

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

### This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

### Supermarkets, Farm Assets, and Technology Choices: a Duration Analysis of Horticultural Growers in Nicaragua

Ricardo Hernandez<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Reardon<sup>1</sup>, Francisco Perez<sup>2</sup> and Jennifer Wiegel<sup>3</sup> 1. Department of Food, Agricultural, and Resource Economics, Michigan State University 2. Nitlapan Research Institute, Universidad Centroamericana UCA 3. University of Wisconsin-Madison <u>hernan79@anr.msu.edu</u>

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2011 AAEA & NAREA Joint Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 24-26, 2011

Copyright 2011 by Ricardo Hernandez, Thomas Reardon, Francisco Perez and Jennifer Wiegel. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.





Ricardo Hernandez<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Reardon<sup>1</sup>, Francisco Perez<sup>2</sup> and Jennifer Wiegel<sup>3</sup> 1. Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics, Michigan State University 2. Nitlapan Research Institute, Universidad Centroamericana (UCA) 3. University of Wisconsin



### Introduction

Market participation has been recognized as a main driver of economic development in rural areas of the world. Rural development has expanded market access and participation for small farm

households, shifting from production of traditional/staple goods to horticultural goods for urban and foreign markets.

However, not every smallholder who has been exposed to the opportunity of adoption decides to participate, and if they participate, many withdraw from markets after a short period of participation.

Studies of the adoption of horticultural markets have commonly focused on the dichotomous decision of market participation by estimating limited dependent variable models, and without considering the dynamic nature of the adoption process.

In addition, dichotomous models fail to examine the diffusion of market participation over time. Not all farm households who adopt new, presumably more profitable market channels (such as supermarkets) remain as suppliers of the new market over time.

### Objective

We used a duration analysis approach to:


1. Identify the determinants of participation in the supermarket channels by small tomato farmers in Nicaragua, and

2. Once smallholders have adopted the supermarket channel, analyze the factors



that influence the decision to remain as supermarket suppliers in the future.

# Supermarkets, Farm Assets, and Technology Choices: a Duration Analysis of Horticultural Growers In Nicaragua.



Methods

We estimate the smallholder's decision

to adopt (and withdraw) from the supermarket channel using a hazard model framework, in which, the preadoption and adoption spells are analyzed in relation to a set of timevarying and time-invariant covariates. We use a panel constructed from a random sampled of tomato growers taken in 2004 and revisited in 2010.

### Implementation

In the first stage, we estimate a parametric hazard function with a Weibull distribution  $h(t) = \lambda(x)^{\rho} \rho t^{\rho-1}$ 

using the Accelerated Failure Time (AFT) model transformation for its simplicity to interpret results.  $log(t) = \beta' X + \sigma \varepsilon$ 

### Results

	Adoption Spell		Withdrawal Spell	
	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
Observations	646		124	
Lagged (1 year) tomato price per lb. at the				
municipality level	4.345*	(2.300)	-1.883*	(1.132
Distance to the nearest ag-inputs distribution store	-0.011	(0.011)	0.009	(0.007
Age of the head of the household (HHH)	-0.007	(0.009)	0.021***	(0.005
Years of education of the HHH	-0.028	(0.030)	-0.022	(0.018
Average years of education taken within the adult				
members of the HH	-0.029	(0.031)	0.056**	(0.022
HHH is female	-0.509	(0.351)	-0.172	(0.23)
Number of adults (14 to 60 years old) in the HH	-0.044	(0.062)	-0.064	(0.042
Share of adults working in local off farm				
employment	-1.175***	(0.451)	-0.505	(0.326
Lagged (1 year) participation in a production				
cooperative by any adult member of the HH	-0.222	(0.246)	-0.331*	(0.172
Total owned arable land in Ha	0.116*	(0.064)	0.003	(0.048
Total owned arable land squared	-0.010**	(0.005)	-0.004	(0.003
Total value of livestock holdings (USD)	-0.000	(0.000)	0.000	(0.000
Lagged (1 year) farm assets index	0.172	(0.168)	0.218	(0.23)
Lagged (1 year) non farm productive assets index	-0.371**	(0.175)	0.479***	(0.178
Lagged (1 year) durable assets index	0.430***	(0.129)	-0.048	(0.110
Distance to the nearest wholesale market (kms)	0.001	(0.001)	-0.001	(0.001
Distance to the nearest local market (kms)	0.000	(0.005)	-0.007**	(0.003
Distance to the village center (kms)	-0.002	(0.008)	-0.004	(0.005
Constant	2.759***	(0.741)	0.015	(0.418
ho	1.780		2.239	
$\sigma = 1/\rho$	0.562		0.447	
Observations	646		124	
LR Chi <sup>2</sup> (18)	35.36		86.99	
$Prob > Chi^2$	0.009		0.000	

### On Adoption:

- price is high.
- and market diversification.
- Households with larger land holdings are less interested in adopt a new market channel.

### On withdrawal :

- is high.
- as supermarket suppliers.
- external support finished.

Farm households are very sensitive to price changes, as fluctuations in the traditional price affects the household's decision to adopt/withdraw from supplying supermarkets The results show a link between local nonfarm and market participation, suggesting that income diversification bolsters participation in modern markets.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the following institutions: The USAID funded AMA CRSP Nitlapan Research Institute \* RIMISP

Ministry of Agriculture Nicaragua

## **MICHIGAN STATE** UNIVERSITY

### Results

The effect of the lagged traditional market price suggest that households are quite responsive to price changes, farmers tend to adopt late when the traditional market

Households with more nonfarm productive assets and with more labor dedicated to local off farm employment tend to adopt early, suggesting a link between income



The effect of the lagged traditional market price is consistent with the previous results, by suggesting that households are more willing to withdraw from the supermarket channel if the price in the traditional market

Age of the head, average education of the household and lagged nonfarm assets have positive effects on remaining

Lagged participation in cooperatives have a negative effect on the adoption spell. This effect is explained by taking into consideration the role of highly subsidized cooperatives formed by the sole purpose of supplying supermarkets, who failed as consistent suppliers once

### Conclusion

### Acknowledgements