

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Illinois Guidance Counselors' Knowledge and Perceptions of Career and Technical Education (CTE) Fields and Implications for Higher Education and Employers In the Agricultural Field

Aslihan D. Spaulding & Richard Steffen

Illinois State University
Campus Box 5020, Normal, IL 61790-5020

adspaul@ilstu.edu & rwsteff@ilstu.edu

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2011 AAEA & NAREA Joint Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 24-26, 2011

Illinois Guidance Counselors' Knowledge and Perceptions of Career and Technical Education (CTE) Fields and Implications for Higher Education and Employers In the Agricultural Field

Aslihan D. Spaulding & Richard Steffen Illinois State University, Campus Box 5020, Normal, IL 61790-5020

INTRODUCTION

In an attempt to understand more clearly the role of Illinois school counselors (SC) in the guidance process and to identify support the Career and Technical Education (CTE) fields might provide school counselors to assist with their professional duties, a series of research projects were conducted. The initial two studies in this series sought to identify the knowledge levels and perceptions of school counselors about the career and higher education opportunities in agriculture. This was followed by a two part study, first to assess knowledge levels and perceptions of school counselors about the career and higher education opportunities in CTE fields, and in the second phase, to use a consensus process to identify tools and methods to help educate the school counselors about opportunities in CTE.

METHODOLOGY

To determine perceptions of guidance counselors, a paper survey was sent to stratified random sample of school counselors obtained from the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE). The thee components of this survey sought to examine the knowledge level of counselors on the types of jobs available in agriculture, as well as the universities and community colleges that offered agriculture programs, examine the perceptions of the counselors of career and job outlook, and demographic information.

In the later project, this survey was converted into an electronic format and modified to include all CTE areas. A mailing list of school counselors was again obtained from ISBE, and several public sources were used to locate e-mail address for the participants.

The Delphi technique was chosen for the next phase of the project. A method of developing consensus, this technique was developed by Dalkey at the Rand Corporation in the 1960s (Dalkey, 1969).

The first round questionnaire consisted of seven open-ended questions. In subsequent rounds, participants were asked to rate individual items identified on a Likert-type scale. Six parallel surveys, one for each CTE field (Agriculture, Business and Marketing, Family and Consumer Sciences, Health Occupations, Public Safety and Security, and Technology) were developed and the participants were randomly assigned to a CTE field.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The Round 1 questionnaire asked seven open-ended questions. Round 1 generated eleven items for question 1, ten items for question 2, four for question 3, four for question 4, four for question 5, four for question 6 and three for question 7. These were used to generate the second round questionnaire which consisted of the seven questions, the items for each question, a five point rating scale (not important, slightly important, moderately important, very important, and extremely important) and a place for comments for each item.

Table 1. Types of jobs available in each CTE field as identified by High School Guidance

First Blank	%	Second Blank	%	Third Blank	%
Farm/Production	25	Ag Science/ Research	23	Farm/Production	16
Ag Business / Mgt	23	Farm/Production	13	Ag Bus/ Mgt	13
Ag Science	6.5	Government/Regulations	13	Horticulture / landscape	13
Accounting	48	Marketing	33	Marketing	22
Management	17	Accounting	20	Finance	20
Marketing/sales	17	Finance	20	Management	20
Chef/Culinary Arts	32	Chef/Culinary Arts	23	Teacher/Child care	29
FCS Teacher/teach	32	Dietician	20	Fashion design	23
Dietician	13	Ed/daycare	17	Interior design	16
Nurse	64	Nurse	26	Rad /Med Tech	28
Doctor	10	Physical Therapist	26	Physical Therapist	15
Physical Therapist	10	Rad /Med Tech.	18	Doctor	10
Computer Prog.	19	Graphic design/CAD	19	Gr. Design/CAD	17
Net sys. analyst	12	Manufacturing	7.1	Engineering	9.5
Computer engr.	12	Automotive tech	7.1	Comp. tech	9.5
	Farm/Production Ag Business / Mgt Ag Science Accounting Management Marketing/sales Chef/Culinary Arts FCS Teacher/teach Dietician Nurse Doctor Physical Therapist Computer Prog. Net sys. analyst	Accounting	Farm/Production Ag Business / Mgt Ag Science Ag Business / Mgt Ag Science Ag Science Ag Science Ag Science Ag Science Ag Science Accounting Adamagement Accounting Management Accounting Marketing/sales Accounting Finance Chef/Culinary Arts FCS Teacher/teach Dictician Dictician Aurse Doctor Doctor Doctor Physical Therapist Physical Therapist Therapist Accounting Accounting Finance Chef/Culinary Arts Dictician Aurse Dictician Physical Therapist Rad / Med Tech. Computer Prog. 19 Graphic design/CAD Net sys. analyst 12 Manufacturing	Farm/Production 25 Ag Science/Research 23 Ag Business / Mgt 23 Farm/Production 13 Ag Science 6.5 Government/Regulations 13 Accounting 48 Marketing 33 Management 17 Accounting 20 Marketing/sales 17 Finance 20 Chef/Culinary Arts 23 Dietician 23 FCS Teacher/teach 32 Dietician 20 Dietician 13 Ed/daycare 17 Nurse 64 Nurse 26 Doctor 10 Physical Therapist 26 Physical Therapist 10 Rad /Med Tech. 18 Computer Prog. 19 Graphic design/CAD 19 Net sys. analyst 12 Manufacturing 7.1	Farm/Production 25 Ag Science/ Research 23 Farm/Production 13 Ag Bus/ Mgt Ag Science 6.5 Government/Regulations 13 Horticulture / landscape Accounting 48 Marketing 33 Marketing 20 Finance Marketing/sales 17 Finance 20 Management 27 Accounting 20 Finance Marketing/sales 17 Finance 20 Management 20 Finance 20 Fi

¹ Participants interpreted technology to mean information technology, and many of the answers related to those careers. Attempts to segregate data based on industrial vs. information technology yielded an n too small to be reliable.

School Counselors were generally aware of which Illinois Universities offered programming in Business, Health, and Technology. They were less aware of those offering Agriculture and quite a few were unsure of those offering FCS. This may be a function of the fragmentation of FCS program in Illinois universities.

adspaul@ilstu.edu & rwsteff@ilstu.edu

Table 2: Perceptions of School Counselors of career outlook, pay, and value of student organization participation.

Agriculture	Business	FCS	Health	Tech.
3.04	2.54	2.86	3.5	3.33
1.83	2.16	2.05	1.44	1.6
1.43	1.51	1.77	1.18	1.23
1.62	1.91	1.92	1.41	1.33
1.64	1.53	1.79	1.45	1.32
1.77	1.38	1.8	1.38	1.41
1.33	1.28	1.56	1.45	1.52
1.68	1.48	2.08	1.48	1.43
3.67	3.18	3.33	3.33	3.44
	3.04 1.83 1.43 1.62 1.64 1.77 1.33	3.04 2.54 1.83 2.16 1.43 1.51 1.62 1.91 1.64 1.53 1.77 1.38 1.33 1.28 1.68 1.48 3.67 3.18	3.04 2.54 2.86 1.83 2.16 2.05 1.43 1.51 1.77 1.62 1.91 1.92 1.64 1.53 1.79 1.77 1.38 1.8 1.33 1.28 1.56 1.68 1.48 2.08 3.67 3.18 3.33	3.04 2.54 2.86 3.5 1.83 2.16 2.05 1.44 1.43 1.51 1.77 1.18 1.62 1.91 1.92 1.41 1.64 1.53 1.79 1.45 1.77 1.38 1.8 1.38 1.33 1.28 1.56 1.45 1.68 1.48 2.08 1.48 3.67 3.18 3.33 3.33

Results of the Delphi study for Agriculture are included below. Nearly identical results were obtained in the other CTE fields. Slight differences in the strength of agreement was the primary difference.

Table 3. The best methods to train counselors about higher education in Agriculture.

	Percentage of Respondents**				
Question: Best methods to train counselors	NE	SE	ME	VE	EE
Workshops / in-services with Continuing Professional Development Units (CPDUs)*	0.0	7.1	35.7	42.9	14.3
Workshops / in-services without CPDUs	15.4	46.2	23.1	15.4	0.0
Webinars	9.1	18.2	45.5	18.2	9.1
Guest speakers*	0.0	0.0	53.8	38.5	7.7
Career/Job fair for students*	0.0	14.3	35.7	21.4	28.6
Career/Job fair for counselors*	0.0	0.0	30.8	53.8	15.4
Printed literature (brochures/ handouts)	0.0	35.7	28.6	35.7	0.0
E-mail or mail with regular updates*	0.0	15.4	46.2	38.5	0.0
PowerPoint presentation/ CD/DVD	0.0	23.1	38.5	30.8	7.7
Campus visits for students*	0.0	7.7	23.1	30.8	38.5
Campus visits for counselors*	0.0	0.0	30.8	30.8	38.5

^{*} a-priori consensus level reached.

** NE – not effective, SE = slightly effective, ME = Moderately effective, VE = Very effective and EE = Extremely offective.

Table 4: Ratings of the best tools to educate SC about opportunities in Agriculture.

	Percentage of Respondents*					
Question: Best tools to create	NE	SE	ME	VE	EE	
Website*	0.0	0.0	50.0	28.6	21.4	
Printed literature (brochures/ handouts, posters, pamphlets)	0.0	21.4	35.7	42.9	0.0	
PowerPoint presentations/ CD/DVD*	0.0	15.4	23.1	53.8	7.7	
Guest speakers*	0.0	0.0	18.2	63.6	18.2	

^{*} a-priori consensus level reached



Table 5. Ratings of the best methods to provide information to School Counselors.						
	Percentage of Respondents*					
Question: Methods we can help to provide information	NE	SE	ME	VE	EE	
Keep an updated website*	0.0	8.3	25.0	41.7	25.0	
Send guest speakers*	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	0.0	
Give printed literature (brochures, handouts, pamphlets, related to local activities)*	0.0	16.7	58.3	8.3	16.7	

^{*} a-priori consensus level reached.

Table 6: Ratings of the biggest obstacles for the guidance process.

rable of trainings of the bibbest obstatics for the barachies process.							
	Percentage of Respondents*						
Question: Biggest obstacles for the guidance process	NI	SI	MI	VI	EI		
Not enough time*	0.0	0.0	15.4	30.8	53.8		
Too much paperwork*	0.0	0.0	23.1	30.8	46.2		
Low interest in agriculture	6.7	20.0	26.7	26.7	20.0		

^{*} a-priori consensus level reached

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. SC often have stereo-typical perceptions of jobs available.
- 2. SC in some cases are unsure of where higher ed opportunities are in CTE fields in Illinois.
- 3. SC struggle with time issues and lack of resources.
- 4. SC prefer workshops and seminars as their primary source of career and higher education information and training. Guest speakers, campus visits by counselors, and Career shows/fairs for both students and counselors are felt to be effective. Availability of CPDUs appears to be critical to future success of these efforts.
- 5. Websites, brochures, guest speakers, and reference people are all important.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of this study, previous work and the literature, the following recommendations are offered:

- Efforts should be made to provide workshops and seminars for CPDU credit at convenient times and locations.
- Websites that bring together information about multiple programs would be welcomed. A clearinghouse of Agriculture program information from the universities and Community Colleges would be considered a welcome addition and many indicated they would utilize it.
- Research should be undertaken to examine the high school students' perceptions on careers in Agriculture.

SELECTED REFERENCES

Brand, Besty (2008). Supporting High Quality Career and Technical Education through Federal and State Policy. American Youth Policy Forum: Washington DC. May 2008.

Brooks, K. W. (1979). Delphi technique: Expanding applications. North Central Assoc Quart 53(3): 377-385.

Bunning, R. L. (1979). Delphi Technique: A projection tool for serious inquiry. The 1979 Annual Handbook for Group Facilitators. LaJolla, Calif.: University Associates.

Buriak, P., and G. Shinn. (1988). Missions, initiatives, and obstacles to research in agricultural education: A national Delphi using external decision-makers. The J Am Assoc Teacher Educators in Agric. 30(4): 14-23.

Dalkey, N. C. (1969). The Delphi Method: An Experimental Study of Group Opinion. SantaMonica, Calif.:
The Rand Corporation.

Dyer, J.E., Breja, L.M. and Ball, A.L. (2003). A Delphi study of Agriculture Teacher Perceptions of Problems in Student Retention. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 44:2.

Helmer, O. (1966). The use of the Delphi Technique in Problems of Educational Innovations. Santa Monica, Calif.: The Rand Corporation.

Matulis, J. K. & Osborne, E. W. (1990). Attitudes, perceptions, and guidance practices of Illinois guidance directors concerning vocational education in secondary schools. Proceedings of the 17th National Agricultural Education Research Meeting, (pp. 139-145). Cincinnati, OH.

Steffen, R. W. & A. D. Spaulding (2009). Guidance Counselor Perceptions and Knowledge of Higher Education and Career Opportunities in CTE Fields. Proceedings of the 27th Annual National Agricultural Mechanics Professional Development seminar. Indianapolis, IN. October 22, 20th