



AgEcon SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.



Transformational reform: lessons and strategies to change the game

Dr Deborah C Peterson

Contributed presentation at the 60th AARES Annual Conference,
Canberra, ACT, 2-5 February 2016

Copyright 2016 by Author(s). All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Transformational reform: lessons and strategies to change the game

Dr Deborah C Peterson

deborahcpeterson@gmail.com

au.linkedin.com/in/deborahcpeterson

Presentation at AARES 2016

Overview

Purpose

Identify lessons and strategies
to design and implement transformational reform

Outline

- Common obstacles and challenges to reform
- Conditions favoring reform
- Drought policy in Australia
- Lessons and strategies

Transformational reform is ...

- Game changing
- Substantial net gain
- Sustained
 - Broadly accepted
 - Adapts
- Often large in scale or scope, but may be smaller and provide a catalyst

Common obstacles

- Distributional impacts
- Beneficiaries poorly informed
- Bureaucracy aligned with status quo
- Early losses, slower gains
- Associated political risk
- Multiple jurisdictions

Common challenges for environment and NRM reform

- Complexity
- Difficult to detect problem early
- Inadequate information and scientific knowledge
- Measuring values
- Cross jurisdictional boundaries

Conditions favoring transformational reform

- Sense of urgency and need for change
- Size, timing and distribution of costs and benefits understood
- Engagement - wide and early
- Capable, adequately resourced institutions
- Effective leadership



Drought characteristics

- No universal simple definition
- Conditions develop gradually and end may be hard to define
- Impacts can be significant
 - economic, social, environmental and political
- Highly visual, doesn't 'go away', strikes emotional response

Major Australian droughts

- 1864-66 All states except Tasmania
- 1880-86 Southern and eastern states
- 1895-1903 Devastating stock losses
- 1911-16 Loss of 19m sheep, 2m cattle
National wheat crop failed completely in 1914
- 1918-20 Widespread
- 1939-45 Lost nearly 30m sheep between 1942 and 1945
- 1963-68 Widespread
- 1972-73 Mainly eastern Australia
- 1982-83 One of the most intense, widespread droughts on record. Total loss estimated > \$3b
- 1991-95 Particularly dry in parts of QLD, northern NSW, parts of central Australia. Estimated > \$5b cost
- 2001-11** Inflows to Murray-Darling Basin lowest on record, severe impact on irrigated agriculture

Australian policy context

- Early focus: 'drought proofing' agriculture
 - expanding dams and irrigation
- 1970s: drought considered a natural disaster
 - low interest rate loans, subsidies and rebates
- 1989/90 onwards: new way of thinking
Drought a recurring, natural feature of environment

Australian policy context

Multiple major reviews over decades

Most agreed:

- No universal definition of drought or its severity
- Drought a clear and ever present risk of doing business; need self reliance and risk management
- Interest rate subsidies encourage debt and are poorly targeted
- Transactions based subsidies discourage preparedness

And yet...

- Continued to distinguish severe and lesser droughts
- 'Exceptional circumstances' introduced (1992)
- Continued interest subsidies, transactions based subsidies (despite agreement to phase out)

A window opened

- 2007, Federal government to Labour making a rare alignment of States, Territories and Feds in 2008, and all except WA in 2009 and 2010
- 2010, drought conditions easing
- Cost to Commonwealth budget of interest rate subsidies rising and hurting

There were advances...

- Separation of farm household welfare issues and that of the farm business
- 'Exceptional circumstances' condition removed (2012), but still required drought assessment
- Interest rate subsidy removed (2012)

Advances (contd)

- Principles agreed for in-drought support (2011), Intergovernmental Agreement signed (May 2013)
 - Both of questionable strength
- Principles included that in-drought support must be underpinned by monitoring and performance data to ensure appropriate targeting
 - Adequacy and transparency of reporting?

But then ...

- In 2013 drought returned and intensified
- Sept 2013, new drought package
- Concessional loans returned
- Drought again referred to as a **natural disaster**

\$320m for drought-hit farmers



Agricultural Competitiveness White Paper July 2015

“Drought is one of the biggest challenges farmers face and it has a significant impact on agricultural output, productivity and farm incomes. **The Government will always stand by farmers in drought.**”

Concessional loans re-introduced

Lessons

- Major complex reform rarely linear
- Lack of clarity about problem, its causes and interactions with other policies/issues can lead to misguided solutions, poor outcomes
- Have or create understanding of strong need for change
- Power of media and lobby groups cannot be underestimated

Lessons

- Need active, continued stakeholder engagement. Harness beneficiary support.
- Media matters. Photographs, stories reinforce public opinions and attitudes.
- Politics matter; don't ignore
- Cross boundary issues notoriously challenging
 - create incentive structures and use institutional arrangements

