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The Division of Farm Management and Agricultural Economics and of Animal Husbandry of the University of Minnesota are cooperating with the Bureau of Agricul- tural Economics of the United States Department of Asriculture in an accountiné study of twent, four farms in Rock and Nobles Counties in Southwestern Minnesota. This study was started March 1,1929 . The farms were selected in cooperation with
 Rock County and Mr. C. J. Gilbert in Nobles County. Onl farms in which coac type of beef production is $\varepsilon_{\text {e }}$ major enturise wero chosen. The farmers cooperating in this wark becp completo rocord of cish recointo aud casil oxpenditurez, a deily rccord of the labor used on each crop and each class of livestocin, a rocord of the labor used on each crop and each class of livestock, a record of the farm produce used in the house and other detailed information rejarding their business. These records are checked at least twice a month by the route man and supplemented with inventories, livestock feed records, reports of crop yields and practices and other significant facts about tho farm operation. The data collected is sent to the central office at University Farm, St. Paul, where a detailed set of records for each farm is kept. From these rec ords the costs presented in this report have been computed. The financial returns from these farms, the cost and income from livestock and crop production and other significant facts are presented for the year March 1, 1330 to Fobruary 28, 1931, together with the averages for 1929.

## Description of Area

Rock and Nobles Counties arc located in the southwestern corner of Minnemota. The soil in Rock County end the western edere of Noblus County is a wind-blown loess. This is one of the nost fertile soil types in the state. The bilance of Nobles County is covered with a glacial till, the prevailing soil type of the southern end central part of the state. This too is a production type woll supplicd with lime. According to the 1925 census, only four countices in the st.te had higher lond values per acre than Rock and Nobles and in throe of these the high land volues were due lorgely to their nuarness to the Twin Cities. Both counties are level to gently rolling with practicaly all land tilable. There
are some sections, especially in southern Nobles County that need drainage to insure regular cropping and in Rock County there are limited areas of rock out-crop. The annual rainfall averages between 26 and 28 inches and the average growing season is from 130 to 140 days. Beef cattle and hogs are the principal classes of livestock raised. Corn, oats, and barley are the principal grain crops. They are raised primarily for feed although there is a considerable surplus for salc on many farms. Alfalfe and wild hay are the principal roughages grown,

## Description of Farms

The average size of the farms studied in 1929 was 323 acres and in 1930 it was 380 acres. This is approximetai y $55 \%$ and $70 \%$ larger, respectively, than the average size of the farms in these two counties as reported in the 1925 census. Corm, oats, barley, alfalfa, wild hay and flax are the principal crops gromn. The distribution of the acreafe in these farms as woll as other facts about the organization of thom is indicated on page 8, fith the exception of the landlord"s share of the crop, which is usually sold, practically all of the grain and hay produccd is fed on the farm.

Only two of the farms studiod wort omodentirely by the operators. Ten farms noie entirely rentod and twolve were partly omed and partly rented. only thirty-five per cent of the land operated mas omed by tho oporator. Both share and cash rental leases verc omployed. More than ono-half of all the farms in these counties are operated by tenants.

Financial roturns
The average form invontory for the farms stufiod in 1930 was approximately $\$ 44,800$. The invostment in productive livestock, including poultry, vas over $\$ 5,000$, (sec pago 10.) For the piriod March l, 1930 to February 28, 1931, $40 \%$ of the eash reccipts on these farms came from the salc of cattle, $5 ;{ }^{\prime}$ from dairy products, $30 \%$ from hogs, $3 \%$ from shoep and rool and $3 \%$ from poultry, a total of $81 \%$ from livostock. The percentages for 1929 were, respectively, $35 \%$, $7 \%$, $32 \%, 3 \%$ and $4 \%$, a total of $81 \%$. About $33 \%$ of the cash receipts in 1930 and $15 \%$ in 1929 mere from crops.

The total cash receipts for 1030 were approximately $13 \%$ lower than in 1929 whoreas cash oxpensee :ero only $6 \%$ lomer. However, :ihon inventory changes and other non-cash items arc includod the earnings of the operator for his labor and management showed a docrease of approximately $\$ 2600.00$.

The severe decline in prices topether with lo:7er crop yields due to the drouth vere largely responsible for the lonic eaminge. The prices receivcd by the formers for the principal products sold are the folloring:

|  | $\underline{1929}$ | 1930 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cattle, per c:t. | $\$ 11.50$ | $\$ 8.70$ |
| Hogs, per cut. | 9.53 | 7.81 |
| Buttorfat, per cot. | .43 | .35 |
| Eggs, per doz. | .28 | .20 |
| Shoc, per cut. | 11.91 | 7.42 |
| Wool, pur cri. | .28 | .16 |
| Flax, per bu. | 2.74 | 1.73 |

Fethod of Computing and Presenting Livestock and Crop Data.
Comparative costs are presented for cach of the important classes of livostock and crops raised. Those data roprcsent comparativo costs and not absolute costs. Thoy have boon computed on an ownor basis as though the opcrator orned all land, buildings, livestock and tools. In studying the tables and in considering the income frem the differcnt enterprises, one should keop in mind that thesc figuros reprosent chargos which are not all actual cash expenses. All man labor and horsc work, interest on the investment, the use of the buildings and oquipment, as roll as feed have boen charged to the livestock. All man labor and horse or tractor $:$ ork, machinery and oquipment and manure have beon charged to the crops. Thercfore a minus return means that the particulor enterprisc: has failed to pay the priccs chargod for the different factors. There may be no other more profitable altornative use for some of these factors. A return above the price of marketable feeds and cash expenses may justify continued production 业Ithouch thosc comparative figures fail to show a gain.

## Livostock

Comparativo cost and returns for cach of the differcnt classos of livestock produced in 1930, togeth or with the averages for 1929 arc prosented on pages 11 to 17 inclusive. In so far as possible local prices were uscd in determining the cost and returns. Marketable foods werc chargcd at local prices and non-marketable fceds on a comparative fecding val ue basis. Man labor was figured at 50 cents nor hour. Horse work was char ged to the individual farm at the ratc determined for that farm. Tho shelter charge was based on the annual cost of the buildines housing the livestock prorated on the basis of space occupied. The cquipment charge is based on the annual cost of the particular clase of ecuipment used by that class of livestock. The manure credit is basod on a value of 75 conts per ton in the barnyard. Only the amount of the manure actually sprad on the ficlds was credited to the livestock.

All tables for livestock heve becn computed on a per hundred pounds giin in woight, a per hoad, or some other similar basis so that the data for different farms are directly comparablc. A few items may need explanation. The pounds of corn arc for shelled corn or at 56 pounds per bushel. The gain or return over all costs is the amount left after deducting all the charges listca. The return per 56 pounds of grain is whet is left to pay for the farm grain consumed by the livestock, after paying all other charges. The return over feed cost is the amount left after deducting feed cost from the total income. The roturn per hour of man labor is what was left to the farmer for cach hour of man labor cxpended on the enterprise after all costs except man labor had beon paid. 4.

Fecder cettlo The costs and returns for fecder cattle are for the cattle being fattoned for market. The return per 56 pounds of farm grain was obtained by deducting from the sale price all the expenses listed, except the charge for tho common farm grown grains, and then dividing this rosidual by the number of pounds of form grain fed. It reprosents what the farmer had left to pay for his grsin after all the other expensus hed been paid. Due to the impossibility of detcrmination, the credit for gains made by hogs following tho cattle, was omittod from the calculations.

Broeding hord. The brecding hord is composcd of the corrs and the bull. Changes in inventory velucs duo to changing price lovels, insofar as possible, havo been eliminated. The net cost of the herd was divided by the calves raiscd in order to detormine the cost por calf. The farmers
have bocn divided into two groups depending upon the rclative cmphesis placod on dairy production. The herds kept for both beef and dairy production were of so-callod dual purpose or of beef type. None of the herds studied vere of the spocialized dairy breeds.

All cattle Three types of beef production were found on these farms and on average for each type as well as an average for all farms is presented in the table for all cattlc. Group $A$ is composed of the farmers who were attempting to produce both beef and dairy products. Group B is composed of the formers who fattened a larger number of cattle than were raised on their farms this year. They either bought additional cattlc or had accumulated cattle from previous ycars. Group C is composed of the farmers who kept cows primarily for raising calves to fatten. In 1930, as in 1929, this latter group received larger returns then the others,

Hogs. The data on hog production on page 14 include all hogs on the farm, including those kept for raising pigs. The return por 56 pounds of farm grain was calculated in the same vay as for feeder cattle. No charge was made for the feed picked up by the hogs following the feeder cattle. This fact should be considered in making any comparison of the returns from cattle and hogs.

Sheep S.etp are of minor importance on the ferms studied. Only seven of the farms studied maintained flocks. The sheop enterprise, like all other farm enterprises, suffered from a sevore decline in salo prices.

Poultry Poultry mas raised on all of thefarms studied. Horever, with a fer exceptions, the poultry enterprise was relatively unimportant. In the data prescnted, ducks, geese, and turkeys wore reduced to their equivalent in chickons for comparative purposos.

Horse work Separate averages are computed for the tractor farms and the non-tractor farms. The cost por hour of horse labor was slightly higher on the tractor farms than on the non-tractor farms. There was a decided drop in the cost per hour from 1929 to 1930 duc in part to $:$ larger number of hours morked, but largely to decreased food costs.

Crops Comparative costs and returns for the cight principal ciops grown on the farms studied aro presented following the livestock data. The factors of cost are charged at the local market pricds. The man labor rate, 30 cents per hour, is based on the wages to hired men on these farms and includes an allowance for board. Horse work is charged at 12 cents por hour in 1929 and 10.5 cents in 1930 , 2-plow tractors are charged at 75 cents pcr hour and 3-plow tractors at $\$ 1.00$ per hour. Nanure is charged at 75 cents pur ton plus the cost of hauling. Fifty per cont of this is charged against the crop to which the manure is applied and the belence prorated to the other crops in the rotation on an acre basis. Nachinery is charged at a flat rate which includes an allowance for interest, depreciation, ropairs, nd othor costs. The land rent charge is based on preveiling cash rentel rates in the comrunity. The local markut price on Decomber l, is used in computing the returns fron tine prions crops. ill costs are figured at the far.u. No marketing charges have been included, except for flax. The urtuits include stubble or stalik pasture, com picked up aiter corn oinder, and similar items.

The co:ts att show both on an acre and a bushel or ton basis. The returns have beon coniputed on the dasis of the act raturn per acre over all charges and the return per bour the fermer roceived for the lavor used on the
crop. The net return is the gain or loss left after subtracting from the value of the crop the items of cost that are presented. The return for labor is the amount left to pay the labor after the other costs indicated have been met. A minus figure (-) indicates a failure to cover the expenses charged.

The 1930 crop season was very favorable from the standpoint of seedbed preparation, seeding and harvesting. Generally speaking, the crops were planted in good season and under favorable soil conditions. However, the lack of moisture later in the soason greatly reduced the yield of a number of the common crops. The oat and flax crops escaped with relatively less damage than the others. The 1930 crop season was also characterized by lower crop prices andhencc returns in 1930 were below those for 1929. Alfalfa and flax gave the greatest returns.

USING CROP RECORDS TO INCREASE CROP PROFITS

## Variation in Production Costs

On the pages following the ciscussion arc presented data on the cost and return per acre for each of the farms growing each of the important crops. The data in these tables show a wide range in cost per unit between the different farms. These veriations for corn, oats, barley, flax, alfalfa hay and wild hay are summarized in the following table.

VARIATIONS IN PRODUCTION COSTS
ROCK \& NOBLES COUNTIES-1930

| ROCK \& NOBLES COUNTIES-1930 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | unit |  | Dec. 1 | $\%$ producing at a cost above Dec.l |
| Crop | averase | High | Low | price | price |
| Corn | \$. 54 | 暧.77 | \$.43 | \$. 48 | 62 |
| Oats | . 27 | . 43 | . 20 | . 24 | 82 |
| Barley | . 48 | . 90 | .36 | . 38 | 80 |
| Flax | 1,51 | 7, 07 | . 87 | 1.48 | 23 |
| Alfalfa Hay | 8.80 | 21.08 | 4.66 | 14.00 | 18 |
| Wild Hay | 6.95 | 13.35 | 5.08 | 7.00 | 42 |

Alfalfa hay and flax were the two most consistontly profitable crops in 1930. This was also true in 1929. Only 18 per cent of those growing alfalfa hay and 23 per oont of those growing flax failod to produce these crops in 1930 at a cost which mas lomer than the December l price. Corn was profitable on a greater per cent of tho farms groving it than was either barley or oats. The wido variation in the cost por unit sugests tho possibility of increasing crop returns through changes in production methods and practices,

There are in gen ral two mays in which the farmer may aujust his omn business so as to mako it moro profitale. He may oither (l) reduce his cost per unit f product or (2) select those crops or kinds of livestock or combinations of the two that bring in the largest returns.

High Yields Reduce Unit Costs
One of the most important factors in reducing the cost per bushel or ton of crops produced is to increase yields. The relationship between yicld and cost por unit is illustrated by the data on corn contained in the following table.

Rolation Betweon Yield per Acre and Cost andRoturns for Corn Rock and Nobles Countios - 1930

|  | Number | Averagc | Net Cost | Cost Per | Return pir hour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yicld per Acro | of Farms | Yicld | Por Acre | Bushel | of man labor |
| Under 30 bu. | 7 | 24 | $\$ 16.12$ | $\$ .68$ | $\$-.11$ |
| $30-35$ | $"$ | 9 | 33 | 16.63 | .51 |
| Over 35 | $"$ | 8 | 37 | 18,22 | .24 |

As tho yiold increased the cost por bushel docreased and the roturn per man hour incroased. The same relationship holds for the other crops. Thore are numerous causes for difforences in yicld, including differences in soils, seedbod preparation, secd, and care of the crop. One of thesc factors, the importance of which is overlookedis that of secd.

Increasing yields by the use of good seed.
The importance of planting good seod of a dosirable varicty is indicated by the data in tho following table=

Variety and Yicld
Rock and Nobles Counties - 1930

| Crop | Variety | Total Acres | Yield Per Acre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oats: | Copher | 295 | 62 |
|  | Greun Russian | 44.3 | 54 |
|  | Common* | 426 | 49 |
| Barley: | Velvet | 199 | 34 |
|  | Common* | 218 | 28 |

*Common includos the casos zher the name of the varicty ras not dofinitely know. Other knom varisties of both oots and berloy wore secded but on too fow acros and farms to justify inclusion in the table.

It तill be noticod that Gophcr Oats outyiclded Green Russian Oats by 8 bushels and the common sood br 13 bushols. Volvet barloy outyicldod the common barley by 6 bushols per acre. This fifforence is certainly onough to justify giving caroful attention to the sclection of good sced. The usc of good seed is one of the ways to increase profits through roducing costs that is demonstrated by these cost records.

## Increasing Returns by Crop Sclcction

A second way in which farmers can meke their business ore profitablc is through the solection of tho most profitable crops, If crops arc to be sold, the crops most profitable as a cash crpp should be chosch. If the crops are to be fod, the erops producing the groatost quantity of dosirable food per acre at a low cost should be chosen.

The comparative returns fram the various grain crops computed on tho basis of 10 yoar averagc yields and soven $\pi k$ ar avergec prices for Rock and Noblcs Countios are presontcd in the following table.

|  | Corm | Oats | Barley | Flax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost per acre | \$17.40 | \% 14.24 | 414.33 | \$16.85 |
| Yicld, 10 year averago | 34 | $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 30 | $10 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| Cost por bushol | \% . 51 | \%.40 | 峭 . 48 | \% 1.5 ? |
| Dec. 1 price, 7 year avcragc | . 64 | . 35 | . 55 | 2.21 |
| Net return por acre | 4.36 | -1.81 | 2.17 | 6.91 |

On the basis of Kock and Nobles County Avcrago yiolds and pricc over a long period of yenrs, flox is by a considorablo margin the most potiitablo of these four crops as a cash crop. Corn is second and barley third. Oats failed by \$l. 81 per acre to pay all charges.

Sclocting most profitable food crops
Whore crops are raised for fecd it is important to orgenize the crop rotation around the crops which produce the largest quentity of desirable focd at a lor cost. The production of digestiblc crudc protein, and othcr digcstible nutrients per acre and the cost per hundrod pounds of food nutricnts, using 10 yoar avorago yiolds for thesc counties is presented in tho following table.

Production per acre and Relative Cost per Hundred Pounds of Digostiblc Nutrients


The abovc data clearly shows thet the lovest cost feed grein crop is corn. It produces more nutricnts por acre and at a lowar cost than oither oats or barley, Barley is next to corn, Oats produccs decidedly less nutricnts per acre than the othor two crops and has the further disedvantoge of a much higher cost.

Corn fodder, on the basis of the above data, is the choapest sourco of roughage. Howevar, it has the disadvantage of containing a low amount of protein. ilfalfa, on tho othcr hand, has a high percentage of potein. Since protein is most likely to be lacking in the ration, and since it is the most expensc cloment to buy, the higher amount of protein in the alfalfa hay eould more than offset the difforcncc in cost betwoon corn fodder and alfalfa and make alfalfo the most desirable roughage. Wild hay hos the disadvanteges of both a low yicld food nutrients and a higher cost. Howover, wild hay is usually not grown on land suitable for othor crops and hence the cutting of :"ildhay is genorally a matter of securing some feed from : hat would otherwiso be wasto land. Silage has two disadvantages, namely, high cost and lo: protein contont. The fact that silage is used as extensively as it is indicates that focdors have felt that it ias a value greater then that indicated by its nutricnt content.

Judging from the data presented above, flex and corn have been the most profitable cash crops; corn and barley have been the best grain crops to raise for feed, and alfalfa has been the best source of roughage.

Tho data presonted indicate the possibility of increasing farm carnings through better orgenization and more efficient production. Records such as theso farmers are keoping locate the weaknesses of the particular organization and point the way to their correction.

FACTS ABOUT THE ORGAVIZATION OF THE FARYS

| Acres in corn | 105.7 | 116.3 | 184.7 | 51.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres in oats | 56.5 | 61.3 | 170.2 | - |
| Acres in barley | 20.3 | 21.9 | 53.8 | - |
| Acres in flax | 9.5 | 15.2 | 64.7 | - |
| Acres in other grains \& grain mixtures | 11.3 | 14.3 | 103.9 | - |
| Acres in alfalfa | 11.6 | 12.2 | 39.7 | - |
| Acres in tame hay | 4.1 | 7.6 | 38.3 | - |
| Acres in wild hay | 14.2 | 14.6 | 49.6 | - |
| Acres in miscellaneous hay | 6.2 | 1.0 | 4.9 | - |
| Acres in miscellaneous crops | 1.8 | 4.4 | 43.9 | - |
| Total crop acres | 241.2 | 268.8 | 486.3 | 101.6 |
| Acres in pasture | 63.8 | 69.7 | 166.9 | 22.7 |
| Acres in farmstead, roads,waste, etc. | 17.8 | 21.5 | 80.5 | 8.1 |
| Total acres per farm | 322.8 | 360.0 | 652.1 | 158.6 |
| Number of cows | 19 | 19 | 34 | 4 |
| Number of pounds cattle produced | 20089 | 22416 | 94434 | 3205 |
| Number pounds pork produced | 29029 | 31288 | 66513 | 12415 |
| Number of sheep | 31 | 23 | 194 | - |
| Number of chickens | 255 | 261 | 534 | 69 |
| Number of laying hens | 132 | 139 | 259 | 53 |
| Total hours man labor per farm | 8156 | 8043 | 17206 | 1685 |
| Total hours livestock labor | 3866 | 3348 | 7603 | 2099 |
| Total hours crop labor | 3138 | 2916 | 5688 | 1041 |
| Total hours miscellaneous labor | 1153 | 1749 | 3953 | 766 |
| Total hours hired labor | 2656 | 2807 | 7392 | 187 |
| Total hours unpaid family labor | 1492 | 2166 | 13595 | 149 |
| Total hours proprietor labor | 2882 | 3128 | 3733 | 1208 |
| Hours per man per work day | 9.8 | 9.4 | 11.5 | 7.1 |
| Hours per man per Sunday | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 1.4 |
| Tractor farms: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farms using tractors: | 10 | 12 |  |  |
| Total crop acres | 276 | 287 | 486 | 130 |
| Number work horses per farm | 9.7 | 10 | 19 | 5 |
| Average hours worked per horse | 885 | 815 | 1087 | 605 |
| Number of crop acres por horse | 28.9 | 28.7 | 34.4 | 21.9 |
| Non-tractor farms: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farms using norses only | 11 | 11 |  |  |
| Total crop acres | 222 | 249 | 376 | 102 |
| Number of work horses per farm | 8.5 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 4.7 |
| Average hours worked per horse | 945 | 917 | 1318 | 699 |
| Number of crop acres worked per hosse | 28.2 | 28.2 | 35.1 | 19.8 |


| 1929 |
| ---: |
| All |
| Farms |


| All | Five |
| :--- | :--- |
| Farms | Highest |

## RECEIPTS <br> Cattle <br> Nogs <br> Sheep \& wool <br> Poultry \& eggs <br> Dairy products <br> Horses <br> Corn <br> Oats <br> Barley <br> Flax <br> Hay <br> Other crops <br> Outside <br> Miscellaneous

(1) Total Cash Farm Receipts
(2) Farm produce used in house
(3) Increase in farm inventory
(4) TOTAL RECEIPTS

EXPENSES
Hired labor
Cattle bought
Hogs bought
Sheep bourht
Poultry bought
Horses bought
Other livestockexpense
Feed bought
Crop expense (trine,threshing etc=)
Real estate
Machinery
Auto (farm share)
Gasoline, kerosene, oil, etc. (farm share)
Taxes
Insurance
Niscellaneous
(5) Total Cash I'arm Lxpense
(6) Decrease in farm inventory
(7) Board for hired lakor
(8) TO TAL FADW EXPMNSES(sum of $5,6,87$ )
(9) Returns to capital and family labor (4-8)
(10) Interest on farm inventory at $5 \%$
(11) Family Labor Earnings (9-10)
(12) Estimated value of unpaid family labo
$\$ 3278.23$ \$3249.61 \$1591. 51 \$3927.67 $3016.82 \quad 2443.98 \quad 1935.54 \quad 3998.26$ $252.48 \quad 242.81 \quad 163.20 \quad 247.74$ $\begin{array}{llll}349.55 & 238.54 & 264.43 & 336.40\end{array}$ $623.43 \quad 377.44 \quad 487.74 \quad 437.78$
$45.63 \quad 47.23 \quad 148.20 \quad 25.00$
$491.56 \quad 409.21 \quad 561.04 \quad 604.82$
$334.90 \quad 229.61 \quad 208.98 \quad 323.03$
$198.55 \quad 72.42 \quad 63.58 \quad 57.94$
$375.53 \quad 286.54 \quad 128.75 \quad 656.79$
$26.85 \quad 16.36 \quad 35.20 \quad 17.50$
$\begin{array}{llll}31.39 & 185.43 & 669.54 & 63.45 \\ 92.36 & 132.45 & 41.14 & 75.45\end{array}$

| 92.26 | 132.45 | 41.14 | 75.45 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 222.03 | 157.46 | 60.88 | 318.60 |


| 9339.21 | 8089.09 | 6353.73 | 11090.43 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 431.85 | 390.74 | 502.40 | 317.62 |
| 777.20 | 67.63 | - | - |

$10548.25 \quad 8547.46 \quad 6856.1311408 .05$

| 467.77 | 566.93 | 384.80 | 827.12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1052.23 | 958.81 | 114.00 | 1343.00 |
| 313.68 | 265.75 | 150.30 | 641.12 |
| 349.55 | 20.29 | 6.50 | 70.46 |
| 47.65 | 49.71 | 51.74 | 62.50 |
| 72.75 | 31.82 | 10.00 | 30.00 |
| 120.89 | 103.20 | 85.65 | 144.32 |
| 776.90 | 1078.15 | 355.10 | 2057.64 |
| 288.33 | 326.83 | 316.73 | 420.72 |
| 319.62 | 225.95 | 76.91 | 536.01 |
| 586.09 | 494.39 | 366.39 | 717.84 |
| 97.55 | 62.37 | 9.06 | 162.99 |
| 158.12 | 144.57 | 93.75 | 183.59 |
| 400.24 | 423.10 | 345.72 | 569.90 |
| 32.62 | 26.19 | 11.80 | 36.07 |
| 47.45 | 54.00 | 41.23 | 93.88 |

$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
5133.41 & 4853.06 & 2419.68 & 7898.06 \\
645.42 & 1011.76 & 1.351 .31 & 2351.39 \\
205.89 & 209.63 & 91.62 & 194.44 \\
& & & \\
5984.72 & 6954.45 & 3862.61 & 10443.89
\end{array}
$$

$$
4563.53 \quad 1593.02 \quad 2993.52 \quad 964.16
$$

$$
2374.49 \quad 2243.61 \quad 1939.26 \quad 2990.88
$$

$$
2189.04 \quad-650.60 \quad 1054.26 \quad-2026.72
$$

$$
\text { (13) OPERATOR'S IABOR TIMNINGS (11-12) } 16 C I .50-1082,13 \quad 260.89 \quad 2321.53
$$

|  |  | All <br> Farms | $\begin{aligned} & 1939 \\ & \text { Five } \\ & \text { Highest } \end{aligned}$ | Five <br> Lowest $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land | \$32182.95 | \$30915.00 | \$27392.00 | W41181. 60 |
| Buildings | 3620.66 | 3482.69 | 2763.90 | 4263.05 |
| Work Horses | 918.01 | 853.58 | 662.75 | 1128.50 |
| Other Horses | 94.77 | 97.39 | 211.00 | 114.50 |
| Cattle | 4177.35 | 3562.19 | 2721. 50 | 5013.95 |
| Hogs | 1503.79 | 1310.03 | 965.10 | 2252.80 |
| Sheep | 277.50 | 264.13 | 149.70 | 364.60 |
| Poultry | 204.28 | 175.15 | 185.63 | 210.61 |
| Nachinery | 1811.21. | 1943.55 | 1702.10 | 2370. 91 |
| Auto (farm share) | 155.82 | 85.38 | 49.35 | 159.64 |
| Feeds | 2543.52 | 2091.41 | 1982. 29 | 2753.55 |
| Total | 47489.86 | 44780.50 | 38785,32 | 59813.71 |
|  | FARN PRODUCE USED IN THE HOUSE |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1929 |  | 1930 |  |
|  | All | All | Five | Five |
|  | Farms | Farms | Highest | Lowest |
| Cream | \$ 47.1. | \$ 30.78 | \# 29.34 | \$ 32.78 |
| Farm churned butter | 29.57 | 20.43 | 47.76 | 21.76 |
| Wholemilk | 34.96 | 33.07 | 38.67 | 20.75 |
| Skimmilk | . 83 | . 39 | - | . 10 |
| Hogs | 107.68 | 73.14 | 105.23 | 36.18 |
| Cattle | 21.71 | 29.88 | 22.55 | 23.70 |
| Sheep | . 47 | . 63 | 2.10 | 23.70 |
| Poultry | 25.75 | 28.66 | 29.43 | 40.32 |
| Eggs | 45.65 | 36.87 | 45.62 | 39. 14 |
| Potatoes | 25.20 | 28.08 | 31.86 | 24.76 |
| Fruits, vegetables, etc. | 31.23* | 31.23 | 54.54 | 27.95 |
| Value of fuel saved | 61.70* | 61.70 | 78.20 | 50.20 |
| Total | 431.85 | 374.86 | 485.30 | 317.64 |
| Size of Family (man equivalent) | 4.41 | 4.80 | 5.52 | 4.23 |

*Same as for 1930. Not summarized for 1929.

Cost and Return for Feeder Cattle (Per 100 pounds gain in weight)

|  | Averase | High | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 22 |  |  |
| Pounds produced | 11608 | 56545 | 1105 |
| Man Libor, hours | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ | 10-3 | 1 |
| Horse Work, hours | 1-2 | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ | - |
| Costs |  |  |  |
| FCod | K12.81 | \$29.29 | \$4.31 |
| Labor | 1.12 | 3.44 | . 39 |
| Shelter | . 25 | 1.94 | - |
| Equipment | . 15 | . 93 | - |
| Interest | 1.13 | 6.20 | . 04 |
| Misc. Cash | .07 | . 73 | - |
| Total Cost | 15.53 | 39.89 | 8.53 |
| Manure credit | . 64 | 4.25 | . 05 |
| Net Cos | 14.89 | 35.64 | 8.19 |
| Average Selling Frice | 8.82 | 11.46 | 6.47 |
| Return per 56 lbs. Grain | . 32 | .77 | - |
| Feede: |  |  |  |
| Com, lb. | 889 | 1808 | 249 |
| Small grain, lb. | 186 | 820 | - |
| Protein feeds, lb. | 12 | 42 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |
| Hay and Fodder, lb. | 373 | 1208 | 113 |
| Silage, lb. | 91 | 1064 | - |
| Pasture, days | 5 | 112 | - |

Cost per Head for Breeding Herds

## (1930 only)

$\underset{\text { Average }}{\frac{\text { Beef }}{\text { High }} \text { Herds }} \frac{\text { Bew and }}{\text { Average }} \frac{\text { Dairy Herds }}{\text { High }}$

| Number of farms | 9 |  | 15 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Man labor, hours | 391 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 62 | 22 | 113 | $168 \frac{9}{4}$ | $71 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Horse work, hours | 4 | 8 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{4}$ | 14 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Costs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feed | \$22. 35 | \$37.77 | \$12.75 | \$34.64 | \$55.69 | \$9.85 |
| Labor | 12.21 | 19.03 | 6.89 | 34.52 | 50.83 | 22.02 |
| Shelter | 1.52 | 3.27 | . 62 | 4.64 | 8.35 | 1.74 |
| Equipment | . 59 | 1.52 | . 26 | 1.41 | 3.54 | . 08 |
| Interest | 4.30 | 5.20 | 3.40 | 3.57 | 4.55 | 2.53 |
| Misc. Cash | . 34 | . 55 | . 11 | . 79 | 2.78 | - |
| Depreciation | 7.00 | 13.56 | - | 8.89 | 27.52 | - |
| Total Cost | 48.31 | 71.98 | 31.97 | 88.46 | 127.59 | 56.46 |
| Credits; |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cream sold | \$6.79 | \$ 15.70 | \$1.44 | \$32.28 | \$54.11 | $\$ 10.48$ |
| Dalry products used | 2.64 | 4.37 | 1.26 | 7.77 | 20.70 | 2.00 |
| Skimmilk fed | 1.14 | 3.45 | . 05 | 5.28 | 10.08 | . 83 |
| Manure | 2.10 | 5.12 | 1.39 | 3.05 | 5.59 | . 36 |
| Total credit | 1 1. 67 | 19.97 | 6.66 | 48.38 | 71.06 | 28.39 |
| Net cost | 35.64 | 55.88 | 16.81 | 40.08 | 88.63 | 4.06 |
| Cost per calf | 45.83 | 68.98 | 22.75 | 59.66 | 168.73 | 10.70 |
| Calves raised per cow | . 80 | . 98 | . 69 | . 74 | 1.11 | . 39 |

Feeds:

| Corn, lb. | 118 | 602 | - | 442 | 1100 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Small grain, lb. | 268 | 579 | 95 | 964 | 2606 | 26 |
| Hay and Fodder, lb. | 2017 | 3419 | 815 | 2656 | 4554 | 121 |
| Silage, lb. | 1212 | 6311 | - | 715 | 6477 | - |
| Pasture, days | 243 | 250 | 210 | 247 | 273 | 212 |

Cost and Returns for All Cattle
(per 100 pounds gain in weight)

|  | Route \&verage |  | Group A* |  | Group B |  | Group C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1936 |
| No. of farms | 22 | 24 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| Pounds produced | 18683 | 22416 | 14359 | 12803 | 28045 | 29262 | 17423 | 23437 |
| Man labor, hours | $14 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 | 19 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $18 \frac{1}{2}$ | $13 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 12 | 7 |
| Horse work, hours | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| Costs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total labor | 3\% ${ }^{3} \times 7$ | \$3.90 | \$6.08 | \$5.79 | ¢-1.28 | $\$ 3.43$ | \$3.15 | \$2.19 |
| Total feed | 11.52 | 9.67 | 12.28 | 10.01 | 12.36 | 10.50 | 9.52 | 8.11 |
| Shelter | . 90 | . 80 | . 96 | 1.00 | . 75 | . 74 | . 71 | . 67 |
| Equipment | . 14 | . 16 | . 16 | . 15 | . 13 | . 18 | . 13 | .16 |
| Interest | 1.19 | . 93 | 1.23 | . 93 | 1.17 | . 92 | 1.04 | . 89 |
| Cash | . 12 | . 15 | . 12 | . 10 | . 23 | . 19 | . 07 | . 10 |
| Total Cost | 18.53 | 15.61 | 20.83 | 17.98 | 18.82 | 15.96 | 14.62 | 12.12 |
| Credits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nanure | . 88 | . 69 | 1.12 | . 85 | . 89 | . 62 | . .78 | . 55 |
| Dairy products | 5.26 | 3.87 | 7.94 | 6.95 | 4.88 | 2.89 | 2.47 | 1.21 |
| Total Credit | 6.14 | 4.56 | 9.06 | 7.80 | 5.77 | 3.51 | 3.25 | 1.76 |
| Net cost | 12.39 | 11.05 | 11.77 | 10.18 | 13.05 | 12.45 | 11.37 | 10.36 |
| Value of animal product** | 11.09 | 4.37 | 9.11 | 3.35 | 12.89 | 3.84 | 11.76 | 6.44 |
| Return over all costs*** | -1.31 | -6.68 | -2.66 | -6.83 | -. 16 | -8.61 | . 39 | $-3.92$ |
| Return per 56 lbs . of farm grain fed | . 53 | -. 11 | . 41 | -. 31 | . 67 | -. 16 | . 73 | .17 |
| Average selling price | 11.50 | 8.70 | 10.95 | 7.18 | 11.65 | 9.28 | 11.91 | 9.86 |
| Feeds Fed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corn | 331 | 375 | 318 | 355 | 408 | 423 | 287 | 344 |
| Small grain | 174 | 206 | 200 | 211 | 174 | 255 | 147 | 166 |
| Commercial feeds | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 5 |
| Hay and fodder | 436 | 466 | 513 | 587 | 423 | 388 | 379 | 382 |
| Dilage | 231 | 137 | 203 | 141 | 377 | 173 | - | - |
| Pasture days | 44 | 64 | 52 | 86 | 32 | 54 | 52 | 47 |

Group A - Farmers combining dairying and beef production; Group B - Farmers feeding more cattle than are raised on their farms; Group C - F'armers specializing on baby beef production.
** Value of animal product is the net value of animals produced after allowing for differences in inventory values.
*** A minus (-) indicates a failure to po. . tho oxveners ehomerd.

Cost and Returns per 100 pounds Hos's Produced

Number of farms
Pounds of hogs produced Nan labor, hours Horse work, hours

Costs

| Feed | 7.07 | 5.18 | 6.64 | 2.98 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Labor | .87 | .62 | 1.93 | .31 |
| Shelter | .24 | .21 | .56 | .03 |
| Equipment | .09 | .08 | .27 | - |
| Interest at 5\% | .31 | .20 | .41 | .11 |
| Veterinary, nedicine, mineral s, etc. | .28 | .20 | .51 | - |
| $\quad$ Total Costs | 8.86 | 6.49 | 8.55 | 4.08 |
| Manure credit | .09 | .07 | .23 | .02 |
| Net cost |  | 8.77 | 6.42 | 8.52 |
| Averace selling pice | 9.53 | 7.81 | 3.97 |  |
| Return per 56 lbs. farm grain | .74 | .71 | 1.17 | .48 |


| Corn | 046 | 339 | 498 | 196 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Small grain | 104 | 142 | 348 | 25 |
| Connercial feed | 4 | 4 | 15 | - |
| Tankage | 5 | 6 | 25 | - |
| Skimmilk | 35 | 52 | 155 | - |
| Pasture days | 21 | 31 | 55 | 2 |
| Pigs raised per litter | 4.9 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 3.6 |

Cost and ricturn pur Shoep

Number of forms

| 1929 | 1930 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Average | Hi, ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Low |
| 7 | 7 | - | - |

Averobe number of sheep ( 2 lambs equal) (to one sheap ) 106
$80 \quad 195$
26
Man lobor, hours
Horse labor, hours
2

Costs

| 2.49 | $\$ 2.44$ | .37 | $\$ 1.51$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .66 | .45 | .82 | .26 |
| .21 | .13 | .32 | - |
| .26 | .02 | .06 | - |
| .50 | .48 | .70 | .34 |
| .16 | .20 | .31 | .05 |
| 5.28 | 3.72 | 4.83 | 2.57 |

Gredits

| Manure | .03 | .18 | 1.16 | - |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Miscellancous | .03 | .01 | .04 | - |
| Total crcdit | .06 | .19 | 1.16 | - |
| Not exponsc | 5.22 | 3.53 | 4.77 | 1.41 |
| lue Produced |  |  |  |  |
| Shecp | 3.22 | .56 | 2.75 | -2.19 |
| Wool | 1.33 | .96 | 1.39 | .14 |
| Total product | 4.56 | 1.52 | 3.80 | -.97 |
| in |  |  |  |  |
| turn over Feed Cost | -.67 | -2.01 | .88 | -4.69 |

Feeds

| Grain, lb. | 86 | 58 | 201 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hay and fodder, lbs. | 113 | 101 | 206 | - |
| Silage, lbs. | 31 | 35 | 231 | - |
| Pasture, days | 245 | 227 | 247 | 209 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | .9 | .7 | 1.2 |
| Lambs raised per ewe | 1.0 | 17. | 27. | 6. |
| Per cont death loss, lambs | 12. | 11. | 33. | 0 |

Avorage solling price of sheep, por cwt. $\quad$ itll.91 $\quad \$ 7.42 \quad \$ 8.49 \quad \$ 6.18$ Avorage sellins price of wool sold, por lb. .28 .16 .17 .13

Cost and Returns per 100 Chickens

$$
\frac{1929}{\text { Avera e }} \text { Averase } \frac{1950}{\text { Hish }}
$$

Number of farms
Size of flock
Percent laying hens
Man labor, hours
Horse work, hours

COSTS:

Total feed
Man labor and horse work Shelter
Equipment
Interest
Misc. cash expense
Total cost
Manure credit
Net production cost
Value Product:
Poultry
Egers
Total product
Return over all charges
Return per hour of man labor
FEADS:

| Grain, lb. | 58.47 | 30.60. | 7676 | 625 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial feeds, ll | 395 | 332 | 1417 | - |
| Skimmilk, 1 b . | 436 | 1565 | 6016 | - |
| Hégs per ien | 75 | 76 | 121 | 40 |
| Selling price of eģ̃s, per doz. | \$. 28 | \$.20 | \$. 31 | \$. 12 |

Cost of Horse Work per Horse

$$
\frac{1929}{\text { Average }}
$$

## Farm Using Tractors

No，of farms
Hours of man labor

```
Costs:
        Feed
    Man labor
    Shelter
    Equipment
    Interest
    Miscellaneous cash
    Depreciation
            Total cost
Credits:
    Nanure
    Miscellaneous
        Total crecit
Net cost
Hours worked
Cost per hour, cents
Crop acres per horse
Feeds:
    Hay, lb.
    Pasture days
```

    Grain, lb. 3229
    Farms not Usi ng Tractors

No，of farms
Hours of man labor

Costs：

## Feed

Man labor
Shelter
Equipment
Interest
Miscellaneous cash

Depreciation
Total cost
Credits：
Mranure
Miscellaneous
Total credit
Net cost
Hours worked
Cost per hour
urop acres per horse
Feeds：
Hay， $1 b$.
Grain，lb．
Pasture days

10
$57 \frac{3}{4}$

|  | 1930 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hiverage |  |


| $\$ 59.55$ |
| ---: |
| 17.32 |
| 5.48 |
| 5.25 |
| 4.82 |
| .49 |
| 8.67 |
| 101.53 |


| $\$ 4.41$ |
| ---: |
| .22 |
| 4.63 |
| 96.95 |


| $\$ 41.03$ | $\$ 51.59$ | $\$ 23.96$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14.40 | 22.11 | 7.77 |
| 6.00 | 9.67 | 1.80 |
| 3.73 | 6.62 | 1.28 |
| 4.73 | 6.93 | 2.55 |
| .47 | 1.35 | .04 |
| 8.18 | 20.00 | -1 |
| $\$ 78.54$ | $\$ 106.24$ | $\$ 46.65$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ 3.75$ | $\$ 10.50$ | 4.89 |
| 1.12 | 7.30 | - |
| 4.87 | -11.50 | .89 |
| $\$ 73.67$ | $\$ 104.24$ | $\$ 35.47$ |
| $814 \frac{3}{4}$ | 1087 | 605 |
| 9.1 | 15.3 | 4.7 |
| 28.7 | 31.4 | 21.9 |
|  |  |  |
| 3115 | 5714 | 1766 |
| 2642 | 4350 | 1651 |
| 162 | 198 | 110 |


| $\$ 41.03$ | $\$ 51.59$ | $\$ 23.96$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14.40 | 22.11 | 7.77 |
| 6.00 | 9.67 | 1.80 |
| 3.73 | 6.62 | 1.28 |
| 4.73 | 6.93 | 2.55 |
| .47 | 1.35 | .04 |
| 8.18 | 20.00 | -1 |
| $\$ 78.54$ | $\$ 106.24$ | $\$ 46.65$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ 3.75$ | $\$ 10.50$ | 4.89 |
| 1.12 | 7.30 | - |
| 4.87 | -11.50 | .89 |
| $\$ 73.67$ | $\$ 104.24$ | $\$ 35.47$ |
| $814 \frac{3}{4}$ | 1087 | 605 |
| 9.1 | 15.3 | 4.7 |
| 28.7 | 31.4 | 21.9 |
|  |  |  |
| 3115 | 5714 | 1766 |
| 2642 | 4350 | 1651 |
| 162 | 198 | 110 | $2{ }^{-}$

12
48
$73 \frac{3}{4}$

$$
139
$$

| $\$ 67.61$ |
| ---: |
| 17.38 |
| 7.95 |
| 6.73 |
| 5.50 |
| .67 |
| 11.67 |
| 117.51 |

5.05
1.52
-6.57
$\$ 110.94$

$$
4
$$

945
11.8
28.2

3582
${ }_{4}{ }^{2} 094$
125

11 47

11 $53 \frac{1}{2}$ $73 \frac{1}{2}$ $31 \frac{1}{2}$
－

| 患19．46 |
| ---: |
| 16.02 |
| 6.75 |
| 3.75 |
| 4.92 |
| .38 |
| 7.97 |
| 489.26 |

$$
4,64
$$

$$
\text { W. } 60
$$

$\$ 94.31$
1.75
64.55
$916 \frac{1}{2}$ 1317 $\frac{1}{2}$

699
9.2
13.1
7.2

㤟．4．47
9.46
3.38
1.43
3.08
.06
$\frac{.99}{\square}$

$$
\% 10.33
$$

$$
-\frac{.48}{5.12}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2.03 \\
-10.33
\end{array}
$$

$$
\$ 84.14
$$

28.2

3766
6354
1972
3504 4120 20ヶ4
$148 \quad 188 \quad 70$

## Cost per here of Producing Husked Corn

|  | 1929 | 1930 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Iverage | Ifigh | IOW |
| No．of farms | 24 | 24 | － | － |
| Leres per farm | 96 | 97 | 181 | 42 |
| Man labor，hours | 13：3 | $12 \frac{3}{4}$ | $21 . \frac{1}{3}$ | $8 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Horse work，hours | 40， | $35 \frac{1}{4}$ | $56 \frac{3}{7}$ | $17 \frac{1}{x}$ |
| Tractor use，hours | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | － |
| Total cost－man，horse \＆tractor | \＄9．45 | \＄8．27 | 612.41 | \＄6．23 |
| Seed | ． 42 | ． 42 | ． 63 | .27 |
| Husker | ． 37 | ． 47 | 1.47 | － |
| Manure | 1.75 | 1.30 | 4.09 | ． 33 |
| Machinery | ． 95 | ． 95 | ． 95 | ． 95 |
| Land charge | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | \＄${ }_{\$} 18.94$ | il 18.01 | \＄22．95 | \＄75．71 |
| Credit | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Net cost | 17.94 | 17.01 | 21.95 | 14.71 |
| Yield，bu． | 38.0 | 31.9 | 40.1 | 19.9 |
| Cost per bu． | 寝47 | \＄． 54 | 莺． 76 | \％． 43 |
| Dec． 1 price | ． 56 | ． 48 | ． 46 | ． 50 |
| Crop value at Dec． 1 price | 21.28 | 15.31 | 19.23 | 9.55 |
| Net return | 3.34 | －1．70 | 1.70 | －5．74 |
| Return per hour of man labor | ． 54 | ． 12 | ． 46 | none |

## Cost per 2 cre of Producing Oats

| No．of farms | 22 | 22 | － | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scres per farm | 65 | 63 | 170 | 27 |
| Man labor，hours | $7 \frac{1}{4}$ | 7 | 10，$\frac{1}{4}$ | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Horse work，hours | $15 \frac{3}{4}$ | $14 \frac{3}{1}$ | $20 \frac{3}{4}$ | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Tractor use，hours | 1／5 | $1 / 5$ | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | － |
| Total cost－man，horse \＆tractor | \＃ 4.12 | \＄3．79 | \％ 5.87 | 䓪2．88 |
| Seed | 1.58 | 1.21 | 1.43 | ． 90 |
| Twine | ． 34 | ． 40 | ． 52 | ． 27 |
| Threshing | 1.21 | 1.11 | 1.54 | ． 73 |
| Manure | ． 89 | ． 76 | 1.86 | ． 18 |
| Machinery | ． 95 | ． 95 | ． 95 | ． 95 |
| Land charge | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | \＄15．09 | \＄14．22 | \＄16．83 | \＄ |
| Yield，bu． | 50.7 | 53.5 | 08.4 | 35.6 |
| Cost per bu． | \＄． 29 | \＄． 27 | \＄． 43 | \％． 21 |
| Dec． 1 price | ． 36 | ． 24 | ． 24 | ． 24 |
| Crop value at Dec． 1 price | 18.25 | 12.84 | 16.41 | 8.54 |
| Net return | 3.16 | －1．38 | 2.03 | －6．91 |
| Return per hour of man labor | ． 74 | ． 10 | ． 67 | none |

## Cost per icre of Producing Barley.

|  | 1929 | 1930 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ıverage | Average | High | LOW |
| No. of farms | 16 | 15 | - | - |
| icres per farm | 30 | 31 | 54 | 10 |
| Nian labor, hours | $6 \frac{3}{4}$ |  |  | 84 |
| Horse work, hours | 15 | $16 \frac{3}{4}$ | $47 \frac{1}{2}$ | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Tractor use, hours | - | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1- $\frac{3}{1}$ | - |
| Total cost - man, horse \& tractor | \$3.89 | \$4.15 | \%.80 | \$2.27 |
| Seed | 1.49 | 1.06 | 1.34 | .77 |
| Twine | . 36 | . 34 | . 46 | . 11 |
| Threshing | . 99 | . 80 | 1.14 | . 46 |
| Nanure | . 96 | . 73 | 1.55 | . 14 |
| Machinery | . 95 | . 95 | . 95 | . 95 |
| Land charge | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | \$14.64 | \$14.03 | \$19.08 | \$12.15 |
| Yield, bu. | 32.2 | 29.0 | 44.1 | 15.4 |
| Cost por bu. | \%.45 | \%. 48 | 9.90 | \$.36 |
| Dec. 1 price | . 49 | . 38 | . 38 | . 38 |
| Crop value at Dec. 1 price | 15.78 | 11.04 | 16.76 | 5.85 |
| Net return | 1.14 | -2.99 | . 64 | -8.07 |
| Return per hour of man labor | . 47 | none | . 41 | none |

Cost per Acre of Producing Flax

| No. of farms | 8 | 13 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licres per farm | 28 | 30 | 65 | 13 |
| Man labor, hours | 8 | 8 | $11 \frac{1}{4}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Horse work, hours | 23 | $18 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24 $\frac{1}{4}$ | 12 |
| Tractor use, hours | - | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | - |
| Total cost - man, horse \& tractor | \$5.16 | 芴4.85 | \$8.12 | $\$ 3.37$ |
| Seed | 2. 21 | 2.57 | 4.09 | . 88 |
| Twine | . 22 | . 26 | . 42 | - |
| Threshing | 1.64 | 1.65 | 2.70 | . 28 |
| Nianure | . 77 | . 72 | 1.27 | - |
| Nachine | . 99 | . 94 | . 95 | . 78 |
| Land charge | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Net cost | \$16.99 | \$16.99 | \$19.49 | \$14.15 |
| Yield, bu. | 11. 2 | 13.0 | 19.7 | 2.0 |
| Cost per bu. | \%1. 50 | \$1.31 | \%7.07 | \%. 87 |
| Dec. 1 price | 2.83 | 1,48 | 1.48 | 1.48 |
| Crop value at Dec. 1 price | 31.84 | 19.24 | 29.16 | 2.96 |
| Net return | 14.85 | 2.25 | 11.85 | -11.19 |
| Return per hour of man labor | 2.16 | . 58 | 1.67 | none |

Cost per Acre of Producing ilfalfa Hay

|  | $\frac{1929}{\text { Average }}$ | 1930 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LVerage | High | Low |
| No. of farms | 17 | 17 | - | - |
| -seres per farm | 13 | 14 | 38 | 4 |
| Man labor, hours | 103 | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20 | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Horse work, hours | 16\% | $15^{3}$ | 26-1 | 10 |
| Total cost - manschorse | \$5.31 | \%4.55 | \%8.92 | \$2. 84 |
| Sced | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Manure \& fertilizer | 2.16 | 1.30 | 3.42 | . 50 |
| Machine | 1.63 | 1.53 | 2.10 | 1.50 |
| Land charge | 6.00 | 6.00 | c. 00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | \$16.10 | \$14.38 | $\therefore 18.28$ | \$11.98 |
| Credit | . 14 | . 29 | 2.62 | - |
| Net cost | 15.96 | 14.09 | 18.28 | 10,26 |
| Yield, tons | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 | . 6 |
| Cost per ton | \%7.98 | \$8.80 | \% 4.66 | \$21.08 |

Cost per Acre of Producing Wild Hay

| No. of farms | 15 | 12 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| icres per farm | 22 | 27 | 49 | 6 |
| Man labor, hours | 53. | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ | 7 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Horse work, hours | $8 \frac{4}{4}$ | 9 | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total cost, man \& horse | \$2.62 | \$2.49 | \%3.36 | \%1.55 |
| Machine cost | . 90 | . 85 | . 87 | . 85 |
| Land charge | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Total cost | \$8.52 | §8.34 | 9.21 | \$ ${ }_{\text {\% }} 7.40$ |
| Yield, tons | 1.00 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| Cost per ton | 8.52 | \$6.95 | 13.35 | \$5.08 |

## Cost per Acre of Producing Corn Fodder

|  | $\frac{1929}{\text { Average }}$ | 1930 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | High | Low |
| No, of farms | 12 | 15 | - | - |
| Acres per farm | 8 | 13 | 37 | 4 |
| Man labor, hours | $13 \frac{1}{4}$ | 13 | $20 \frac{3}{4}$ | 9 |
| Horse work, hours | 30 | 30 | 491 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 181 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Tractor use, hours | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 3 | - |
| Totel cost. - man, horse \& tractor | \$7.93 | \$7.88 | \$11.24 | \$5.43 |
| Seed | 1.01 | . 63 | 1.14 | . 29 |
| I'wine | . 63 | . 50 | . 81 | . 25 |
| Nanure | 1.58 | 1.69 | 3.97 | . 22 |
| Nachine | 1.65 | 1.65 | 1.65 | 1.65 |
| Land charee | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | \$18.80 | \$18.26 | \$24.11 | \$14.63 |
| Yield, tons | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Cost per ton | \$5.70 | \$ 10.52 | \% 14.88 | \% 5.70 |

Cost per Acre of Producing Corn Silage

| No. of farms | 8 | 6 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres per farm | 16 | 21 | 33 | 11 |
| Man labor, hours | $21 \frac{3}{4}$ | 1712 | 23 | $14 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Horse work, hours | $43 \frac{1}{4}$ | 41 | $52 \frac{1}{2}$ | $29 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Tractor use, hours | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - |
| Total cost - man, horse \& tractor | \$ ${ }_{\text {W }} 13.39$ | \$10.49 | \$12.67 | \$8. 73 |
| Seed | . 71 | . 60 | . 84 | . 34 |
| Twine | . 48 | . 40 | . 63 | - |
| Manure | 3.10 | 1.72 | 3.41 | . 64 |
| Silo filling | 2.53 | 1.95 | 2.44 | 1.30 |
| Machine | 1.56 | 1.53 | 1.65 | . 95 |
| Lend charge | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | \$27.77 | \%22.69 | \$27.35 | \$20.90 |
| Credit* | 1.24 | . 54 | 1.90 | - |
| Net cost | 26.53 | 22.15 | 25.45 | 20.15 |
| Yield, tons | 7.3 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 3.4 |
| Cost per ton | \$3.63 | \%4.34 | \%6. 18 | \$3.54 |

*Credit for corn picked up after corn binder.

Sumery of Tractor Expenses－Rock \＆Nobles County 1930

| Farm No． | Man <br> hrs． <br> ser－ <br> Vic－ <br> ing | Gals，of Fuel |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 011 \\ & \mathrm{gal} . \end{aligned}$ | Depre－ c1a－ tion | Man Labor | Fuel | 011 | Misc． cash | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { at } \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | Use of auto | Total expense | Firs．Wrd， |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ges． | Kerosene | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { til- } \\ & \text { late } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { bar } \end{aligned}$ | Be1t | Total |
| 001 | 501 | 418 | 125 | 450 | 50 | \＄50．00 | ＋15．08 | \＄118．90 | \＄ 17.53 | \＄15．00 | \＄36． 25 | \＄． 78 | \＄253． 54 | 608 | 37 | 245 |
| 208 | 13 \％ | 777 | 15 | － | 40 | 80.00 | 3.98 | 119.06 | 26． 71 | 2.85 | 19.50 | ． 69 | 252． 79 | $345 \frac{1}{4}$ | 98 | 4431 |
| 218 | 10 妾 | 630 | － | － | 43 | 100，00 | 3.15 | 98，93． | 20.43 | 1.15 | 35.00 | － | 258， 68 | 34012 | 71 | $411 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 107 | 16 | 160 | 57 | － | 9 | 25，00 | 4，80 | 30.15 | 6.15 | 1.00 | 4.88 | ． 54 | 72.52 | 111 | － | 111 |
| 123 | 26\％ | 143 | － | － | 10 | 20.00 | 7.95 | 18，09 | 7.38 | － | 1.75 | ． 84 | 56.01 | － | 751 | $75 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 318 | 21 | 1050 | 75 | － | 524 | 215，00 | 6.30 | 191.71 | 38.59 | 8.10 | 24.00 | － | 483．70 | 452 | $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 491 |
| Avg． | 23 | 530 | 45 | 75 | 34 | 81.67 | 6.88 | 96.14 | 19.47 | 4.68 | 20.23 | ． 48 | 229．54 | $309 \frac{1}{2}$ | 531 | 383 |
| Three－plow Tractors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 218 | $171 \frac{1}{4}$ | 610 | － | 700 | 181 | 150.00 | 51.38 | 124．41 | 101.33 | 19.98 | 21.25 | 28.98 | 497．33 | $403 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5391 | 943 |
| 118 | 24 | 160 | 882 | － | 35 | 125.00 | 7.20 | 135.71 | 18.75 | 2.75 | 41，88 | 5.70 | 336.99 | 403 ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 218年 | 6221 |
| 201 | － | 500 | － | － | 100 | 20.00 | － | 60.00 | 56.00 | － | 2.25 | － | 138． 25 | － | 186\％ | 186\％ |
| 104 | 29 | 284 | 1035 | 295 | 80 | 200.00 | 8.70 | 215.78 | 43.08 | 7.03 | 35.00 | 2.64 | 512． 23 | 253 | 387 | 840 |
| 502 | 211 | 75 | 698 | － | 30 | 120.00 | 6.38 | 108． 54 | 21．10 | 17．90 | 42.00 |  | 31.5 .92 | 227 $\frac{3}{7}$ | 129\％ | 357\％ |
| 211 | 51 | 55 | － | 1414 | $50 \frac{1}{8}$ | 180.00 | 15.30 | 142.37 | 26.81 | 35.00 | 45.50 | 1.80 | 448.78 | $261 \frac{1}{4}$ | 191妾 | 452 |
| 419 | 2031 | 1285 | － | 100 | 71 | 50.00 | 61.05 | 220.07 | 40.05 | 45.12 | 13.75 | 5.40 | 435.44 | $90 \frac{3}{4}$ | 272 | 362 |
| 401 | 20 | 200 | － | 65 | 50 | 160.00 | 6.00 | 43.80 | 30.00 | 3.30 | 51.00 | ． 60 | 294.70 | 107 | 100 ${ }^{2}$ | 207 |
| Ave． | 65 | 396 | 324 | 322 | 75 | 125． 63 | 19.50 | 131.34 | 42.14 | 16.38 | 31.58 | 5.64 | 372． 21 | 2181 | $253 \frac{1}{4}$ | 4718 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cost } \\ & \text { por } \\ & \mathrm{hx} \end{aligned}$ | Fuel <br> per <br> 10 <br> hrs. <br> gal. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 011 \\ & \text { per } \\ & 10 \\ & \mathrm{hrs}, \\ & \mathrm{gal}, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 39 | 15 | . 8 |
| . 57 | 18 | . 9 |
| . 8 | 15 | 1.0 |
| 85 | 20 | . 8 |
| . 74 | 19 | 1.3 |
| . 98 | 23 | 1.1 |
| . 60 | 18 | 1.0 |
| 53 | 17 | 2.4 |
| 54 | 16 | . 6 |
| 74 | 27 | 5.4 |
| 80 | 25 | 1.2 |
| 88 | 22 | . 8 |
| 99 | 34 | 1.1 |
| 20 | 38 | 2.0 |
| 42 | 13 | 2.4 |
| 89 | 24 | 20 |

SUMARY OF AUTO COSTS - ROCK \& NOBLES COUNTY 1930

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farm } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Man <br> labor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gaso- } \\ & \text { line } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0 i 1$ | License misc. cash | Int. <br> at $5 \%$ | Depreciation | Total costs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Files } \\ & \text { driven } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost per } \\ & \text { mile } \\ & \text { (cents) } \end{aligned}$ | Miles per gal. gasoline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119 | \$1.50 | \$142.68 | \$12.25 | \$23.35 | \$17.50 | \$100.00 | \$297. 28 | 10,000 | 3.0 | 13.1 |
| 219 | 7.05 | 116.07 | 14.30 | 38.45 | 20.62 | 125.00 | 321.49 | 10,000 | 3.2 | 15.0 |
| 302 | 1.05 | 122.74 | 26.25 | 114.75 | 36.88 | 150.00 | 451.67 | 13,666 | 3.3 | 20.8 |
| 213 | 1.80 | 45.05 | 4.75 | 98.85 | 7.50 | 50.00 | 207.95 | 5,000 | 4.2 | 21.1 |
| 104 | 3.15 | 102.80 | 10.89 | 53.12 | 22.50 | 100.00 | 292.46 | 7,000 | 4.2 | 11.6 |
| 502 | 9.22 | 126.49 | 10.43 | 108.02 | 41.25 | 150.00 | 445.41 | 10,000 | 4.5 | 14.1 |
| 501 | 3.38 | 52.26 | 9.30 | 30.65 | 6.88 | 125.00 | 227.47 | 5,000 | 4.6 | 15.6 |
| 102 | 1.65 | 108.04 | 21.33 | 55.62 | 30.00 | 206.50 | 423.14 | 9,000 | 4.7 | 15.2 |
| 319 | 19.05 | 177.54 | 31.23 | 231.64 | 38.12 | 275.00 | 772.58 | 15,984 | 4.8 | 15.7 |
| 202 | 2.62 | 83.84 | 4.51 | 63.47 | 8.75 | 50.00 | 213.19 | 4,362 | 4.9 | 9.4 |
| 211 | 12.75 | 91.37 | 19.00 | 46.58 | 31.25 | 200.00 | 400.95 | 8,000 | 5.0 | 17.2 |
| 401 | 4.50 | 74.88 | 4.20 | 69.00 | 15.00 | 100.00 | 267.58 | 5,000 | 5.4 | 12.0 |
| 12 | 2.10 | 94.47 | 12.71 | 51.38 | 18.12 | 125.00 | 303.78 | 5,090 | 6.0 | 11.7 |
| 118 | 10.28 | 124.73 | 16.92 | 108.30 | 57.50 | 300.00 | 617.73 | 10,000 | 6.2 | 14.0 |
| 218 | 6.75 | 58.72 | 5.50 | 105.50 | 12.50 | 100.00 | 288.97 | 4,170 | 6.9 | 15.0 |
| 107 | 8.32 | 75.99 | 12.75 | 110.08 | 14.38 | 175.00 | 396.52 | 5,000 | 7.9 | 11.4 |
| 113 | 6.82 | 113.23 | 7.85 | 82.60 | 52.50 | 300.00 | 563.00 | 7,000 | 8.0 | 11.4 |
| 201 | -- | 73.10 | 28.00 | 209.35 | 20.62 | 125.00 | 456.07 | 5,600 | 8.1 | 13.0 |
| 301 | 4. 95 | 53.01 | 5.00 | 85.55 | 7.50 | 100.00 | 256.01 | 3,046 | 8.4 | 10.7 |
| 116 | -- | 27.96 | 14.00 | 70,75 | 6.25 | 50.00 | 168.96 | 2,000 | 8.5 | 15.5 |
| 105 | -- | 59.64 | 12.00 | 52.50 | 36.25 | 200.00 | 360.39 | 4,000 | 9.0 | 12.3 |
| 312 | 4.28 | 27.69 | 3.60 | 30.40 | 5.62 | 25.00 | 96.50 | 954 | 10.1 | 6.5 |
| Average | 5.06 | 88.74 | 13.03 | 83.64 | 23.07 | 142.34 | 355.88 | 6,812 | 5.2 | 13.7 |

