

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

#### Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

#### A Credit Migration Analysis of the Financial Vitality of Female and Racial Minority Borrowers of the Farm Service Agency under Recessionary Conditions

#### Xiaofei Li

University of Georgia, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics 306 Conner Hall, Athens, GA 30602
Email: zxmf1128@uga.edu

Cesar L. Escalante
University of Georgia, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics
315 Conner Hall, Athens, GA 30602
Email: cescalan@uga.edu

Charles B. Dodson
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farm Service Agency
Email: Charles.Dodson@wdc.usda.gov

Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the
2015 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association and Western Agricultural Economics Association
Joint Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, July 26-28

Copyright 2015 by Xiaofei Li, Cesar L. Escalante, and Charles B. Dodson. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



# A Credit Migration Analysis of the Financial Vitality of Female and Racial Minority Borrowers of the Farm Service Agency under Recessionary Conditions

Xiaofei Li<sup>1</sup>, Cesar L.Escalante<sup>1</sup>, and Charles Dodson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The University of Georgia, Department of Agricultural & Applied Economics; <sup>2</sup>U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farm Service Agency



# **ABSTRACT**

This paper compares the credit migration transition of female and racial minority farmers of the Farm Service Agency's lending program using both time-discrete method and time-homogeneous Markov chain method. The estimated results indicates that racial and gender minority farms have higher financial vulnerability.

Keywords: Farm Loan, Credit Migration, Transition matrix, Markov chain, Cohort method, Time homogeneous

# INTRODUCTION

- USDA's Farm Service Agency (FSA) is considered as a lender of last resort since it makes direct loans to those farmers who are unable to obtain credit from regular commercial lenders.
- FSA's loan program is even more crucial for farmers during economic downturns. During the recent economic recession from 2007 to 2009, farmers claimed that it has been harder for them to obtain loans and that they have faced unique credit and debt burdens<sup>1</sup>.
- This study is designed to analyze the credit migration transition rates of racial and gender minority farmers that are existing FSA borrowers.
- The major contention of this study is that economic shocks, such as a recession, can affect different gender and different racial minority farmer groups differently given the inherent differences in structural attributes, infrastructural support, resource endowments, and varying levels of opportunities and access to sources of assistance and resources that may be results of longitudinal, historical events that created such inequities.

<sup>1</sup> National survey of farm credit counselors and farm advocacy organizations: http://www.farmaid.org/atf/cf/%7B6ef41923-f003-4e0f-a4a6-ae0031db12fb%7D/FARMER\_CREDIT\_REPORT-MARCH\_2011.PDF

### **DATA**

This study utilizes the Farm Business Plan data from the FSA national office spanning from year 2004 to year 2013. The FSA normally calculates a credit score when a farm applies for a new loan, receives the proceeds of the approved loan, or receives servicing action. In this analysis, the credit risk classification variable <sup>2</sup> from FSA's Borrower Account Classification System will be used as levels for credit scores (Table 1):

Table 1. Farm Credit Classification

Total Overall Score	Classification	Classification Category
1 to 1.59	1	Commercial
1.6 to 2.19	2	Standard
2.2 to 2.79	3	Acceptable
2.8 to 4	4	Marginal

Source: FSA Handbook, General Program Administration, 1-FLP

A fifth category (NR) is added to capture observations that have been dropped from the dataset before the end of the sample time period. These loan accounts may have been fully settled or re-classified as delinquent loan accounts.

<sup>2</sup> Classification score= weighted current ratio score + weighted debt to asset ratio score + weighted return on assets ratio score + weighted term debt and capital lease coverage ratio (TDCLC) score

## **METHODOLOGY**

Credit risk migration analyzes the likelihood that a borrower will remain in the same risk rating category from one period to the next. These migration rates are used to project the credit quality of lenders' loan portfolios according to class upgrades versus downgrades, and derive estimates of probability of loan default or stress rates.

Major rating companies such as Moody's and Standard and Poor's use discrete time (cohort) approach (Lando and Skodeberg, 2002; Schuermann and Jafry, 2003):

where  $N_{ii}$  farms has migrated from rating category i to j, over a specific time horizon  $\Delta t$ , given  $N_i$  farms that belong to rating category i at the start of the time horizon.

However, this discrete approach ignores any rating change within subperiods of a give time frame and focuses only on migration observed at the beginning and the end of a time period, therefore, reduces its reliability in consistently producing accurate and efficient rates of migration rates.

First introduced by Lando and Skodeberg (2002) and supported by other literatures (Schuermann and Jafry, 2003; Deng et al, 2003), a Markov Chain time-homogeneous model was proposed to address the deficiency of cohort method.

Consider a K-state Markov chain where state 1 is the highest rating category and state K is the default rate. Following Lando and Skodeberg (2002), we collect the transition probabilities of the Markov chain for a given time horizon through a K $\times$ K matrix P(t) whose ijth's element is the probability of migrating from state i to state j in a time period of t. This continuous-time chain generator matrix  $\Lambda$  can be present as a K×K matrix for which:

$$P(t) = \exp(\Lambda t) \cong \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda^k t^k}{k!}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

where  $\Lambda t$  is the matrix  $\Lambda$  multiplied by t on every entry and the exponential function is a matrix exponential. Hence, one can obtain the maximum likelihood estimators of the transition probability matrices by obtaining the maximum likelihood estimator of this generator first and then applying the matrix exponential function on this estimate, scaled by time horizon. The entries of the generator  $\Lambda$  satisfy:

$$\lambda_{ij} \ge 0$$
 for  $i \ne j$ 

$$\lambda_{ii} = -\sum_{i \ne j} \lambda_{ij}$$

which guarantees that sum of the rows of the matrix is equal to one. The parameter $\lambda_i$ , is defined as the probabilistic behavior of the holding time in state i, where  $\lambda_i = -\lambda_{ii}$ , and the probability of jumping from state i to jgiven a jumping occurs is defined by  $\lambda_{ii}/\lambda_i$ .

To estimate the generator matrix  $\Lambda$  we need to obtain the estimates of its entries. The maximum likelihood estimator of  $\lambda_{ii}$  is given by:

$$\lambda_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij}(T)}{\int_{0}^{T} Y_{i}(s) ds}$$

where  $N_{ii}(T)$  is the total number of transitions over the period from i to j, and  $Y_i(s)$  is the number of farms assigned credit category i at time s.

The interpretation of this equation is: numerator counts the number of observed transition from i to j over the entire period of observation, and the denominator effectively collects all observations assigned with category i over period T. Thus, any period spent in a particular rating class will be picked up in the denominator.

# **RESULTS**

**Table 2. Summary Matrices for Female Farmers Under the Cohort Method and Markov** Chain Method, 2004-2013 (percent)

Period 1	Period 2 Farm Credit Risk Classes					
Farm Credit Risk Classes	1	2	3	4	NR	
<b>Time Discrete Cohort Method</b>						
1	17.42†	13.33	9.89	3.87	55.48	
2	8.58	17.95	15.40	7.95	50.11	
3	3.72	11.25	24.67	12.52	47.83	
4	3.15	9.24	16.88	21.64	49.09	
NR	4.69	9.95	11.26	7.14	66.96	
Time-Homogeneous Markov Cl	nain Method					
1	17.97	11.96	13.13	7.63	49.31	
2	5.63	25.76	13.66	8.06	46.89	
3	4.04	10.54	30.69	9.50	45.23	
4	4.15	9.67	13.94	26.22	46.01	
NR	5.53	12.21	15.14	9.66	57.46	

Table 3. Summary Matrices for Male Farmers Under the Cohort Method and Markov Chain

Period 1  Farm Credit Risk Classes	Period 2 Farm Credit Risk Classes				
	1	2	3	4	NR
Time Discrete Cohort Method					
1	23.97	16.03	10.29	3.79	45.91
2	11.56	25.03	15.83	7.35	40.24
3	6.14	16.14	25.29	11.03	41.39
4	3.87	12.63	18.92	20.44	44.13
NR	5.65	11.94	12.68	6.83	62.92
Time-Homogeneous Markov Cl	hain Method				
1	25.27	14.46	13.11	6.61	40.55
2	8.02	30.84	14.22	7.56	39.37
3	6.50	13.66	31.74	8.58	39.52
4	5.92	12.59	14.57	26.85	40.06
NR	7.68	15.50	16.38	9.26	51.18

**Table 4. Summary Matrices for White Farmers Under the Cohort Method and Markov Chain** Mathad 2004-2013 (parcent)

Method, 2004-2013 (percent)					
Period 1	Period 2 Farm Credit Risk Classes				
Farm Credit Risk Classes	1	2	3	4	NR
<b>Time Discrete Cohort Method</b>					
1	23.79	15.67	10.05	3.71	46.77
2	11.77	24.56	15.76	7.22	40.69
3	6.22	16.13	24.80	10.91	41.94
4	4.01	12.71	18.80	20.11	44.37
NR	5.75	11.95	12.39	6.69	63.22
Time-Homogeneous Markov Ch	nain Method				
1	25.39	14.29	12.87	6.46	41.00
2	8.13	30.90	13.96	7.36	39.64
3	6.60	13.64	31.64	8.36	39.76
4	6.04	12.59	14.43	26.71	40.23
NR	7.83	15.51	16.10	9.06	51.50

Table 5. Summary Matrices for Racial Minority Farmersa Under the Cohort Method and Markov Chain Method 2004-2013 (nercent)

Period 1  Farm Credit Risk Classes	Period 2 Farm Credit Risk Classes				
	1	2	3	4	NR
Time Discrete Cohort Method					
1	18.11	14.33	10.24	5.35	51.97
2	6.27	21.35	13.88	9.41	49.10
3	3.00	10.65	25.68	12.68	47.99
4	2.37	7.26	15.79	22.26	52.33
NR	4.34	10.05	12.33	7.23	66.06
Time-Homogeneous Markov Cl	nain Method				
1	17.39	12.02	13.56	8.68	48.35
2	4.84	24.28	14.25	9.71	46.92
3	3.60	10.30	30.05	10.36	45.68
4	3.70	9.20	13.87	26.30	46.93
NR	4.89	11.89	15.82	10.29	57.11

## RESULTS

- ☐ Consistent with the findings of previous empirical studies on credit migration (Lando and Skodeberg, 2002; Deng et al, 2003), the cohort method tends to produce lower, seemingly underestimated rates of migration, especially among migrations to and from the extreme credit classes (from the top rating category to the lowest rating category, excluding the exit category, NR). This trend is reflected in the matrices developed for all four gender and race categories of farm borrowers (Table 2 - 5).
- ☐ Disregarding the NR class, the transition probabilities (migration rates) calculated for male farm borrowers seem to dominate those estimated for female borrowers, especially in terms of retentions (diagonal terms) and upgrades (rates below the diagonal terms). This is especially true when the time homogeneous estimates (Markov chain) are considered.
- ☐ Comparing the time homogeneous (Markov chain) migration matrices of white and non-white farm borrowers for all ratings except the NR rating, the white borrowers' matrix reflect higher retention rates while the non-white borrowers' matrix tend to have higher downgrade (rates above the diagonal terms).

#### CONCLUSION

The transition probability estimates establish that among the gender and racial classes of FSA loan clientele, female and non-white farm borrowers tend to display a greater need for financial assistance given their higher financial vulnerability (as may be reflected by higher rates of credit downgrade).

These classes of borrowers are accommodated under the lending program for Socially Disadvantaged farmers that ensure that these classes of farmers are allocated some funds even if their financial conditions may be less competitive in their respective borrower categories.



SELECTED REFERENCES

Deng X., Escalante C.L., Barry P.J., Yu Y, 2007, "Markov Chain Models for Farm Credit Risk Migration Analysis", Agriculture Finance Review, 67:1, pp. 99-117.

Lando, D. and T. Skodeberg, 2002, "Analyzing Ratings Transitions and Rating Drift with Continuous Observations", Journal of Banking & Finance, 26 (2/3), 423-444.

Schuermann, T. and Jafry, Y, 2003, "Measurement and estimation of credit migration matrices", Center for Financial Institutions, Working Papers 03, 8.