



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

**Constructing Rigorous Relative Price Indices of Agricultural Land
in the Developed and Developing World**

**Richard Nehring¹
Jonathan McFadden¹
V. Eldon Ball¹
Nilabja Ghosh²
Yu Sheng³
David Marquardt¹
Thomas Reinsch⁴**

¹ U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service

² University of Delhi, Institute of Economic Growth

³ Peking University, School of Advanced Agricultural Sciences and China Center for Agricultural Policy

⁴ U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service

Corresponding author: Richard Nehring, rnehrling@ers.usda.gov

***Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the
2017 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting
Chicago, Illinois, July 30-August 1***

*Copyright 2017 by Nehring, McFadden, Ball, Ghosh, Sheng, Marquardt, and Reinsch. All rights reserved.
Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means,
provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.*



United States Department of Agriculture

Constructing Rigorous Relative Price Indices of Agricultural Land in the Developed and Developing World

Richard Nehring¹, Jonathan McFadden¹, V. Eldon Ball¹, Nilabja Ghosh², Yu Sheng³, David Marquardt¹, and Thomas Reinsch⁴

2017 AAEA Annual Meeting, 30 July – 1 August, 2017

¹USDA, Economic Research Service
²University of Delhi, Institute of Economic Growth
³Peking University, School of Advanced Agricultural Sciences and China Center for Agricultural Policy
⁴USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service

Corresponding author: Richard Nehring, rnehring@ers.usda.gov

The views expressed are those of the authors and should not be attributed to the Economic Research Service or USDA


Economic Research Service
www.ers.usda.gov



Introduction

- Soil properties and growing conditions differ substantially by country and region:
 1. Moisture stress in the U.S. primarily occurs in arid or semi-arid areas in western states.
 2. In Europe, moisture stress is most common in Spain.
- Geographic differences in land and soil characteristics contribute to important quality differences in agricultural output, which prevents direct comparison of observed prices.
- In models with cross-section data, failure to account for this substantial heterogeneity generally leads to biased estimates of relative land inputs.

Economic Research Service
www.ers.usda.gov



Survey of Recent Literature

- Recent studies have provided international comparisons of global agricultural productivity (Ball et al., 2001, 2010, 2016).
- Comparisons of the growth and relative levels of productivity were provided in these studies for the United States and member countries of the European Union. They found that prices of land of constant quality in selected European countries relative to the United States are significantly different than those derived from nominal land prices given exchange rates.
- However, this set of studies omitted information from three important countries in the global agricultural economy: Argentina, Brazil, and India.
- An extension of the global productivity analysis permits inclusion of these important developing countries as well as the developed world. This extension relies on the construction of relative land prices using hedonic methods.


Economic Research Service
www.ers.usda.gov



Research Objective

- **We estimate quality-adjusted land prices by regressing nominal land prices (by country) on common soil and population characteristics using hedonic methods.**
- Our research extends the work of Ball et al. (2008) by employing a much richer dataset covering more agriculturally-productive regions.
- Regression analysis includes data on 23 soil types across 3,553 districts in 17 countries (Sanchez, 2003).
- We present an example of data and techniques used to quality-adjust land values in the U.S. and select OECD countries using regional price and quantity data for 2005.

Economic Research Service
www.ers.usda.gov



Methods: Hedonic Regression Analysis

- Under the hedonic approach, the price of land is a function of its underlying characteristics.
- As such, the hedonic function may be expressed as $W = W(X,D)$, where W represents the price of land, X is a vector of characteristics, and D is a vector of control variables.
- Characteristics include soil acidity, salinity, and moisture stress, among others.
- In areas with extreme moisture stress, agriculture is generally not possible without irrigation; hence, irrigation is included as a separate variable. We also control for population density.
- Because irrigation mitigates the negative impact of acidity on plant growth, the interaction between irrigation and soil acidity is also included.
- Country dummies are also included and capture constant-quality land price.

Empirical Approach

- Most empirical studies adopt the semilog or double-log form of the hedonic price function.
- However, economic theory places few if any restrictions on the form of the hedonic price function.
- We adopt a generalized linear form where the dependent variable and each of the continuous independent variables are represented by the Box-Cox transformation.
- This expression can assume both linear and logarithmic forms, as well as intermediate non-linear forms.


Box-Cox Regression Model

- For region i (e.g., county, district) of country c :


$$P_i(\lambda_1) = X_i(\lambda_2) + D_c + \varepsilon_i$$

$P_i(\lambda_1)$ is the Box-Cox transformation of land price,
 $X_i(\lambda_2)$ is the Box-Cox transformation of continuous regressors,
 D_c are country indicator variables,
 λ_i is the value used to transform continuous variables, and
 ε_i is the normally-distributed error term.

Land Price (Dependent Variable)



Population Density (people/km²)




Variables used in Hedonic Estimation

Variable	Unit	Definition
Land price	Local currency per Hectare	Price of agricultural land
Land area	Hectares	Total land area
Population density	Index	A measure of the size and proximity of nearby population centers
Irrigation	Percent of total land area	Irrigated
Aluminum	-	Soils with aluminum toxicity
Calcareous	-	Soils with calcareous reactions
Solfidic	-	Solfidic soils
Moisture stress	-	Experiencing continuous soil moisture stress
Aridic/terric	-	Aridic or terric soil moisture regime too dry to grow a crop without irrigation
Leaching	-	High leaching potential
Waterlogging	-	Soils experiencing waterlogging
Phosphorus	-	High phosphorus fixation
Alkalinity	-	Soil alkalinity
Salinity	-	Soil salinity
Cryic/fragid	-	Cryic and fragid (<8°C mean annual), non-iso soil temperature regimes, where management practices can help warm topsoils for short-term cereal production
Permafrost	-	Permafrost with 50cm gelsols; no cropping possible
Cracking	-	Cracking clays
Volcanic	-	Volcanic soils
Organic	-	Organic soil >12% organic C to a depth of 50 cm or more (histosols and histic groups)
Clayey top	-	Clayey topsoil >50% (dummy)
Loamy top	-	Loamy topsoil >50% (dummy)
Clayey sub	-	Clayey subsoil
Loamy sub	-	Loamy subsoil
Rock	-	Rock or other hard root-restricting layer within 50 cm
Sandy sub	-	Sandy subsoil
Sandy sub	-	Sandy topsoil

Source: World Soils Group, Natural Resource and Conservation Service.

Loamy Topsoil, Soil Moisture Stress, and Aridic Soils requiring irrigation in the U.S. and Europe



Hedonic Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient	t-value	Variable	Coefficient	t-value
D1 (US)	8.780***	68.33	Irrigation	0.044***	3.47
D2 (Canada)	8.715***	62.91	Moisture stress	-1.407**	-2.89
D3 (Australia)	8.147***	25.70	Irrigation*moisture stress	0.049***	4.37
D4 (France)	8.267***	39.39	Population accessibility	0.379***	30.71
D5 (Finland)	8.538***	8.48	Aluminum toxicity	0.011***	0.84
D6 (UK)	8.048***	10.36	Salinity	0.001	0.18
D7 (Belgium)	9.577***	3.92	Aridic/terric	-0.070***	-8.47
D8 (Belgium)	8.819***	4.52	Waterlogging	0.075***	3.32
D9 (Denmark)	10.580***	9.16	High phosphorus	0.021	0.14
D10 (Lux.)	9.019	0.36	Alkalinity	0.027	0.71
D11 (Netherlands)	9.400***	5.03	Cryic/fragid	0.044	1.15
D12 (Germany)	8.397***	14.93	Permafrost	-0.120	-2.21
D13 (Italy)	9.238***	18.99	Cracking/clays	0.002	0.04
D14 (Spain)	9.161***	22.99	Volcanic soils	-0.016	-0.60
D15 (Ireland)	8.942*	3.29	Organic content	0.023	0.60
D16 (Portugal)	8.910***	3.89	Rock	0.063**	2.47
D17 (Sweden)	10.532***	3.76			
Clayey topsoil	2.568	1.37	λ Clay top	6.049	1.38
Loamy topsoil	0.288***	3.02	λ Sandy top	0.596***	3.10
Sandy topsoil	0.031	1.89	λ Irriger	1.355***	7.57
Loamysub	-0.068	-1.07	λ Salinest	1.091	2.99
Claysub	-0.011	-0.46	λ Pop	0.088***	4.32
Sandy sub	0.045	0.79	λ Alum	0.572	1.25
			λ Salinity	2.450	0.98
			λ Acid	0.265***	3.55
Observations	3370				
Schwarz Criterion	5355		Log Likelihood	-2506	
AIC	5095		Sigma	0.48037 (84.12)	

Land Prices and Purchasing Power Parity for selected countries relative to the U.S., 2005

Country	Land Price		Purchasing Power Parity ^b	PPP/EX
	Nominal	Quality-Adjusted ^a		
U.S.	7,535	7,259	1.00	--
France	21,525	17,327	2.38	1.87 ^a
Belgium	18,326	7,708	1.06	0.83 ^a
Spain	30,100	10,509	1.44	1.13 ^a

^a Quality-adjusted price of land based on value of country indicator from hedonic estimation.

^b For example, France's quality-adjusted land price divided by U.S.' quality-adjusted land price (17,327/7,259) equals 2.38. These are estimates of country indicator variables from the hedonic regression.

Conclusions

1. The hedonic regression results indicate that irrigation, population density, clayey topsoil, loamy topsoil, and sandy topsoil have a significant and positive impact on land price. Moisture stress and high leaching potential are significant and negative.
2. Constant-quality land prices in selected European countries relative to the U.S. are significantly different than what would be derived by using nominal land prices, given exchange rates.
3. Comparisons of purchasing power parity and the nominal exchange rate provide information on relative land prices:
 - For example, constant-quality land price in France was nearly double that of the U.S. in 2005 (2.38/1.27=1.87), given an exchange rate of \$1.27 per Euro.
4. Future work will incorporate additional land price and soil data for Argentina, Brazil, China, and India.

References

Ball, V. E., S. Cahill, Y. Sheng, R. Nehring, and C. S. J. Mesonada. 2016. Comparisons of Capital Input in OECD Agriculture, 1973-2011. *Review of Economics and Finance* (3): 25-48.

Ball, V. E., J. P. Butault, C. S. J. Mesonada, and R. Mora. 2010. Productivity and International Competitiveness of Agriculture in the European Union and the United States. *Agricultural Economics* 41 (6): 611-627.


Ball, V. E., W. A. Lindamood, R. Nehring, and C. S. J. Mesonada. 2008. Capital as a Factor of Production in OECD Agriculture: Measurement and Data. *Applied Economics* 40 (10): 1253-1277.

Sanchez, P.A., C.A. Palm, and S.W. Buol. 2003. Fertility Capability Soil Classification: A Tool to Help Assess Soil Quality in the Tropics. *Geoderma* 114 (3-4): 157-185.


Shi, U.J., T.T. Phipps and D. Colyer. 1997. Agricultural Land Values under Urbanizing Influences. *Land Economics* 73 (1): 90-100.

Triplet, J.E. 1989. Price and Technological Change in a Capital Good: A Survey of Research on Computers. In D.L. Jorgenson and R. Landau (eds), *Technology and Capital Formation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.


Appendix: Irrigation and Soil Moisture Stress in U.S. Regions



Appendix: Topsoil Characteristics in U.S. Regions



Appendix: Irrigation and Soil Moisture Stress in Indian Regions



Appendix: Topsoil Characteristics in Indian Regions

