

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

# Estimating the Fair Insurance Premium for Dungeness Crab Yields in the Western U.S. Coast

Chia-Lan Liu, James W. Richardson, David J. Leatham Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2011 AAEA & NAREA Joint Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 24-26, 2011

Copyright 2011 by Chia-Lan Liu, James W. Richardson, and David J. Leatham. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

## Estimating the Fair Insurance Premium for Dungeness Crab Yields in the Western U.S. Coast

### Chia-Lan Liu, James W. Richardson, David J. Leatham

Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX




#### **Introduction and Motivation**

The Dungeness is a popular food and the most commercially important crab in the western states in the U.S. Like all agricultural production, the crab fisherman face yield risks and must manage these risks. In addition to weather risk, crab fisherman may experience low yields if the crabs are over fished in previous years. Farmers for many traditional agricultural crops can purchase crop insurance to insure against low yields. However, crab fishermen at this time do not have this option. The purpose of this paper is to estimate a fair insurance premium based on the historical yields of the Dungeness crab. This information can then be used in risk/return models for crab fishing to determine if it would be optimal for fisherman to purchase crop insurance.

An important input into the fair insurance premium estimation is the yield distribution. Sherrick et al. estimated alternative yield distributions to evaluate traditional crop insurance. However, no one has looked at the yield distributions for the Dungeness crab nor explored possible crop insurance. Much of the past literature for the fishing industry has focused on production functions, cost function models, and optimal catching yields for specific fish species. Moreover, most research has focused on the endangered commercial ocean species such as tuna and swordfish.

#### **Data and Method**



#### Results

Table 1. Yield Data Summaries, 1950-2009

		Time Series Data			Detrended Data				
State	obs.(n)	Mean	Std. Dev.	Skewness	kurtosis	Mean	Std. Dev.	Skewness	kurtosis
Alaska	59	6,518,320	3,443,387	0.73	0.04	0	2,436,552	1.14	2.19
Washington	58	12,728,635	7,213,322	0.93	0.38	0	4,947,707	0.60	0.95
Oregon	59	10,685,030	6,048,295	1.47	2.80	0	4,943,273	1.21	2.49
California	59	10,564,518	6,308,023	1.16	2.35	0	5,786,362	1.21	2.34
Average	58.75	10,124,126	5,753,257	1.07	1.39	0	4,528,474	1.04	1.99
Minimum	58	6,518,320	3,443,387	0.73	0.04	0	2,436,552	0.60	0.95
Maximum	59	12,728,635	7,213,322	1.47	2.80	0	5,786,362	1.21	2.49




Figure 1. Probability and cumulative distribution functions and empirical distribution for *Dungeness crab* detrended yield data in Alaska, 1950-2009

Table 2. Goodness-of -Fit measures and Ranking of Alternative Distributions

	Tests	Distributions and ranks							
States	16212	LogLogistic	Lognormal	Logistic	Normal	Weibull	Gamma		
	Chi-Square	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Alaska	A-D statistic	1	2	3	5	4	6		
	K-S Statistic	1	2	3	5	4	6		
	Sum	3	6	9	14	13	18		
	Rank	1	2	3	5	4	6		
	Chi-Square	4	5	3	1	Iormal         Weibull           4         5           5         4           5         4           14         13           5         4	6		
	A-D statistic	4	1	2	6	5	3		
Washington	K-S Statistic	2	3	1	6	5	4		
	sum	10	9	6	13	12	13		
	rank	3	2	1	5	4	5		
	Chi-Square	1	3	2	5	4	6		
	A-D statistic	1	2	3	5	4	6		
Oregon	K-S Statistic	1	3	2	5	4	6		
	sum	3	8	7	15	12	18		
	rank	1	3	2	5	4	6		
	Chi-Square	1	2	5	5 4 5 4 14 13 5 4 1 2 6 5 6 5 13 12 5 4 5 4 15 12 5 4 15 12 5 4 15 3 13 10 4 3	6			
	A-D statistic	2	1	4	5	3	6		
California	K-S Statistic	1	2	4	5	3	6		
	sum	4	5	13	13	10	18		
	rank	1	2	4	4	3	6		
The industry	sum	20	28	35	55	47	67		
	rank	1	2	3	5	4	6		
	1	1							

Table3. The result of 500 simulated indemnities for the crab industry supposed the insurance company compensates 80, 70, 60, and 50% of loss

modration deriparty compensates on, 70, 60, and 60% of 1000						
States		Alaska	Washington	Oregon	California	
The fitted distribu	tions	LogLogistic	Logistic	LogLogistic	LogLogistic	
	80%	1,380,924	702,344	2,823,855	3,470,998	
The fair	70%	1,208,531	615,453	2,471,322	3,037,152	
insurance premium	60%	1036812	526,962	2,120,126	2,604,553	
	50%	863,454	439,135	1,765,671	2,169,759	
	80%	57.6%	74.8%	57.4%	58.8%	
The occurrence of	70%	57.6%	74.6%	57.2%	58.8%	
indemnities	60%	57.6%	74.8%	57.4%	58.6%	
	50%	57.6%	74.8%	57.4%	58.8%	

#### Conclusion

The detrended yields of each state have positive skweness. They are very different from the negative skewness of the crop yields. Further, goodness-fit- measures indicated that the Gamma and normal fail to describe the sample data. The loglogistic distribution is best to estimate the indemnities of Alaska, Oregon, and California respectively while the logistic is best for Washington. If the insurance compensates 80, 70, 60, 50% of loss yields. we find that the occurrence of indemnities is over 50%.

#### References

Bruce J. S., C.Z. Fabio, D. S. Gary, and H. I. Scott. "Crop Insurance Valuation under Alternative Yield Distribution." American Journal of Agricultural Economics 86(May, 2004) 406-419.