

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search. 

## Help ensure our sustainability. Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.
UNTVURSITY OF MTMIESCTA
Department of Agriculoureand
UNITED STHPS TTRSTUMEM SU AMRICULTURE Bureair of Agrictuthral ふ̈anompes alis
Farm Security Acministration
Cooperajing
-- 0 --
Reportof the
FARM MANAGEMENT SFFVICE
for
FARMER-BORROWERSof
Rural Rehabilitation Divisionof
The Farm Security Administration
For the Year ..... 1938
Northern MinnesotaName:
$\qquad$ -- 0 .-
Mimeographed Report No. 110Division of Agricultural EconomicsUniversity Farm
St. Paul, MinnesotaJune, 1939

Feport of the Farm Management Service for Farmer-Borrowers of the Rural Rehabilitation Division - Northern Minnesota

Prepared by T. R. Nodland, W. P. Ranney and G. A. Pond


## INTRODUCTION

The analysis of the records and preparation of this report were under the direction of G. A. Pond, W. P. Ranney and T. R. Nodland of the Division of Agriculturel Economics, University of Minnesota. The records had been kept and closed unier the general supervision of Lloyd I. Nelson, former state director, R. S. Hiciris, acting state director, and the state personnel of the Rural Rehabilitation Livision of the Farm Security Administration.

The Rural Rehabilitation Division has made loans to several thousand farmers in Minnesota, who, on account of the recent depression and droughts, were having difficulty in obtaining and maintaining credit from other sources. Many of the farmers would not have been able to continue farming without the credit secured from Fural Rehabilitation. The latter organization has required their borrowers to keep a system of farm records as a means of helping them to increase their incomes and control their expenses in order that their debts may be liquidated and a fair standard of living may be maintained. To further this purpose the Farm Security Aministration arranged to have these records summarized and analyzed in order that they may be made more useful to these farmer-borrowers. The Divisions of Agricultaral Economics and Agricultural Extension of the University of Minnesota and the B:areau of Agricultural Economics at Washington, D. C., have cooperated in the summarization, analysis, and interpretation of these records, realizing that this is an opportunity to aid directly a large group of worthy farmers, and to obtain valuable information for research, teaching and extension purposes, thereby being enabled to serve many farmers in this state.

About two-thirds of the records included in this report were kept by tenantoperators; only 118 of the operators owned all or part of the farms that they operated. The classification of the farms by counties, type-of-farming areas, and form of tenure is shown in Table l, page 2:

Note: Completion of this project was made possible by workers supplied on Works Progress Administration Project No. 465-71-3-350, and Federal Students ${ }^{1}$ Wort Project No. 78-70. Sponsor: University of Minnesota.

Table l. Number of Records Included*-Classified by Counties, Type-of-Farming Areas** and Form of Tenure***

Area 5

| County | (0) ${ }^{\text {x** }}$ | (C) | (C.S.) | (I.S.) | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anoka | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Benton | 0 | -1' | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ohisago | 0 | ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fsanti | 0 | $1{ }^{*}$ | '2 | 0 | 3 |
| Kanabec | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 18 |
| Mille Lacs | 3 | 1 | '3 | 0 | 7 |
| Morrison | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Pine | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Totals | 15 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 42 |



Area 7

| County | (0) | (C) | (C.S.) | (L.S.) | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clay | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 11 |
| Iittson | 1 | 0 , | . 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Marshall | $1 .{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| ivorman | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 |
| Polk | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| Wilkin | 0 | 0 | , 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Totals | 7 | . 2 | . 41. | 0 | 50 |

Area 8

| Aitkin : 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beltrami . 27. | 3 | - 3 | 0 | 33 |
| Carlton 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Cass 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 11 |
| Clearwater 12 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 25 |
| Hubbard 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| Itasca 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Lake of Woods 1. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| St. Louis 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Totals 69 | 16 | 18 | I | 104 |
| Total, <br> N. Minn. 118 | 49 | 136 | 2 | 305 |

*The number of records per county is not in proportion to the number of records submitted. There was considerable variation in acceptability of records among the counties.
**The type-of-farming areas are shown in the map on page 3 .
***(O) designates owner-operated farms; (C), tenant farms with cash leases; (C.S.), tenant farms with crop share and cash leases; and (L.S.), tenant farms. with livestock share leases.

Although the predominant type of farming is not the same in all of the above counties, the system of farming did, not vary greatly among the farms included in this report. Every farmer sold some dairy products, mostly in the form of cream for manufacture into butter. A few farms had special whole milk or retail cream markets. On nearly every farm there were, besides the dairy cows, young dairy cattle, and a few hogs and chickens, and on some farms there were sheep and turkeys: The protortion of total receipts that came from sales of livestock and livestock products varied from farm to farm.

About 2,000 records were submitted by the borrowers of the Rural Rehabilitation Division in Minnesota. Of this number, 305 are included in this report and 312 in a similar report for southern Minnesota.****. The other records were either too incomplete or did not represent a full year's record because the loans were obtained late in the year 1938. Only full twelve months' records are included in these reports. The majority were started March 1, 1938, and a few on January 1 and February 1.

MAP - TYPE-OF-FARMING ARRAS IN MINNESOTA


FACTORS RELATED TO VARIATIONS IN FINATYCIAL PROGRESS
The borrower clients of the Farm Security Administration are interested in making financial progress--to pay off their debts or to accumulate assets for future debt payments while maintaining a fair standard of living. The first payments on the frincipal of the Farm. Security Administration loan was usually due one year after the loan was made. In a number of cases, this would not be until after the end of the account book year. In order to show financial progress or change in the ability of the farmers to pay on debts, the "change in net worth" was calculated. An increase in net worth at least tends to enhance the security back of the loans and vice versa. A change in net worth may occur in any one of ten different ways. The frequencies of cocurrence for each one of these ways are shown in Table 2.

Tayle 2. Relation of Change in Net Worth to Changes in Total Assets and Liabilities Increase in Net Worth Decrease in Net Worth

ㄲu Mature of change ties; total assets remaining the same
2. Increase in total assets;
total liabilities remaining the same 3. Decrease in total liabilities and an increase in total assets
4. Increase in total assets greater than increase in total liabilities
5. Decrease in total liabilities greater than decrease in total assets
Total -
No. of farms

1. ecrease in total liabili-
$\therefore \quad \therefore 0$ties and a decrease intotal assets15
9'. Decrease in total assetsgreater than decrease in74 : . . total liabilities35
2. Increase in total liabilities greater than increase

$$
\frac{55}{240} \text { in total assets }
$$$\frac{14}{65}$

Variations among farmers in changes in net worth may be due to:similar variations in net income*, or in household and personal expenses,** or bbth. The relationships of these different variations are shown in Table 3. It is quite evident that both lower household and personal expenses and higher incomes are responsible for improvements in net worth among these farmers; but much wider variations in changes in net worth are due to differences among farms in net income than to the variations among families in household and personal expenses.

Table 3. Relationships of Net Income and Household and Personal Exnenses to Changes in Net North

| Household |  |  | Net | ncome Grou |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and, personal | \$799 | nd less |  | tc \$1199 | \$12 | nid more |
| expense <br> groups | No. of farms | Change in net worth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | Change in net worth | No. of <br> farms | Change in net worth |
| \$599 and less | 111 | \$+86 | 25 | \$+399 | 6 | \$+812 |
| \$600 to \$799 | 40 | -6 | 44 | +255 | 9 | +608 |
| \$800 and more | 10 | -263 | 28 | +33 | 32 | +450 |

In the lowest net income group 111 families spent less than $\$ 600$ and 10 families spent more than $\$ 800$ for household and personal purposes; wile in the highest new incorie group only 6 spent. less than $\$ 600$ and 32 spent more than $\$ 800$. This correlation oetween household and personal expenses and net incomes is shown more clearly on pages 14 to 17. The data on these pages also show that; the families with the higher net incomes are not spending all of this income; many of them are paying on debts or are accumulating assets. On the other hand, the families with very low net incorios are losing in net worth even though they are spending a pitifully small amount for household and personal purposes. Until these families are able to earn more, they have a big problem in managing their household and personal budgets; they will be interested in comparing their expenditures against those of other families of similar size and with approximately the same net income, pages 14 to 17.

## FACTORS RELATED TO VABIATIONS IN OPERATOR!S LABOR FARNINGS

It is quite apparint that these borrowers are in great need of larger net incomes. Operat $\cdots$ 's lacor earnings*** constitute the greater part of their net inComes. Tho expenses, receipts and other items which make up the operator's labor earnings are shown on page 12. Each operator's figures are shown in the "your farm" column of his own report. Undoubtedly, he is interested in knowing why his earmings differ from those of other farmers; the reasons may be found in the following analysis.

[^0]There is a wide range in operator's labor earnings among these farms; the highest is \$l822 and the lowest is a loss of \$329-a difference of \$2151. Some of the causes of the differences in earnings may be beyond the control of the farmer. The farms are located in wide areas of quite diverse characteristicse It is significant, however, that the data in this report indicate that certain factors that are considerably within the farmer's control are closely related to his earnings. The seven factors used in this analysis are as follows:

Table 4. Relation of Butterfat Production per Cow to Operator's Labor Earnings

| Pounds of Butterfat per Cow | Average | No. of | farms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

High production per cow tends to lower the cost of producing a pound of butterfat. This is important on those farms on which butterfat sales are the major source of income.

Tabłe 5. Fielation of Returns from Other Productive Livestock to. Operator's Labor Farnings


Mhese farms have, in addition to the dairy herd, quite an investment in other classes of productive livestock, such as young cattle, hogs, sheep or poultry. High returns irom this livestock usually are accompanied with greater profits from the livestock. This means another adaition to the farmer's earnings.

Table 6. Relation of Crop Yields to Operator's Labor Earnings


High procuction per acre, up to certain limits, tends to lower the cost per bushel of grain or per ton of hay. Any possible method of management that will increase crop yields and therefore Jower cost of production more than the extra expense incurred in securing the higher yields should be given consideration.


[^1]Additions can be made to earnings by putting a greater percentage of the tillable land into those crops that generally tend to bring in the higher net returns as shown on page 11. The relative returns from the various crops vary among the different parts of this area, the classification: shown on page lil represents a composite selection for the entire area.

Table 8. Relation of Size of Business to Operator's Labor Earnings

| Days of Productive Work | Average | No. of <br> farms | Average operator's |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Group | 230 | 78 | labor earnings |

Average farm earnings tend to increase with an increase in size of business. For farmers operating their farms at a loss, the larger the volume of business the larger will be the loss, but a:farmer who is making a profit could make a larger profit if he increased his size of business, provided that in so doing he does not lower materially the efficiency in some one or more important branches of his business. Those famers who have large businesses usually have more flexibility of their organization then does the man with a small business, and can utilize more efficiently and to better advantage available labor, power, machinery and buildings.

Table 9. Relation of Amount of Work Accomplished per Worker to
Cperator's Labor Earnings.

| Days of Productive Work <br> per Worker | No. of <br> farms | Average operator's <br> labor earnings |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Average |  |

\footnotetext{
"More days of productive work accomplished per worker reduce the labor charge per unit of business. Higher labor accomplishment is secured in several ways. In the first place, the business must be large enough so that there will be at least. sufficient work available for the family labor. The farm must be so organized that the labor requirenents are well distributed throughout the year. Handling pastures in such a way that as large a proportion as possible of the year's feed for livestock may be obtained from them helps to reduce labor requirements. Proper planning of the farm woik and economical ưse of labor saving machinery help to increase the work accomplished per worker.


It cannot be said that all farmers would earn more by cutting power and machinery expenses. Some farms are under-equipped. But on a number of farms excessive expenses constitute the main factor causing earnings to be very low.

Some farmers keep their cash outlays for power and equipment low by careful management. Oftentimes necessary repairs and improvements are made by using the available farm labor rather than by hiring the work done. In so far as possible, careful managers do their repairing and overhauling before spring work begins, or on rainy days or in other spare time during the summer. They reduce the number of
horses to the minimum required for efficient operation. In some cases where handled properly, farmers offset some or all of the power and machinery expense by owning part of their equipment cooperatively with neighbors and by using their equipment for outside work.

Table ll. Relation of Farmer's Standing in Seven Factors Discussed in Tables 4 to 10 to Operator's Labor Earnings

| No. of factors in which farm excels | No. of farms | Your farm | The length of the shaded lines are in proportion to the average operator's labor earnings | Average operator's labor earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 2 |  |  | \$1052 |
| 6 | 17 |  |  | 996 |
| 5 | 39 |  | mxxyxxxxxxxxxxxyxxxxx | 778 |
| 4 | 68 |  |  | 687 |
| 3 | 78 |  | xxxxxxxxxxxxx | 476 |
| 2 | 64 |  | xxxxxxxxyxxy | 433 |
| 1 or 0 | 37 |  | xxxxxxxxxx | 333 |

The data in Table 11 show that few farmers have a monopoly on efficiency. Quite often farm operators show efficient management in one part of the farm business: which is offset by poor results in other phases. These farmers get medium returns while those who fall down all along the line get the lowest returns, and; on tive other hand, those few who can manage to attain high efficiency in all parts of their organization receive returns well above the average.

Table 12. Classification of Operator's Labor Earnings and Related
Factors by tiype-of-Farming Areas and oy Form of Tenure

| Type of | NO. | Oper- | Pounds | \% other | Crop | \% 19, ad | Days | of Produ | tive Work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| farming area | of farms | ator's <br> labor <br> earn- <br> ings | B. $F$. per cow | live- <br> stock <br> returns <br> of aver. | yields <br> \% of <br> aver- <br> age | in high return crops | Total | Per worker | Per $\$ 100$ power \& machinery expense |
| V | 42 | \$535 | 196 | 117 | 102 | 36 | 334 | 246 | 172 |
| VI | 109 | 609 | 179 | 100 | 97 | 4.2 | 400 | 295 | 161 |
| VII | 50 | 749 | 191 | 113 | 122 | 53 | 468 | 331 | 138 |
| VIII | 104 | 453 | 179 | 87 | 89 | 58 | 308 | 231 | 21.. |
| Form of tenure* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (0) | 118 | 443 | 175 | 95 | 99 | 55 | 335 | 234 | 194 |
| (c) | 49 | 516 | 191 | 95 | 94 | 41 | 327 | 246 | 164 |
| (C.S.) | 136 | 695 | 188 | 107 | 101 | 46 | 413 | 313 | 160 |
| (I.S.) | 2 | 624 | 200 | 84 | 104 | 51 | 672 | 419 | 256 |

The higher average earnings for farms in type-of-farming area VII and for farms operated under crop share and cash leases were primarily due to the lar£er average size of the farm businesses in these two groups. But in general, there were not great differences in earnings between the different type-of-farming areas or between the different form-of-tenure groups. No one area or group had a monopoly on high standing in all of the factors related to earnings.

But there were wide variations in earnings and related factors among the farms within each area and group. Hence, it will be worth-while for each cooperator to study carefully his ranking on pages 8 and 9 , and his data on pages 10 to 13 , and learn his standing in respect to each of the above factors and the elements of strength and.weakness in his farm business.

[^2]Factors Related to Variations in Operator's Labor Earnings


## Thermometer Chart

Using your figures from page 8, locate your standing with respect to the various measures of farm organization and management efficiency. The averages for 305 farms included in this summary are located between the two dotted lines across the center of this page.


## Yields of Crops per Acre

| Crop | Your farm | Average of 305 farms | 61 most profitable farms | 61 least <br> profit- <br> able <br> farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter wheat, bu. |  | 9.8 | - | 11.0 |
| Spring wheat, bu. |  | 13.0 | 14.3 | 11.5 |
| Oats, bu. |  | 24.7 | 28.8 | 21.5 |
| Barley, bu. |  | 20.7 | 22.8 | 17.0 |
| Rye, bu. |  | 14.0 | 16.8 | 10.5 |
| Flax, bu. |  | 7.4 | 8.8 | 4.6 |
| Oats and wheat, bu. |  | 24.3 | 40.0 | - |
| Oats and barley, bu. |  | 21.9 | 36.1 | - |
| Corn, grain, bu. |  | 23.9 | 23.4 | 24.6 |
| Corn, silage, tons |  | 5.8 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| Corn, fodder, tons |  | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Sugar beets, tons |  | - | - | - |
| Potatoes, bu. |  | 75.5 | 78.8 | 79,8 |
| Alfalfa hay, tons |  | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Red clover and alsike hay, tons |  | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Sweet clover hay, tons |  | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Misa. legumes and mixtures, hay, tons |  | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Timothy, quack, wild hay on tilla. land, tons |  | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Annual hay (small grain, Sudan g.,millet), tons |  | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Alfalfa seed crop, lbs. |  | 71.1 | 33.4 | 70.6 |
| Red clover and alsike seed crops, lbs. |  | 129.6 | 245.0 | 121.8 |
| Sweet clover seed crop, lbs. |  | 104.6 | 71.1 | 70.4 |
| Timothy seed crop, lbs. |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | - |
| Phalaris hay on non-tillable land, tons |  | 2.6 | - | 3.2 |
| Wild hay on non-tillable land, tons |  | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

(footnotes continued from page 8.)
An animal unit represents one cow, one bull, two head of young cattle, seven head of sheep, fourteen lambs, five hogs, ten pigs, one hundred hens, and 1400 pounds of turkeys produced.
**Given as a percentage of the average.
***All the acres in legumes, wheat, barley, flax, potatoes and truck crops were used in calculating per cent of tillable land in high return crops.
****The total "days of productive work" for any one farm are a measure of size of that farm business. The average number of "ten-hour days" of man labor are as follows per animal unit: cows, 18.5; othef cattle, 7.2; hogs, 19.0; sheep, 3.0; hens, 30.0 ; per acre of crops: alfalfa, 1.75; other hay, l.0; small grain, 1.3; corn husked, 2.6; corn silage, 3.1; corn fodder, 2.3; potatoes, 6.0; sugar beets, 4.0; garden and truck crops, 10.0.
*****The expense for any one item, as machinery, is calculated by subtracting the sum of end inventory, sales, and hire from the sum of beginning inventory, purchases, repairs, and fuel; to the remainder is added an interest charge of 5 per cerit of the investment in that item, respectively; a charge of $\$ 24$ per horse and $\$ \dot{2} 2$ per colt for feed was included in the total power expenses.

Distribution of Acres in Farm

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop } & \text { No } \\ \end{array}$ | No. of farms growing crop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> of 305 <br> farms | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \text { most } \\ & \text { profitable } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | 61 least <br> profitable <br> farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter wheat | 6 |  | . 1 | . 0 | . 1 |
| Spring wheat | 118 |  | 10.7 | 22.9 | 9.2 |
| Oats | 204 |  | 14.6 | 27.5 | 11.6 |
| Barley | 106 |  | 6.2 | 13.8 | 4.9 |
| Rye | 45 |  | : 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Flax | 38 |  | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| Oats and wheat | 3 |  | . 2 | . 1 | . 0 |
| Oats and barley | 6 |  | . 3 | . 2 | . 0 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | . 6 | .7 | . 5 |
| Total small g-ain |  |  | 37.1 | 71.4 | 31.1 |
| Corn, grain | 137 |  | 5.1 | 8.5 | 3.5 |
| Corn, silage | 32 |  | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Corn, fodder | 112 |  | 3.1 | 4.4 | 2.3 |
| Sugar beets | 0 |  | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Potajoes | 185 |  | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| Truck crops and gerden |  |  | . 4 | . 3 | .3 |
| Total cultivatsd crops |  | - | 31.4 | 10.1 | $8=1$ |
| Alfalfa | 134 | - - | 8.6 | 8.4 | 4.2 |
| Red ciover and alsike | 20 | - | . 9 | . 1 | 1.2 |
| Sweet clover | 44 | --_ | 3.7 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| Misc. legunes and mixtures | 50 | - | 3.1 | . 6 | 5.1 |
| Minothit, qusok, and wild hay | 56 | -_- | 4.2 | 6.7 | 3.4 |
| Anilual hay (small gr., Sudan, millet) | t) 82 | - | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Alfalfa seed crop | 18 | .._- | . 4 | .7 | . 1 |
| Red clover and alsike seed crops | 11 | --- | . 5 | . 2 | 1.8 |
| Sweet clover seed crop. | 24 | - | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Timothy seed crop | 1 | - | * | . 1 | . 0 |
| Phalaris (non-tillable) | 2 | -_-... | . 1 | . 0 | . 3 |
| Wild hay (non-tillable) | 186 | $\square$ | 19.6 | 25.7 | 23.7 |
| Tojal hey and grass seed |  | $\square$ | 43.0 | 58.2 | 47.7 |
| rotal cron acreage |  | - | 91.5 | 140.4 | 87.5 |
| AIf.ifa pasture |  | - | . 1 | 11 | . 0 |
| Sveet clover pasture |  | -- | 1.0 | 2.5 | . 8 |
| Red clover or rape pasture |  | - | . 1 | * | . 0 |
| Misc. legume pasture |  | - | . 2 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Other tillable pasture |  | - | . 9 | 1.7 | 3.0 |
| Non-tillable pasture |  |  | 47.5 | 39.9 | 47.8 |
| Total pasture |  | - | 49.8 | 44.2 | 49.6 |
| Tilleble land not pastured |  |  | 5.9 | 7.6 | 3.5 |
| Timiour not pastured |  | - | 11.7 | 7.1 | 14.8 |
| Roads and waste |  |  | 11.2 | 11.6 | 13.7 |
| Farmstead |  | - | 4.2 | 5.6 | 3.8 |
| Total acres in farm |  |  | 174.3 | 216.5 | 172.9 |
| \% of land tillable |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | . |  |  |  |

[^3]|  | Your <br> farm | Average of 305 farms | ```6 1 ~ m o s t profit- able farms``` | 61 least profitable farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Cash Expenses }}{\text { Trac.,truck, auto, g.eng., elec., (new) (farm share) }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$34 | \$92 | \$ 8 |
| " " " " " (exp.) (farm share) |  | 75 | 126 | 57 |
| Machinery and equipment (new) |  | 33 | 64 | 27 |
| " " " (expense) |  | 18 | 25 | 17 |
| Land, buildings, fences, tiling (new) |  | 52 | 33 | 41 |
| " " " " (expense) |  | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Hired labor wages plus cash cost of board |  | 38 | 61 | $2{ }^{7}$ |
| Feed for livestock |  | 67 | 70 | 67 |
| Other expense for livestock |  | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| Horses bought |  | 27 | 41 | 26 |
| Productive livestock bought |  | 66 | 68 | 73 |
| Crop (seed, twine, spray) |  | 53 | 84 | 49 |
| Taxes, insurance, rent and interest |  | 98 | 105 | 108 |
| General farm |  | 5 | 7 | $\overline{3}$ |
| Money loaned out |  | 11 | 11 | y |
| Paynents on debts |  | 21.3 | 310 | 194 |
| (1) Total cash expense |  | 810 | 1118 | 728 |
| (2) Decrease in net farm capital |  | - | - | 34 |
| (3) Soard for hired labor (home raised products) |  | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| (4) Total experise /sum of (1), (2) and (3)/ |  | 814 | 1125 | 766 |
| Cank Eeceipts |  |  |  |  |
| Wrses |  | 9 | 16 | '7 |
| - \% ws |  | 52 | 67 | 58 |
| Disiry products |  | 334 | 399 | 274 |
| Other cattle |  | r76 | 106 | 61 |
| Hozs |  | 94 | 162 | 55 |
| Sheep and wool |  | 18 | 10 | 20 |
| Poultry and eggs | --...- | 67 | 108 | 59 |
| Small grain and corn | - | 83 | 173 | 61 |
| Hay |  | 7 | 25 | 2 |
| Other crops | ---- | 46 | 73 | 42 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 32 | 68 | 16 |
| Income from work off the farm |  | 87 | 113 | 56 |
| Agricultural Conservation payments |  | 34 | 55 | 29 |
| Morey borrowed |  | 190 | 216 | 204 |
| Payments received on accounts receivable |  | 28 | 38 | 37 |
| (5) Total cash receipts |  | 1157 | 1630 | 981 |
| (6) Increase in net farm capital |  | 159 | 398 | - |
| (7) Farm perquisites* |  | 259 | 316 | 219 |
| (8) Total receipts /sum of (5), (6) and (7)/ |  | 1575 | 2344 | 1200 |
| (4) Total expenses (from above) - |  | 814 | 1125 | 7 ¢0 |
| (9) Returns to net farm cap.\&family lab. (8)-(4) |  | 761 | 1219 | 454 |
| (10) Interest on net farm capital |  | 51 | 49 | 68 |
| (11) Family labor earnings (9) - (10) |  | 710 | 1170 | 366 |
| (12) Unpaid family labor |  | 142 | 83 | 274 |
| (13) Operator's labor earnings (11) - (12) | $\underline{-}$ | 568 | 1087 | 9\% |

[^4]

[^5]Summary of Farm Perquisites, Miscellaneous Personal. Income, and the Family Net Income Family Type I

Number of farms in group:

|  | Family Type I |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net | Inco | me Gr | roups |
|  | \$599 | \$600 | \$900 | \$1200 |
| Your | and | to | to | and |
| farm | Iess | 899 | 1199 | more |

Farm Perquisites


[^6]Summary of Farm Perquisites, Miscellaneous Personal Income, and the Family Net Income

| Family Type if |  |  |  | Family Type III |  |  |  |  | Family Type IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income Groups |  |  |  | Net Income Groups |  |  |  |  | Net Income Groups |  |  |  |
| \$599 | \$600 \$900 | \$1200 |  | \$599 | \$600 | \$900 | \$1200 |  | \$599 | \$600 | \$900 | \$1200 |
| and | to to | or | Your | and | to | to | or | Your | and | to | to | or |
| less | 8991199 | more | farm | less | 899 | 1199 | more | farm | less | 899 | 1199 | more |
| 30 | 3420 | 11 |  | 21 | 24 | - 16 | 13 |  | 15 | 47 | 25 | 22 |


| 554 | 531 | 664 | 642 |  | 595. | 452 | 788 | 792 |  | 992 | 878 | 960 | 1070 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 86 | 36 | 96 |  | 0. | 78 | 32 | 122 |  | 375 | 224 | 130 | 101 |
| 164 | 222 | 321 | 234 |  | 191. | 197 | 249 | 338 |  | 217 | 298 | 293 | 292 |
| 53 | 41 | 40 | 35 |  | 33 | 57 | 62 | 69 |  | 103 | 68 | 72 | 9 |
| 53 | 63 | 65 | 107 |  | 78 | 82 | 70 | 81 |  | 61 | 84 | 82 | 13 |
| 9 | 10 | 9 | 23 |  | 13 | 19 | 14 | 21 |  | 6 | 15 | 16 | 25 |
| 121 | 50 | 173 | 157 |  | 129 | 136 | 211 | 327 |  | 230 | 227 | 211 | 34.7 |
| 243 | 245 | 306 | 432 |  | 24 ? | 339 | 266 | 402 |  | 324 | 375 | 293 | 463 |
| 5 | 0 | 4. | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 |
| 20 | 19 | 21 | 16 |  | 27 | 33 | 24 | 32 |  | 26 | 30 | 29 | :4 |
| 15 | 14 | 9 | 11. |  | 17 | 17 | 19 | 10 |  | 16 | 19 | 11. | 10 |
| \$27 | \$24 | \$33 | \$30 |  | \$27 | \$26 | \$37 | \$30 | \$ | \$47 | \$46 | \$43 | bre |
| - | 1 | 1 | - |  | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |  | 8 | 3 | 1 | i |
| 19 | 28 | 37 | 29. |  | 24 | 23 | 33 | 38 |  | 28 | 34 | 32 | 30 |
| 14 | 12 | 10 | 10 |  | 9 | 15 | 17 | 19 |  | 28 | 18 | 20 | 28 |
| 9 | 11 | 10 | 18 |  | 1.4 | 15 | 13 | 14 |  | 10 | 14 | 13 | 1.8 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 11 |  | 7 | 9 | 6 | 10 |  | 3 | 6 | 7 | 1 C |
| 7 | 2 | 9 | 10 |  | 8 | 8 | 11 | 17 |  | 17 | 12 | 13 | 20 |
| 19 | 19 | 23 | 33 |  | 18 | 26 | 19 | 31 |  | 24 | 28 | 23 | 53 |
| - | 0 | - | 0 |  | 0 | - | 0 | $\because 0$ |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |  | 11 | 19 | 10 | 13 |  | 11 | 13 | 13 | 21. |
| 20 | 37 | 34 | 33 |  | 24 | 31 | 23 | 50 |  | 28 | 29 | 34 | 53 |
| 32 | 27 | 20 | 24. |  | 40 | 34 | 32 | 24 |  | $2 ?$ | 37 | 25 | 22 |
| 62 | 70 | 117 | 105 |  | 59 | 59 | 95 | 116 |  | 53 | 62 | 96 | 90 |
| 221 | 242 | 307 | 310 |  | 241. | 265 | 297 | 363 |  | 284 | 303 | 320 | 398. |


| 16 | 20 | 14. | 0 |  | 32 | 24 | 28 | 15 |  | 67 | 31 | 8 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 35 |  | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 6 | 5 | - |  | 18 | - | 13 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 | 1.1 | 2 |
| 2 | 12 | 14 | 60 |  | 23 | 6 | 15 | 104 |  | 3 | 4 | 18 | 8 |
| 10 | 18 | 17 | 34 |  | 6 | 16 | 14. | ]. |  | 22 | 13 | 18 | 23 |
| 33 | 56 | 50 | 94 |  | 79 | 48 | 70 | 155 |  | 104 | 63 | 55 | 65 |
| 783 | 976 | 1473 | 2128 |  | 876 | $10 \% 4$ | 1269 | 1753 |  | 973 | 1103 | 1415 | 1239 |
| 518 | 614 | 965 | 1513 |  | 560 | 741 | 749 | 1169 |  | 666 | 725 | 912 | 1205 |
| 235 | 362. | 508 | 615 |  | 316 | 333 | 520 | 584 |  | 307 | З 3 | 503 | 513 |
| riso | 920 | 14. | 2035 |  | 797 | 1025 | 1198 | 4.538 |  | 869 | 104.5 | 1360 | ] 3134 |
| 51 | 163 | 23) | E50 |  | 14 | 274 | 196 | 488 |  | 21 | 11. | 247 | $4: 36$ |
| 1045 | 1363 | 19\% | 2955 |  | 1125 | 1496 | 1747 | 2603 |  | 1256 | 1509 | 1964 | $2{ }^{\text {ry }} 11$ |
| 555 | 629. | 974 | 1528 |  | 667 | 749 | 761 | 1174 |  | 819 | 762 | 951 | 1295 |
| 490 | 734 | 1013 | 1427 |  | 458 | 747 | 986 | 1429 |  | 437 | 747 | 1013 | 1.416 |

Family iỹe Fins Find, Family type III: Husband $\&$ Family typa IV: I. I wife, 1 or 2 elilidren under 16 yrs.\& no others. (3 or 4 in family)
wife \& 1 to 4 others as follows:
e l or 2 persons 16 yrs. or over \& broken families. none, 1 or 2 under 16 yrs.; or 3 ( 5 or more in family) over \& 1 under 16 yrs.; or $l$ over \& 3 under 16 yrs. ( $3,4,5$, or 6 in family)

F**Net income is the approximate amount availabie for household and personal experse (41), \& change in net worth (25). Small amounts of depreciation or sales of re:sonal assets \& discrepancies in cash balance prevent (33) from balancing with (4.! and (25) exactly.

*Fased on food requirements.
**Fud for hired help and boarders was computed at the rate of $\$ 12$ per month. The total amount for these purposes was deducted from the food purchases and value of home-produced food in the same proportions as these two sources of food were of the total food consumed.
*** $/(35)+(38) /$ divided by (34) The figures on this page are arithmetic averages. $* * * * \overline{\%}(38)$ is of $/(35+(38) /$ The figures on this page are arithmetic averages.
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Summary of Household and Personal Expenses (continued)

| Family Type II |  |  |  |  | Family Iype III. |  |  |  |  | Family Type IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income Groups |  |  |  |  | Net Income Groups |  |  |  |  | Net Income Groups |  |  |  |
| \$599 | \$600 | \$900 | \$1200 |  | \$599 | \$600 | \$900 | \$1200 |  | \$599 | \$600 | \$900 | \$1.200 |
| and | to | to | and | Your | and | to | to | and | Your | and | to | to | and |
| less | 899 | 1199 | more | farm | less | 899 | 1199 | more | farm | less | 899 | 1199 | more |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.56 | 1.48 | 1.76 | 1.66 |  | 1.13 | 1.15 | 1.73 | 1.21 |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | . 97 | 1.00 |  | 1.19 | 1.33 | 1.19 | 1.30 |  | 1.13 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.22 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | . 43 | . 24 | . 19 | . 16 |  | . 27 | . 18 | . 33 | . 59 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | . 11 | . 15 | . 30 | . 18 |  | . 20 | . 09 | . 12 | . 13 |
| . 10 | . 06 | . 05 | . 18 |  | . 19 | . 17 | . 13 | . 23 |  | . 33 | . 23 | . 36 | . 50 |
| . 10 | . 06 | . 10 | 0 |  | 0 | . 21 | . 25 | . 38 |  | . 20 | . 19 | . 44 | . 49 |
| . 43 | . 41 | . 25 | . 27 |  | . 48 | . 29 | . 31 | . 46 |  | 1.87 | 1.57 | 1.52 | 2.14 |
| . 80 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 1.09 |  | .57 | .13 | . 69 | .15 |  | 1.94 | 1.83 | 1.80 | 1.41 |
| . 02 | . 02 | . 13 | .17 |  | . 02 | .02 | . 22 | . 07 |  | . 01 | . 08 | . 08 | . 08 |
| 0 | . 02 | . 02 | . 06 |  | . 01 | . 07 | 0 | . 08 |  | . 01 | . 02 | . 03 | 0 |
| 3.43 | 3.59 | 3.37 | 3.54 |  | 4.53 | 4.00 | 4.82 | 4.52 |  | 7.07 | 6.29 | 6.70 | 7.69 |
| 2.55 | 2.57 | 2.45 | 2.55 |  | 3.67 | 3.43 | 3.90 | 3.83 |  | 4.79 | 4.26 | 4.53 | 5.51 |
| . 02 | . 04 | . 15 | . 23 |  | . 03 | . 09 | . 22 | . 15 |  | . 02 | . 10 | . 11 | . 08 |
| . 02 | . 04 | . 12 | . 23 |  | . 03 | . 08 | . 22 | . 13 |  | . 02 | . 09 | . 10 | . 08 |
| \$107 | \$129 | \$146 | \$149 | \$ | \$134 | \$137 | \$183 | \$159 |  | \$150 | \$158 | \$175 | \$217 |
| 12 | 27 | 39 | 51 |  | 24 | 20 | 33 | 42 |  | 20. | 26 | 44 | 37 |
| 10 | 1.7 | 58 | 42 |  | 7 | 18 | 29 | 28 |  | 7 | 22 | 31 | 39 |
| 34 | 53 | 57 | 78 |  | 36 | 44 | 65 | 77 |  | 44 | 56 | 70 | 97 |
| 17 | 31 | $4 \pm$ | 33 |  | 21 | 15 | 29 | 20 |  | 25 | 17 | 29 | 31 |
| 8 | 21 | 30 | 38 |  | 20 | 16 | 34 | 45 |  | 7 | 20 | 33 | 36 |
| 11 | 21 | 19 | 45 |  | 12 | 12 | 23 | 48 |  | 12 | 13 | 16 | 19 |
| 23 | 34 | 36 | 47 |  | 25 | 29 | 44 | 44 |  | 29 | 32 | 43 | 37 |
| - | 1 | 0 | - |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 222 | 334 | 429 | 483 |  | 279 | 294 | 440 | 467 |  | 294 | 345 | 441 | 515 |
| 127 | 1.42 | 161 | 165 |  | 125 | 165 | 158 | 213 |  | 204 | 197 | 193 | 271 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 0 |  | 10 | 6 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 31 | 27 | 20 | 24 |  | 40 | 33 | 32 | 24 |  | 27 | 37 | 25 | 22 |
| 7 | 10 | 10 | 20 |  | 10 | 7 | 13 | 5 |  | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 62 | 69 | 117 | 105 |  | 59 | 56 | 95 | 112 |  | 53 | 61 | 96 | 97 |
| 227 | 250 | 312 | 314 |  | 244 | 267 | 303 | 360 |  | 295 | 307 | 326 | 400 |
| 449 | 584 | 74.1 | 797 |  | 523 | 561 | 743 | 827 |  | 589 | 652 | 787 | 916 |
| 91 | 106 | 1.29 | 122 |  | 73 | 90 | 92 | 100 |  | 75 | 36 | 83 | 92 |
| 47 | 48 | 48 : | 49 |  | 51 | 45 | 53 | 43 |  | 46 | 46 | 48 | 46 |
| 4 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 23 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 10 |
| 4 | 11 | 16 | 50 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 14 |  | 5 | 13 | 12 | 19 |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 7 | 33 | 76 |  | 0 | 3 | - | - - |
| 13 | 15 | 19 | 36 |  | 16 | 19 | 27 | 20 |  | 9 | 16 | 14 | 42 |
| 21 | 35 | 47 | 98 |  | 24 | 30 | - 77 | 133 |  | 15 | 35 | 33 | 71 |
| +11 | $+100$ | +275 | +329 |  | $-73$ | +123 | +182 | +311 |  | -24 | +94 | +189 | +274 |
| -15 | -46 | +21 | -230 |  | -7 | -58 | -42 | -241 |  | $+121$ | -1 | -63 | $-185$ |
| +26 | +146 | $+254$ | +609 |  | -66 | +181 | +224 | +5.52 |  | -145 | +95 | +252 | +459 |

Some Comparisons with Averages for 1936

|  | 1936 | 19.37 | 1938 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 457 | 283 | 305 |
| Cash Farm Expenses |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$365 | \$478 | \$385 |
| New equipment and purchases of livestock | 228 | 243 | 212 |
| Payment on debts | 153 | $2 \div 2$ | 213 |
| Total cash farm expenses | 746 | 943 | 810 |
| Board for hired labor (home-raised products) | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Total farm expenses | 750 | 949 | 814 |
| Cash Farm Receipts |  |  |  |
| Livestock and livestock products | 486 | 636 | 650 |
| Crops | 74 | 189 | 136 |
| Miscellaneous | 179 | 163 | 181 |
| Borrowed | 227 | 290 | 190 |
| Total cash farm receipts | 966 | 1278 | $\overline{1157}$ |
| Increase in net farm capital | 109 | 176 | 159 |
| Farm perquisites | 253 | 270 | 259 |
| Total farm receipts | 1328 | 1724 | 1575 |
| Total farm expenses (from above) | 75 C | 949 | 814 |
| Returns to net farm capital and family labor | 578 | 775 | 761 |
| $5 \%$ interest on net farm capital. | 41 | 43 | 51 |
| Family labor earnings | 537 | 732 | 710 |
| Unpaia family labor* | 210 | 172 | 142 |
| Operator's labor earnings | \$327 | \$560 | \$568 |
| Number of persons in family | 4.8 | 4.6 | 407 |
| Number of adult equivalent persons in family | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Total assets (end of year) | \$2441 | \$2730 | \$3045 |
| Total liabilities (end of year) | 1209 | 1292 | 1408 |
| Net worth (end of year) | 1232 | 1438 | 1637 |
| Change in net worth during year | $+127$ | +254 | +148 |
| \% total liabilities of total assets | 52 | 48 | 46 |
| Lbs. of butterfat produced per cow | 173 | 184 | 183 |
| Yield of corn per acre, bu. | 13.2 | 27.4 | 2 z -9 |
| Yield of spring wheat per acre, bu. | 5.5 | 12.8 | 1.00 |
| Yield of oats per acre, bu. | 8.5 | 25.9 | $2 \leq 07$ |
| Yield of barley per acre, bu. | 5.7 | 18.7 | 20.7 |
| Yield of alfalfa per acre, tons | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Yield of potatoes per acre, bu. | 35.0 | 89.2 | 75.5 |
| Days of productive work | 302 | 346 | 371 |
| Days of productive work per worker | 218 | 239 | 272 |
| Food furnished by the farm | \$185 | \$189 | \$177 |
| Fuel furnished by the farm | 31 | 27 | 29 |
| Houschold and personal cash operating expenses | 354 | 376 | 3.57 |
| Other houschold and personal cash expenses (savings) | 31 | 45 | 45 |
| Household and personal cash receipts | 113 | 81 | 64 |
| Net income | \$713 | \$860 | \$834 |

[^7] month in 1936; \$35 per month in 1937 and 1938.


[^0]:    *iNet income is given as item 33 on pages 14 and 15 . It is the total earnings including perquisites of the farmer, his family, and his capital plus any personal income, relief, grants, surplus commodities, etc. It is the amount available for household and personal expenditures and for savings.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Household and personal expenses are given as item 41 on pages 16 and 17. They include cash expenses plus interest and depreciation on personal share of auto, plus house rental and farm perquisites and minus board for hired labor. They do not include life insurance premiums, investments, new houses, new autos or payments on debts.
    ***Operator's labor earnings is the farmer's return for his services as a laborer and manager. It is computed by first adding together the cash farm receipts, farm perquisites, and any increase in net farm capital; from this total is deducted the sum of cash farm expenses, any decrease in net farm capital, cost of boarding hired labor, a charge for the use of the net farm capital figured at 5 per cent, and a charge for the services of unpaid family labor. (Only the operator's share of income, expenses, and earnings are included.)

[^1]:    *Crops included are listed in a footnote on page 10.
    **Owner farms were omitted as many of these had very few acres in crops.

[^2]:    *(ग) designates owner-operated farms; (C), tenant farms with cash leases; (C.S.), te:ant farms with crop share and cash leases; and (L.S.), tenant farms with livestock share leases.

[^3]:    *Less than one-tenth of an acre

[^4]:    *Irciudes house rental for tenant-operated farms; on owner-operated farms the value of the house is omitted from the farm capital--hence the house rental is not included with the farm perquisites.

[^5]:    *Irciudes value of house on owner-operated farms.

[^6]:    *House rental is included in this analysis for owner-operated farms as well as tenant-operated farms.
    **Ire; cash receipts plus cash on hand at beginning of year less cash on hand at end of year is the amount of cash available for household and personal expenses ( $\dot{\sim}^{\prime \prime}$ ) + (42). No attempt was made to make the cash balance exactly; all records in wiich the cash did not balance fairly close were discarded.

[^7]:    *The charge for unpaid family labor was computed at the rate of $\$ 43$ per

