



AgEcon SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.



**That Sinking Feeling: The Changing Price of
Disaster Risk Following an Earthquake (PowerPoint)**

Levente Timar, Arthur Grimes and Richard Fabling

Author contact details

Levente Timar GNS Science & Motu Economic and Public Policy Research l.timar@gns.cri.nz,
levente.timar@motu.org.nz

Arthur Grimes Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, and University of Auckland
arthur.grimes@motu.org.nz

Richard Fabling Motu Economic and Public Policy Research richard.fabling@motu.org.nz

Contributed presentation at the 59th AARES Annual Conference,
Rotorua, New Zealand, February 10-13, 2015

Copyright 2015 by Authors. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

That sinking feeling: the changing price of disaster risk following an earthquake

Levente Timar

13 February 2015, AARES Conference

Research team

- Levente Timar, Arthur Grimes, Richard Fabling
- Funded by the Natural Hazard Research Platform



Canterbury earthquakes

- M 7.1 in Sept 2010, M 6.3 in Feb 2011, M 6.3 in June 2011
- Among most severe natural disasters to strike NZ
- Damage in CBD
 - Brick and mortar buildings
 - Cordoned off for 2 years
- Residential damage
 - 90% of residential buildings damaged
 - 20,000 houses seriously affected, 6,000 beyond repair
 - Mostly due to liquefaction




Liquefaction



Liquefaction

- Hazard mapped by scientists prior to earthquakes
- Largely unknown to public
- Ignored or downplayed by institutions
- Sept 2010 earthquake: information shock
 - NZ Herald citations



Previous research

- Property markets internalize the perceived risks associated with natural hazards
- Discount changes with prevalence of hazard events
- Effect may only be temporary
 - Insurance take-up (Gallagher 2014)
 - Property prices (Bin & Landry 2013)



Study design


- Compare pre- and post-earthquake sales of residential properties not directly affected by the earthquakes
- Differentiate across risk types and risk potential

		Seismicity	
		Dunedin City (low)	Hutt City (high)
Risk type	Construction (known)	X	X
	Liquefaction (unknown)	X	✓

- Permanent vs transient impact




Dunedin City



Return periods

- MM7
350 yrs
- MM9
13,000 yrs

Hutt City



Return periods

- MM7
30 yrs
- MM9
400 yrs

Models

- Hedonic model

$$\log(P_{it}) = \bar{\alpha} + \mu_t + \beta X_{it} + \gamma Z_{it} + \delta Z_{it} d^{EQ} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Repeat sales model

$$\log(P_{it}) = \bar{\alpha} + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \beta X_{it} + \gamma Z_{it} + \delta Z_{it} d^{EQ} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Control for all unchanging house characteristics
- Parameters on (unchanging) house attributes unidentified
- Nested models to facilitate comparison



Data

- Residential property sales classified by liquefaction potential zone from QVNZ
- Sample
 - house has a sale record in the post-EQ period
 - at least another sale record from before the EQ (1990-)
- Sale price, house and location attributes (size, age, structure, quality, etc.)




Estimation results

Repeat sales model, risk variables

	Dunedin City	Hutt City
Quarter	YES	YES
House fixed effect	YES	YES
Construction x Post EQ		
Brick	-0.0267	0.0045
Other	-0.0163	0.0061
Weatherboard	(base)	(base)
Liquefaction x Post EQ	0.0430	-0.0138**
Observations	5,009	12,688
Houses	1,392	4,076

** significant at 5%

Time-varying liquefaction risk premium Hutt City



- Estimated marginal impact & 90% CI
- Average magnitude of 1.4%
- Around 2% for about 2 years
- Fully dissipates within three years

Conclusion

- Consistent with hypotheses

		Seismicity	
		Dunedin City (low)	Hutt City (high)
Risk type	Construction (known)	X	X
	Liquefaction (unknown)	X	✓

- Consistent with previous research on effects of uncertain and infrequently observed events



Conclusion

- Why does the risk premium disappear?
 - Cognitive dissonance
 - Rational response (expectations around insurance)
- Policy implications
 - Greater prominence for risk advice in risky areas
 - Risk-differentiated insurance premia
- Ignorance is bliss?

