Voter behavior, government capture and accountability in Sub-Sahara African States:
A comparative analysis based on cross-country estimations of probabilistic voting models

Christian Henning and Laura Seide
Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Kiel
chenning@ae.uni-kiel.de, lseide@ae.uni-kiel.de


Copyright 2012 by Christian Henning and Laura Seide. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.
Voter behavior, government capture and accountability in Sub-Sahara African States: A comparative analysis based on cross-country estimations of probabilistic voting models

Christian H.C.A. Henning and Laura Seide
University of Kiel

INTRODUCTION
We analyse the impact of voting behavior on governmental performance, in particular on governmental accountability and capture.

METHODOLOGY

1 Probabilistic voting model
The random utility model (RUM) is used to analyze voting behavior. It assumes that decision makers are utility maximisers. Furthermore the RUM presumes that error terms are distributed iid extreme value, which results in the following logit probabilities:

\[ P_{ip} = \frac{e^{U_{ip}}}{\sum e^{U_{ij}}} \]  

Utility of voter \( i \) if he votes for party \( p \) results in:

\[ U_{ip} = \alpha_p + \beta_1 Z_1 + \beta_R Z_R + \beta_P Z_P \]  

\( \alpha_p \) is the ideological constant, \( \beta \) the coefficients and \( Z \) the variables (\( I=\)ideological, \( R=\)retrospective, \( P=\)policy oriented).

Finally voter \( i \) decides to vote party \( p \), if \( p \) provides the highest utility,

\[ P_{ip} = \text{Prob}(U_{ip} > U_{ij} \ \forall j \neq i) \]  

2 Ideology indicator
The indicator is supposed to measure non-policy voting within a single number. Including retrospective and policy oriented voting the indicator results in the following formula:

\[ \frac{|\Delta \alpha_p + \delta \Delta ID_{ip}|}{|\Delta \gamma_p| + |\beta|} = \lambda_{ip} \]  

\( \lambda \) measures how much policy distance and presidential approval have to be changed to compensate for non-policy differences between parties.

RESULTS

Ideology indicator for 19 African countries

Ratio between rural and urban ideology indicator
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CONCLUSION

⇒ A regression of macro indicators on the ideology index resulted in ideological voting being more important in countries with a lower freedom index (Freedom House 2012) and with higher GDPs per capita (The World Bank 2010)
⇒ Greater ideological voting implies less governmental accountability
⇒ Differences between rural and urban regions can be found in all countries
• In most countries urban regions vote more ideological than rural ones
• This implies, that in these countries the government is captured by the rural population