 13448	3244 5851 2477 337 6126 11572 11855

*A minus (-) indicates a loss, or a failure to cover the charges. ⁺One pound of meat scrap or tankage considered as 17 pounds of skimmilk.

Chickens

The data for chickens are presented on this page on the basis of one hundred hens. In a few instances, a small number of ducks or geese were raised. In such cases the feed, labor and other expenses, and the receipts for ducks and geese are included. Portable brooder houses were considered as equipment in arriving at the costs for shelter and equipment. The division of the costs between the production of eggs and the production of poultry was made on the basis of the income from each.

Cost of Horse Work per Horse

	Range for	spec	1936 Sified items	Average	1935 Avorage
Number of farms Horses per farm Crop acres per horse	3	to to	9 72	24 6 33	19 6 34
Man labor, hours	34	to	93	63	54
Costs: Foed Labor Shelter Equipment Interest at 5% Miscellaneous cash Depreciation Total cost	\$52,39	to	\$143.42	\$40.12 12.56 8.44 4.82 5.20 1.02 <u>9.00</u> \$81.16	\$40.87 10.78 10.14 5.49 4.91 .79 <u>6.50</u> \$79.48
Credits: Manure Appreciation Total credit Net cost	\$2.21 39.47	to to	\$19 .73 138.77	4.15 0 \$4.15 77.01	5,50 0 \$5,50 73,98
Hours worked Cost per hour, cents	616 4_8			8148 9 . 1	887 8•3
Feed: Grain, 1b. Hay, fodder and stover, 1b. Silage, 1b. Total roughage,* 1b.	433 2564	to	9013	2326 4498 115 4536	2286 3808 794 4073
Pasture, days	7	to	146	82	70

*Three pounds of silage considered as one pound of roughage.

Work Horses

Average cost per horse and per hour of horse work are presented on this page. Tractors were used for drawbar power on eighteen of the farms in 1936 and on fifteen in 1935. As the cost per hour of work was practically the same on the non-tractor farms as on the tractor farms, all farms were included in calculating the averages presented.

Automobiles and Trucks

The cost per mile of operation of automobiles and trucks is shown on page 15. The labor charge is the value, at twenty cents per hour, of the time the regular farm workers spent in repairing and servicing the machines. It also includes a charge for any use of horses or automobile in repairing them. Miscellaneous cash costs include the cost of the license, repairs, parts, tires, insurance and also greasing when it was done at a service station. The miles driven are based on a check of the speedometer reading at the beginning and end of the year.

Costs per Mile for Automobiles and Trucks

	Automo	biles	True	ks
	1936	1935	1936	1935
No, of farms Miles driven per car Miles per gallon of gasoline	23 8422 15 ₀ 0	18 7 ¹ 409 14`0	14 4792 12 <u>.</u> 4	12 4126 12.7
Cost per mile of operation: Labor Gasoline and oil Repairs, etc. Depreciation Interest at 5% Total cost	\$ 001 012 012 005 002 032	\$_001 _013 _013 _008 _002 _037	\$ 002 017 022 009 004 054	\$_004 016 026 011 <u>004</u> 061
Range for specified items, 1936: Miles driven per car Miles per gallon of gasoline Cost per mile of operation	1274 to 9.5 to \$.017 to	18 8		12553 18_4 \$_131

Costs per Hour for Tractors

	<u>Two-Plow</u> 1936	<u>Tractors</u> <u>1935</u>	Three-Plow Tractors 1936 1935
Number of farms	9	4	9 9
Hours worked per year:			
Drawbar	194	292	443 372
Belt	5 9	79	137 183
Total	253	371	580 555
Per 100 hours of operation:			
Labor, hr	10.6	9.4	10.6 10.7
Fuel, gel.	235	192	245 252
011, gal.	6_2	6.6	7.7 8.8
Cost per hour of operation:			
Labor	\$_021	\$_029	\$_021 \$_021
Fuel and oil	366	284	352 295
Repairs, etc.	033	.066	053 195
Use of auto, truck and horses	•003	•005	002 005
Depreciation	•085	.108	.087 .002*
Interest at 5%	<u> </u>	0 <u>46</u> 538	<u>056</u> <u>050</u> 571 <u>564</u>
Total cost	•607	•538	•571 •564
Range for specified items, 1936:			
Total hours worked per year	52 to	510	332 to 934
Fuel per 100 hours, gal.	170 to	455	194 to 335
Oil per 100 hours, gel.	2 to	17	5 to 10
. Cost per hour of operation	\$ 432 to	\$_882	\$. 382 to \$. 774

*Appreciation resulting from extensive repairs.

Tractors

The number of hours tractors were operated and the cost per hour of operation are presented above for both two-plow and three-plow tractors. The labor of the regular farm workers used in servicing and ppairing is charged at twenty cents per hour. The use of the automobile, truck and horses in repairing or servicing is charged at the rates found on the farm involved. Miscellaneous cash costs include the cash cost of repairing, parts, etc. Interest is calculated on the average of the beginning and ending inventories.

Crop Statements

Summaries of costs and returns for crop production are presented on this and the following four pages. The data from these farms show a wide variation in the efficiency with which labor was used in crop production. The average amount of man labor used per acre in 1936 and 1935 in performing the different crop operations with varying sizes of power units follows. The range in amount for each item in 1936 is also presented.

Summary of Hours of Man Labor Used per Acro in Performing Crop Operations

	1936		1935
	Range for each item	Average	Average
Seedbed preparation:			
Hlowing:			
4 horses	2 . 1 to 4.3	3.1	3.0
5 horses	1.7 to 2.8	2,4	2.1
2-plow tractor	9 to 2.0	1.4	1.3
3-plow tractor	.8 to 1.3	1,1	1.0
Disking:	- · · ·		
3-plow tractor	_16 to _34	•24	. 27
<pre>\$pringtoothing & field cultivating:</pre>	<i>c c</i>	- 4	
3 horses	62 to 1.06	.84	
4 horses	.59 to .88	72 60 45	•7 ⁸
2-plow tractor	42 to 93	. 60	
3-plow tractor	_19 to _79	• 45	•50
Horrowing:		\	
3 horses	25 to 93 24 to 46	•41	•39
4 horses	_24 to _46	•32	•31
Seeding and harvesting grain:			
Drilling:		<i>d</i> 7	~
3 horses	.55 to 1.12	-81	_80 62
4 horses	•52 to •86	.63	Je _62
Cutting:			dd
4 horses	.49 to 1.02	•76	•88
2-plow tractor:) :
Man hours	- to -		1,24
Tractor hours	- to -		•71
3-plow tractor:			
Man hours	- to -	-	•99
Tractor hours	- to -		•5 <u>0</u>
Shocking	.6 to 1.7	1.1	1.3
Throshing:			• •
Man hours	1.1 to 3.7	2.3 3.4	2.5
Horse hours	1.8 to 7.7	3.4	3.8
Planting and harvesting corn:		0	0
Planting	.4 to 1.4	•9	•9
Cultivating (horses):	11+006	٦ 4	יב
l-row	1.1 to 2.6	1.6	1,5
2 - row	$_{\circ}$ 8 to 1.6	1,1	-
Cutting (3 horses)	1 4 to 3 9	2.3	2.0
Shocking	1.4 to 5.0	3.2	3.6
Filling silo:	7 Ø to 0 0	6 0	0.7
Man hours	3_8 to 9_2	6.0	9.1
Horse hours	5_6 to 13_8 8_4 to 15_9	8,4	13.1
Fusking by hand	0.4 00 19.9	11.3	11.8

Summary of Hours of Man Labor Used per Hour for Crop Operations (continued)

	1936	and the state of the	1935
	Range for each item	Average	Average
Harvesting hay:			
Alfalfa:			
First cutting:		•	
Mowing (horses)	6 to 3.4 4 to 1.5	1.3	1.5
Raking and turning	.4 to 1.5	•9	1.0
Hauling to barn:			1. 6
Man hours	1.3 to 11.0	3.8 5.0	4.6
Horse hours	1.6 to 16.7	5.0	6.1
Second cutting:	*** 7		- 1.
Mowing (horses)	.7 to 2.3	1,2	1.4
Raking and turning	.3 to 1.2	•7	•8
Hauling to barn:		5	- 1
Man hours	6 to 8.0	2.3	÷4
Horse hours	.7 to 9.1	3.3	4.2
Third cutting:	7 + 0 7	- 11	1 0
Mowing (horses)	.7 to 2.3	1.4	1 . 2
Raking and turning	4 to 1.5	•8	•7
Hauling to barn:			
Man hours	1.1 to 6.4	3.2 4.1	2,6
Horse hours	l _e l to 6 _e g	4.⊥	3.3
Clover hay:		7);	• •
Mowing (horses)	6 to 2.6	1.4	**
Raking and turning	.3 to 1.1	•7	•••
Hauling to barn:). <i>a</i>	
Man hours	2.8 to 7.4	4.8 C	
Horse hours	3,6 to 8,2	6.5	
Timothy and clover hay:	4.5		7 F
Mowing (horses)	- to -	-	. 1 <u>.5</u>
Raking and turning	- to -	***	9
Hauling to barn:	*•), , ,
Man hours	- to -	NUM-	4.3
Horse hours	- to -		6,5

The comparative cost and return for 1936 and 1935 for each of the principal crops grown on these farms are presented on pages 18 and 20. The costs presented are relative rather than absolute costs. Because many of the cost items, such as the farmer's own labor and the use of his own land, machinery and equipment, do not represent actual current "out-of-pocket" cash expense, it was necessary for purposes of comparison to estimate their value.

The factors of cost were charged at local prices. Man labor was charged at twenty cents per hour. Horse work was charged at eight cents per hour, a twoplow tractor at forty-five cents per hour in 1935 and fifty cents in 1936, and a three-plow tractor at sixty cents in 1935 and sixty-five cents in 1936. Seeds were charged at purchase prices, or at farm prices plus the cost of cleaning. Manure was charged at fifty cents per ton plus the cost of application. Forty per cent of the cost was charged to the land covered and the balance was prorated on an acre basis to the remaining land normally receiving manure. Flat charges per acre were made for seed for hay crops, machinery and land.

The local farm price on December 1 was used in determining the returns. The value of crops, such as silage, which have no regular market price, were computed by comparing their feeding value with other crops for which local market prices were available. The data for each farm were computed as if the farmer was a full owner.

- 17 -

Comparative	Cost	and	Return	ner	Acre	for	Small	Grain	Grong
OOMDGT COTVO	0030	CHILL	TRODUCTIT	DOT	TOTO	101	VIII CLARK	UT OTIT	OT OD S

Cor	<u>uparative</u> (Small Grain Crops				
			ley		ts		r Wheat	Spring		
	- An Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna	1935	1936	1935	1936	1935	1936	wheat 1935	wheat	
Number of farms	S	19	19	18	17	10	13	9	5	
Acres per farm		53	40	40	3 ¹ 4	14	13	10	23	
Costs and return Man labor Horse and the Seed Twine Threshing Manure Machinery OPERATING Land	ractor G COSTS	\$1.61 1.84 2.12 16 61 .79 <u>1.06</u> 8.19 3.50	\$1.62 2.07 1.55 17 49 1.29 1.29 1.05 8.24 3.50	\$1.63 1.94 1.34 .17 .90 .75 <u>1.06</u> 7.79 3.50	\$1.65 2.13 .87 1.8 .87 1.10 <u>1.05</u> 7.85 3.50	\$2,22 2,08 1,97 21 75 58 1,05 8,86 3,50	\$1 94 2 31 1 95 16 67 1 08 <u>1 16</u> 9 27 3 50	\$1.70 1.85 1.83 18 42 68 <u>1.05</u> 7.71 <u>3.50</u>	\$1.76 2.04 1.85 19 .71 .73 <u>1.05</u> 8.33 3.50	
		11.69) <u>11.28</u>)*48*		11.29 <u>7.63</u> -3.66	11.35 12.67 1.32	12.36 <u>21.86</u> 9.50	12.77 <u>19.94</u> 7.17	11_21 <u>8_25</u> -2_96	11.83 12.30 .37	
Yield, bushels		20,5	16,8	31.8	28 _• 8	23.5	16,8	11.0	2 2 •6	
Cost per bu _e :	Average Lowest Highest	\$.57 .35 .91	\$.70 _40 1.16	\$.36 24 64	\$.39 .29 .69	\$.53 .34 1.10	\$.76 46 1.79	\$1.02 .70 1.51	\$.52 .38 1.52	
December 1 prie	ce	•55	1,15	•2 ¹ 4	•111	•93	1,18	•75 [‡]	•54	
		3.1 10.3 .8	3.7 10.2 1.1	3.2 11.6 .7	4.0 12.2 1.0	2.7 9.6 .7	4.2 13.7 • ⁸	3.2 10.8 6	2.9 7.7 1.1	
Man labo: Horse wo:	r, hrs. rk, hrs. work, hrs.	4.9 5.3 .3	4,4 5,2 .3	4.9 5.9 .3	4.2 4.8 •3	8 4 9 4 • 3	5•5 7•0 •2	5.3 5.2 4	5.9 5.6 5	
Seed, bushels Twine, pounds		1.7 2.2	2.0 1.8	2.3 2.4	2.2 2.5	1.6 3.1	1.7 2.3	1.6 2.6	2.0 2.5	

*A minus (-) indicates a cost greater than the value of the crop. *Malting barley prices. Using feed barley prices - 35¢ in 1935 and 73¢ in 1936; crop values less costs are - \$4.51 and \$.52, respectively. *At 40 pounds per bushel. *Low price because of low quality.

٠

- 18 -

Compa	rative Cost an				Small				
		Oats &	Barley	Rye	Flax	Hus	ked	Shre	dded
		1935	1936	1935	1935	Co	rn	Co	rn
						1935	1936	1935	1936
NT 1		ц		-	١,		10		
Number of farm			7	5	ц б	15	10	7	11
Acres per far	n	18	19	27	ь	10	15	11	10
Costs and retu	urns:								
Man labor		\$1,52	\$1.83	\$1.39	\$2,78	\$4.45	\$4.62	\$4.84	\$4,68
Horse and	tractor	1,90	2.04	1,50	3.01	4,40	4 16	4.08	4.01
Seed		2,00	1,28	1,84	1,57	,42	.76	48	. 64
Twine		16	•55	.17	. 02		-	.27	25
Threshing+		67	.82	.36	1,48	.19		1 74	1,49
Manure		.35	1,59	65	38	1,80	3,12	2.48	3.08
Machinery		1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.55	1.55	2,50	2,48
OPERATI	NG COSTS	7.65	8.83	6.96	10,29	12,81	14,21	16.39	16.63
Land		3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
TOTAL CO	OSTS	11,15	12.33	10,46	13.79	16.31	17.71	18,715	18,058
Crop value	(December 1)	6 60	15.95	5.21	9.48	16.38	31.40	13.89	27.90
	LUE LESS COST*	-4.55	3.62	-5.25	-4.31	.07	13.69	-4.82	9.85
Yield, bushels	s	21 . 3 ‡	27.5*	12,4	6.0	38 . 1	31. 4	32,3	27.5
Cost per bu.:	Average	\$.52	\$.45	\$ 84	\$2,30	\$.43	\$.56	\$.58	\$_64
oost per ou.	Lowest	.35	.34	6 60	1.33	φ.+) 26	•35	40°	
	Highest		•94 •86	1,59	4.59	1.07	1,90	-	•33
	nignes	رە.	•00	±•99	4.03	T •01	1.90	1.38	2.21
December 1 pr	ice	•31	.58	, 42	1,58	•43	1,00	•43	1.00
Physical requ									
To harvest	:				,				
	or, hrs.	3.3	4.0	2 . 4	5,6	11.8	11.8	11.9	10.5
Horse we	ork, hrs.	12.6	12.0	6.1	17.5	28,1	24.3	28.1	24 1
Tractor	work, hrs.	•5	° 8	. 8	1.0	1,1	1.4	.9	1,2
Harvest:							•		•
Man lab	or, hrs.	4.3	5.1	4.5	8.3	10,4	11.3	12,2	12.9
	ork, hrs.	4.0	5.2	4.7	11,3	17.0		16.4	17.7
Tractor	work, hrs.	•5	•5	•3	- 4	•3		-	
Soed, bushels		2,2	2,1	1.7	្ខី	. 19	. 20	.19	10
Twine, pounds		2.3	2.7	2.3	••	•-"	• <u> </u>	4 4	.19 3.2
Turno thounds		(• · ·)		-•J		-	—	· T 🗣 ""	€. €

Comparative Cost and Return per Acre for Small Grain and Corn Crops

*A minus (-) indicates a cost greater than the value of the crop. +Includes also charges for mechanical husker and shredder STet cost after deducting stover credit of \$1.18 in 1935 and \$2.08 in 1936. +At 40 pounds per bushel Comparative Cost per Acre for Roughage Crops

							e Crops			
	Sil	age		alfa			Clover	Timothy		Soy-
		orn		lay				Seed		
	1935	1936	1935	1936	only	seed	Timothy 1935	19 3 6	1935	<u>Hay</u> 1935
				·				· · ·		
Number of farms Acres per farm	20	22 18	19 15	15 11	14 18	13 20	7 12	5 9	́10 Ц	56
-			-7					,		-
Costs and returns; Man labor	¢); z);	¢7 09	\$ 2 80	¢0 50	4 1)15	\$2.10	\$1,70	\$_89	¢1 06	\$3.46
Horse and tracto	$r \overset{\psi}{\mu} \overset{\phi}{} \overset{\phi}$	ΨJ.92	1 86	1 69	1 12	1,44	- 1 28	••09 •56		3,18
Seed	6 4	•74	1,10	1 20	2.63	2,70		1,30	 	1.76
Twine	-34	26		±,20,	رە ₂	2.10	· • •	14	_	14
Silo filling*	2 40	2,05		_	· · · ·	.64	_	82	··· 📕	· • • • •
Manure	2,41		•75	1 111	1,25	1 16	.81	85	-	1,12
Machinery	2.50	2.50	1_21		57	1.06	82	20	74	1.51
OPERATING COS	TT 15 69		7.72		7.02		5.71	4.76	3.85	11 17
Land	3 50	3 50	3 50	3.50	3 50	3 50	3.50		2.00	3.50
TOTAL COSTS	19.39	16 90	11 22	11.53	10 52	<u>3.50</u> 12.62	9.21	<u>3.50</u> 8.26	5.85	14.67
·	-/•//	-*•)*		•))			J.		J•~J	- • •
Yield, bushels	-	-	-			. 61		4.1	. +	
tons	7.4	5.1	3.1	1,9	1,3	1.3	2.3		1. 5	1.7
Cost per bu.: Avera	ze \$2.77	\$3.31	\$3.62	\$6.07	\$8.09	\$ -	\$4,00	\$2,01	\$3.90	\$8.63
(or ton) Lowes	t 2.02	.96	2.29	2.35	4.68	, •••	2.76			5 85
Highe	st 3.96	5.68	8.68	13.43	13.37		5.34	5.57		16.65
Physical requirement To harvest or ficutting:	rst					-				
Man labor, hr	s, 10,1	11.3	7.6	6,6	7.2	6,9	7,2	4_4	9.1	
Horse work, hr	's, 24,0	24.8	11_4	10.0	10.8	9.6	11,8	3.7	13.0	
Tractor work,hr		1,5	•2	• 1	•4	•4	•2	, 5		1,2
Harvest or secon	ıd									
cutting:	(~ ~			-		. _		_	
Man labor, hr			5.2	3.8 6.3	• <u></u>	3,7 5,1	1.3	**	• • (9,6
Horse work, hr		14,4	7.6	ر.ه	●⊤	5 _€ ⊥	3.2	.	⊥,4	11.2
Tractor work, h	rs	-	•5	•1		**	-			•1 •1
Third cutting:										•
Man labor, hr			1.2	2,1				-	-	₩.
Horse work, hr		-	1.7	2,9					-	••
Tractor work, h	• ,		.	⁺		-	- .		-	
Seed, bushels	• ²⁴	•55		-	-	-	-			1.0
Twine, pounds	4 <u>.</u> 8	3.0		-	-	-	: 	1.7	-	2,1
	-	-					• •	-		-

.

*Includes also hulling and threshing charges. "Net cost after deducting credit for corn knocked off by binder of \$.80 in 1935 and \$3.35 in 1936.

SOME FACTORS AFFECTING EARNINGS

The data presented in this report show a wide variation among farms in the operator's labor earnings. These variations, in large part, are the result of differences in the size of business, in the selection of crop and livestock enterprises and in the efficiency with which the individual enterprises are conducted.

Size of Business

When conditions are such that farming is profitable, the larger farm business, within limits, tendsto yield the larger earnings. This is illustrated by the data from the farms studied in 1936 (see Table 1). In this table the size

	No, of	Pe	er farm
Size of farm	farms	Total P.M.W.U.*	Operator's labor carning
Under 550 P.M.W.U.* 550 to 749 P.M.W.U.	7 10	470 596	\$1418 1780
750 P.M.W.U. and over	7	596 940	3028

rable	T
-------	---

*Productive man work units.

of farm is measured in terms of the number of productive man work units. A productive man work unit is the average amount of productive work on crops or livestock, accomplished per man in 10 hours or 10 hours of work off the farm for pay. As such, it serves as a measure of either crop or livestock enterprises or both. On the average, the farmers with a large business had larger earnings than the farmers with a small business. When conditions are such that farming is unprofitable, the operators of large farms may be expected to incur somewhat larger losses.

Selection of Crops

The comparative return per acre varies among the different crops. The differences among crops from the standpoint of economy in the production of feed are indicated by the data in Table 2. This table shows the production per acre and

Table 2

Crop	Average yield [‡] (1917-35)	Total lbs. digestible nutrients ⁺	% protein is of total <u>nutrients</u> +	Cost per 100 lbs. of total nutrients
Grains:	bushel			
Corn	37.4	1711	8.7	\$1.00
Barley	26.4	1006	11.3	1 22
Oats	35.8	806	13.8	142
Wheat	17.0	808	11,1	1,50
Roughages:	ton			1
Alfelfa	2,6	2652	20_8	43
Clover and timothy	1.7	1676	10.3	.55
Silage	7.8	2621	7.2	.79

*Yields of alfalfa, clover and timothy, and silage estimated from available data. All other yields from annual reports of State Department of Agriculture. *Analysis of feeds from "Feeding the Dairy Herd", by Eckles, Minnesota Bulletin 218 (1932).

- 21 -

the relative cost per hundred pounds of digestible nutrients for the common feed crops based on nineteen-year average yields and the average costs obtained on the farms studied, adjusted for differences in yield.

On the basis of past yields and present costs, the lowest cost feed-grain crop is corn. It produces more nutrients per acre and at a lower cost than either oats, barley or wheat. Barley is next to corn in the amount of feed produced and in cheapness. When the higher percentage of protein in barley and the greater susceptibility to erosion of land in corn are considered, the difference between these two crops in the cost per 100 pounds of digestible nutrients becomes less significant.

Alfalfa, on the basis of the above date, is the cheepest source of roughage. It also has the further advantages of producing the largest quantity of nutrients per acre and of containing the highest percentage of protein. Silage has the disadvantage of a high cost and a very low protein content. However, it offers a method of utilizing the entire corn crop.

Many farms raise some crops for sale. One important consideration in selecting these must be the net returns per acre. It is impossible to predict with any assurance, what the prices for crops will be in the future. However, it is possible to calculate the relative profitableness of the various crops, using average crop yields and prices and 1935-36 costs on the farms studied. The results of such a calculation are shown in Table 3.

Table 3

Comparative Returns per Acre of Crops _____Winona County

	Malting barley	Flax	Corn	Winter wheat	Spring wheat	Oats
Cost per acre	\$12,25	\$15.30	\$17.00	\$12.50	\$11.80	\$11.50
Yield (1917-35) bushel	26,4	12.0	37.4	18.2	15.8	35.8
Price per bushel (1926-35)	\$.69	\$1.71	\$.55	\$.83	\$.86	\$.32
Net return per acre	5.97	5.22	3.57	2.61	1.79	04

Selection of Livestock Enterprises

The data presented in this report show differences in profitability among the different classes of livestock. Data for several years, however, are needed in order to determine accurately the most profitable combination of livestock enterprises for a particular farm, especially in view of the abnormal feed situation that existed in 1935 and 1936.

Efficiency in Conducting Enterprises

The net returns from the individual enterprises will determine the operator's labor earnings. Efficient operation will increase the net return of the enterprises.

High crop yields will, within limits, increase the cash crop income or the quantity of feed produced, with a less than proportional increase in costs. The effect of yield upon the cost per acre and per bushel of producing barley is shown in Table 4. A few things that favor a large yield of crops per acre are (1) a well prepared seedbed, (2) early seeding, (3) the use of the varieties best adapted to the farm, and (4) the planting of clean seed of high vitality.

Tab	le ¹	4
-----	-----------------	---

The Ile.	• ···	e, and Cost per Bu na County	isner of partey	
	Number	Average	(Cost
	of farms	yield, bushels	Per acre	Per bushel
Under 13 bushels	6	11	\$11,00	\$1.00
13 to 19 bushels Over 19 bushels	7 6	15 25	11.54 12.71	.77

mb . V. . . . Cont and Cost non Bushal of Bonlow

Return over feed cost is a valuable measure of livestock efficiency. Feed is usually the largest single item of cost for livestock. A large part of the feed is either purchased or marketable. Shelter, equipment, and some labor involve no cash outlay during most years; they frequently have no profitable use except for livestock. Feed is, therefore, the most important item of cost that can be changed from year to year by the farmer.

Increased butterfat production per cow tends to increase return over feed cost (see Table 5). Naturally, there is a limit beyond which greater production

Butterfat	Production and	Return over Feed	Cost per Cow	4
Production	No. of	Average	Feed	Return over
	farms	production	cost	feed cost
Under 190 pounds	9	160	\$24_61	\$ 45 .68
190 - 239 pounds	8	208	39_90	50.54
240 pounds and over	7	276	51_35	68 .20

can be obtained only at an additional cost which exceeds the value of the additional product. Increased production requires the feeding of a higher proportion of concentrates, which will usually increase the average cost of nutrients. This is illustrated in Table 6.

Table 6

Butterfat Production per Cow and Cost per 100 Pounds of Total Digastible Mutrients Fod

Production	No. of farms	Av erage production	Total digestible nutrients fed	Cost per 100 lus, total digestible nutrients
Under 190 pounds	9	160	2652	\$.69
190 - 239 pounds	8	208	3563	1.01
240 pounds and over	7	276	4368	1.13

One of the important factors affecting return over feed cost for hogs is the number of pigs raised per litter. According to Table 7, the return over feed cost is greater for the herds where the greatest number of pigs per litter were saved.

Table 5

	\mathbf{T}_{i}	ab]	Le	7
--	------------------	-----	----	---

Pigs per Litter and Return over Feed Cost per 100 Pounds

and the second	OI POFK	rroaucea	
Pigs per litter	No of	Average no.	Return over
	farms	pigs saved	feed cost
Under 6.0 6.0 and over	11 13	4.5 7.2	\$2 .17 2 . 89

Increased egg production per hen tends to increase the return over feed cost. The eight flocks with less than 110 eggs per hen yielded very little return over the cost of feed (see Table 8).

Table 8

		rn over Feed Cost per He	
Eggs per hen	No. of farms	Average no. of eggs	Return over feed cost
Under 110	g	82	\$_16 _70
110 - 139 140 and over	8 7	124 162	.70 1.50
140 and over	7	162	1,50

Numerous other factors affect the cost and return for the various farm enterprises and thereby affect the operator's earnings. A careful comparison of the data for his farm contained in this report and in mimeographed reports nos. 81 and 85 with that for the other farmers should enable each cooperator to improve his methods and increase his earnings.