



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

# Government Policy Implications of Crop Rotational Effects of Biotechnology

Scott Fausti\*, Evert Van der Sluis\*, Bashir Qasmi\*, and Jonathan Lundgren\*\*

\*Department of Economics, South Dakota State University, and \*\*USDA Agricultural Research Service

## Background

Commercially grown genetically modified organism (GMO) corn and soybean varieties were first introduced in the United States in 1996. Since then, farmers have rapidly adopted herbicide tolerance (HT), insect resistance (Bt), and stacked (both traits) GMO corn and soybean varieties.

Many studies have considered a variety of broad-based implications of using GMO crop varieties (e.g. Van der Sluis, Diersen, and Dobbs 2002). Recent studies suggest that GMO adoption rates may be linked to changes in agricultural producer production practices (e.g., Fausti et al. 2012; Scandizzo and Savastano 2010).

The development of corn and soybean biofuel conversion technology as an alternative to fossil-based fuels allowed U.S. energy policy to implement mandates for biofuels. The policy goal is to have biofuels become an important source of energy for the U.S. economy. The two primary legislative mandates are the 2005 Energy Policy Act and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. The current legislation sets minimum annual consumption levels in four broad-based biofuel categories: cellulosic, biomass-based diesel, undifferentiated advanced, and renewable energy.

While there is no explicit mandate for corn-based ethanol, corn has dominated as a source for biofuel production because of its cost-advantage relative to alternative biofuels. Further, U.S. biofuel policies have had far-reaching consequences. In particular, recent studies suggest that corn demand driven by increased corn-based ethanol production has influenced producer crop rotation patterns in the U.S. (Kurkalova, Randall, and Secchi 2010; Marshall 2011).

## Objectives

Our objective is to investigate the effect of the linkage between the use of major genetically enhanced crops and bioenergy production from these crops on cereal and oilseed crop rotation patterns in the U.S. corn-belt region.

Fig. 1. The Corn Belt region



## Hypotheses

We hypothesize that the interaction between the introduction of GMO crop technology and the boom in bioenergy production has altered cropping patterns in the Northern Corn Belt.

We investigated changes in producer crop rotation patterns at the state level using the ratio of corn to soybean acres as a proxy for traditional cropping patterns prior to widespread GMO technology adoption and the linkage between energy and commodity markets due to corn base ethanol production.

Fig. 2. Bioethanol production at the Valero plant in Aurora, SD



## Methods

Our approach relies on the development of a comparative advantage framework that allows producers to switch crop rotation patterns as GMO crop prices change relative to non-GMO crop prices. The relative price change is due to changes in U.S. government energy policy.

Our empirical analysis is based on a pooled cross-sectional/time series model of cropping patterns, based on annual data collected in Corn Belt states between 2000 and 2012.

A Mixed Effects procedure employing a random intercept model with time-varying predictors ( $X_{it}, T_t$ ) was selected to analyze the data. The specific functional form is:

$$CSR_{it} = \mu + \beta X_{it} + \delta T_t + \varphi X_{it} T_t + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where:  
 CRS = state-level corn/soybean total planted acre ratio  
 $i = 1$  to 11, and  $t = 1$  to 13,  $i$  denotes state,  $t$  denotes time  
 $\mu$  denotes a fixed intercept,  
 $\alpha_i$  and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  represent the random effect components, and  
 $X_{it}$  and  $T_t$  denote the fixed effect components

We conducted a series of likelihood ratio tests to determine the exact specification of the model. Regression diagnostic tests failed to detect serial correlation or heteroscedasticity.

## Data

We used secondary state-level data on acres of crop acres planted, GMO coverage, and crop prices of eleven northern corn belt states for each year between 2000 and 2012. A total of 143 observations were used in the analysis.

## Analysis

The dependent variable (CSR) is the ratio of total corn acres to total soybean acres by state. Explanatory variables include the ratio of annual corn to soybean prices, priceratio; an ethanol policy dummy variable, so that Reg=1 for years from 2005 to 2012; the state-level percentage of corn acres planted with GMO seed, GECorn; a time trend variable constructed as the natural logarithm of time, lntime; an interaction terms of GMO corn acres planted and the time trend variable; and another interaction term between a dummy variable distinguishing between individual states with zero and non-zero slope coefficients in regressing CSR on time.

## Results

The statistical analysis suggest that an increase in the corn/bean price ratio, the adoption of GMO technology, and the passage of the biofuels acts of 2005 and 2007 have all contributed to changing the traditional cropping pattern in favor of producing more corn acres relative to soybeans. Interaction terms suggest that the impact of genetically modified crop usage on crop rotations patterns diminished over time as states reached very high adoption rates, and the states of Ohio, Kansas, and Missouri experienced little change in corn/bean planted acre ratio relative to the other eight states included in the study.

### Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm	Subject	Estimate	Standard Error	Value	Pr > Chi-Square
Intercept	ID	0.1975	0.08827	2.24	0.0126
Residual	ID	0.01279	0.001607	7.95	<.0001

### Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood	-122.9
AIC (smaller is better)	-118.9
AICC (smaller is better)	-118.8
BIC (smaller is better)	-118.1

### Solution for Fixed Effects

Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	DF	t Value	Pr >  t
priceratio	2.5102	0.2803	126	8.96	<.0001
REG	0.2257	0.04666	126	4.84	<.0001
REGCorn	0.003849	0.001996	126	1.93	0.0561
lntime	-0.04855	0.04460	126	-1.03	0.3047
REGCorn*lntime	-0.00271	0.000700	126	-2.45	0.0156
lntime*idnum	0.09953	0.02859	126	3.48	0.0007

## Conclusions

Our findings indicate that an increase in the corn/bean price ratio, the adoption of GMO technology, and the passage of the biofuels acts of 2005 and 2007 each changed traditional cropping patterns such that corn acres planted increased relative to those of soybeans. The research further indicates that GMO effect has diminished over time as states reach very high adoption rates. Finally three of the eight Corn Belt states studied experienced little change in the corn to soybean acres planted.

## References

- Fausti, S.W., T. McDonald, J. Lundgren, J. Li, A. Keating, and M. Catangui. "Insecticide Use and Crop Selection in Regions with High GMO Adoption Rates." *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, Vol. 27, No. 4, 2012: pp. 295-304.
- Kurkalova, L.A., S. M. Randall, and S. Secchi. "Energy Price Changes, Cropping Patterns, and Energy Use in Agriculture: Empirical Assessment." Working Paper, Department of Economics and Finance and Energy and Environmental Systems Program North Carolina A&T State University: 09/07/2012.
- Marshall, K.K. "Essays on Ethanol-Driven Corn Demand and Crop Choice." Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, June 2, 2011.
- SAS Institute Inc. 2011. SAS/STAT® 9.3 User's Guide (Chapter 6). Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Scandizzo, P.L., and Savastano, S. "The Adoption and Diffusion of GM Crops in United States: A Real Option Approach." *Ag Bio Forum*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2010: pp. 142-157.
- Van der Sluis, E., M.A. Diersen, and T.L. Dobbs. "Agricultural Biotechnology: Farm Level, Market, and Policy Considerations." *Journal of Agribusiness* 20, 1 (Spring 2002): 5-16.

## For further information

Please contact [evert.vandersluis@sdstate.edu](mailto:evert.vandersluis@sdstate.edu). More information on this and related projects can be obtained at [econ.sdstate.edu](http://econ.sdstate.edu)

## **Government Policy Implications of Crop Rotational Effects of Biotechnology**

Scott W. Fausti  
Department of Economics  
South Dakota State University  
Brookings, SD 57007  
605-688-4868  
scott.fausti@sdstate.edu

Evert Van der Sluis  
Department of Economics  
South Dakota State University  
Brookings, SD 57007  
605-688-4869  
evert.vandersluis@sdstate.edu

Bashir Qasmi  
Department of Economics  
South Dakota State University  
Brookings, SD 57007  
605-688-4870  
bashir.qasmi@sdstate.edu

Jonathan Lundgren  
USDA Agricultural Research Service  
North Central Agricultural Research Laboratory  
605-693-5211  
Jonathan.Lundgren@ars.usda.gov  
Brookings, SD 57006

Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's  
2013 AAEA & CAES Joint Annual Meeting, Washington, DC, August 4-6, 2013.

Copyright 2013 by Scott W. Fausti, Evert Van der Sluis, Bashir Qasmi, and Jonathan Lundgren. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.