



AgEcon SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

***INFLUENCE OF TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND ON THE
ADOPTION OF IMPROVED PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY
IN AN AREA OF BANGLADESH***

M. S. R. BHUIYAN

ABSTRACT

Analysis of field data collected from a sample of 100 part-tenant farmers has brought out findings about influence of tenurial status of land on adoption of improved seed-fertilizer-irrigation technology. Adoption of improved production technology tended to be the highest on owned land followed by cash rented land, crop-share rented land with input cost-sharing and crop-share rented land without input cost-sharing. Thus, the findings lead to suggest that irrespective of rental arrangements the mechanism of land tenancy acts as hinderance to adoption of improved production technology. Inter-rental system comparison reveals that cash renting system is a better mechanism than crop-share renting even if with input-cost sharing. However, input cost-sharing practice accelerated adoption of improved production technology on crop-share rented land.

I. INTRODUCTION

The improved seed-fertilizer-irrigation technology plays the prominent role in improving agricultural production efficiency and growth. The important question that is often raised here is whether the prevailing tenurial arrangements in Bangladesh act as hinderance to the adoption of improved production technology. Asaduzzaman and Islam (1975) noted that the relationship between tenurial arrangement and adoption of improved production technology is not yet clearly established. Alamgir (1975, p. 271) argued that acceptance of new production technology is not affected significantly by the types of tenure. However, using 1977 Census data, Jabbar and Green (1983, p. 35) observed that districts with higher incidence of renting had significantly lower adoption of high-yielding crop varieties and lower cropping intensities. This is an indication that the adoption of improved production technology is adversely affected by the mechanism of

The author is a Senior Scientific Officer, On-Farm Research Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur. The paper is based on a part of the author's Ph. D. thesis.

land tenancy. But not enough is known about this issue in relation to farm level data. In view of this, the present study aims at examining the influence of tenurial status of land on adoption of improved production technology.

II. THE DATA

The study pertains to farm level data from Mymensingh district of Bangladesh collected through survey method during the crop year 1984-85. One hundred part-tenant farmers² (those owning some land and renting-in additional land), randomly selected from a total of 332 part-tenants in a cluster of some villages of Bailor Union under Trishal Upazila of Mymensingh district, provided input-output data with respect to tenurial status of land for Aman paddy, Boro paddy and wheat crops.

III. THE ANALYTICAL TOOLS

Criss-cross tables along with Chi-square and t-test statistics were employed to assess incidence and rate of adoption of improved seed-fertilizer-irrigation technology with respect to tenurial status of land.

IV. THE FINDINGS

Tenurial Status of Land

Fiftyseven per cent of the total cultivated holdings of the sampled part-tenants was under ownership right, 38 per cent under crop-share renting and 5 per cent under cash renting. Half crop-sharing with sharing of half input (seed, fertilizer, irrigation and insecticide) cost accounted for 91 per cent of the total crop-share rented land. The remaining 9 per cent was also under half crop-sharing but without input cost sharing. Mandal (1980, p. 37) and Zaman (1973, pp. 149-172) found ample evidence of input sharing. However, Jabbar (1977, p. 19) observed scanty evidence of cash renting and input sharing (mostly seeds) in the relatively backward region and argued that cash renting and input sharing may be less prevalent where concentration of land ownership and competition among tenants for renting land are greater³.

Tenurial Status and Adoption of Improved Production Technology

High-yielding crop varieties : In general, proportion of cropped area devoted to high-yielding varieties appeared to be the highest on owned land followed by cash rented land, crop-share rented land with input cost sharing and crop-share rented land without input

cost sharing (Table 1). Individual crop analysis also revealed that the proportional area under high-yielding varieties for all the paddy crops⁴ was significantly larger on owned land than either on crop-share rented or cash rented land (Table 2).

Chemical Fertilizers : Except Boro (TV) paddy and wheat crops, both incidence and extent of chemical fertilizer application was more on owned land than on rented land in general (Table 3).

Aggregate analysis of all the selected crops showed that use of chemical fertilizers per hectare of crop production was the highest on owned land (101 kg), followed by cash rented land (97 kg), crop-share rented land with input cost sharing (90 kg) and crop-share rented land without input cost sharing (60 kg). Except cash rented land, other differences in fertilizer use with owned land appeared to be statistically significant⁵. Difference in fertilizer use between cash rented land and crop-share rented land with input cost sharing was not significant ; while such difference between cash rented land and crop-share rented land without input cost sharing was significant. Per hectare fertilizer use difference between crop-share rented land with input cost sharing and crop-share rented land without input cost sharing was highly significant. This suggests that input cost shared by the land owners accelerated level of fertilizer use on crop-share rented land (also see, Mandal, *op. cit.*, p. 39).

Fertilizer-mix and method of fertilizer application were not significantly influenced by tenurial status of land. However, there was some indication that proportion of farmers using fertilizer-mix of N, P and K was more on owned land than either on crop-share rented or cash rented land⁶.

Irrigation : Both incidence of irrigation-input use and proportion of cropped area under irrigation were observed to be significantly higher on owned land than on rented land in general (Tables 4 and 5). However, incidence of irrigation-input use was found to be independent of rental system (Table 6).

Insecticide : With the exception of Boro (HYV) paddy crop, incidence of insecticide use appeared to be higher on owned land than either on crop-share rented or cash rented land (Table 7)⁷. Irrespective of tenurial status of land, name of the part-tenants applied insecticide in Boro (TV) paddy and wheat crops.

V. SUMMARY AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The findings of this study suggest that adoption rate of improved seed-fertilizer-irrigation technology tended to be adversely affected by the mechanism of land tenancy. Inter-rental system comparison revealed that part-tenant farmers adopted improved production technology at a higher rate on cash rented land than on crop-share rented land. Incidence of input cost sharing enhanced adoption rate of improved production technology

on crop-share rented land. However, cash renting system appeared to be the better mechanism than crop-share renting system even if with input cost sharing.

The policy implications emerging from the study lead to recommend that the mechanism of land tenancy should be abolished through a series of land reform measures. If such major land reform measures are not feasible under the existing political ideology of the government, cash renting rather than crop-share renting should be encouraged through legal policy actions. If crop-share renting is not abolished, legal provisions are to be made for sharing variable inputs in proportion to the crop-share.

Notes :

1. In 1977, there were 58.3 per cent owner holdings, 41.2 per cent owner-cum-tenant holdings (i.e., part-tenants) and 0.5 per cent tenant holdings, compared to 60.8, 37.6 and 1.6 per cent in 1960 (Bangladesh 1981, p. 291). In 1960, about 22.2 per cent of the total land was cultivated under tenancy, the principal farm being half crop share without sharing of inputs. In 1977, around 23 per cent of total cultivated land was under tenancy, about 91 per cent of which were transacted under crop-sharing arrangements.
2. The logic of selecting only part-tenants for examining effect of tenurial status of land on adoption of improved production technology is that it will control the effects of extrinsic factors, such as, management skill and resource availability of farms (also see, Talukder 1980 ; Mandal 1980 ; Bell 1977 ; Hossain 1977 ; Jabbar 1975 and Heady 1952). Until Bell (1977) this method has been rarely used in estimating the effect of land tenancy.
3. For a theoretical and empirical discussion on the relationship between technology, wage rate and incidence of share-cropping, see, Bardhan and Srinivasan (1971, pp. 48-64).
4. Paddy crops accounted for 81 per cent of the total cropped area for the sampled part-tenants.
5. Mandal (*op. cit.*, pp. 34, 39) ; Talukder (*op. cit.*, p. 53) and Hossain (*op. cit.*, P. 328) also observed that part-tenants used less fertilizers on crop-share rented land than on their owned land. Sidhu *et al.* (1982, p. 8) reported that per hectare use of fertilizer was higher on cash rented land than on crop-share rented land and owned land. They found apparently equal average levels of fertilizer use on owned and crop-share rented lands. However, the results from their estimation of fertilizer demand provide consistent indication that crop share renting influences fertilizer use negatively in all crop seasons.
6. For empirical results and discussion on this point, see, Bhuiyan (1986, pp. 141-144)
7. Respondents could not report quantity of insecticide used, because almost all insecticides were applied by professional sprayers on rental charge basis.

REFERENCES

- Alamgir, M. (1975) : "Some Aspects of Bangladesh Agriculture : Review of performance and Evaluation of Policies". *The Bangladesh Development Studies*, 3, 3, 261-300.
- Asaduzzaman, M. and Islam F. (1975) : *Farm Size and Adoption of HYV*. Dhaka (Mimeo.) : Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies.
- Bangladesh, Government of the People's Republic of. (1981) : *Report on the Agricultural Census of Bangladesh 1977 (National Volume)*. Dhaka : Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning.
- Bardhan, P.K. and Srivivasan T.N. (1971) : "Cropsharing Tenancy in Agriculture : A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis". *American Economic Review*, 61, 1, 48-64.
- Bell, C. (1977) : "Alternative Theories of Sharecropping : Some Tests Using Evidence from North-East India." *Journal of Development Studies*, 13, 4.
- Bhuiyan, M.S.R. (1986) : *Impact of Farm Size and Tenurial Status of Land on Resource Productivity in Mymensingh District of Bangladesh*. Ph. D. dissertation submitted to the Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar.
- Heady, E.O. (1952) : *Economics of Agricultural Production and Resource Use*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. : Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Hossain, M. (1977) : "Farm Size, Tenancy and Land Productivity : An Analysis of Farm Level Data in Bangladesh." *The Bangladesh Development Studies*, 5, 3, 285-348.
- Jabbar, M.A. (1975) : "Sharecropping and Economic Efficiency in Bangladesh-Comment." *The Bangladesh Development Studies*, 3, 2, 253-59.
- Jabbar, M.A. (1977) : "Relative Productive Efficiency of Different Tenure Classes in Selected Areas of Bangladesh." *The Bangladesh Development Studies*, 5, 1, 17-50.
- Jabbar, M.A. and Green D. A. G. (1983) : *The Status and Potential of Livestock within the Context of Agricultural Development Policy in Bangladesh*. Aberystwyth : Department of Agricultural Economics, The University College of Wales, U.K.
- Mandal, M.A.S. (1980) : "Farm Size, Tenure and Productivity in an area of Bangladesh." *The Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 3, 2, 21-42.
- Sidhu, S.S., Baanante C.A. and Ahsan, E. (1982) : *Agricultural Production, Fertilizer Use and Equity Considerations, Results and Analysis of Farm Survey Data, 1979/80, Bangladesh*. International Fertilizer Development Centre.
- Talukder, R.K. (1980) : "Land Tenure and Efficiency in Bora Rice Production in an area of Mymensingh District." *The Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 3, 2, 43-55.
- Zaman, M.R. (1973) : "Sharecropping and Economic Efficiency in Bangladesh." *Bangladesh Economic Review*, 1, 2, 149-72.

TABLE 1. EXTENT OF AREA DEVOTED TO HIGH-YIELDING CROP VARIETY ACCORDING TO TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND

Tenurial status of land	% cropped area under high-yielding variety
Owned (a)	33.0
Share rented with cost shared (b)	25.7
Share rented without cost shared (c)	20.0
All share rented (b & c)	25.2
Cash rented (d)	28.2
All rented (b, c & d)	26.1
Owned and all rented (a, b, c & d)	29.6

Influence of Tenurial Status of Land on the Adoption : Bhuiyan

TABLE 2. PROPORTION OF AREA UNDER TRADITIONAL AND HIGH-YIELDING VARIETIES OF PADDY CROP BY TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND

Tenurial status of land	Per cent area under								
	Aus				Aman				
	TV	HYV	All varieties	Total	TV	HYV	All varieties	Total	
Owned (a)	62	38	100	58	42	100	54	46	100
Share rented with cost shared (b)	75	25	100	71	29	100	75	25	100
Share rented without cost shared (c)	83	17	100	67	33	100	0	0	0
All share rented (b & c)	76	24	100	70	30	100	75	25	100
Cash rented (d)	67	33	100	75	25	100	0	0	0
All rented (b, c & d)	74	26	100	72	28	100	75	25	100
Owned and all rented (a, b, c & d)	67	33	100	66	34	100	64	36	100

TV means Traditional Variety.
HYV means High-Yielding Variety.

TABLE 3. INCIDENCE AND EXTENT OF CHEMICAL FERTILIZER APPLICATION IN DIFFERENT CROPS ACCORDING TO TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND

Tenurial status of land	% farmers using			Quantity applied (kg/ha)			
	Urea	TSP	MP	Urea (a)	TSP (b)	MP (c)	All (a+b+c)
T. Aman (TV)							
Owned	91	24	0	63	16	0	79
Share rented with cost shared	89	9	0	51	6	0	57
Share rented without cost shared	80	40	0	33	33	0	66
All share rented	88	12	0	49	8	0	57
Cash rented	93	7	0	71	7	0	78
All rented	89	11	0	51	8	0	59
Owned and all rented	90	17	0	57	7	0	64
T. Aman (HYV)							
Owned	99	28	4	69	20	2	91
Share rented with cost shared	100	30	4	73	16	1	90
Share rented without cost shared	67	17	0	39	15	0	54
All share rented	96	28	3	69	16	1	86
Cash rented	100	25	0	120	20	0	140
All rented	97	27	3	74	16	1	91
Owned and all rented	98	28	4	71	18	2	91
Boro (TV)							
Owned	72	28	0	79	16	0	95
Share rented with cost shared	89	37	11	89	22	1	112
Owned and rented	79	31	4	85	19	1	105
Boro (HYV)							
Owned	100	85	19	196	89	5	290
Share rented with cost shared	96	79	8	154	76	4	234
Owned and rented	98	82	14	179	84	5	268

TABLE 3 contd...

Tenurial status of land	% farmers using			Quantity applied (kg/ha)			
	Urea	TSP	MP	Urea (a)	TSP (b)	MP (c)	All (a+b+c)
Wheat							
Owned	85	26	9	66	15	2	83
Share rented with cost shared	91	32	14	57	24	2	83
Owned and rented	87	28	11	62	19	2	83
All Crops							
Owned	92	33	6	75	25	1	101 ^d
Share rented with cost shared	93	30	6	71	18	1	90 ^e
Share rented without cost shared	73	27	0	35	25	0	60 ^f
All share rented	91	29	5	68	19	1	88 ^g
Cash rented	96	13	0	86	11	0	97 ^h
All rented	92	28	4	70	18	1	89 ⁱ
Owned and all rented	92	31	5	72	19	1	92

T. Aman means Transplanted Aman.

TSP means Triple Superphosphate.

MP means Muriate of Potash.

% differences and results of t-tests :

% (d-e)=12.2, t=2.13, Significance =0.050

% (d-f)=68.3, t=7.98, significance =0.001

% (d-g)=14.8, t=2.24, significance =0.050

% (d-h)=4.1, t=1.07, nonsignificance =0.100

% (d-i)=13.5, t=1.99, significance =0.050

% (e-f)=50.0, t=5.36, significance =0.005

% (h-e)=7.8, t=1.02, nonsignificance =0.100

% (h-f)=61.7, t=5.99, significance =0.001

% (h-g)=10.2, t=3.11, significance =0.010

TABLE 4. EFFECT OF TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND ON INCIDENCE OF IRRIGATION WATER USE

Tenurial status of land	Per cent of irrigation water		
	Users ^a	Non-users	All farmers
Owned	38	62	100
Rented ^b	13	87	100

a. Used irrigation water at least once during the whole crop year.

b. Includes both crop-share rented and cash rented lands.

Chi-square=16.45, DF=1, Significance=0.005

TABLE 5. EFFECT OF TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND ON EXTENT OF IRRIGATED AREA

Tenurial status of land	% farms by % cropped area under irrigation				% cropped area irrigated ^a
	0	Below 10	10-20	Above 20	
Owned	62	8	14	16	23
Rented ^b	87	1	5	7	8

a. Used irrigation water at least once during the whole crop year.

b. Includes both crop-share rented and cash rented lands.

Chi-square :=9.84, DF=3, Significance=0.025

TABLE 6. EFFECT OF RENTAL SYSTEM ON INCIDENCE OF IRRIGATION WATER USE

Rental system	Per cent of irrigation water		
	Users ^a	Non-users	All farmers
Crop-share renting	12	88	100
Cash renting	10	90	100

a. Used irrigation water at least once during the whole crop year.

Chi-square=0.08, DF=1, Nonsignificance=0.100

TABLE 7. PROPORTION OF PART-TENANTS USING INSECTICIDE IN PADDY CROP ACCORDING TO TENURIAL STATUS OF LAND

Tenurial status of land	% part-tenants using insecticide in		
	T. Aman (TV)	T. Aman (HYV)	Boro (HYV)
Owned	8	11	19
Share rented with cost shared	0	6	33
Share rented without cost shared	0	0	NA
Cash rented	7	0	NA

NA means Not Available.

