

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

BMI changes in Russian adults: The role of lifestyles and spousal relationships

Sonya K Huffman Iowa State University

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2011 AAEA & NAREA Joint Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 24-26, 2011

Copyrigth 2011 by [S. Huffman]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

BMI changes in Russian adults: The role of lifestyles and spousal relationships

Over the past century major improvements in population health of developed countries have been recorded, including increased life expectancy by as much as 25-30 years. People have gained in height and weight over time also. However, industrialization and progress are accompanied by an increase in chronic diseases, especially those age-related. At the same time, changes in life and work patterns have resulted in less physical activity and physical labor. The computer and the TV are two obvious reasons why people spend many more hours of the day seated and relatively inactive more so than generations ago.

Now, there are more than 1 billion overweight adults, with at least 300 million of them being obese. Increased consumption of more energy-dense foods with high levels of sugar and saturated fats, combined with reduced physical activity, have caused obesity rates to rise significantly since 1980, not only in North America and the United Kingdom, but also in Eastern Europe, the Middle East, China and Australia (WHO, 2010).

Therefore, the prevalence of obesity has risen dramatically not only in high income countries but in middle and low- income countries. A number of research papers have discussed the determinants and consequences of obesity in developed countries (Chou et al., 2004; Lakdawalla et al., 2005; Rashad et al., 2006, Huffman et al. 2010). The trend of increasing obesity in transition countries has been analyzed for Russia (Zohoori et al. 1998, Jahns et al. 2003, Huffman and Rizov 2007, Huffman and Rizov 2010, Staudigel 2010) and other transition economies (Kalediene and Petrauskiene 2004, Koziel et al. 2004).

Another body of recent research focuses on the role of social interactions in the spread of obesity (e.g. Christakis and Fowler, 2007; Trogdon et al. 2008). The existing literature on peer effects suggests that peers influence health behaviors (smoking, alcohol and drug use) among young people (Evans et al. 1992; Norton et al. 1998, Powel et al. 2005; Lundborg 2006). Christakis and Fowler (2007) and Trogdon et al. (2008) find that social networks significantly impact the likelihood of becoming obese, while Cohen-Cole and Fletcher (2008) reject the hypothesis that weight status can spread from person to person. It is possible that age may impact on the importance of social networks. The influence of social networks may also differ by country. Therefore, the goal of this paper is to investigate the effects of changes in marital status and lifestyle (smoking and drinking) on the body mass index (BMI) in Russian adults over a ten-year period. This study extends the literature on the relationship between health (obesity) and changes in behaviors and spousal relationships in transition economies by using data from the Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey (RLMS).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the relationships between lifestyles and obesity. Section 3 presents the conceptual framework. Section 4 describes the data and the empirical model. Section 5 presents the main results and Section 6 concludes.

Lifestyles and Obesity

Lifestyles, such as poor diets, sedentary behavior, and resulting obesity are adversely affecting population health in the world. Obesity and the prevalence of chronic disease linked to obesity, such as diabetes and cardio-vascular disease have increased in the last

decades (Sassi and Hurst 2008). Changes in food processing and production and in agricultural and trade policies have affected the daily diet of hundreds of millions of people. The consumption of tobacco, alcohol, and processed or "fast" foods fits easily into the lifestyle patterns. At the same time in the most industrialized countries of North America, Europe, and the Asian Pacific, at least one-third of all disease burdens are caused by tobacco, alcohol, blood pressure, cholesterol, and obesity.

Global alcohol consumption has increased in recent decades, with most or all of this increase occurring in developing countries (WHO, 2002). Worldwide, alcohol caused 1.8 million deaths, equal to 4% of the global disease burden; the proportion was greatest in North and South America, and Europe. Alcohol was estimated to cause, worldwide, 20-30% of esophageal cancer, liver disease, epilepsy, motor vehicle accidents, and homicide and other intentional injuries. The WHO 2002 report finds that physical inactivity causes about 15% of some cancers, diabetes, and heart disease. Lifestyles play an important role in determining chronic diseases and lifestyle changes are likely to be responsible for a significant proportion of their increase over time. Smoking is estimated to be responsible for 22% of cardiovascular diseases in industrialized countries, as well as for the majority of cancers; high cholesterol accounts for 5-12%, while overweight and obesity account for 8-15% of the burden of disease (WHO, 2002). People who eat plenty of fruits and vegetables, drink alcohol in small quantities, do not smoke, and are physically active, have a risk of death that is less than one fourth of the risk of those who have invariably unhealthy habits (Khaw et al. 2007).

Therefore, lifestyle choices have played an important part in health status and it is important to identify opportunities for intervening on these choices in order to improve

individual and social welfare. To understand the channels through which chronic diseases are generated, an assessment of individual determinants, interactions between structural determinants (social norms and socioeconomic conditions) and behavioral determinants (lifestyle choices) is required. Lifestyle choices are shown to be closely linked with a significant portion of the morbidity and mortality generated by chronic diseases (WHO, 2002).

Democratic reforms since 1991 brought many changes and challenges to the everyday life of the average Russian. The country opened to the world and many new food products began to flood into the Russian market, including "fast food" or "junk foods" rich in fats and sugar, Big-Macs, chips, snacks, etc. That led to increased consumption of more bread, flour, and other foods of poor quality. Increased advertising of imported foods, cigarettes, and alcohol have contributed to a growth in consumption of Western style goods. The lifestyles literature on Russia discusses the pattern of excessive alcohol consumption, heavy smoking, high fat diet and lack of physical exercise—characteristics of middle-age working males (Cockerham 2000, 2002; Palosuo 2000). In 1994, Russia had the highest per capita pure alcohol consumption in the world, 14.5 liters per year, and the adult males that comprised 25% of the population consumed 90% of the alcohol (Cockerham 2002). Bobrova et al. (2010) investigate the gender differences in drinking patterns in Russia and conclude that women drink less often and much smaller quantities than of men. These differences were largely explained by gender roles in the society. The study by Palosuo (2000) also confirms the existence of sex differences in health related lifestyles in Russia. Cigarette consumption is also higher in Russia than in the Western

countries and as consequence the males deaths from lung cancer are extremely high (Cockerham 2000).

Dietary choices also affect lifestyle. Obesity is a result of imbalance between energy expenditure and energy intake. During the transition, the diet and lifestyles of Russians changed. Russian households responded to the income and price changes as a result of the transition to a market economy. The energy intake has increased while the energy expenditure has decreased, a trend developed in other industrialized countries. Voronina (2009) points out that "in Russia, the problem of obesity is now nearly as serious as the problem of alcoholism." Stillman (2006) reviews the literature on health and nutrition in countries transitioning to a market economy, which suggest that the early years of transition associated with stress, smoking, and increased alcohol consumption (in the presence of low real alcohol prices following the hyperinflation of the 1990's) are the likely causes for increased mortality in Russia. Therefore, the individual, demographic, and cultural determinants of optimal nutrition can help develop health policies and public health programs to improve people's health and well being in Russia, and to promote healthier lifestyles. This should include the reduction of smoking, poor nutrition, alcohol abuse, and physical inactivity.

Conceptual framework

Our conceptual framework is based on an economic approach of individual lifestyles as a result of choices on food, tobacco, alcohol, and physical activity or leisure time, in the light of opportunity costs and other incentives. Individuals derive utility from many forms of consumption. Lifestyle and other consumer choices are based on preferences

that are subject to many influences including the family environment, education, peer groups, social networks, work environment, and the physical environment. The individuals are affected by the determinants of health and the way these determinants change over time. The biological characteristics and genetic makeup of individuals play an important role in determining their health status, but the effects of those characteristics are often changed by socioeconomic and physical environmental factors, and by the individual's interactions with these environments.

This paper is focused on the social determinants of health in Russian adults. The social health determinants include individual choice and behavior; education, culture, labor markets, unemployment, the characteristics of social and economic context in which people live and work. Education is a powerful determinant of health. Parental education as well as an individual's own education is strongly associated with lifestyle choices, health status, and longetivity. Education has also had a strong effect on wages, which itself influences health. Therefore, understanding the relation among these determinants is also important.

Following the productive household models of health developed by Rosenzweig and Schultz (1982), Grossman (2000), Huffman and Rizov (2010) and the agricultural household models developed by Huffman (1991), the individual obtains utility:

$$U=U(G, BMI, L; Z, \mu), \tag{1}$$

which depends on the consumption of goods (G) including food, alcohol, cigarettes, etc., BMI, leisure (L), physical wellbeing, affective attributes (love and family situations such as loss of a partner or children leaving home) and other socioeconomic variables (Z), and

unobservable individual characteristics such as genetic factors (μ). The individual's BMI production function is:

$$BMI=f(G, L, Z, \mu). \tag{2}$$

The individual maximizes utility subject to the technology of health production, prices, cash income and human time constraint. After substituting the optimal demand functions G^* and L^* into the BMI production function we obtain the individual's BMI supply function:

$$BMI^*=f_S(G^*, L^*, Z, \mu).$$
 (3)

Based on the theoretical model next we will test the following hypothesis: the relationship between BMI and various determinants is not symmetric. It depends on the starting point, e.g. is the starting point normal BMI or under/overweight, and second, are the changes in a determinant positive or negative. It is frequently assumed that the response of economic variables to determinants is symmetric. This means that a positive change in an exogeneous economic variable has the same effect on an outcome as a decrease. The focus of the paper will be to test the notion of asymmetric responses.

Data and Empirical model

Data from the RLMS for 1994 and 2004 are used to investigate how changes in marital status and lifestyles affect changes in BMI in Russia. The RLMS is a nationally representative household survey that annually samples the population of dwelling units. The data collected include a wide range of information concerning household characteristics such as demographic composition, income, and expenditures. Data on

individuals includes employment, anthropometric measures, health status, nutrition, alcohol consumption, and medical problems.

Following the theoretical model, the technical relationship between the changes in BMI (continuous variable) and a change in marital status, e.g. losing a partner, gaining a partner, and changes in lifestyle, as reflected in cigarette and alcohol consumption is established. The panel fixed effect analysis corrects the bias associated with unobserved characteristics. If an individual's selection into drinking, smoking, and employment is due only to time invariant characteristics of the individual which may be observed or unobserved, then the fixed effect estimator is consistent. Also, a fixed effect panel model controls for sample selection that depends only on time-invariant characteristics (Cameron and Trivedi, 2005).

The individual BMI supply equation is estimated by the following dynamic equation:

$$BMI_{i2004} - BMI_{i1994} = \alpha BMI_{i1994} + \beta \mathbf{X}_{i1994} + \delta (\mathbf{X}_{i2004} - \mathbf{X}_{i1994}) + \varepsilon_{i}$$
(4)

where \mathbf{X} is a vector of determinants of the changes in BMI; α , β and δ s are the coefficients to be estimated and ε is the error term. The vector \mathbf{X} includes the socio economic determinants of health—age, age squared to allow for nonlinear effect of age, education (approximated by the type of schooling, e.g. high school and university), urban residence, marital status, household size, number of children, medical problems (whether the individual has had a health problem in the last 30 days), employment (participation in the labor force in the last 30 days), income, life satisfaction (from 1 to 5, with 1 being absolutely satisfied and 5 being absolutely not satisfied). The change of \mathbf{X} includes change in income, number of kids (less or more), smoking (become a smoker, and stopped smoking), drinking (started drinking and stopped consuming alcohol),

employment status (gained a job and lost a job), marital status (gained a partner or lost a partner) and spousal BMI. Table I presents the definitions and the standard deviations of the variables used in the analyses. The model presented in equation 2 is estimated by gender to account for male/ female differences in responses to health determinants.

The mean BMI at the beginning of the period in 1994 for females is 27.13, and for males is 25.1. According to the WHO, individuals with a BMI over 25 kg/m² are defined as overweight, and with a BMI of over 30 kg/m² as obese. Therefore in 1994, the average Russian woman is classified as overweight. The average change in BMI over the ten-year period is higher for females (1.38) than for a male (0.83). In 1994, sixty percent of men were smokers, while only 6% of women smoked cigarettes. During the analyzed period from 1994 to 2004, 7.3% of men quitted smoking and 7.2% started smoking, while 1.4% of women quitted smoking and 4.2% started to smoke. The advertisement of foreign cigarettes and lifestyles, especially in metropolitan areas like Moscow, resulted in more women adopting western lifestyles. A greater number of individuals drink alcohol rather than smoke cigarettes in Russia; 76.6% of males and 48.3% females consumed alcohol in 1994. During the ten year period 1994-2004, 23.5% of men and 21.3% of women stopped drinking, while 11.6% of men and 13.2% of women started to drink alcohol in Russia. The share of alcohol consumed in the form of vodka is very high in Russia (Treml, 1997). Regarding participation in labor markets, 75.4% of males and 60.5% of females were employed in 1994, while 24.2% of males and 19.2% of females did not have a job in 2004, and an additional 7% of males and 6% of females had a job in 2004. The financial crisis of 1998 and the economic contraction led to growing unemployment in Russia. By the end of 1998, Russian unemployment rates had reached close to 12%, having

increased from 7.0% in 1994. While the 1998 financial crisis cannot be blamed entirely for the unemployment problem, the decline in economic growth set back attempts to reduce it (Cooper 1999).

Comparing 2004 to 1994, 24.2% of males and 17.7% of females have more children, and 25.7% of males and 25% of females have fewer children. During the same period 9.2% of men and 6.4% of women gained a partner, while 6% of men and 17.6% of women lost a partner. The emotional stress of losing a loved one, including a partner or a child that has left home to go to college, can impact an individual's weight. About 33% of males and 51.7% of females reported having a medical problem in 1994. Only about 14% of men and 11% of women reported being satisfied with their life in 1994. Russian people are not satisfied in general with their life—even with the transition changes from centrally planned to market oriented economy, which brought new opportunities and more efficient resource allocation in the economy.

The wife of a male had a larger change in BMI over the ten-year period compared to the husband of a female, 0.375 versus 1.246 which is consistent with the previous observation that females gained more weight over time compared to the males. The correlation coefficient between an individual's change in BMI and his/her spousal's BMI is 0.15, and the p value for this correlation is 0.0000, which indicates that the correlation between spousal BMI changes is statistically significantly different from 0. Forty-seven percent of the married couples had an increase in BMI over the decade, and in 13% of the couples both spouses' BMI decreased. In 25% of the couples, the female gain weight and the male lost weight, while in 15% of the couples, the male gained weight and the female

lost weight during the ten year period. Therefore, about half of the married couples increased their weight.

Results and Discussion.

Equation (3) is estimated by gender to allow for the differences in response to health determinants, and the results are presented in Table II. Age has a significant non linear effect on the decade change in BMI for females. A change in income has significant positive effect on BMI only for the males. Smoking lifestyle influences significantly only changes in BMI in 2004 for males, while drinking behavior influences significantly changes in BMI for females in 2004. Being a male smoker at the beginning of the period decreases his BMI a decade later by 1.4 percentage points. If a male starts smoking during the decade, his BMI is 1 percentage point lower. If a male quits smoking over the decade, his BMI increases by 2 percentage points. This result is consistent with the previous literature showing that smoking decreases weight.

Regarding consumption of alcohol, female drinkers in 1994 saw an increase in BMI by 0.4 percentage points by 2004. If a female stops consuming alcohol, her BMI increases by 0.43 percentage points. Drinking alcohol while eating increases the amount of calories consumed which would lead to a gain in weight. Participation in the labor market has only a statistically significant effect on BMI changes for males. Being employed in 1994 increases the BMI a decade later for men by 0.51 percentage points. If the male becomes employed by 2004, he experiences an increase of 0.78 percentage points.

Being married at the beginning of the period has a positive and statistically significant effect on BMI changes for both genders, but the magnitude is larger for males than females. Male's BMI increases by 0.7 percentage points, but for women the increase is by 0.4 percentage points. A different marital status in 2004 than 1999 where a partner is added increases the male's BMI by 0.8, and female's BMI by 0.6 percentage points. However, a woman losing a partner or a spouse significantly decreases her BMI by 0.46 percentage points. For a woman, the emotional stress from losing a loved one over the study period reduces her BMI/weight. For married females and males, their changes in BMI are positively related. This evidence supports the previous result by Christakis and Fowler (2007) regarding the spread of obesity with the family. Other studies (Jeffry and Rick, 2002) have also found a positive correlation in weight among spouses.

The results from the estimation of the model as discussed above show that the individual weight/BMI has an asymmetric response to changes in health determinants. Therefore, the sign and the magnitude of the response are different depending on the starting point, and whether there is an increase or a decrease in the explanatory variable.

Surprisingly, we did not find a significant effect of education on the change in BMI for either genders. Being satisfied with life has a statistically negative effect on BMI for females (0.44 percentage point). Being unhappy or depressed could increase the consumption of food calories and lead to weight gain. When people are unhappy, they tend to hide from those problems by eating. Food provides emotional comfort for a lot of people. Food can also be used as a distraction from problems. Depression tends to cause obesity (Cooke and Wardle, 2007). Several studies have shown that stressful

environments are associated with poor eating and exercise habits, and poor health outcomes in adults (Greeno and Wing 1994, Nashitani and Sakakibara 2006).

Summary and Conclusion.

The goal of this paper is to investigate the effects of changes in marital status and lifestyle (smoking and drinking) on the body mass index (BMI) in Russian adults over a ten-year period. Lifestyle changes have played an important part in health status changes over 1994 to 2004. The results indicate that the individual weight/BMI changes asymmetrically in health determinants. Therefore, the sign and the magnitude of the response are different depending on the starting point and whether there is an increase or a decrease in the explanatory variable. Smoking and drinking behavior have different impacts on changes in BMI for females and males. Males' BMI decreases with smoking and increases with quitting smoking, but females' BMI increases with drinking alcohol and decreases with stopping drinking. Losing a partner during the ten year period decreases only the females' BMI, but gaining a spouse/partner is associated with increases in BMI for both genders. For married females and males, the change in spousal BMI is significantly positively related. Therefore, we find an evidence of positive relationship between spousal weights.

Information to adopt healthy behaviors and lifestyles through knowledge or understanding of the long term consequences of such behaviors is critical for better health of the citizens. Government action may also be warranted to correct the unintended health consequences of existing choices. Preventative interventions promoted by the government could be beneficial for choice of a healthier lifestyle. Understanding

interactions between individual health-related behaviors and the set of determinants that contribute to such behaviors is a fundamental step in the design of effective interventions. Individuals should be encouraged to make positive life-enhancing health decisions on tobacco use, excessive alcohol consumption, and unhealthy diet—not only for Russia but all around the world.

References

- Bobrova N., R. West, D. Malyutina, S. Malyutina and M. Bobak, 2010. Gender Differences in Drinking Practices in Middle Aged and Older Russians." Alcohol and Alcoholism 45(6): 573-580.
- Cameron A. and P. Trivedi 2005. "Microeconometrics: methods and applications." Cambridge University Press.
- Chou, S., M. Grossman and H. Saffer (2004) An economic analysis of adult obesity: Results from the behavioral risk factor surveillance system. Journal of Health Economics 23(3): 565-587.
- Christakis, N. A., Fowler, J. H., 2007. The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. New England Journal of Medicine 357 (4): 370-379.
- Cockerham, W. 2000. "Health Lifestyles in Russia." Social Science and Medicine 51: 1313-1324.
- Cockerham, W., Snead C. and DeWaal D. 2002. "Health Lifestyles in Russia and the Socialist Heritage." Journal of Health and Social Behavior 43(1): 42-55.
- Cooke L and J. Wardle, 2007. Depression and obesity. In: Steptoe A. (ed). Depression and Physical Illness. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, pp. 238–261.
- Cooper W. 1999. The Russian Financial Crisis of 1998: An Analysis of Trends, Causes, and Implications." Report for Congress available at:

 http://congressionalresearch.com/98-578/document.php?study=The+Russian+Financial+Crisis+of+1998+An+Analysis+of+Trends+Causes+and+Implications, assessed on February 8, 2011.
- Ethan Cohen-Cole E. and J. Fletcher (2008). "Is Obesity Contagious? Social Networks versus Environmental Factors in the Obesity Epidemic." Journal of Health Economics 27(), 1382-1387.
- Greeno C. and R. Wing 1994. Stress-Induced Eating. Psychological Bulletin 115(3):444-446
- Huffman S. and M. Rizov. 2007. Determinants of Obesity in Transition Economies: The Case of Russia. Economics and Human Biology 5 (3): 379-391.
- Huffman S. and M. Rizov. 2010. The Rise of Obesity in Transition: Theory and Empirical Evidence from Russia. Journal of Development Studies 46(3): 1-22.
- Huffman W., S. Huffman, A. Tegene and K. Rickertsen, 2010. "The Economics of Obesity-Related Mortality among High Income Countries." Forum for Health Economics and Policy, Vol. 13 no. 1: 2 (article).
- Jahns, L., A Baturin and B Popkin, 2003. Obesity, diet, and poverty: trends in the Russian transition to market economy. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 57: 1295–1302.
- Jeffery R. and A. Rick, 2002. "Cross-sectional and Longitudinal Associations between Body Mass Index and Marriage-Related Factors." Obesity Research Vol 10(8): 809-815.
- Kalediene R and J. Petrauskiene. 2004. Socio-economic transition, inequality, and mortality in Lithuania. Economics and human biology 2 (1):87-95.
- Koziel S., Z. Welon, T. Bielicki, A. Szklarska and S. Ulijaszek. 2004. *The* effect of the economic transition on the body mass index of conscripts in Poland. Economics and human biology 2 (1): 97-106.

- Lakdawalla, D., T. Philipson, and J. Bhattacharya (2005) Welfare-enhancing technological change and the growth of obesity. American Economic Review 95:253-257.
- Nishitani N. and H. Sakakibara 2006. Relationship of Obesity to Job Stress and Eating Behavior in Male Japaness Workers." International Journal of Obesity 30: 528-533.
- Palosuo, H. 2000. Health-Related Lifestyles and Alienation in Moscow and Helsinki." Social Science and Medicine 51: 1325-1341.
- Rashad, I., M. Grossman and S. Chou (2006). The super size of America: An economic estimation of body mass index and obesity in adults. Eastern Economic Journal 32(1): 133-148.
- Sassi F. and J. Hurst 2008. The Prevention of Lifestyle-related Chromic Diseases: An Economic Framework. OECD Health working paper NO.32.
- Staudigel, M. (2010). How (much) do Food Prices Contribute to Obesity in Russia. Economics and Human biology, in press.
- Stillman, S., 2006. "Health and Nutrition in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union during the Decade of Transition: A Review of Literature." *Economics and Human Biology* 4: 104-146.
- Treml, Vladimir G. 1997. Soviet and Russian Statistics on Alcohol Consumption and Abuse. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2293 or doi:10.2139/ssrn.2293, assessed February 17, 2011.
- Trogdon, J. G., J. Nonnemaker and J. Pais, 2008. Peer effects in adolescent overweight. Journal of Health Economics 27: 1388-1399.
- World Health Organization WHO 2002. The World Health Report 2002—Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization.
- Voronina TA 2009. "From Soviet Cuisine to Kremlin Diet: Changes in Consumption and Lifestyle in Twentieth_Century Russia." In Oddy D., P. Atkins and V.Amilien (eds.) Thi Rise of Obesity in Europe: a twentieth century food history. Ashgate Publishing Company: Burlington, VT, pp 33-44.
- Zohoori, N., T. Mroz, B. Popkin, E. Glinskaya, M. Lokshin, D. Mancini, P. Kozyreva, M. Kosolapov and M. Swafford, 1998. Monitoring the Economic Transition in the Russian Federation and its Implications for the Demographic Crisis the Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey. World Development 26 (11): 1977-1993

Table 1. Variable definitions and summary statistics

chabmi Change in BMI from1994 to 2004 0.825 2.901 1.380 3.410 BMI BMI in 1994 25.106 3.693 27.128 5.106 bmisq BMI in 1994 squared 643.947 195.914 761.971 291.691 Age Age in years in 1994 41.661 13.701 45.447 15.302 agesq Age in years in 1994 squared 1923.232 121.4756 2299.490 1450.806 Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3.660 1.523 3.322 1.558 Income Total real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 10274.440 80.211 10049.190 Smoker Dummy=1 if the individual sunkes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.239 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.754 0.424 0.433 <th>Variable</th> <th>Definition</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Std. Dev.</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Std. Dev.</th>	Variable	Definition	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
chabmin Change in BMI from1994 to 2004 0.825 2.901 1.380 3.10 BMI BMI in 1994 squared 643.947 195.914 761.971 291.691 Age Age in years in 1994 41.661 13.701 45.447 15.302 agesq Age in years in 1994 squared 1923.232 1214.756 2299.490 1450.806 Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3.660 1.523 3.322 1.558 Income Total real income in 1994 2603.840 2387.431 2570.997 2299.810 Chairn Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 10274.440 80.211 10049.190 Smoker Dummy=1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.400 0.001 0.022 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individual strinks in 1994 0.766 0.424 0.433 0.400 Chadrinke Dummy=1 if the individual strinks in 1994 0.754 0.431	v arrable	2 4	IVICAII			
BMI BMI in 1994 squared 25.106 3.693 27.128 5.106 bmisq BMI in 1994 squared 643.947 195.914 76.1971 291.691 Age Age in years in 1994 41.661 13.701 45.447 15.302 agesq Age in years in 1994 squared 1923.232 121.55 2299.400 145.0806 Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3.660 1.523 3.322 1.558 Income Ctal real income in 1994 2603.840 2387.443 257.097 2299.871 Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 468.120 10274.440 80.21 10049.190 Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 468.120 0.274 80.01 20.00 Chainc Dummy=1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.223 Chasmokeq Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.073 0.268 0.042 0.23 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.216 0.431 0.4	chabmi	Change in BMI from 1994 to 2004	0.825		J	
bmisq BMI in 1994 squared 643,947 195,914 761,971 291,601 Age Age in years in 1994 41,661 13,701 45,447 15,302 agesq Age in years in 1994 squared 1923,232 1214,756 2299,409 1450,806 Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3,660 1,523 3,322 15,58 Income Total real income in 1994 2603,840 2387,443 2570,997 2299,871 Chaine Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458,120 10274,440 80,211 10049,190 Smoker Dummy=1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0,600 0,490 0,001 0,239 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0,072 0,258 0,042 0,022 Chadrinka Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0,766 0,424 0,433 0,409 Chadrinka Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0,61 0,424 0,433 0,409 Chaworka Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0		BMI in 1994				
Age Age in years in 1994 41.661 13.701 45.447 15.308 agssq Age in years in 1994 squared 1923.232 1214.756 2299.490 1450.806 Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3.660 1.523 3.322 1.2558 Income Total real income in 1994 2603.840 2387.443 2570.997 2299.871 Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 1027.440 80.211 10049.190 Smoker Dummy=1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.239 Chasmokea Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.752 0.424 0.433 0.409 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking i		BMI in 1994 squared				
agesq Age in years in 1994 squared 1923.232 1214.756 2299.490 1450.806 Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3.660 1.523 3.322 1.558 Income Total real income in 1994 2603.840 2387.443 2570.997 2299.871 Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 10274.440 80.211 10049.190 Smoker Dummy=1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.239 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.020 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.160 0.424 0.428 0.192 0.384 Chaworkq	•	Age in years in 1994				
Nhhm Number of household members in 1994 3.660 1.523 3.322 1.558 Income Total real income in 1994 2603.840 2387.443 2570.997 2299.871 Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 10274.440 80.211 10049.190 Smoker Dummy=1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.239 Chasmokea Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.235 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.160 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworka Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nikids Number	_	Age in years in 1994 squared				
Total real income in 1994 Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 10274.440 80.211 10049.190 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005		Number of household members in 1994				
Chainc Change in real income from 1994 to 2004 458.120 10274.440 80.211 10049.190 Smoker Dummy = 1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.239 Chasmokeq Dummy=1 if the individual quits smoking in 2004 0.073 0.260 0.014 0.120 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individual quits drinking in 2004 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual quits drinking in 2004 0.235 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual sters bworking in 2004 0.166 0.428 0.192 0.394 Chaworks Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.242 Nkids Number of children in the family 0.297 0.890 0.605 0.826 Chakidsm <td< td=""><td></td><td>Total real income in 1994</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Total real income in 1994				
Smoker Dummy = 1 if the individual smokes in 1994 0.600 0.490 0.061 0.239 Chasmokeq Dummy=1 if the individual quits smoking in 2004 0.073 0.260 0.014 0.120 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individuals drinks in 1994 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual graphoyed in 1994 0.766 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworka Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Chakidsm is lower in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.242 Chakidsl is lower in 2004		Change in real income from 1994 to 2004				
Chasmokeq Dummy=1 if the individual quits smoking in 2004 0.073 0.260 0.014 0.120 Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individuals drinks in 1994 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual quits drinking in 2004 0.235 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual is employed in 1994 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Chakidsm Is lower in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in 2004 <td></td> <td>Dummy =1 if the individual smokes in 1994</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Dummy =1 if the individual smokes in 1994				
Chasmokes Dummy=1 if the individual starts smoking in 2004 0.072 0.258 0.042 0.202 Drinker Dummy=1 if the individuals drinks in 1994 0.766 0.424 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual quits drinking in 2004 0.235 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual is employed in 1994 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.667 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsm is higher in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0		Dummy=1 if the individual quits smoking in 2004				
Drinker Dummy=1 if the individuals drinks in 1994 0.072 0.242 0.483 0.500 Chadrinkq Dummy=1 if the individual quits drinking in 2004 0.235 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=1 if the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.192 0.394 Chaworks Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual resides	•					
Chadrinkq Dummy=lif the individual quits drinking in 2004 0.235 0.424 0.213 0.409 Chadrinks Dummy=lif the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.116 0.320 0.132 0.339 Work Dummy=l if the individual is employed in 1994 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworkq Dummy=l if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.192 0.394 Chaworks Dummy=lif the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=l if the number of children in the family is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsm is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=l if the individual is gains a partner in 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=l if the individual resides in an urban 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=l if the individual resides		·				
Chadrinks Dummy=lif the individual starts drinking in 2004 0.253 0.424 0.213 0.409 Work Dummy=1 if the individual is employed in 1994 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.192 0.394 Chaworks Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Chamstgainp 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban 0.662 0.473 0.692	Drinker		0.766	0.424	0.483	0.500
Work Dummy=1 if the individual is employed in 1994 0.754 0.431 0.606 0.489 Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.192 0.394 Chaworks Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsm is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Chamstgainp 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical problems in 1994 0.31 0.471 0.517	Chadrinkq		0.235	0.424	0.213	0.409
Chaworkq Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.192 0.394	Chadrinks	Dummy=1if the individual starts drinking in 2004	0.116	0.320	0.132	0.339
Chaworks Dummy=1 if the individual gets a job in 2004 0.067 0.251 0.063 0.243 Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family Chakidsm is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in Chamstgainp 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Work	Dummy=1 if the individual is employed in 1994	0.754	0.431	0.606	0.489
Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in Chamstgainp 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Chaworkq	Dummy=1 if the individual stops working in 2004	0.242	0.428	0.192	0.394
Nkids Number of children in the family in 1994 0.697 0.890 0.605 0.826 Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsm is higher in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.48	Chaworks	Dummy=1if the individual gets a job in 2004	0.067	0.251	0.063	0.243
Chakidsm is higher in 2004 0.242 0.428 0.177 0.382 Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Nkids	Number of children in the family in 1994	0.697	0.890	0.605	0.826
Dummy=1 if the number of children in the family Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in						
Chakidsl is lower in 2004 0.257 0.437 0.250 0.433 Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Chamstgainp 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Chakidsm		0.242	0.428	0.177	0.382
Married Dummy=1 if the individual is married in 1994 0.819 0.385 0.669 0.471 Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in 2004 0.092 0.289 0.064 0.246 Chamstgainp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 0.060 0.237 0.176 0.381 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Chakidsl		0.257	0.437	0.250	0.433
Dummy=1 if the individual is gains a partner in Chamstgainp 2004 Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban Urban area in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical Medical prob problems in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction Satisfied with his/her life in 1994 O.092 O.092 O.289 O.064 O.237 O.176 O.381 O.692 O.473 O.692 O.462 O.471 O.517 O.500 O.488 O.479 O.318 O.466 O.479 O.487 O.609 O.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction Satisfied with his/her life in 1994 O.139 O.346 O.092 O.289 O.064 O.246 O.371 O.472 O.507 O.462 O.473 O.692 O.462 O.462 O.473 O.692 O.462 O.462 O.473 O.692 O.462 O.462 O.473 O.517						
Chamstlossp Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004 Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban Urban area in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical Medical prob problems in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction Satisfied with his/her life in 1994 O.060 O.237 O.176 O.381 O.662 O.473 O.692 O.471 O.517 O.500 O.479 O.318 O.466 O.479 O.609 O.488 O.609 O.488 O.609 O.488	Married	•	0.017	0.505	0.007	0.171
Dummy=1 if the individual resides in an urban area in 1994	Chamstgainp		0.092	0.289	0.064	0.246
Urban area in 1994 0.662 0.473 0.692 0.462 Dummy=1 if the individual reports having medical Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Chamstlossp	Dummy=1 if the individual lose a partner in 2004	0.060	0.237	0.176	0.381
Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	** 1	•	0.660	0.450	0.602	0.460
Medical prob problems in 1994 0.331 0.471 0.517 0.500 Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 0.356 0.479 0.318 0.466 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Urban		0.662	0.473	0.692	0.462
Dummy=1 if the individual has a high education High School level (base category is primary education) in 1994 Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 O.356 O.479 O.318 O.466 D.487 O.609 O.488 O.488 O.490 O.490 O.490 O.490 O.491 O.491 O.491 O.492 O.493 O.494 O.495 O.495 O.495 O.495 O.495 O.495 O.495 O.496 O.496 O.496 O.497 O.496 O.497 O.496 O.497 O.496 O.497 O.4	Medical prob	, ,	0.331	0.471	0.517	0.500
Dummy=1 if the individuals has university University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	rite and an proc		0.001	0,1	0.017	3. 2 33
University education in 1994 0.612 0.487 0.609 0.488 Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	High School		0.356	0.479	0.318	0.466
Dummy variable equal to one if the individual is Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	I Iniversity	,	0.612	0.497	0.600	0.400
Life_satisfaction satisfied with his/her life in 1994 0.139 0.346 0.109 0.312	Oniversity		0.012	0.48/	0.009	0.488
	Life_satisfaction		0.139	0.346	0.109	0.312
Chabmipd Change in the BMI from 1994 to 2004 of a partner 1.246 3.135 0.375 1.985	_	Change in the BMI from 1994 to 2004 of a partner	1.246	3.135	0.375	1.985

Table II. First Difference regression—BMI in Russia Dependent variable: change in BMI, 1994 to 2004.

Variable	Coefficient (std. error)	Coefficient (std. error)				
	Female	male				
BMI	0.1528 (0.135) -0.0053**(0.002)	-0.3900 (0.263)				
BMIsq	-0.0053**(0.002)	0.0045 (0.005)				
Age	0.1042** (0.039)	-0.1092** (0.042)				
Agesq	-0.0014*** (0.0004)	0.0009 (0.0005)				
Nhhm	-0.1141*(0.070)	$0.0377^*(0.068)$				
Income	0.00002 (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.000)				
Chaine	0.0000 (0.000)	$0.00001^*(0.000)$				
Smoker	-0.2803 (0.394)	-1.3951**** (0.186)				
Chasmokeq	0.8137 (0.652)	2.0192*** (0.340)				
Chasmokes	-0.5965 (0.377)	-1.0220*** (0.327)				
Drinker	$0.4037^{**}(0.204)$	0.0019 (0.281)				
Chadrinkq	-0.4340** (0.204)	-0.1964 (0.189)				
Chadrinks	-0.0667 (0.240)	-0.3317 (0.335)				
Work	0.3868 (0.250)	0.5110** (0.265)				
Chaworkq	-0.2141 (0.213)	0.0539 (0.214)				
Chaworks	0.4896 (0.407)	$0.7785^{**}(0.360)$				
Nkids	0.1372 (0.147)	-0.1689 (0.131)				
Chakidsm	0.0665 (0.223)	-0.0722 (0.227)				
Chakidsl	0.1188 (0.223)	0.1249 (0.217)				
Married	$0.4310^{**}(0.194)$	$0.7049^{**}(0.300)$				
Chamstgainp	$0.6280^*(0.333)$	$0.8005^{**}(0.363)$				
Chamstlossp	-0.4551** (0.209)	-0.3518 (0.342)				
Urban	0.2320 (0.166)	0.0057 (0.165)				
Medical prob	0.2011 (0.150)	0.2080 (0.167)				
High school	-0.0415 (0.339)	-0.2360 (0.464)				
University	-0.2823 (0.355)	-0.1323 (0.471)				
Life_satisfaction	$-0.4350^*(0.234)$	-0.1306 (0.216)				
Chabmipd	$0.1108^{***}(0.039)$	$0.0993^{***}(0.027)$				
_cons	-0.6745 (1.829)	10.1303*** (3.434)				
R-squared	0.1271	0.1402				
R-squared 0.1271 0.1402						

Notes: *, **, *** denote statistical significance at the 10, 5 and 1 percent level, respectively. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.