

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. ARISTOTELIAN UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS RESEARCH HEAD PROFESSOR GEORGE I. KITSOPANIDIS

> GIANNINI FOUNDATION OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

> > APR 19/4

THE ECONOMICS OF COTTON PRODUCTION

BY

G. I. KITSOPANIDIS PROFESSOR

Cotton - Cost of prod.

J. KARPAZIS ASSISTANT

THESSALONIKI, GREECE 1972



by

G.I. KITSOPANIDIS* and J.KARPAZIS**

INTRODUCTION***

Cotton, growing in 131 thousand hectares (89.80/o irrigated and 10.20/o unirrigated) and occuping the 3.70/o of the total area cultivated, represents the 60/o of the total gross output achieved from crop production. On the other hand, about 96 thousands of farm families are mainly or secondarily occupied with cotton growing.

After world war II, cotton growing is progressively and steadily expanded, except for some years between 1950-60. The main factors affecting area covered by cotton growing are the price achieved by farmers and the subsidy given by the State.

There is no doubt that cotton growing is one of the most productive and profitable farm enterprises of the agricultural sector, because it contributes to the increase on the one hand of the farm income of the family farms, and on the other of the total profit of the farm businesses. In addition, many industries are based on cotton production and this product makes up one of the main sources of importing money from other countries.

The prevailing, at present, price of cotton and the providing subsidy by the State are the basic factors for expanding of cotton growing, although it is noted a great increase mainly in labour wages and secondarily in land rent. However the possibilities of expanding cotton growing are limited, because of the continuous decrease of available labour in farming and because of lack, very often, of farm labour in some periods connected with certain operations of great economic importance. These difficulties are partly overcome by introducing improved farm machinery for cultivations and picking. This problem of cotton growing is expected to be overcome by introducing new farm techniques. The purpose of this study is to determine the profitability and competitiveness of cotton growing in various levels of yield, prices, wages and degree of mechanization under the existing of technical and economic conditions.

The methodology used and the technical and economic data analyzed from a large number of farms (586) show a good picture of the economics of cotton production.

^{*}Professor of Agricultural Economics Research

^{**}Assistant in the Department of Agricultural Economics Research.

^{***}This research was supported by funds of the Ministry of Agriculture. The application of production functions was achieved by using electronic computer. The report was typed efficiently by Mr. St. Vakirtzis, who is a technician in this Department.

RESEARCH CONDITIONS

This research refers to the study, by using records and accounts, of a sample of 586 cotton farms, belonging to 188 villages in the plains of Thessaloniki, Larisa and Seres for the 6 year period 1965-70. Cotton is growing as an irrigated crop on 523 farms and as an unirrigated one on 63 farms.

The selection of the farms studied was not chosen on a random basis, as it was necessary to choose farmers who would be willing to provide continuously and for a long period detailed and accurate data for cotton growing. On the other hand, purpose of this research is not the present ation of general importance technical and economic data, but to show a real picture of the present economic position of cotton growing and its significance n the future.

The various technical and economic data and financial results are given according to yield, because it makes up the most characteristic criterion of comparing financial results and because the estima ed results by this way are directly applicable.

The cost of certain farm operations (soil ultivations, seeding and interrow cultivations) was found to be independent of yield and for this reason the cost of these operations was considered to be the same in all classes of yield.

From all data collected during the 6year p riod 1965-70, price, wages and land rent have changed between that period and 1 72, in which these data are analyzed. These changes were taken into account before analyzing these data by making the appropriate corrections.

In this paper the text is ommited and only tables and charts are given. This was done on one hand because the money available in the Department is very limited, and on the other because all tables and charts are simple and almost self-explanatory.

A! Land

Table 1

Number of farms according to yield and area

cultivated

| Classes of yield tons/hectare | | re | Number of farms | Classes of land area hec./farm Number of farms |
|-----------------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Up to 1.51 2.01 2.51 3.01 3.51 | | 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 over | 78 124 138 113 49 21 | Up to $ 0.50$ 60 0.51 $ 1.00$ 135 1.01 $ 2.00$ 158 2.01 $ 4.00$ 125 4.01 $-$ over 45 |
| Total | | | 523 | Total 523 |

B! Labour

Table 2

Labour required in man equivalent hours according to yield and area cultivated

| Classes of yield | Man hours | Classes of land area | Man hours |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| tons/hectare | per hectare | hec./farm | per hectare |
| Up to - 1.50 1.51 - 2.00 2.01 - 2.50 2.51 - 3.00 3.01 - 3.50 3.51 - over | 525 622 677 751 791 894 | Up to - 0.50 0.51 - 1.00 1.01 - 2.00 2.01 - 4.00 4.01 - over | 774 706 708 667 704 |

T a b l e 3 Monthly labour fluctuations in man equivalent hours according to yield

| | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Mantha | Classes of yield in tons per hectare and corresponding | | | | | | |
| hontis | | labour | r requireme | ents in mar | ı hours | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Up to 1.50 | 1.51-2.00 | 2.01-2.50 | 2.51-3.00 | 3.01-3.50 | 3.51-over | |
| January-April | 8.00 | 8.00 | 9.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | |
| May | 102.00 | 102.00 | 106.00 | 108.00 | 111.00 | 115.00 | |
| June | 102.00 | 102.00 | 106.00 | 108.00 | 111.00 | 115.00 | |
| July | 51.00 | 57.00 | 61.00 | 63.00 | 66.00 | 71.00 | |
| August | 18.00 | 23.00 | 27.00 | 30.00 | 33.00 | 38.00 | |
| September | 109.00 | 132.00 | 133.00 | 175.00 | 186.00 | 220.00 | |
| October | 88.00 | 127.00 | 156.00 | 170.00 | 177.00 | 206.00 | |
| November | 43.00 | 67.00 | 76.00 | 85.00 | 95.00 | 117.00 | |
| December | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Year | 525.00 | 622.00 | 677.00 | 751.00 | 791.00 | 894.00 | |

| | Table 4 |
|--------|-------------------------------------|
| Labour | required per each kind of operation |
| in man | equivalent hours according to yield |

| Kinds of operations | Classes of yield in tons per hectare and corresponding labour requirements in man hours | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Up to-1.50 | 1.51-2.00 | 2.01-2.50 | 2.51-3.00 | 3.01-3.50 | 3.51-over | |
| Soil cultivations | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | |
| Fertilizing | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | |
| Seeding | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | |
| Inter-row cultivations | 235.00 | 235.00 | 235.00 | 235.00 | 235.00 | 235.00 | |
| Spraving | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | |
| Irrigation | 46.00 | 51.00 | 67.00 | 76.00 | 88.00 | 106.00 | |
| Picking | 215.00 | 307.00 | 346.00 | 411.00 | 439.00 | 524.00 | |
| Total | 525.00 | 622.00 | 677.00 | 751.00 | 791.00 | 894.00 | |

C!Capital

T a b l e 5 Capital needed for cotton growing according to yield

| Capital needed | Classes of yield in tons per hectare and corresponding capital needed in dollars | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| (\$/nectare) | Up to-1.50 | 1.51-2.00 | 2.01-2.50 | 2.51-3.00 | 3.01-3.50 | 3.51-over | |
| Machinery services | 47.70 | 60.00 | 69.00 | 76.30 | 79.00 | 99.30 | |
| Seed,fertilizers, pesticides etc. | 37.30 | 40.70 | 44.30 | 45.70 | 47.00 | 55.70 | |
| Deprec.,interst etc. of capital | 29.30 | 35.00 | 38.70 | 43.30 | 46.00 | 53.30 | |
| Taxes and miscella- neous | 14.70 | 20.30 | 24.70 | 28.70 | 33.70 | 39.00 | |
| Total | 129.00 | 156.00 | 176.70 | 194.00 | 205.70 | 247.30 | |

FINANCIAL RESULTS

A! Gross output

Table 6

Gross output according to yield

| Classes of yield | Gross output in \$ per hectare | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| (tons/hectare) | Value of seed-cotton | Subsidy for farmers | Total | | |
| Up to - 1.50 (average 1.21) 1.51 - 2.00 (" 1.82) 2.01 - 2.50 (" 2.28) 2.51 - 3.00 (" 2.73) 3.01 - 3.50 (" 3.25) 3.51 - over (" 3.83) | 375.00 564.30 706.70 846.30 1007.30 1187.30 | 56.30 85.00 106.30 127.30 151.70 178.70 | $\begin{array}{r} 431.30 \\ 649.30 \\ 813.00 \\ 973.60 \\ 1159.00 \\ 1366.00 \end{array}$ | | |

Table 7

Production costs of seed - cotton according to yield

| | Costs of production | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| (tons/hectare) | \$ per hectare | \$ per ton | | | |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 408.00 484.00 541.70 604.30 649.00 745.00 | 337.19 265.93 237.59 221.36 199.69 194.52 | | | |

Table 8

Participation of each production factor in the total costs according to yield

| | | | Prod | uction fa | ctors | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Classes of | | | | Capital (e | xpences) | | |
| yield (tons/hectare) | Land (rent) \$/hect. | Labour (expenses) \$/hect. | Machinery services \$/hect. | Seed,ferti- lizers,pesti -cides,etc. \$/hect. | Depr., inte- rest, etc.of capital, tax. miscellaneo- us \$/hect. | T o t a l \$/hect. | T o t a 1 \$/hect. |
| Up to - 1.50 1.51 - 2.00 2.01 - 2.50 2.51 - 3.00 3.01 - 3.50 3.51 - over | 86.70 100.00 116.70 135.00 153.30 170.00 | 192.30 228.00 248.30 275.30 290.00 327.70 | 47.70 60.00 69.00 76.30 79.00 99.30 | 37.30 40.70 44.30 45.70 47.00 55.70 | 44.00 55.30 63.40 72.00 79.70 92.30 | 129.00 156.00 176.70 194.00 205.70 247.30 | 408.00 484.00 541.70 604.30 649.00 745.00 |

Table 9

Participation of each principal operation in the total costs of production according to yield

| Operations of production | Classes of yield in tons per hectare and correspon- ding operations in dollars | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--|
| P | Up to-1.50 | 1.51-2.00 | 2.01-2.50 | 2.51-3.00 | 3.01-3.50 | 3.51over | |
| Soil cultivations | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | |
| Fertilizing | 18.00 | 21.00 | 25.70 | 26.70 | 28.30 | 38.70 | |
| Seeding | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | |
| Inter-row cultivations | 87.70 | 87.70 | 87.70 | 87.70 | 87.70 | 87.70 | |
| Spraying | 16.70 | . 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 | |
| Irrigation | 38.00 | 46.00 | 61.00 | 67.00 | 74.30 | 99.70 | |
| Picking | 78.60 | 119.00 | 132.20 | 161.00 | 170.70 | 201.60 | |
| Rent of land | 86.70 | 100.00 | 116.70 | 135.00 | 153.30 | 170.00 | |
| Depr., repairs ect.cf | | | | | | | |
| Capital | 8.30 | 9.60 | 11.00 | 12.20 | 13.00 | 15.00 | |
| Interest of capital | 21.00 | 25.30 | 27.70 | 31.00 | 33.00 | 38.30 | |
| Taxes miscellaneous | 11.30 | 17.00 | 21.30 | 25.30 | 30.30 | 35.60 | |
| Total | 408.00 | 484.00 | 541.70 | 604.30 | 649.00 | 745.00 | |







Chart 2.Economic comparison among various methods of seed cotton picking according to yield and area cultivated

| Operations of | Classes of yield in tons per hectare and correspon- ding costs of operations in \$/hect. | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| production | Up to-1.50 | 1.51-2.00 | 2.01-2.50 | 2.51-3.00 | 3.01-3.50 | 3.51-over |
| Soil cultivations | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
| Fertilizing | 18.00 | 21.00 | 25.70 | 26.70 | 28.30 | 38.70 |
| Seeding | 13.30 | 13.30 | 13.30 | 13.30 | 13.30 | 13.30 |
| Inter-row cultivations | 65.00 | 65.00 | 65.00 | 65.00 | 65.00 | 65.00 |
| Spraying | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
| Irrigation | 38.00 | 46.00 | 61.00 | 67.00 | 74.30 | 99.70 |
| Picking | 78.60 | 119.00 | 131.00 | 134.00 | 137.70 | 142.00 |
| Rent of land | 86.70 | 100.00 | 116.70 | 135.00 | 153.30 | 170.00 |
| Depr., repairs, etc of | | | - | | | |
| capital | 8.30 | 9.60 | 11.00 | 12.20 | 13.00 | 15.00 |
| Interest of capital | 21.00 | 25.30 | 27.70 | 31.00 | 33.00 | 38.30 |
| Taxes, miscellaneous | 11.30 | 17.00 | 21.30 | 25.30 | 30.30 | 35.60 |
| Total | 376.20 | 452.20 | 508.70 | 545.50 | 584.20 | 653.60 |
| Costs \$ per ton | 310.90 | 248.50 | 223.10 | 199.80 | 179.70 | 170.60 |

T a b l e 10 Reduction of production costs in various levels of yield by decreasing costs of certain operations*

*Table 10 shows that reduction of total costs may be mailly achieved in actual practice by increasing machinery used.

C! Profits

Table 11

Profits by increasing and decreasing costs of certain operations

| Classes of yield | Profits by | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| (tons/hect.) | Increasir | ng costs d | of certain | Increasi | Increasing and decreasing | | |
| | | operatio | ons* | costs of | certain d | operation** | |
| | \$/hect. | \$/ton | % of tot al costs | \$/hect. | \$/ton | % of total costs | |
| Up to - 1.50 | 23.30 | 19.30 | 5.70 | 54.30 | 44.90 | 14.40 | |
| 1.51 - 2.00 | 165.50 | 90.90 | 34.20 | 197.00 | 108.20 | 43.60 | |
| 2.01 - 2.50 | 271.30 | 119.00 | 50.10 | 304.30 | 133.50 | 59.80 | |
| 2.51 - 3.00 | 369.30 | 135.30 | 61.10 | 428.00 | 156.80 | 78.40 | |
| 3.01 - 3.50 | 510.00 | 156.90 | 78.60 | 574.70 | 176.80 | 98.40 | |
| 3.51 - over | 621.00 | 162.10 | 83.40 | 712.30 | 186.00 | 109,00 | |

Increasing costs refer to those operations (fertilizers, irrigation, better quality land) which affect yield favourably.

**Increasing costs refer to those operations (fertilizers, irrigation, better quality land) which affect yield favourably, while decreasing costs refer to those operations which are affect (picking) or unaffected by yield (soil cultiva tions, seeding, inter-row cultivations and spraying).

| Profits according to wages and yield | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Wages in \$/P M W II | Yield in | tons per i loss in d | hectare ar dollars pe | nd corresp er hectare | onding pro | ofits or | | | | |
| | 1.21 | 1.82 | 2.28 | 2.73 | 3.25 | 3.83 | | | | |
| 3.70 | 23.33 | 165.50 | 271.33 | 369.33 | 510.00 | 621.00 | | | | |
| 4.00 | 5.67 | 144.67 | 249.00 | 344.33 | 483.67 | 591.00 | | | | |
| 4.30 | -12.00 | 123.67 | 226.33 | 319.33 | 457.33 | 561.33 | | | | |
| 4.70 | -29.33 | 103.00 | 203.67 | 294.33 | 431.00 | 531.33 | | | | |
| 5.00 | -47.00 | 91.33 | 181.00 | 269.00 | 404.33 | 501.67 | | | | |

T a b 1 e 12 Fits according to wages and





D! Returns and incomes

Table 13

Returns and incomes of cotton growing accoding to yield

| Returns - Incomes | Classes of yield in tons per hectare and corresponding financial results in dollars | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Up to-1.50 | 1.51-2.00 | 2.01-2.50 | 2.51-3.00 | 3.01-3.50 | 3.51-over | | | | |
| Return to land(\$/hect) | 110.0 | 265.3 | 388.0 | 504.3 | 633.3 | 791.0 | | | | |
| Productive value | | | | | | | | | | |
| of land (\$/hect.) | 1,863.7 | 4,641.7 | 6,480.0 | 8,423.3 | 11,076.7 | 13.210.0 | | | | |
| Return to labour | | - | - | ŗ | | , | | | | |
| a \$ per hectare | 215.7 | 393.3 | 519.7 | 644.7 | 800.0 | 948.7 | | | | |
| b " " P.M.W.U. | 4.1 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 10.6 | | | | |
| Return to capital | | | | | | | | | | |
| a \$ per hectare | 131.0 | 290.7 | 415.7 | 535.3 | 696.3 | 829.3 | | | | |
| b " " \$ 100 | 7.29 | 13.89 | 17.25 | 19.31 | 22.38 | 23.84 | | | | |
| Farm income(\$/hect.) | 323.3 | 518.7 | 664.0 | 810.7 | 986.3 | 1,157.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

E: Comparison between unirrigated and irrigated cotton growing

Table 14

Economic comparison between unirrigated and irrigated cotton growing

| | Financial nàsults | | | | Irrigated | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--------|-----------|--|--|--|
| rinanciai resul | τς | tons per hectare | | | | | | |
| | | 0.83 | 1.31 | 1.21 | 1.82 | | | |
| 1.Output 2.Input | \$/hect. | 296.00 | 467.30 | 431.30 | 649.30 | | | |
| a. Land rent | 11 | 66.70 | 86.70 | 86.70 | 100.00 | | | |
| b. Labour expenses | 11 | 173.70 | 193.70 | 192.30 | 228.00 | | | |
| c. Machinery services | 11 | 37.70 | 43.30 | 47.70 | 60.00 | | | |
| d. Seed, fertilizers, pestic. | 11 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 37.30 | 40.70 | | | |
| e. Deprec.and interest of capit | al " | 22.00 | 25.70 | 29.30 | 35.00 | | | |
| f. Taxes, miscellaneous | 11 | 9.00 | 14.00 | 14.70 | 20.30 | | | |
| Total (a-f) | ** | 321.10 | 375.40 | 408.00 | 484.00 | | | |
| 3.Profits or loss | 11 | -25.10 | 91.90 | 23.30 | 165.30 | | | |
| 4.Price including farmer's subsid | ly \$/ton | 356.67 | 356.67 | 356.67 | 356.67 | | | |
| 5.Costs of production | n | 386.90 | 286.60 | 337.20 | 265.90 | | | |
| 6.Return to land | \$/hect. | 41.60 | 178.60 | 110.00 | 265.30 | | | |
| 7. " " labour | 11 | 148.60 | 285.60 | 215.60 | 393.30 | | | |
| 8. 11 11 11 | \$/P.M.W.U | 3.13 | 5.40 | 4.10 | 6.33 | | | |
| 9. " " capital | \$/hect. | 57.30 | 195.70 | 131.00 | 290.70 | | | |
| 10. " " " | \$/\$100 | 4.17 | 11.30 | 7.29 | 13.89 | | | |
| 11.Farm income | \$/hect. | 231.00 | 389.30 | 290.00 | 518.70 | | | |

PRODUCTION FUNCTIONS AND RESOURCE PRODUCTIVITY

A! Equations, Production Elasticities and Marginal value products

$Y = 5.0807 X_1^{0.4149} X_2^{0.4088} X_3^{0.1795}$

$Y = 5.7206 X_1^{0.3969} X_2^{0.3974} X_3^{0.0229} X_4^{0.1851}$

| | | | | Г | a | b | 1 | е | 15 | 5 | | | | |
|----------|-----|-------|-----|------|----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|------|------|-----|-----|
| Margina1 | pro | oduct | zi۱ | vity | an | a1) | ysi | İS | of | ir | riga | ted | cot | ton |
| grow | ing | for | 3 | and | 4 | ind | der | ber | nder | ıt | vari | ab1e | es | |

| Elasticities of production-Margin | al value products | 3 variables | 4 variables |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Number of farms | | 515 | 515 |
| 2. Period in years (1965-70) | | 6 | 6 |
| 3. Elasticities of production | | _ ` | |
| a.Land | | 0.4129 ^a | 0.3969 ^a |
| b.Labour | | 0.4088 ^a | 0.3974 ^a |
| c.Capital(variable and mach.serv | ices) | 0.1795 ^a | |
| d.Variáble or short-term capital | | | 0.0229 ¹ |
| e.Machinery services | | · | 0.1851 ^a |
| Sum of el | asticities | 1.0012 | 1.0023 |
| $4.R^2$ (Coef. of mult. determination |) | 0.9200 | 0.9227 |
| 5. Marginal value products | | | |
| a.Land | (\$/hectare) | 351.67 | 338.33 |
| b.Labour | ("/P.M.W.U) | 5.03 | 4.87 |
| c.Capital | (\$/\$) | 1.30 | - |
| d.Variable capital | | - | 0.44 |
| e.Machinery services | (" " _) | - ' | 2.17 |
| 6. Opportunity costs | | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| a.Land | (\$/hectare) | 133.33 | 133.33 |
| b.Labour | ("/P.M.W.U) | 3.67 | 3.67 |
| c.Capital | (\$/\$) | 1.09 | - |
| d.Variable capital | (" ") | - | 1.10 |
| e.Machinery services | (¹¹ ¹¹) | - | 1.08 |
| 7. Marginal return to opportunity | costs ratios | 0.01 | 0.51 |
| a.Land | | 2.64 | 2.54 |
| b.Labour | | 1.3/ | 1.33 |
| c.Capital | | T.TA | |
| d.Variable capital | | _ | 0.40 |
| e.Machinery services | C 1 al arm har | _ | 2.01 |
| 8. Marginal rate of substitution of | or radour by | _ | 1 63 |
| machinery | | _ | 1.03 |
| | | | |

| Probability | level | for | t's |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|

| a) | 0.001>P>0 | e)0.05>P>0.01 |
|----|---------------|-----------------------|
| b) | 0.005>P>0.001 | <i>d</i>)0.1 >P>0.05 |
| c) | 0.01 >P>0.005 | <i>f</i>) >P>0.1 |

| Up | to | -2.00 | tons/h | nect. | Y | = 5.1854 | $x_1^{0.3263}$ | 0.4748 X ₂ | $x_3^{0.1724}$ |
|----|-----|-------|--------|-------|---|----------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. | .01 | -3.00 | 11 | " | Y | =10.9699 | 0.3872 X1 | 0.3461 X ₂ | $x_3^{0.1920}$ |
| 3. | 01 | -over | * * | 11 | Y | = 6.9305 | 0.2704 X1 | 0.4851 X ₂ | 0.2257 X ₃ |

Table 16

Marginal productivity analysis of irrigated cotton growing for 3 independent variables according to yield

| Elasticities of production | Classes | Classes of yield in tons/hect. | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| marginal value products | Up to-2.0 | 00 2.01-3.00 | 3.01-over | | | | |
| 1. Number of farms | 19 | 251 | 70 | | | | |
| 2. Period in years (1965-70) | | 6 6 | 6 | | | | |
| 3. Elasticities of production a.Land b.Labour c.Capital | 0.3263 0.4748 0.1724 | a 0.3872a a 0.3461a a 0.1920 ^a | 0.2704 ^a 0.4851 ^a 0.2257 ^a | | | | |
| Sum of elasticities $4.R^2$ (Coef. of mult. determination) | 0.9735 | 0.9253 0.9215 | 0.9812 0.9706 | | | | |
| 5. Marginal value products a.Land (\$/hectare) b.Labour (\$/P.M.W.U) c.Capital (\$/\$) | 191.67 4.73 1.02 | 345.00 4.33 1.42 | 325.67 7.17 1.96 | | | | |
| 6. Opportunity costs a.Land (\$/hectare) b.Labour (\$/P.M.W.U) c.Capital (\$/\$) | 96.00 3.67 1.09 | 132.00 3.67 1.09 | 157.33 3.67 1.09 | | | | |
| 7. Marginal return to opportunity costs rat a.Land b.Labour c.Capital | ios 2.00 1.29 0.94 | 2.67 1.18 1.30 | 2.07 1.95 1.80 | | | | |

Probability level for t's

| a) | 0.001> | P> | 0.0 | d) | 0.05 | > | P> | 0.01 |
|----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|---|----|------|
| b) | 0.005> | P> | 0.001 | e) | 0.10 | > | P> | 0.05 |
| c) | 0.01 > | P> | 0.005 | f) | • • • | > | P> | 0.10 |

| Up | to | - | 2.00 | tons/hect. | Y | = | 6.0925 | 0.3134 X1 | 0.4591 X ₂ | $X_{3}^{0.0307}$ | |
|----|-----|---|------|------------|---|---|---------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2 | .01 | _ | 3.00 | 11 11 | Y | = | 11.7387 | 0.3863 X1 | 0.3460 X2 | 0.0263 X3 | 0.1717 X ₄ |
| 3 | .01 | - | over | 11 11 | Y | = | 8.7950 | 0.2744 X1 | 0.5032 X ₂ | 0.1157 X ₃ | 0.0771 X4 |

Table 17

Marginal productivity analysis of irrigated cotton growing for 4 independent variables according to yield

| Elasticities of production | Classes of yield in tons/hect. | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| marginal value products | Up to-2.00 | 2.01-3.00 | 3.01-over | | | |
| 1. Number of farms | 194 | 251 | 70 | | | |
| 2. Period in years (1965-70) | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | |
| 3. Elasticities of production a.Land b.Labour c.Variable or short-term capital d.Machinery services | 0.3134 ^a 0.4591 ^a 0.0307 ^f 0.1674 ^a | 0. 3 863 ^a 0.3460 ^a 0.0263 ^f 0.1717 ^a | 0.2744 ^a 0.5032 ^a 0.1157 ^a 0.0771 ^f | | | |
| Sum of elasticities 4. R^2 (Coef. of mult. determination) | 0.9706 0.9094 | 0.9303 0.9229 | 0.9704 0.9723 | | | |
| 5. Marginal value products a.Land (\$/hectare) b.Labour (\$/P.M.W.U) c.Variable capital (\$/\$) d.Machinery services (" ") | 184.00 4.57 0.45 1.64 | 344.33 4.33 0.52 2.02 | 330.67 7.43 2.66 1.08 | | | |
| 6. Opportunity costs a.Land (\$/hectare) b.Labour (\$/P.M.W.U) c.Variable capital (\$/\$) d.Machinery services ("") | 96.00 3.67 1.10 1.08 | 132.00 3.67 1.10 1.08 | 157.33 3.67 1.10 1.08 | | | |
| 7. Marginal return to opportunity costs ratios a.Land b.Labour c.Variable capital d.Machinery services | 1.92 1.25 0.41 1.52 | 2.61 1.18 0.47 .1.87 | 2.10 2.03 2.42 1.00 | | | |
| 8. Marginal rate of substitution of labour by machinery | 1.31 | 1.71 | 0.53 | | | |

| Pγ | obabil | lit | żγ | le | evel for | t's | | | | | |
|----|--------|-----|----|----|----------|-----|-------|---|---|---|------|
| a) | 0.001 | > | Ρ | > | 0.00 | d) | 0.05 | > | Ρ | > | 0.01 |
| b) | 0.005 | > | Ρ | > | 0.001 | e) | 0.10 | > | Ρ | > | 0.05 |
| c) | 0.01 | > | Ρ | > | 0.005 | f) | • • • | > | Ρ | > | 0.10 |

T a b l e 18 Actual and optimum combination of production factors and output of irrigated cotton growing for 3 variables according to yield

| Classes of vield | | Output | Combination of production factors | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | (tons/hect.) | and estimated | Land X ₁ | Labour X ₂ | Capital X ₃ | | |
| | | Y \$ | hectares | man hours | \$ | | |
| 1. | Up to - 2.00 actual combination optimum " 2.01 - 3.00 actual combination optimum " | 898.70 928.00 2,088.50 2,263.00 | 1.53 2.20 2.34 3.82 | 904.40 836.80 1671.70 1227.50 | 152.40 111.40 283.00 249.70 | | |
| 3. | 3.01 - over actual combination optimum " | 2,696.30 2,718.80 | 2.24 2.33 | 1822.00 1793.80 | 310.40 306.10 | | |

Table 19

Actual and optimum combination of farm resourses and output of irrigated cotton growing for 4 variables according to yield

| Classes of wiold | | Output achieved | Combination of farm resources | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | (tons/hect.) | and estimated \$ Y | Land in hectares X ₁ | Labour in man hours X ₂ | Variable capital \$ X ₃ | Machinery services \$ X ₄ | | | | |
| 1. | Up to - 2.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| | actual combination | 898.70 | 1.53 | 904.40 | 60.83 | 91.53 | | | | |
| | optimum " | 928.00 | 2.20 | 836.80 | 17.27 | 94.10 | | | | |
| 2. | 2.01 - 3.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| | actual combination | 2,088.50 | 2.34 | 1671.70 | 105.93 | 177.07 | | | | |
| | optimum " | 2,263.00 | 3.85 | 1227.50 | 33.17 | 216.50 | | | | |
| 3. | 3.01 - over | | | | | | | | | |
| | actual combination | 2,696.30 | 2.24 | 1822.00 | 117.43 | 193.00 | | | | |
| | optimum " | 2,718.80 | 2.33 | 1793.80 | 183.67 | 122.40 | | | | |

Table 20

Marginal productivity and opportunity costs of farm resourses of irrigated cotton growing for 3 variable according to yield

| 8 | 0 0 | | orang co jioiu | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Classes of yield | Opportunity costs and marginal productivity | | | | | | |
| (tons/hect.) | Land | Labour | Capital | | | | |
| | (\$/hect) | (\$/10houns) | (ϕ / ϕ) | | | | |
| | (\$711000.7) | (\$710110413) | (Ψ/Ψ) | | | | |
| I. Up to - 2.00 | | | | | | | |
| 1. Opportunity costs | 96.00 | 3.67 | 1.10 | | | | |
| 2. Marginal productivity | | | | | | | |
| a)Actual combination | 191.67 | 4.73 | 1.02 | | | | |
| b)Optimum " | 137.67 | 5.27 | 1.44 | | | | |
| II. 2.01 - 3.00 | | | | | | | |
| 1. Opportunity costs | 132.00 | 3.67 | 1.10 | | | | |
| 2. Marginar productivity | | | | | | | |
| a)Actual compination | 345.00 | 4.33 | 1.42 | | | | |
| b)Optimum " | 229.67 | 6.37 | 1.74 | | | | |
| III. 3.01 - over | | | | | | | |
| 1. Opportunity costs | 157.33 | 3.67 | 1.10 | | | | |
| 2. Marginal productivity | | | | | | | |
| a)Actual combination | 325.67 | 7.17 | 1.96 | | | | |
| b)Optimum " | 315.67 | 7.37 | 2 00 | | | | |
| | 010.07 | ,, | 2.00 | | | | |

$Y = 2.9429 X_1^{0.3337} X_2^{0.5170} X_3^{0.1507}$ $Y = 3.1555 X_1^{0.3397} X_2^{0.5359} X_3^{0.0514} X_4^{0.0771}$

Table 21

Marginal productivity analysis of unirrigated cotton growing for 3 and 4 independent variables

| Elasticities of production Marginal value products | 3 variables | 4 variables |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Number of farms | 63 | 63 |
| 2. Period in years (1965-70) | 6 | 6 |
| 3. Elasticities of production a.Land b.Labour c.Capital(variable and mach. services) d.Variable or short-term capital e.Machinery services | 0.3337 ^d 0.5170 ^a 0.1507 ^f - - | 0.3397 ^e 0.5359 ^a - 0.0514 ^f 0.0771 ^f |
| Sum of elasticities 4. R^2 (Coef. of mult. determination) | 1.0014 0.8491 | 1.0041 0.8482 |
| 5. Marginal value products a.Land (\$/hectare) b.Labour (\$/P.M.W.U) c.Capital (\$/\$) d.Variable capital ("") e.Machinery services ("") | 131.00 4.03 1.12 - - | 133.33 4.17 - 1.68 0.74 |
| 6. Opportunity costs a.Land (\$/hectare) b.Labour (\$/P.M.W.U) c.Capital (\$/\$) d.Variable capital ("") e.Machinery services ("") | 78.00 3.67 1.09 - - | 78.00 3.67 - 1.10 1.08 |
| 7. Marginal return to opportunity costs ratios a.Land b.Labour c.Capital d.Variable capital e.Machinery services | 1.68 1.10 1.03 - - | 1.71 1.14 - 1.53 0.69 |

| 1 | Probabi | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---------|---|---|---|-------|----|------|---|---|---|------|
| a) | 0.001 | > | Ρ | > | 0.00 | d) | 0.05 | > | Ρ | > | 0.01 |
| b) | 0.005 | > | Ρ | > | 0.001 | e) | 0.10 | > | Ρ | > | 0.05 |
| c) | 0.01 | > | Ρ | > | 0.005 | f) | | > | Ρ | > | 0.10 |

This study refers to the technical and economic analysis of 586 (523 irrigated and 63 unirrigated) cotton growing farms, belonging to 188 villages of the plains Thessaloniki, Larisa and Seres for the 6year period 1965-70 by using records and accounts.

The various technical and economic data and financial results are expressed according to yield because it makes up the main factor affecting profitability of cotton growing.

In this study it was found that yield is affected by quality of land, irrigation and fertilizing, and consequently their increase up to the highest optimum level, from an economic point of view, leads to the increase of profits and of the other financial results (when yield increases 3 times, then profit increases 25 times and farm income 4 times). Also an increase of profits, returns and incomes was found to be achieved by decreasing costs of inter-row cultivations and picking about 8.8-12.30/0 by changing the method of performing of these operations. Thus, considering price achieved and protection provided by the State, the profitability and competitiveness of cotton growing is expected to be improved, on one hand by using better quality land connected with the appropriate irrigation, and on the other by applying complete mechanization on inter-row cultivations and picking.

By comparing cotton growing as an irrigated crop with that as an unirrigated one it is concluded that the first is more profitable than the second, when a yield of 1.5 tons per hectare and over is achieved. In actual practice, the yield of cotton growing as an irrigated crop is usually 2.0 tons per hectare and over, and for this reason cotton is usually cultivated as an irrigated crop.

Marginal productivity analysis of cotton growing shows that marginal value products of all production factors used, except variable capital, are considered to be high in relation to opportunity costs, justifying the transfer of production factors to this crop from other ones. Under the existing conditions the substitution of labour by machinery is considered profitable.

The low marginal productivity of variable capital is mainly due to the great diffirence of fertilizers used among various farms (e.g. in other farms fertilizers are not used at all, while in other ones are used great quantities).

From the above, it is concluded that cotton growing is one of the most productive farm enterprises of our Agriculture. Its productivity can be improved by achieving higher yields and by substituting labour by machinery, when these are utilized more and more economically. This can be done by increasing size of farms operating on a bussiness basis.

Taking into account that the consumption of cotton is continuously increased in world market and the possibilities of exporting Greek cotton are not limited, it can be said that the future of cotton growing seems to be hopeful in Greece, if cost of production decreases and its quality is improved.



