

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Agricultural Outlook Forum U.S. Department of Agriculture

TMDLs and the Shenandoah Valley's Agricultural Community: Balancing Costs and Benefits of Conservation

Presented: February 24-25, 2011

Nesha McRae

TMDLs and the Shenandoah Valley's Agricultural Community:



Balancing Costs and Benefits of Conservation

Nesha McRae VA Dept. of Conservation and Recreation

Photos: Mike Phillips (left and right)

Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) in Virginia

- Targeted watershed restoration for impaired waters
- 3 step process
 - TMDL Study
 - Implementation Plan
 - Implementation
- Legal background
- Voluntary implementation



TMDLs and Shenandoah Valley Farmers...like oil and water?

The Valley's Farming Community:
independent, steeped in tradition,
many experiencing declining cash returns

Total Maximum Daily Loads: a legally mandated process used by the government to clean up impaired waterways

Finding Common Ground



- Public participation is critical
 - Strong sense ofcommunity = activeparticipation
 - -SCALE is important
- Soil and fertilizer are valuable resources

What do we need from farmers?

- Livestock exclusion
- Stream buffers
- Soil conservation
 - Conservation tillage
 - Cover crops
- Prescribed grazing
- Manure storage



How does this impact their bottom line?

- Economic benefits of some BMPs are clear
 - Rotational grazing
 - \$419M spent on feed in 2007
 - Cover crops: \$30/acre
- Others are more complex...
 - Loss of land in buffers vs. cost share payments and bank stabilization
 - Fence maintenance vs. improved herd health
 - Nutrient management and manure application



Accounting for Costs and Benefits in Plan Development

- Community water quality goals versus TMDLs
- Cost effective BMPs
- Accounting for existing BMPs (including voluntary)
- Outreach recommendations
 - Peer to peer discussions
 - Farmer testimonials



Accounting for Costs and Benefits in Implementation

- Funding projects
 - Staff
 - Cost share
- Increasing flexibility
 - Shenandoah RC&DFlexible Fencing
 - Changes to state cost share program
- Promoting economic benefits



STREAMSIDE LIVESTOCK EXCLUSION:

A tool for increasing farm income and improving water quality

BY R. ZECKOSKI, B. BENHAM, C. LUNSFORD

"I have seen weight gain increases of 5-10% over 9-10 months since removing my beef cattle from the stream and providing water from springs and wells."

Scott Campbell Augusta County

Increased weight-gain translates into more money per head (Table 1).

Table 1. Example of increased revenue due to installing off-stream waterers³⁵.

Typical calf sale weight	Additional weight gain due to off-stream waterer	Price	Increased revenue due to off-stream waterer
500 lb/calf	5 % or 25 lb	\$0.60 per lb	\$15 per calf

What the Future Holds for TMDLs and Agriculture

- Marketing conservation to the consumer
- Ecosystems services
- Chesapeake Bay TMDL
 - VA estimated cost of full implementation > \$7B
 - Implementation of agricultural BMPs to remain voluntary
 - Potential for regulatory approach exists

