



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Cornhusker Economics

Agricultural Economics Department

December 2003

Rural Economic Development - An Evolving Approach

Cheryl A. Burkhart-Kriesel
University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker



Part of the [Agricultural and Resource Economics Commons](#)

Burkhart-Kriesel, Cheryl A., "Rural Economic Development - An Evolving Approach" (2003). *Cornhusker Economics*. 145.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker/145

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Economics Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cornhusker Economics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Cornhusker Economics

Cooperative Extension

Institute of Agriculture & Natural Resources
Department of Agricultural Economics
University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Rural Economic Development - An Evolving Approach

| Market Report | Yr Ago | 4 Wks Ago | 12/5/03 |
|--|-----------|--------------|---------|
| <u>Livestock and Products,</u> | | | |
| <u>Average Prices for Week Ending</u> | | | |
| Slaughter Steers, Ch. 204, 1100-1300 lb Omaha, cwt | \$73.03 | \$105.66 | \$99.15 |
| Feeder Steers, Med. Frame, 600-650 lb Dodge City, KS, cwt | 84.31 | 102.82 | 102.30 |
| Feeder Steers, Med. Frame 600-650 lb, Nebraska Auction Wght. Avg | 91.82 | 108.45 | 108.05 |
| Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb Cent. US, Equiv. Index Value, cwt | 111.98 | 163.06 | 156.07 |
| Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb | | | |
| Sioux Falls, SD, cwt | 33.00 | 35.50 | 36.00 |
| Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb | | | |
| Sioux Falls, SD, hd | 27.50 | * | * |
| Vacuum Packed Pork Loins, Wholesale, 13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt | 84.60 | 90.20 | 88.89 |
| Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb | | | |
| Sioux Falls, SD, cwt | 89.45 | 89.00 | * |
| Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb FOB Midwest, cwt | 164.26 | 181.28 | 180.91 |
| <u>Crops,</u> | | | |
| <u>Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown</u> | | | |
| Wheat, No. 1, H.W. | | | |
| Omaha, bu | 3.98 | 3.63 | 3.75 |
| Corn, No. 2, Yellow | | | |
| Omaha, bu | 2.26 | 2.23 | 2.28 |
| Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow | | | |
| Omaha, bu | 5.48 | 7.30 | 7.39 |
| Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow | | | |
| Kansas City, cwt | 4.57 | 4.42 | 4.52 |
| Oats, No. 2, Heavy | | | |
| Minneapolis, MN , bu | 2.12 | 1.62 | 1.57 |
| <u>Hay,</u> | | | |
| <u>First Day of Week Pile Prices</u> | | | |
| Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton | 150.00 | 130.00 | 130.00 |
| Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton | 80.00 | 62.50 | 62.50 |
| Prairie, Sm. Square, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton | 117.50 | * | * |
| * No market. | | | |

In rural economic development, the old way of doing business no longer works.

There used to be a time when all a community had to do was have an adequate infrastructure, a supply of low-cost labor, some tax incentives and a few empty spec buildings, and they could attract new businesses. Granted, there was still competition between communities to attract new businesses, but the components that communities had to work with were known commodities to both the potential firms and to the community.

That was then.... this is now.

Add technology, global competition and a renewed interest in environmental stewardship ... now businesses are looking for new “bargaining chips” to get their attention. At the same time, communities are rethinking who and what they should recruit.

Here are some examples of how the components for rural business recruitment have changed over the past decade.

Location

Old Strategy: Physical location (the need to be near natural resources, transportation and markets) enhances economic opportunities.

New Strategy: A quality environment and strong community capacity are highly sought after by business as assets for economic growth (technology has given firms more freedom from transportation and market factors).



Community Business Base

Old Strategy: Focus efforts on base industries that create jobs and stimulate local businesses.

New Strategy: Enhance business clusters that can identify economic benefits from doing business together.

Employment Resources

Old Strategy: More firms create more jobs, even if many are minimum wage.

New Strategy: Some firms require labor that is more specific - the increased skill level leads to quality jobs with higher wages.

Community Resources

Old Strategy: Single purpose organizations can enhance community opportunities.

New Strategy: Collaborative partnerships of many community groups are needed to help establish a broad foundation of assets that are desired by competitive industries.

In addition to the changes in the components of rural business recruitment, there have also been some major “push-pull” factor shifts. One of the most substantial is in location. For instance, location now has a different meaning as a traditional “pull” factor in development. It still is valued, but in a different way - looking more at quality vs. quantity as the driving force.

Today location applies more to the quality of both the local physical and social development than to larger-scale geographic considerations, as noted by authors Blakely and Bradshaw (2002).

When a community concentrates on building the social and institutional network, it creates an inviting environment for a firm to develop or locate there. If the structure is organized properly, economic activity will ensue - it will not have to be pursued. (p. 68)

If there is a common thread in the new strategies it is one of quality. It could evolve to be the new calling card for rural recruitment.

If trends continue, business recruitment strategies

that emphasis “quality” may manifest itself in specific ways: 1) with community/regional organizations working together in new and different ways; 2) in an increasingly skilled workforce with clear links to educational institutions; 3) through shared business interests within common business clusters; and 4) by packaging and marketing the community based on regional assets and not by geographic boundaries.

This will be a new way to do business!

References:

Blakely E. & Bradshaw, T. (2002). *Planning Local Economic Development: Theory and Practice*. (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Cheryl Burkhart-Kriesel, (308) 632-1234
Extension Community Development Specialist
Panhandle Research & Extension Center
cburkhartkriesel1@unl.edu