

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
Department of Agriculture
and the
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Soil Conservation Service
Cooperating

FARM BUSINESS ANALYSIS SURVEY

OF 30 FARMS IN THE

CLEAR LAKE SOIL CONSERVATION DEMONSTRATION AREA

1942

Cooperator

Mimeographed Report No. 143
Division of Agricultural Economics
University Farm
St. Paul, Minnesota
November 1943

FARM BUSINESS ANALYSIS SURVEY OF 30 FARMS IN THE CLEAR LAKE SOIL CONSERVATION DEMONSTRATION AREA SHERBURNE COUNTY, MINNESOTA 1/ 1942

C. Herman Welch, Jr. 2/

INDEX	
LINDRA	Page
Introduction	. 1
Summary of farm inventories	. 4
Amount of livestock	√' 5
Summary of farm earnings (cash statement)	• 6
Summary of farm earnings (enterprise statement)	• 7
Analysis of the reasons for differences in operator's	٠.
earnings	, 8
Measures of farm organization and management efficiency. Thermometer chart	
Distribution of acres in farm	. 14
Yield of crops	. 15
Feed costs for horses and other power and machinery expense items	
Livestock production and returns	
Family living from the farm	. 17
Summary of comparable data for 1941 and 1942	. 18

INTRODUCTION

Source of Data

During the fall of 1941 the Soil Conservation Service established a soil conservation demonstration project in the Clear Lake area of Sherburne County. Technical assistance was made available to farmers in establishing wind, water and soil erosion control demonstrations on their farms.

This report is based upon a survey made of 30 of approximately 65 farms operated in the demonstration area and covers the period April 1, 1942 to April 1, 1943. Included in the survey was a record of inventories, farm expenses and receipts, farm produce used in the house, unpaid family labor, crop acreages and production and livestock numbers and production. Similar information for the preceding year was summarized in mimeographed report No. 135.

^{1/} The Division of Agricultural Economics, University of Minnesota, and the Soil Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture, cooperated in this study.

^{2/} Project Supervisor, Economic Research, Soil Conservation Service.

Description of Area

The project area consists of approximately 23,000 acres located in parts of Clear Lake, Haven, and Palmer townships in Sherburne County. The southern two-thirds of the area along the Mississippi River is a sand plain that extends back to the gently undulating area north of the Elk River. The soil is characterized by a very dark greyish loamy sand to sandy loam from 6 to 12 inches in thickness underlain with sand or mixed sand and gravel. The depressions in the northern part of the area often have a peaty surface and are frequently underlain with marl. The level, open cropland provides little protection from the sweeping effects of the wind. Drifted sand along fence rows and other barriers are visible evidence of wind erosion.

Numerous county and State aid roads provide easy access to all-weather State highways, over which farm produce is hauled to markets in St. Cloud, St. Paul. Minneapolis and South St. Paul. The area is also served by the Northern Pacific Railroad.

The annual precipitation in the area is 26.4 inches, of which 65 percent occurs between April 1 and September 1. Precipitation during the period April 1, 1942 and April 1, 1943 was about normal, being only one-half inch less than the 66-year average. Temperatures were below normal throughout the entire year, except during the month of April. The last killing frost was May 16 and the first killing frost was September 24, giving a frost-free period of 131 days, which is 10 days less than average for the area. The prevailing wind is from the northwest, although south winds are frequent during the summar and fall.

Table 1. Monthly and Annual Precipitation and Temperature, St. Cloud, Minnesota,

April 1, 1942 to April 1, 1943 Temperature Precipitation Departure Monthly Monthly Departure and annual from from and normal means total no rmal Degrees Degrees Inches Inches +4.3 48.6 -0.01 1.87 1942 April -4.3 4.47 52.0 +1.08 May -2.9 62.8 3.21 -1.17June -3.2 3.45 -0.11 68.0 July -0.08 67.0 -1.2 3.28 August -5.2 54.2 4.89 +1.47 September 45.7 -3.0 -1.82 October 0.38 -1.0 November 0.16 -1.05 29.2 -5.8 11.0 1,11 +0.57 December 2.8 -7.0 +0.05 0.77 1943 January 12.6 -0.8 0.67 +0.01 February 18.4 -9.0 +0.52 1.61 March -0.54 39.36 -3.3 25.87 Annual

Cool, wet weather in May retarded growth of vegetation, and the planting of corn and other late crops was delayed somewhat. Small grains and grasses did well in June and July, although it was too cool for corn. Hail did considerable damage to crops on many farms in the area. Rains delayed harvesting and threshing.

Method of Presentation

Comparisons are made in the following sections of the report between "Your farm" and the average of the 30 farms included in the study, the 10 most profitable farms, and the 10 least profitable farms. From these comparisons each farm operator can determine the strong and weak points in his farm organization. A study of these data may suggest opportunities for improving the farm business.

Data contained in this report are on the whole farm basis without regard to tenure, i.e., the information is presented as if each farm were owned by its operator. Expenses of the landlord such as real estate taxes, building repairs, insurance, etc., are estimates obtained from the tenant. The unpaid family labor was charged at \$60 per month and the board for hired help at \$25 per month.

Table 2. Distribution of Farm Inventories (Beginning of Year), 1942

Table 2. Distribution of f	arm inve	mortes (r	segmung or re	BRI), 1976
		Average	10 most	10 least
	Your	of 30	profitable	profitable
Items	farm	farms	farms	farms
Size of farm (acres)		378	636	256
Size of business (work units) $\frac{1}{2}$		590	910	454.
Horses	•	\$298	\$403	\$292
Productive livestock (total)	-	2464	3895	1560
Dairy and dual purpose cows	•	95 1	1313	730
Other dairy and dual pur. cattle		570	794	430
Beef cattle (including feeders)		176	4g9	39
Hogs		579	1037	265
Sheep		65	105	5
Poultry		123	157	91
Crops, seed and feed		5 7 7	1027	401
Machinery and equipment (total)		1926	3010	1185
Power machinery (farm share)		765	1182	1103
Crop and general machinery	***************************************	987	1538	· 663
Livestock equipment and supplies		17 ¹	290	119
Buildings, fencing, etc.		4153	5368	3836
Land		4917	6820	4091
Total farm capital		14335	20523	11365

^{1/} The total "work units" for any one farm is a measure of size of farm business and accounts for both the amount of livestock and the acres of crops. It is the accomplishment of a farm worker in a ten-hour day working on crops and productive livestock at average efficiency.

The number of work units for each animal and each acre of crops used in this report are listed as follows:

		No. of			No. of
Item	Per	work units	Item	Per	work units
Dairy and dual	COW	14.0	Small grain	acre	0.8
purpose cows			Soybeans for grain	. 11	1.0
Other dairy & dual	.)	71 • O	Soybeans, hogged off	11	•6
purpose cattle)animal		Sweet corn	11	2.5
Beef breeding herd	l)unit*	4 . 0	Corn, grain	Ħ	1.8
Sheep - farm flock	:)	1.6	Corn, silage	11	2.1
Hens	100 hens	28.0	Corn, fodder	11	1.5
Feeder cattle	head	2.5	Corn, hogged off	11	1.1
Hogs)cwt.	•3	Corn. shredded	Ħ	2.8
Turkeys)produced		Alfalfa hay	11	1.0
		·	Soybean hay	Ħ	1.4
			Other hay and sod crow	os "	•6
		,	Canning peas	11	2.0
			Field peas, hogged off	f 11	. •5
			Potatoes and truck cre		3.8

^{*} Animal unit represents one cow, one bull, one feeder steer or heifer, two head of other cattle, seven head of sheep, fourteen lambs, 2,200 lbs. pork produced, 100 hens, or 1,400 lbs. turkeys produced.

Table 3. Summary of Farm Inventories (End of Year), 1942

Items	Your farm	Average of 30 farms	10 most profitable farms	10 least profitable farms
Horses Productive livestock (total) Dairy and dual purpose cows Other dairy and dual purpose cattle Beef cattle (including feeders) Hogs Sheep Poultry (including turkeys) Crops, seed and feed Machinery and equipment (total) Power machinery (farm share) Crop and general machinery Livestock equipment and supplies Buildings, fences, etc. Land	3	\$ 302 3,208 1,033 682 158 1,118 89 128 714 1,923 726 979 218 4,207 4,917	\$ 393 5,740 1,416 1,187 475 2,326 173 163 1,059 3,169 1,211 1,583 375 5,517 6,820	\$ 313 1,701 851 397 0 326 5 122 396 1,089 347 608 134 3,813 4,091
Total farm capital		15,271	22,698	11,403
Increase in ferm capital		936	2,175	38

Table 4. Summary of Amount of Livestock 10 least Average 10 most Your of 30 profitable profitable Items farm farms farms farms No. horses 4.1 3.6 4.1 No. colts .4 1.2 •7 No. dairy and dual purpose cows 12.8 16.8 10.8 Head other dairy and dual pur. cattle 10.6 15.1 22.7 Head cattle in beef breeding herd 1.0 2.9 0 Net gain in weight, feeder cattle, lbs. 1,133 60 280 Net gain in weight, hogs produced, lbs. 14,109 26,949 5.438 Net gain in weight, turkeys produced, pounds 767 2,300 0 Head sheep (2 lambs equal 1 head) 7.7 13.6 .8 Number hens 138 156 118 Total no. prod. livestock animal units 31.8 50.3 20.7 Percent of total that are: Dairy and dual purpose cows 44.3 34.5 52.8 Other dairy and dual purpose cattle . 24.6 26.8 Beef cattle 1.7 5.1 0 Feeder cattle .8 •9 1.5 Sheen - farm flock 2.6 3.8 •5 Hogs 19.0 24.5 12.3 Turkeys •9 2.8 0 Chickens 6.1 5•3 3.8

Table 5. Surmary of Farm Earnings (Cash Statement), 1942

Table 5. Summary of Farm	Earning			
the first of the second specific production is a second specific to the second specific production of the second specific production is a second specific production of the seco		Average		10 least
NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE		of 30	profitable	•
Items	farm	fe.rms	farms	farms
FARM RECEIPTS	•			
Horses sold	\$	\$ 42	\$ 7 2	\$ 17
Dairy and dual purpose cows sold		219	302	93
Dairy products sold		1011	1532	676
Other dairy and dual our. cattle sold	-	400	₽ 5 2	337
Beef cattle sold (including feeders)	·	154	421	41
Hogs sold		1580	2815	766
Sheep and wool		57	70	11
Poultry sold (including turkeys)		338	840	67
Eggs sold		370	1403	341
Orons sold - corn		48		
· ·			22	121
Crops sold - small grain		105	139	116
Crops sold - other		55	134	13
Power machinery sold		30	49	0
Orcp and general machinery sold		13	50	1
Miscellaneous income		87	225	10
Income from work off the farm		57	8 8	46
A.A.A. payments		11:3	257	79
(1) Total farm sales		4709	78 1 1	2736
(2) Increase in farm capital		936	2175	38
(3) Family living from farm		503	578	441
(h) Total farm receipts (1)+(2)+(3)		6148	10564	3215
		07.40	1090-	י בבי
FARM EXPENSES .		•	v + + == 1	
Horses bought	1	36	46	54
Dairy and dual purpose cows bought	***	30	0	52
Other dairy and dual pur. cattle bought		69	131	21
Beef cattle bought (including feeders)		9	29	0
Hogs bought	- 4.	· 75	97	94
Sheep bought (including feeders)		1	3	o o
Poultry bought (including turkeys)		4 5	64	29
Miscellaneous livestock expenses		-	5 ¹ 4	14
Miscellaneous crop expenses		32		•
		136	216	98
Feed bought .		358	504	181
Power machinery - farm share - new		59	174	3
Power machinery - farm share - upkeep	•	283	433	174
Custom work hired		165	139	140
Orop and general machinery - new	,	98	. 208	27
Crop and general machinery - upkeep		72	126	37
Livestock equipment - new		P.T.	121	31
Livestock equipment - wokeep	*****	8	12	5
Building and fencing - new		181	273	192
Building and fencing - upkeep	- 	710	55	9
Hired labor		231	. 418	
Tames				119
Insurance		229	380	152
		25	- 23	25
General farm		6	8 750)	6
(5) Total farm purchases		2252	356 ¹ 4	1463
(6) Decrease in farm capital		0	0 .	0
(7) Board furnished hired help		1,24	55,4	70
(8) Interest on farm capital*		7 ;ю	1081	569
		lico	504	569
(9) Unpaid family labor		499	7 0 -	J 🗸 J
(9) Unpaid family labor				
		3615 2533	5373 5191	26 71 544

	Your		10 most profitable	10 least profitable
	farm	farms	farms	farms
ETURNS AND NET INCREASES				
BTURNS AND MET INCREASES	in 18 meri 19 an 19 an 19 Timber 19 an 1	i de 1911 de la desemble. L'octobre de la desemble de la des		
Il productive livestock	eria e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	\$ 4996	\$8706	\$2603
		1258	1858	\$59
Dairy and dual purpose cows Other dairy and dual purpose cattle		685	•	441
Beef breeding herd and feeder cattle		103	307	2
Hogs		21.24	4110	799
Sheep		82	135	10
Chickens		50 9	548	492
Turkeys		235 ·	710	0.
rops, seed and feed		135	-16	257
.A.A. payment		143	257	79.
iscellaneous		81 :	111	58
ncome from work off farm	-	57	88	46
MCOME Tion work off latin) l		
1) Total returns and net increase		5412	9146	3043
2, 20001 10001110 card not 2not octo		J	<u></u>	
XPENSES AND NET DECREASES				
	Sanda . S			er greg
otal nower		527	644	505
Hired	1.	77	89	65
Tractor	4-4	164	230	78
Truck	1986	5,1	50	29
Auto (farm share)		116	143	111
Gas engine		8	15	5
Electric plant or current (farm shar	re)	6 .	ž.	6
Horses		132	52 c 115 ca ∈	211
rop and general machinery		178	219	158
wildings and fencing	***********	94	104	167
ivestock equipment	: : . r . F	il. 161. 25 1 vi	. 42	19
liscellaneous productive livestock ex-			The same of the sa	manda araba yang dan mada Sama
penses	100	31	53	12
iscellaneous crop expenses	* *	136	216	98
Real estate texes		21.2	358	138
Personal property tax		17	22	14
nsurance		25	23	∴ 2 5
eneral farm		6	8	6
abor		888	1185	788
nterest on farm capital 5%		740	1081	569
		, a a sa ta ta ta a a		
2) Total expenses and net decrease	. *	2879	3955	2499
3) Operator's labor earnings (1) minu	ls'		Same to the same	
(2)	• • •	2533	5191	544
		, , , ,		-

^{*} Cash receipts and expenses are adjusted for changes in inventory for each enterprise and for each item of expense in order to show total receipts and net increases, and total expenses and net decreases. The operator's labor earnings are the same as those on page 6.

ANALYSIS OF THE REASONS FOR DIFFERENCES IN OPERATOR'S EARNINGS

Operator's labor earnings of the 30 farms in 1942 ranged from a loss of \$779 on the least profitable farm to \$8,077 on the most profitable farm. This was a difference of \$8,856. Average earnings of all farms was \$2,553. Average earnings for the 10 most profitable farms was \$5,191 and for the 10 least profitable farms was \$5,44. The difference between the averages of these two groups was \$4,647. Some of the causes for these differences in earnings are beyond the control of the farmer. However, all of these farmers could make some changes in their farming operations which would increase earnings. The more important management factors affecting farm earnings and their relations with earnings are presented in the following tables.

Relation of Crop Yields to Farm Earnings. As indicated in Table 7, farms with poor crop yields had low earnings and farms with good crop yields had high earnings. Even greater differences in earnings would exist if it had not been for severe hail damage to crops on some of the farms studied. High production per acre up to certain limits tends to lower the cost per bushel of grain or per ton of hay. Any possible method of management that will increase crop yields and therefore lower cost of production more than the expense incurred in securing the higher yields should be given consideration.

High crop yields mean more feed for livestock or more crops to sell. Additional feed means better feeding of the present livestock to increase production or the purchasing of more livestock to consume the extra feed. All this tends to increase farm earnings.

Low crop yields as a result of decreased fertility and damage by wind curtail the total crop production, thus reducing the quantity available to feed or sell.

Smaller sales of livestock, livestock products and crops mean less cash receipts. Reseeding of crops damaged by wind represents added expense. All tend to lower farm earnings.

	Table 7.	Relationsh	ip of Crop Yie.	lds to Farm Earnings
Index -	Crop Yields		Number	Average operator's .
Group	A	verage	of farms	labor earnings
Below 88		80	10	\$2465
88 - 110		97	10	2516
lll or more		123	10	2619

The measure of financial success used in this report is called "Operator's Labor Earnings." Operator's labor earnings represents the returns to the operator for his labor and management. It is the difference between the total farm receipts and total farm expenses. Total farm receipts include farm cash receipts, and credit for increases in farm inventory and farm produce used in the house. Total farm expenses include farm cash expenses, deductions for decreases in inventory, cost of board furnished hired help, interest on farm investment, and a charge for unpaid family labor used in the farm business.

Gross Returns from Productive Livestock. The data in Table 8 indicate that large earnings are obtained on farms having high returns from livestock and as the quality of livestock decreases the earnings also decrease. Because livestock is a major source of income on these farms it is important that the crops raised are fed to good livestock. Feeding poor livestock may mean lower farm earnings than if the crops had been sold directly for cash.

There are a number of reasons for differences among farms in livestock returns. High productivity per animal and economy in the use of feed and labor are important. Other factors of considerable importance are kind of feed used, quality of pastures, balance of ration, degree of sanitation and kind of shelter and equipment.

Index of G	Relationship of Index or ross Returns from ive Livestock	of Gross Returns from F Number of	Productive Livestock Average operator's
Group	Average	farms	labor earnings
Below 89			
89 - 107	99	10	2850
108 or more		10	3041

Amount of Productive Livestock per 100 Acres. The amount of livestock is an important factor only on livestock farms. As shown in Table 9 as the number of animal units of productive livestock per 100 acres increased, operator's earnings increased. It usually pays to increase livestock numbers to what buildings and available labor can handle when livestock return a net profit. On many farms in the Clear Lake area livestock numbers are limited by the amount of available labor, barn room, pasture and feed produced. A few farmers have indicated that the easiest way for them to increase size of business and add to farm earnings with little increase in labor is to rent additional land and put it in small grain, principally rye. As a result these farms have fewer livestock per 100 acres. Consequently they have less manure to use in keeping up the fertility of the land. This is reflected in lower crop yields on the larger farms.

Table 9.	Relationship	of Amount o	of Livestock per	100 Acres t	o Farm Earnings
	of Productive	Livestock	Number		Average
	100 Acres		of \mathbf{of}		operator's
Group		Average	farms*		labor earnings
Below 9.5		8.2	8		\$2117
9.5 - 11.9	•	. 10.7	9		2519
12.0 and more		15.6	8		2759

^{*} Five farms with 55 per cent or more of work units on crops were omitted from averages.

Size of Business. Size of business as measured in terms of work units exerted a marked influence upon farm earnings in 1942. Farms with big businesses had larger earnings than farms with small businesses, Table 10. Earnings were greater because the bigger farm businesses permitted a larger volume of business. When farms are making money it pays to increase size of business as long as there is no material decrease in efficiency of operation.

			Size of		(Work Ur		Farm Earnings
Group	f Work Uni	Average	y tilaya ya ya katali	Number of farms	. , 24 (1)		age operator's r earnings
Below 425	n jaran	338	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	•	181.2	\$1117
425 - 599		497	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	and the second s		1969
600 or more		936		10 ·		11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4514

Work Units per Worker. Full utilization of all available workers on productive work lowers the labor cost per unit of business and helps to increase farm earnings. Partial employment of available labor during this period when labor is expensive and scarce means high labor cost per unit of business and indicates that the farm is not being operated to fullest capacity of labor. Increasing the size of the farm business by operating additional land or keeping more highly productive livestock provides more work for the available laborers. A farm business with labor requirements evenly distributed helps to keep the family labor busy throughout the year and reduces to a minimum the amount of extra labor to be hired.

				Worker to Farm Earnings
Number of Wor. Group		Torker Verage		average operator's labor earnings
oroup	<u> </u>	/erage	of rains	
Below 225		185	10	\$1175
225 - 324 '		262	10	2068
325 or more		H0 H	10.	4356 °

Relationship of Power, Machinery, Equipment and Building Expense to Farm Earnings, This factor works the reverse of those previously mentioned. As the overhead expenses per work unit are reduced, farm earnings tend to increase. Through careful management some of the cash expenses can be reduced. By repairing machinery during slack periods much of the work can be done with the available farm labor and small outlays of cash.

By careful planning the number of work horses kept on some farms could be reduced. This would help to reduce the power expense. Even though many of the horse costs are not cash items they require feed and care that could be devoted to more productive livestock.

Table 12. Relationships of Power, Machinery, Equipment and Building Expense

Expense p	er Work Un	iŧ	Number	Average operator's
Group		Average	of farms	labor earnings
\$1.69 or more		\$2:12	10	\$1369
\$1.21 - \$1.68		1.44	10	2792
\$1.20 or less	or or expenses	•96	•10	6

^{*} Includes building, fencing, all crop machinery and livestock equipment, horse feed and miscellaheous horse expense.

Number of Factors in Which Farmer Excels. From Table 13 it can be seen that a good showing in a large number of factors is associated with high farm earnings. Eight farmers were above average in four or more of the six factors and had the highest earnings (\$5,270) of any group. Eight farmers were above average in none or only one of the factors and had the lowest earnings of any group. Only one farmer was above average in all six factors associated with high earnings and he had the highest earnings.

Too frequently the advantages gained by a good showing in one phase of the farm business are offset by poor results in other parts of the farm business. Physical limitations on some farms, such as small business, poor land, buildings or machinery, may make it impossible to excel in all factors. Yet it is desirable that the farm business be developed to the point where it will return maximum earnings. This can be done by continual study of the farm business.

Table 13. Relationship of Number of Factors in Which Farmer is Above Average to

***		Operator!s Lal	bor Earnings		
Number of factors i	'n	Number	Your		age operator's
which farmer excels	;-	of farms	farm	labo	r earnings
0 or 1	· .	8 <u></u>			\$850
2 or 3		14			1932
4, 5 or 6	•	8		er erjaker Normalis yan arak	5270

. Between the common comment was a planter of the first property of the first contract of the contract of the

🔐 🖟 validado de la completió de la profesión de la profesión

Measures used in chart on page 13		Average our of 30 arm farms		e profitable
Operator's labor earnings		\$2,533	\$5,191	\$ 544
(1) Index of crop yields*		100.0	99•9	98•7
(2) Index of gross returns fro	tock**	100.0	108.1	91.6
(3) Prod. livestock units per	100 acres***_	10.6	9.4	10.5
(4) Size of business - work w	nits	590	.910	406-99-79
(5) Work units per worker	<u> </u>	28,1	379	219
(6) Power, mach., equip. &bldg.ex	p.per work unit \$	\$1.49	\$1.16	\$1. 97
Dual purpose cattle Beef cattle		100 100	113	72. 96
Feeder cattle Hogs Sheep - farm flock Turkeys Chickens		100 100 100 100	103 99	97
Hogs Sheep - farm flock Turkeys Chickens	ivestock tive work	100		97 109 172 222 12
Hogs Sheep - farm flock Turkeys Chickens 4) Work units on crops Work units on productive 1 Work units on other produc	ivestock tive work	100 100 100 100 275 300	99 95 450 435	109 172 222
Hogs Sheep - farm flock Turkeys Chickens 4) Work units on crops Work units on productive 1 Work units on other product 5) Total number of workers Number of family workers	tive work	100 100 100 100 275 300 15	99 95 450 435 25 2•5 1•7	109 172 222 12 2.0 1.7

^{**} Given as a percentage of the average.

** An index weighted by the animal units of livestock.

^{***} Acres in timber not pastured, roads, waste, and farmstead were not included.

Thermometer Chart

Using your figures from page 12 locate your standing with respect to the various measures of farm organization and management efficiency. The averages for the 30 farms included in this summary are located between the dotted lines across the center of this page.

Oper.	Retu	rn Pr. 1.s.	Work	Pow., mach.,
labor		pro- units	units	eq., & bldg.
earn-	Crop duct		per	exp. per
ings	yields live	stock 100 A. units	worker	work unit
		E	= 1	E L
\$5700	140 140	18.5 990	445	\$.70
¥7)		1 -00 E	E	
F700	175	17.5 940	425	80
5300	135 135	17.5 940	467	.80
4900	170	16.5 890 E	405	00 E
4900	130 130	1 10.0	702	•90
4500	125 125	15.5 840	705	1 00 E
+500	125 125	15.5 840	385	1.00
			-	
4100	120 120	14.5 790	365	1.10
3700	115 115	13.5 740	345	1.20
7100 E	**/ET **/E	1 * J * E	7.7	=
3300	110 110	12.5 690	325	1.30
))00 E) E	1.00
2900	105 105	11.5 640	305	1.40
= 1		T 1107 E		E
2500	100 100	10.5 590	285	1.50
-Joo E.				
2200		9.5 540	ocr	2 (0)
5100	95 95	9.5 540	265	1.60
E II.	ElE		= =	
1700	90 90	8.5 490	245	1.70
		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	E	
1300	85 85	7.5 440	225	1.80
	$\cdot E \cdot E$	E	E	
900	80 80	6.5 390	205	1.90
=	F F			
500	75 75	5.5 340	185	2.00
E		I	[
100	70 70	4.5 290	165	2.10
 -	·			-
-300	65 65	3.5 240	145	2.20
E I	FI.F			
<u> </u>				
\bigcup)		

Table 15. Distribution of Acres in Farms, 1942 10 least Average 10 most Number Your of 30 profitable profitable growing this crop farm farms farms farms 11.0. Wheat, winter í.8 .8 3.4 -5 Wheat, spring 22.7 68.8 27 39.3: Oats. 29.6 61.1 111.0 26 ... Rye .8 2.5 2 Canning peas 0 17.7 11 Soybeans for grain 0. 2 Miscellaneous grains 116.1 TOTAL SMALL GRAIN AND PEAS 49.5 33.3 75.5 16.2 30: Corn, grain 23 12.5 7.9 Corn, silage 14.4 22.5 12.9 20 Corn, fodder 1.0 ..6 20 Potatoes •6 .4 13 Misc. cultivated crops 115.2 55.7 TOTAL CULTIVATED CROPS 77.5 12.5 20.5 37.7 Alfalfa hay 2.6 3 •4 1.3 Alfalfa-brome hay . . 8 1.0 2 1.0 Brome hay 2 .8 1.5 Red clover hay 3.2 .2 4 Soybean hay or hogged off 1.1 14 Ö 8 Sweet clover-timothy hay 11.0 2.5 g Timothy 100 Millet or sudan hay 4.5 1.8 Wild hay - tillable 22.6 34.1 59.8 TOTAL TILLABLE LAND IN HAY 0... 10.7 Alfalfa and/or brome pasture 2.0 1.0 1.0 Misc. legume pasture 0 **3**: : •6-4 1.7 Sudan grass pasture 5.0 10.3 16.5 13 Other tillable pasture 7.0 29.9 16.2 TOTAL TILLABLE LAND IN PASTURE. 14.0 10.7 20 **13.5** ° Tillable land not cropped 153.8. TOTAL TILLABLE LAND 254.6 432.8.. 2,7 1.2 Ō, . 2 Phalaris hay (non-tillable) 6.4 9.5 7.1 Wild hay (non-tillable) 17 62.5 27 85.5 145.8 Non-tillable pasture 6 1.2 • 7 2.1 Timber not pastured 40.8 19.9 23.3 Roads and waste 5.1 5.6 8.6 Farmstead 635.8 255.6 TOTAL ACRES IN FARM 377.8

Table 16. Crop Yields per Acre. 1942

	rable 10.	orop liei	Your farm	Average of 30 farms	10 most profitable farms	10 least profitable farms
	The second of the second		•••	30.4		e de esta de la companya de la comp
Wheat, winter		bu.		12.8	11,1	•
Wheat, spring	£ 1	bu.		17.5	07 E	20.1
Oats	TO SHOULD	bu.		21.7	23.5	1)1 E
Rye	** ********	bu.		13,1	12.5 8.0	
Soybeans, grain	• * .	· · · · bu ·		7.7	0.0	er i Tamai
Corn, grain		bu.		37.9	35•5	37.3
Corn, silage		tons		5.14	5.6	5.4
Corn, fodder		···· tons		1.5	1.5	1.5
Potatoes		bu.		97•5	100.4	94.4
10020003	. !			J142		
Alfalfa hay	engg af english	tons		1.4	1.2	1.6
Red clover hay		tons		1.3	المقريف ولاليان	
Soybean hay		tons		1.2	•	verd v e digi (*
Alfalfa-brome hay		tons		1.5	6 8 1 1 2 2 2 2 8 2 3	garage 🕶 e pagis
Timothy hay		tons		•7	•7	•7
Millet hay	1.0	tons		1.4		A STATE OF STATE
Wild hay, non-tillable	е	tons		1.3	1.1	1.2
INDEX - CROP YIELDS		ere i		100.0	99•9	98.7

Table 17. Feed Costs for Horses and Miscellaneous Power and Machinery Expense, 1942

		1 Eur			
Items		Your farm	Average of 29 farms*	profitable	10 least profitable farms
Feed per horse**				_	
Grain			1679	1211	2492
Hay		***************************************	3256	2953	3370
Fodder and stover			1195	1223	1221
			,		
Feed costs per horse	A S			• 10 5 5 Mar.	er vikiris Million
Grain		\$	\$21.85	\$19.55	\$29.23
Roughage		·	12.14		12.02
Pasture	*		1.35	1.43	••• •69
					
TOTAL FEED COST	and the second s	\$	\$35.34	\$31,28	\$41.94
	ga sanagas ni ene iye.	Cate of			10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Number of work horses	moderate process		. 3.6	4.1	4.1
Number of colts			. •7	1.2	• 4
					-1
Crop acres per farm	A Company Street		235.3		145.0
Tractor and horse expen		···	\$1.55	\$. 91	\$2.07
Crop and general machin	e expense per			and a grant of the second of t	d=
	rop acre		•93	•70	\$1.06
	and and the all the arts	Marketta (1944)			

^{*} One farm did not have horses.

^{**} Two colts equal one horse.

Table 18. Livestock Numbers, Produ				,* 1942
and the second s			10 most	10 least
	Your	of 30	-	profitable
	farm	farms	fa,rms	farms
The state of the s	and a second proper and these	المحالف مناطبين	a commission of the desirence of the second	
DAIRY CATTLE	• •	_1.	_	
Number of farms reporting		14	5	4
Gross returns per cow			\$110.47	\$78.34
Pounds butterfat per dairy cow	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	225	199	171
Number head dairy cows	 	13.5	13.0	11.6
Gross return per head other dairy cattle		\$47.50		\$38.97
Gross return per a.u. all dairy cattle	 -	\$100.97	\$95.43	\$73.06
Number animal units all dairy cattle		21.2	20.8	17.2
DUAL PURPOSE CATTLE				
Number of farms reporting	•	16	5	6
Gross returns per cow			\$119.69	\$77.32
Pounds butterfat per dual purpose cow		166	5 /14	160
Number head dual purpose cows		13.0	23.4	10.3
Gross ret. per head other dual pur cattle		\$40.58	\$37.10	\$46.06
Gross ret. per animal unit all d.p.cattle	47.655	\$79.46	\$87.44	\$76.62
No. animal units all dual purpose cattle		20.5	41°7	15.8
PRICE RECEIVED PER LB. BUTTERFAT SOLD AS -				
Manufacturing cream (cents)	.*	46.9	47.9	46.1
No. reporting mfg. cream sold	····	28	41. 0	10
Retail milk (cents)		46.7	47.0	0
No. reporting retail milk sold		3		
SHEEP - FARM FLOCK	* *			· •
Number of farms reporting	`	6	α 3	garante de la Caracteria de la Caracteri
Gross return per head**		\$10.68	\$10.60	~
Number head sheep		38.3	45•3	-
HOGS			a a series	
Number of farms reporting		29	10	10
Gross return per cwt. prod.		\$114.95	\$15.29	\$14.43
Total lbs. hogs prod.		14,511	26,949	5438
Price received per cwt. sold		\$13.52	\$13.62	\$13.80
CHICKENS			*:	and the state of
Number of farms reporting	•	20	0	30
Gross return per hen		29 \$3.68	\$3 .51	10 \$4.02
Number hens		Ψ J. 00	173	118
Eggs laid per hen		123	116	134
Price rec'd. per dozen eggs sold (cents)		33.2	30.5	30.0
TURKEYS	· 		JJ	eren Brak iki i
The state of the s		2	2	^
Number of farms reporting		\$200 -02	2 \$24:02	, O
Gross return per cwt. prod. Lbs. turkeys produced		\$28.92	\$28.92 11.242	
Top' Antrola hindred		11,64)	## # 646	a Tama

Gross returns is the net increase or decrease in the value of animal plus returns from products sold if any.

^{**} Two lambs equal one head.

A Transport TV Community Community Community Community			Your farm	Average of 30 farms	Farm, 1942 10 most profitable farms	10 least profitable farms
Quantities			,		e de la companya de l	2012 M. 184
Whole milk	Ç	qts.		1031	8,40	115 2
Cream		pts.		391	457 -	500 3 31 00 37
Farm-made butter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lbs.		6		3
Eggs	• • •	doz.	******	186	138	217
Poultry		lbs.	, 	120	183	103
Cattle		lbs.	····	219	293	215
Hogs		lbs.		59 2	750	475
Sheep		lbs.	++		10	
Farm fuel		cds.		7,2	10.2	5.4
<u>Values</u> Whole milk				\$44 _• 51	\$34 . 52	\$48.17
Cream Cream	1			49.09	58 .7 4	42.42
Farm-made butter	• .	•		2.68	70•∤∓ ~-	1.15
•	• • •			54.89	40.68	64.02
Eggs Poultry				20.86	32.24	17.69
Cattle	•		-	21.93	29.30	21.50
	•		1000	81.06	102.75	65.98
Hogs	• .			40	1.20	
Sheep my very and from Vegetables and from	4 +	•		44 47	58 . 00	35.50
Farm fuel	TT O July 1 minus			39.60	55.40	²⁸ ,95
Rental value of ho	use*	1 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		143.02	165.10	115.60
Total	ger se e g			502.51	577 • 93	71710•38

o i i i jo odkad Bi o i i gorio To i i gorio

ngeri antensa Halinder nger Ngeri kearang Halinda

^{*} Computed at 10 percent of value of house.

A Medical Report August 199				1941 A ver age	1942 Average
ranger (n. 1945) er en				W farms	30 farms
ARM RECEIPTS				\$2640	\$4171
Livestock and livestock	products		,		
Crops	17		* * ** *	429	208
Miscellaneous		· ·	•	398	330
Total Sales		Commence of the second		\$3467	\$4709
Increase in farm capit		Profession and a second	•	1065	936
Family living from far	rm	A second of the second of	•	437	503
Total farm receipts	r e		•	\$4969	\$6148
		*	• Service of		
ARM EXPENSES		er de seguine per de segui	•		.
Livestock purchases	. •	Servet in a server was a	•	\$ 248	\$ 265
Feed purchases		. •		300	358
Crop expenses				80	136
Machinery and power				747	749
Buildings and fences		100 a a feat.		i66	221
Hired labor	•			194	231
Miscellaneous				269	292
Total farm purchases		***********		\$2004	\$2252
Decrease in farm capit	al ·	********* * * * **********************		0	0
Board furnished hired		term of the age		42	124
•	-	Maria est la pro-		615	740
Interest on farm capit	·ST	*** * **			499
Unpaid family labor		Market and a contract of the dest		364 \$7035	
Total farm expenses				\$3025	\$3615 \$3573
Operator's labor earns	ings	41.64 x w up.n.t		\$19 44	Φ ∠955
			,	16	
0.00 g () 14 c	4.5				
	• • •	• • •	(
		37 1 7 3		by Years	
Table	e 21. GI	cob riero	s per Acre	00 -001-0	سميد حجوب في المستمل المستمل المتناب المتناب المتناب المتناب المتناب المتناب المتناب المتناب المتناب
Table	e 21. GI	cop riera	s per Acre	1941	1942
Table	8 21° GI	cop liele	s per Acre	1941 Average	Average
Table	9, ST.*. QI	cop ileta	s per Acre	1941	
	9. 51.* GI	cop ileta	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms	Average 30 farms
neat	9 21. 61	cop ileta	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms	Average 30 farms
neat ats		rop ilela	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7
neat ats		rop ilela	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms	Average 30 farms
heat ats ye		rop Tield	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1
neat ats ye orn, grain	3 21. GI	rop liela	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1
neat ats ye orn, grain orn, silage	3 21. GI	rop liela	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3 26.5 6.0	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1 37.9 5.4
neat ats ye orn, grain orn, silage	3 21. GI	cop lield	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1
heat ats ye orn, grain orn, silage orn, fodder	3 21. GI	cop lield	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3 26.5 6.0 1.5	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1 37.9 5.4 1.5
neat ats ye orn, grain orn, silage orn, fodder		cop Tield	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3 26.5 6.0 1.5	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1 37.9 5.4 1.5
neat ats ye orn, grain orn, silage orn, fodder lfalfa hay oybean hay		cop Tield	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3 26.5 6.0 1.5	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1 37.9 5.4 1.5 1.4 1.2
neat ats ye orn, grain orn, silage orn, fodder lfalfa hay oybean hay illet hay ild hay		cop Tield	s per Acre	1941 Average 44 farms 11.7 22.3 10.3 26.5 6.0 1.5	Average 30 farms 13.9 21.7 13.1 37.9 5.4 1.5

Table 22. Distribution of Acres in Farms by Years

Table 22.	Distribution of	of Acres	in Farms by Years	
	•		1941	1942
			Average	Average
		,	44 farms	30 farms
Wheat			4.9	7•5
Oats			30.5	39.3
Rye			65.2	61.1
Miscellaneous			4.3	8.2
Total small grains			104.9	116.1
Com			68.1	76.4
Other cultivated crops			1.1	1.1
Total cultivated crops			69.2	77.5
Alfalfa			17.9	20.5
Other tame hay			14.9	13.6
Total tame hay			32.8	34.1
Tillable pasture	,		13.0	16.2
Tillable land not croppe	ā.			10.7
Total tillable land			21.8 241.7	254.6
Wild hay			6.7	7.6
Non-tillable pasture	•		91.5	85.5
Timber roads and waste			17.9	24.5
Farmstead			6.2	5.6
Total acres in farm		•	364.0	377.8
			JO 100	J1140

Table 23. Livestock	Information by Years	
	1941	1942
	Average	Average
	44 farms	30 farms
Dairy Cattle		
Number cows	11.6	13.5
Pounds of butterfat per cow	191	225
Gross returns per cow	\$101.53	\$111.82
Animal units all dairy cattle	16.9	21.2
Gross return per animal unit	\$86.67	\$100.97
Dual Purpose Cattle		
Number cows	13.1	13.0
Pounds of butterfat per cow	153	166
Gross return per cow	\$80.40	\$79.51
Animal units all dual purpose cattle	20•9	20.5
Gross return per animal unit	\$71.66	\$79. 46
Swine		
Pounds of hogs produced	11,328	14,511
Gross return per 100 lbs.	\$11.46	\$14.95
Poultry		
Number hens	134	140
Eggs per hen	96	123
Gross return per hen	\$2.56	\$3.68
	· ·	