



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*



How do Smoking Bans in Bars/Restaurants Affect Alcohol Consumption?

Aycan Koksals¹, Michael Wohlgenant²

Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, North Carolina State University

¹ akoksals@ncsu.edu, ² michael_wohlgenant@ncsu.edu

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2011 AAEA & NAREA Joint Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 24-26, 2011

Preliminary Results - Please do not cite

Copyright 2011 by Aycan Koksals and Michael Wohlgenant. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

CONTACT

Aycan Koksals
Ph.D. candidate
Agricultural and Resource Econ.
North Carolina State University
E-mail: akoksals@ncsu.edu
Phone: (919) 389-5908



How do Smoking Bans in Bars/Restaurants Affect Alcohol Consumption?

Aycan Koksal and Michael Wohlgenant
North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695

OBJECTIVE

To analyze the effects of smoking bans on alcohol consumption at the restaurants



CONTACT

Aycan Koksal
Ph.D. candidate
Agricultural and Resource Econ.
North Carolina State University
E-mail: akoksal@ncsu.edu
Phone: (919) 389-5908

INTRODUCTION

- As more states consider smoking bans, it is necessary to analyze their economic impacts.
- If cigarette and alcohol are related in consumption, as suggested by some studies, smoking bans can affect alcohol consumption too.
- Particularly, smoking bans in bars/restaurants created a natural experiment to examine the relationship between smoking and drinking.
- We employ a rational addiction framework to analyze the effect of smoking bans on alcohol consumption in bars/restaurants.
- We use a pseudo panel data approach.
- Pseudo panel is disaggregated enough, and it has main advantages compared with panel data:
 - It avoids attrition problem.
 - It eliminates difficulties of censoring.
 - It has less bias due to measurement error as we are working with a group average.

DATA

- 2002-2008 Consumer Expenditure Diary Survey Data by Bureau of Labor Statistics is used.
- Cigarette prices are from Orzechowski&Walker. For alcohol, we construct Lewbel price indices.
- After dropping observations with missing or recoded state variables, approx. 1200-1400 households remained in each quarter.

year	#	states
2002	2	UT, DE
2003	4	UT, DE, NY, FL
2004	7	UT, DE, NY, FL, ME, ID, MA
2005	10	UT, DE, NY, FL, ME, ID, MA, RI, MT, WA
2006	15	UT, DE, NY, FL, ME, ID, MA, RI, MT, WA, NJ, CO, HI, OH, NV
2007	21	UT, DE, NY, FL, ME, ID, MA, RI, MT, WA, NJ, CO, HI, OH, NV, DC, LA, OR, TN, NH, MN
2008	25	UT, DE, NY, FL, ME, ID, MA, RI, MT, WA, NJ, CO, HI, OH, NV, DC, LA, OR, TN, NH, MN, IL, MD, IA, PA

METHOD

- If cigarettes and alcohol are complements, smoking bans at restaurants might decrease restaurant alcohol consumption but increase home alcohol consumption.
- Thus, we consider restaurant and home alcohol consumption as two separate goods with separate habit stocks.
- When utility function is quadratic, rational addiction theory implies following demand functions (see Bask and Melkersson 2004):

$$AR_{it} = \alpha_{1i} + \beta_{10} + \beta_{11}AR_{it-1} + \beta_{12}AR_{it+1} + \beta_{13}AH_{it-1} + \beta_{14}AH_{it} + \beta_{15}AH_{it+1} + \beta_{16}C_{it-1} + \beta_{17}C_{it} + \beta_{18}C_{it+1} + \beta_{19}P_{ARt} + \gamma_{10}D_t + \gamma_{11}X_i + u_{1it}$$

$$AH_{it} = \alpha_{2i} + \beta_{20} + \beta_{21}AH_{it-1} + \beta_{22}AH_{it+1} + \beta_{23}AR_{it-1} + \beta_{24}AR_{it} + \beta_{25}AR_{it+1} + \beta_{26}C_{it-1} + \beta_{27}C_{it} + \beta_{28}C_{it+1} + \beta_{29}P_{AHt} + \gamma_{20}D_t + \gamma_{22}X_i + u_{2it}$$

$$C_{it} = \alpha_{3i} + \beta_{30} + \beta_{31}C_{it-1} + \beta_{32}C_{it+1} + \beta_{33}AR_{it-1} + \beta_{34}AR_{it} + \beta_{35}AR_{it+1} + \beta_{36}AH_{it-1} + \beta_{37}AH_{it} + \beta_{38}AH_{it+1} + \beta_{39}P_{Ct} + \gamma_{30}D_t + \gamma_{33}X_i + u_{3it}$$

where AR_{it} is restaurant alcohol consumption
 AH_{it} is home alcohol consumption
 C_{it} is cigarette consumption
 D_t is a binary variable showing if the state household resides banned smoking at restaurants

- Rational addiction implies $\beta_{11} > 0$ and $\beta_{12} > 0$. A positive (negative) coefficient on the current consumption of another good suggests complementarity (substitutability).
- We allocate households into cohorts based on geographic region and gender.
- All cohort variables are weighted by the square root of the number of households in each cohort. Then fixed effects estimators are calculated (see McKenzie, 2004).

RESULTS

	Alcohol at Rest	Alcohol at Home	Cigarette
Constnt	41.732 (0.364)	Constnt 60.577 (0.226)	Constnt -89.025 (<.001)
AR_{t-1}	0.123 (0.077)	AH_{t-1} -0.009 (0.907)	C_{t-1} 0.112 (0.107)
AR_{t+1}	0.128 (0.060)	AH_{t+1} -0.105 (0.136)	C_{t+1} 0.074 (0.288)
AH_{t-1}	-0.074 (0.259)	AR_{t-1} 0.026 (0.739)	AR_{t-1} -0.008 (0.844)
AH_t	0.064 (0.327)	AR_t 0.073 (0.362)	AR_t -0.011 (0.780)
AH_{t+1}	0.014 (0.822)	AR_{t+1} -0.123 (0.101)	AR_{t+1} 0.045 (0.236)
C_{t-1}	0.063 (0.611)	C_{t-1} -0.105 (0.435)	AH_{t-1} 0.047 (0.195)
C_t	-0.056 (0.677)	C_t 0.243 (0.100)	AH_t 0.051 (0.158)
C_{t+1}	-0.008 (0.951)	C_{t+1} 0.082 (0.545)	AH_{t+1} -0.014 (0.699)
P_{ARt}	-27.346 (0.011)	P_{AHt} -40.005 (<.001)	P_{Ct} -3.047 (0.377)
ban	-1.957 (0.241)	ban -2.268 (0.218)	ban -1.020 (0.269)
rincome	0.136 (<.001)	rincome 0.018 (0.618)	rincome 0.012 (0.541)
fam.size	-5.888 (0.123)	fam.size 5.373 (0.203)	fam.size 3.511 (0.091)
perslt18	10.129 (0.036)	perslt18 0.304 (0.955)	perslt18 -4.913 (0.067)
age.ref	0.578 (0.003)	age.ref 0.395 (0.063)	age.ref 0.060 (0.574)
white	9.323 (0.211)	white 30.765 (<.001)	white 2.582 (0.506)
married	-8.009 (0.411)	married -19.737 (0.066)	married 0.035 (0.995)
widowd	-15.567 (0.261)	widowd -17.513 (0.249)	widowd 8.347 (0.275)
divorced	-7.417 (0.475)	divorced -10.770 (0.345)	divorced 3.216 (0.578)
seperatd	-16.361 (0.441)	seperatd -34.641 (0.137)	seperatd 7.524 (0.522)
college	2.372 (0.709)	college -2.302 (0.741)	college 0.864 (0.808)
R ²	0.579	R ² 0.569	R ² 0.676

RESULTS (cont.)

	seperate	system
$\epsilon_{AR,AR}$	-3.357 (0.012)	-6.684 (<.001)
$\epsilon_{AH,AH}$	-2.523 (0.001)	-4.981 (<.001)
$\epsilon_{C,C}$	-0.538 (0.362)	-0.747 (0.226)
$\epsilon_{AR,AH}$	-0.018 (0.973)	3.595 (0.069)
$\epsilon_{AR,C}$	-0.001 (0.999)	1.293 (0.163)
$\epsilon_{AH,AR}$	0.039 (0.879)	3.866 (0.001)
$\epsilon_{AH,C}$	-0.063 (0.507)	-0.290 (0.588)
$\epsilon_{C,AR}$	-0.127 (0.674)	-0.927 (0.484)
$\epsilon_{C,AH}$	-0.441 (0.231)	1.061 (0.419)
$\epsilon_{AR,Y}$	0.970 (<.001)	0.849 (<.001)
$\epsilon_{AH,Y}$	0.067 (0.559)	0.277 (0.029)
$\epsilon_{C,Y}$	0.146 (0.290)	0.094 (0.518)

DISCUSSION

- In the home alcohol demand equation, current cigarette consumption has a positive and significant coefficient which suggests complementarity relationship.
- Smoking ban at restaurants dummy has a negative coefficient in all three equations, it is not significantly different from zero.
- The results can be explained with the following scenerio:
 - If cigarette and alcohol are complements, smoking bans at restaurants might cause a decrease in the restaurant alcohol consumption of smokers, but might increase restaurant alcohol consumption of nonsmokers.

-If this is the case, the net effect of smoking bans on overall restaurant alcohol consumption will be zero.

These results are just preliminary, and further analyses are required.