

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Cornhusker Economics

Agricultural Economics Department

2014

What To Do with Our Coming Oil Glut?

Richard K. Perrin *University of Nebraska-Lincoln*, rperrin@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker

Perrin, Richard K., "What To Do with Our Coming Oil Glut?" (2014). *Cornhusker Economics*. 690. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker/690

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Economics Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cornhusker Economics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Cornhusker Economics



September 18, 2014

Institute of Agriculture & Natural Resources
Department of Agricultural Economics
http://agecon.unl.edu/cornhuskereconomics
Follow us on Twitter and Facebook @UNLAgEcon

University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension

What To Do with Our Coming Oil Glut?

Market Report	Year Ago	4 Wks Ago	9/12/14
Livestock and Products. Weekly Average			
Nebraska Slaughter Steers,			
35-65% Choice, Live Weight	125.23	154.63	162.80
Nebraska Feeder Steers, Med. & Large Frame, 550-600 lb	183.84	262.05	280.52
Nebraska Feeder Steers, Med. & Large Frame 750-800 lb	161.01	231.82	241.97
Choice Boxed Beef, 600-750 lb. Carcass	192.94	257.35	251.08
Western Corn Belt Base Hog Price Carcass, Negotiated	94.94	104.71	102.50
Pork Carcass Cutout, 185 lb. Carcass 51-52% Lean.	97.80	115.35	104.69
Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., Heavy, Wooled, South Dakota, Direct	125.18	154.50	155.25
National Carcass Lamb Cutout FOB	280.82	360.55	369.25
Crops. Daily Spot Prices			
Wheat, No. 1, H.W. Imperial, bu	6.59	5.47	5.08
Corn, No. 2, Yellow			
Nebraska City, bu	4.86	3.49	3.22
Nebraska City, bu	13.15	11.97	12.15
Grain Sorghum, No.2, Yellow			
Dorchester, cwt	7.34	6.09	5.57
Minneapolis, Mn, bu	3.34	3.74	3.85
Feed Alfalfa, Large Square Bales,			
Good to Premium, RFV 160-185	*		
Northeast Nebraska, ton		192.50	191.25
Alfalfa, Large Rounds, Good Platte Valley, ton	140.00	100.00	90.00
Grass Hay, Large Rounds, Good Nebraska, ton	132.50	87.50	87.50
Dried Distillers Grains, 10% Moisture Nebraska Average	215.75	95.75	118.00
Wet Distillers Grains, 65-70% Moisture Nebraska Average	75.25	37.63	36.00
* No Market			

Glut? As motorists, none of us have observed the low prices that would accompany a gasoline glut. Yet, the US will soon face a glut of crude oil - the amount we will produce (along with imports) will exceed the amount we can process and transport.

Evidence of the coming glut is the falling price of US petroleum relative to the world price. Since 2010, the price at Cushing, OK (West Texas Intermediate, or "WTI") has fallen to \$10-15 per barrel below the world price, represented by the "Brent" price in Fig 1. Even within the US, the price at the new west Texas oilfields has fallen to \$17 per barrel below the Cushing price, simply due to inadequate transportation infrastructure.

Where is the glut coming from?

What has changed to bring on this "glut" is the spectacular increase in US petroleum production - from about 5.5 million barrels per day (mbd) in 2010 to the current rate of 8.4 mbd. The Energy Information Agency expects production to be 13 mbd within five years. Earlier this year we surpassed Saudi Arabia's output to become the world's leading petroleum producer. We will soon surpass our 1970 historical peak of about 10 mbd.

Furthermore, this increase in production is primarily ultra light oil, extracted from shales and dense sandstones, using new fracturing technologies. This oil is not easily distilled in most US refining plants, which were largely built for heavier oils.



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the US Department of Agriculture.

Fig. 1 - US petroleum production and the spread between US price and world price

This exacerbates the coming imbalance between refining capacity and quantity available to be refined.

A secondary contributor to the glut has been the reduction in consumption that occurred with the world-wide recession of 2008, and years following. This reduction in demand further amplifies the effects of the larger supplies.

The export ban

We can't just export any surplus crude. The Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) of 1975 prohibits it. EPCA was passed right after the crisis created by the OPEC embargo. The objectives were to conserve domestic petroleum supplies and protect the US from vagaries of the international market. The legislation provides that, when warranted by the "national interest", exceptions can be granted on an *ad hoc* basis, and the President is authorized to lift the ban entirely by executive order.

It is important to note that petroleum *products*, defined as oil that has been refined to some extent, were *not* prohibited by EPCA. Therefore, as US production has increased, we have observed a significant increase in exports of those products (now about 3.5 mgd), rather than crude oil itself.

If the export ban is not lifted, the domestic price of crude will fall further and production growth will slow down, but gasoline prices will not fall until investment in refineries and logistics makes larger supplies of gasoline available.

Brent prices have been about \$7 above US prices in recent months. Given estimates that we might export a half billion or more barrels per year if the ban were lifted, exports might contribute an additional \$50 billion per year to our trade balance, and increase GDP by a half percent (Ebinger, 2014). With stakes this high, you can expect to see increasing pressure on Congress and the President to repeal or lift the ban over the next few months, especially after the elections this fall.

Who would be affected by lifting the ban?

Motorists - In general, lifting an export ban allows domestic price to rise to meet the level of world price. Domestic crude oil price would surely rise, and it might seem that gasoline prices would rise along with it. However, we already export gasoline, so it is priced closer to world markets than crude oil itself, and my view is that it would not be affected so much. Some analysts (Ebinger) even argue that gasoline prices would *fall* if the ban were lifted.

Oil companies - Clearly the owners of crude oil stocks in the US would benefit from lifting a ban, as their price would rise. However, due to the limits on refining capacity, current refining margins are high by historical standards, and lifting the ban would reduce those margins

.Biofuel industry - If gasoline price rises, the price of biofuels will rise. But as noted above, it is not

clear that higher petroleum prices would in our current circumstances lead to higher gasoline prices.

Energy security - While this is an ill-defined term, lifting the ban will result in greater domestic crude oil production, which will provide greater energy security for the US. Even if part of that extra production is exported, those exports could be diverted to domestic use in any energy emergency.

References:

Ebinger, Charles and Heather Greenley. 2014. *Changing Markets: Economic Opportunities from Lifting the US Ban on Crude Oil Exports*. The Brookings Institute, Washington DC.

US Energy Information Administration. 2014. *Petroleum and Other Liquids* http://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet move impcus a2 nus epc0 im0 mbbl a.htm

Richard K Perrin Jim Roberts Professor Agricultural Economics Department University of Nebraska-Lincoln 402-472-9818 rperrin@unl.edu