



AgEcon SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Consumption
C

1980

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
DAVIS
SEP 9 - 1980
Agricultural Economics Library

PARTIAL SYSTEMS OF DEMAND FUNCTIONS

Daniel S. Tilley*

The work represented by this paper under the heading partial systems of demand equations includes:

1. Analyses where the emphasis is on a single commodity or small group of commodities and the vertical linkages in the farm to consumer marketing channel.
2. Analyses of single commodities or a small subset of commodities where estimation methodology may be the prime concern.

The analyses are bound together under this heading because they, in general, have not traditionally been developed from an underlying utility function. This means that adherence to the general theoretical restrictions is not guaranteed. While these small systems or single equations could be derived from a framework composed of a set of specific restrictive assumptions about the utility function, these assumptions have generally not been stated.

Because each type of analysis differs in objectives and reasons for use, each will be treated individually.

*Daniel S. Tilley is Associate Professor of Food and Resource Economics, University of Florida and Research Economist, Florida Department of Citrus. Prepared for discussion at Food Demand Estimation: Issues and Alternatives symposium, American Agricultural Economics Association annual meetings, Campaign-Urbana, Illinois, 1980.

Commodity Models With Emphasis on
the Vertical Market Structure

Emphasis on the vertical market structure has long been popular for agricultural economists. Some might be surprised, however, to find this topic discussed at a symposium with a demand analysis emphasis. The symposium panel would be seriously lacking if this topic of vertical structure research was not discussed. The importance of this area of "demand" research stems from the importance of being able to analyze the impact of policy variables active at one level in the marketing channel on participants at another level. This could involve the impact of policy actions at primary producer levels on consumers and/or the impact of consumer policies and programs on primary producer level prices and income. Examples of the former include Mann and Paulsen's evaluation of feed additive restrictions within the context of an econometric simulation model that included production and demand relationships. Examples of the latter include a Lee's study which examines the impact of retail advertising on consumer purchases which feeds through the system to impact grower returns. Additional policy variables that act on behavior at other intermediary points in the marketing channel could also be encompassed by models of the vertical channel and evaluated in terms of their impact on consumers and producers.

Models of the vertical channel require the economist to become more of a commodity specialist as opposed to strictly a "demand analyst." The nature of the work requires that the analyst perhaps be concerned about

theory of consumer behavior, as well as firm behavior and the relationships among firms and between firms and consumer. Most importantly, the analyst needs to be concerned about whether the model accurately portrays the commodity channel of interest and accurately reflects the impacts of policy variables. The commodity specialist will need to be versed in demand analysis, firm behavior and production relationship. This may mean that projects with the vertical dimension emphasis will need to employ the resources of both production, marketing and consumer behavior specialists along with an econometrician if these talents are not embodied in one individual.

There may be situations where the consumer demand portions of these models can be treated as separate from the rest of the vertical model. The key elements that determine if consumer demand can be treated independently are: 1) the question of whether all of the explanatory variables in the demand relationship are predetermined (either exogenous or lagged endogenous) variables and 2) whether the error structure assumptions can support single equation estimation procedures. These elements need to be evaluated for each commodity in the complete or partial system given the data base employed, observation period and observation unit. While it is possible to envision situations where the criteria are met such that single-level models are justifiable, generalizations as to when those situations exist are not easy.

Estimation of Partial Systems at a Single
Level in the Marketing Channel

Analyses of demand for a single commodity or a small group of commodities without modeling the vertical marketing channel is done

for a variety of reasons. First, it is possible that the demand relationships are reasonably independent from other equations that might suitably be in the vertical structure. The justification for considering one commodity independent from all others may be based on either budget or time constraints or a desire to capture the nuances of the commodity in ways that would be consistent across several commodities. The researcher must evaluate the trade-offs between complexity of the model, theoretical considerations and application constraints (data, time, expense). These subtleties may require estimation methodologies which would make application of the general restrictions difficult. Uniqueness of a partial data base is another possible reason for this type of analysis. Others have argued that their demand function is "for the representative consumer" (Pope, Green and Eales, p. 7) and that this allows them to assume that prices are fixed and not simultaneously determined.

Models in this category have used various schemes to capture habit formation, to estimate flexible functional forms, and to estimate parameter variation over time (Tilley; Pope, Green and Eales; Ward and Myers). The difficulties of specifying or maintaining the Engel aggregation and Slutsky conditions across equations would be especially substantial if the parameters of each of the equations were found to vary over time and to change in different ways. Similar problems arise if the equations in the model assume a different functional form, each of which cannot necessarily be derived from the same utility function.

Needed Theoretical Developments

Gardner in 1975 and Heien in 1980 make important theoretical strides in the direction of linking the retail and farm levels in the vertical sector together. However, both Gardner and Heien develop their theoretical models in terms of a single product. The models do not deal with demand interrelationships at the retail level or resource competition by multiple product producers at other levels in the channel.

The theoretical importance of considering multiple product relationships in food store management decisions is presented by Holden. Aggregate food store pricing-decision models have, however, ignored potential interrelationships in pricing decisions. Perhaps in the aggregate model of retail food store pricing behavior, the interrelationships between pricing decisions are not as readily apparent.

Thus, the current state of the modeling efforts includes a theoretical model of consumer demand across all commodities consumers purchase. But the available models of the vertical channel have not included more than one commodity. The obvious call would be for theoretical treatment of several commodities within the vertical system.

Conclusions

It is my feeling that the demand literature division between research with a broad horizontal perspective at the consumer level and research with a vertical marketing channel emphasis is becoming less desirable for the profession. The policy questions being asked by federal and state regulatory agencies require evaluations of economic impacts from

the producer (perhaps even input producer) to the consumer level for both the commodities for which a policy is proposed as well as for related commodities at the production, distribution or consumption level.

This type of prescriptive analysis requires economists with broad theoretical foundations who have the ability to pull all of the elements of micro-economic theory into a model of the vertical market structure for multiple commodities.

References

- Gardner, B. L. "The Farm-Retail Price Spread." American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 57(1975): 399-409.
- Heien, Dale M. "Markup Pricing in a Dynamic Model of the Food Industry." American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 62(1980): 10-18.
- Holdren, Bob R. The Structure of a Retail Market and the Market Behavior of Retail Units. Iowa State University Press. Ames, Iowa. 1968.
- Lee, Jong-Ying. "An Econometric Model of the Florida Grapefruit Industry." Economic Research Department, Florida Department of Citrus, University of Florida, Gainesville. 1980.
- Mann, Thomas and Arnold Paulsen. "Economic Impact of Restricting Feed Additives in Livestock and Poultry Production." American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 58(1976): 47-53.
- Pope, Aulon, Richard Green and Jim Eales. "Testing for Homogeneity and Habit Formation in a Flexible Demand Specification of U. S. Meat Consumption." Forthcoming.
- Tilley, Daniel S. "Importance of Understanding Consumption Dynamics in Market Recovery Periods." Southern Journal of Agricultural Economics. 11(1979): 41-46.
- Ward, Ronald W. and Lester H. Myers. "Advertising Effectiveness and Coefficient Variation Over Time." Agricultural Economic Research 31(1979).