

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

# Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

## Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago - -

March 11, 1966

THE BUDGET submitted to Congress early this year estimated Federal expenditures on behalf of agriculture and agricultural resources (excluding "Food for Peace" programs) at \$3.4 billion for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1967. This would be about \$500 million less than the expected total in fiscal 1966, nearly \$1.5 billion below the actual expenditures in 1965. Moreover, it is the smallest amount budgeted for agricultural outlays since the mid-Fifties.

Actual budget expenditures for agriculture in the recent years, however, have proven exceedingly difficult to estimate accurately. Indeed, actual expenditures have exceeded estimates in 7 of the past 10 years. In fiscal 1965, the budget was originally estimated at \$3.2 billion; it was later revised to \$4.5 billion, and the actual expenditure totaled \$4.8 billion. Again, the 1966 budget was originally projected at \$3.9 billion but was recently revised to \$4.3 billion.

The largest item in the agricultural budget, of course, continues to be programs to bolster farm income. Expenditures in fiscal 1967 for this purpose are estimated at about \$3 billion. This is \$145 million less than in the current fiscal year, partially reflecting the smaller amounts of grains expected to be placed under the price support program because of the lower loan rates on most price supported commodities. Under the Food and Agricultural Act of 1965, greater emphasis will be placed on direct payments to farmers—rather than on high support prices through commodity loans—to induce participation in acreage reducing programs.

Budget expenditures	Actual 1965	<u>Estimated</u> 1966 1967	
	(million dollars)		
Farm income stabilization Agricultural land	3,438	3,134	2,989
and water resources	341	374	348
Rural eletrification and telephones	. 392	193	196
Farming and rural housing loans Research and other	268	90	- 612
agricultural services	457	522	452
Total	4,898	4,313	452 3,372
"Food for Peace" program	1,641	1,701	1,539
Total agriculture and		-	
"Food for Peace"	6,539	6,914	4,911

Another projected reduction would be effected through legislation in the Federal financing area that would authorize the sale of certificates of participation in Farmers Home Administration loans to private investors. Other cutbacks in the proposed budget would result from shifting the full cost of meat and poultry inspection to a system of fees collected from processors, from a reduction in the volume of milk distributed through the school lunch program and from the use of Rural Electrification Administration loan receipts to offset its expenditures. Each of these, however, would require additional legislation.

Food for Peace expenditures are not included in the agricultural budget estimates for fiscal 1966 and 1967, although the program continues to be handled largely through the U. S. Department of Agriculture. These ex-

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

APR 1 3 1966

CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

year
ture
ce''
ling
ess
bili, it
ays

penditures for fiscal 1967 are currently estimated at about \$1.5 billion—a slight decline from a year earlier, reflecting primarily the anticipation of lower prices for wheat and cotton and lower ocean freight rates. The amount of commodities shipped is expected to be larger. Legislation now pending, to replace the expiring P.L. 480 under which the present Food for Peace program operates, could neccessitate an upward revision of this estimate, however.

Prices of fed cattle have increased sharply in recent weeks. Choice 900-1,100 pound steers at Chicago averaged about \$29 per hundredweight during the week ended March 5-nearly \$3 higher than at the start of the year and more than \$5 per hundredweight above the year-earlier price. Prices are up even though the number of cattle slaughtered under Federal inspection has continued to exceed year-ago levels. Slaughter during January averaged about 6 per cent above a year ago, and average weekly slaughter in February showed a gain of about 5 per cent.

#### Fed Cattle Prices Increase Sharply

Dollars per cwt. 30 29 28 27 1966 26 1965 25 24 23 900-1,100 pound 22 choice steers 21 at Chicago М

Sales of beef futures contracts on the Chicago Merchantile Exchange indicate that many individuals are expecting choice cattle prices to continue near current levels during the spring and early summer months. Each of the near-term contracts is well above \$28 per hundred-weight. The report of cattle on feed in January indicates some further increase in marketings of fed cattle during the second quarter. Any accompanying price decline, however, would be tempered by the continued strong demand for beef, resulting from continued small pork supplies and further increases in consumer incomes.

Roby L. Sloan Agricultural Economist

### FARM BUSINESS CONDITIONS

December 1965 with Comparisions

	1965		1964
ITEMS	December	November	December
PRICES: Received by farmers (1957-59=100). Paid by farmers (1957-59=100). Parity price ratio (1910-14=100). Wholesale, all commodities (1957-59=100). Paid by consumers (1957-59=100). Wheat, No. 2 red winter, Chicago (dol. per bu.). Corn, No. 2 yellow, Chicago (dol. per bu.). Oats, No. 2 white, Chicago (dol. per bu.). Soybeans, No. 1 yellow, Chicago (dol. per bu.). Hogs, barrows and gilts, Chicago (dol. per cwt.). Beef steers, choice grade, Chicago (dol. per cwt.). Milk, wholesale, U. S. (dol. per cwt.). Butterfat, local markets, U. S. (dol. per lb.). Chickens, local markets, U. S. (dol. per doz.). Milk cows, U. S. (dol. per head).	107 111 80 104 111 1.69 1.24 .77 2.66 28.44 26.60 4.60 .62 .14 .41	103 110 77 104 111 1.66 1.16 .72 2.54 24.89 26.68 4.62 .62 .14 .38 215	97 107 75 101 109 1.52 1.27 .76 2.91 15.86 24.01 4.47 .60 .13 .33 203
Farm labor, U. S. (dol. per week without board) Factory labor, U. S. (dol. earned per week)	 110.92	109.30	106.55
PRODUCTION: Industrial, physical volume (1957-59=100) Farm marketings, physical volume (1957-59=100)	148	146	137
	132	159	137
INCOME PAYMENTS:  Total personal income, U. S. (annual rate, bil. of dol.)  Cash farm income, U. S. (annual rate, bil. of dol.).	551	546	506
	44.4	40.6	39.6
EMPLOYMENT: Farm (millions)	3.6	4.1	3.8
	69.1	68.7	66.6
FINANCIAL (District member banks):  Demand deposits:  Agricultural banks (1957-59=100)  Nonagricultural banks (1957-59=100)  Time deposits:  Agricultural banks (1957-59=100)  Nonagricultural banks (1957-59=100)	123	1.24	115
	121	115	117
	215	213	187
	234	233	204

Based on estimated monthly income.

Compiled from official sources by the Research Department, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.