

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

## Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

## THE STATA JOURNAL

#### Editors

H. Joseph Newton Department of Statistics Texas A&M University College Station, Texas editors@stata-journal.com

Nicholas J. Cox Department of Geography Durham University Durham, UK editors@stata-journal.com

#### Associate Editors

Christopher F. Baum, Boston College NATHANIEL BECK, New York University RINO BELLOCCO, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden, and University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy Maarten L. Buis, University of Konstanz, Germany A. Colin Cameron, University of California-Davis Mario A. Cleves, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences WILLIAM D. DUPONT, Vanderbilt University Philip Ender, University of California—Los Angeles DAVID EPSTEIN, Columbia University Allan Gregory, Queen's University James Hardin, University of South Carolina BEN JANN, University of Bern, Switzerland Stephen Jenkins, London School of Economics and Political Science Ulrich Kohler, University of Potsdam, Germany

Jeffrey Wooldridge, Michigan State University

#### Stata Press Editorial Manager

LISA GILMORE

Sophia Rabe-Hesketh, Univ. of California-Berkeley J. PATRICK ROYSTON, MRC Clinical Trials Unit, London PHILIP RYAN, University of Adelaide Mark E. Schaffer, Heriot-Watt Univ., Edinburgh JEROEN WEESIE, Utrecht University IAN WHITE, MRC Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge Nicholas J. G. Winter, University of Virginia

Frauke Kreuter, Univ. of Maryland-College Park

Peter A. Lachenbruch, Oregon State University

Austin Nichols, Urban Institute, Washington DC

MARCELLO PAGANO, Harvard School of Public Health

JENS LAURITSEN, Odense University Hospital

STANLEY LEMESHOW, Ohio State University

ROGER NEWSON, Imperial College, London

J. Scott Long, Indiana University

#### Stata Press Copy Editors

DAVID CULWELL, SHELBI SEINER, and DEIRDRE SKAGGS

The Stata Journal publishes reviewed papers together with shorter notes or comments, regular columns, book reviews, and other material of interest to Stata users. Examples of the types of papers include 1) expository papers that link the use of Stata commands or programs to associated principles, such as those that will serve as tutorials for users first encountering a new field of statistics or a major new technique; 2) papers that go "beyond the Stata manual" in explaining key features or uses of Stata that are of interest to intermediate or advanced users of Stata; 3) papers that discuss new commands or Stata programs of interest either to a wide spectrum of users (e.g., in data management or graphics) or to some large segment of Stata users (e.g., in survey statistics, survival analysis, panel analysis, or limited dependent variable modeling); 4) papers analyzing the statistical properties of new or existing estimators and tests in Stata; 5) papers that could be of interest or usefulness to researchers, especially in fields that are of practical importance but are not often included in texts or other journals, such as the use of Stata in managing datasets, especially large datasets, with advice from hard-won experience; and 6) papers of interest to those who teach, including Stata with topics such as extended examples of techniques and interpretation of results, simulations of statistical concepts, and overviews of subject areas.

The Stata Journal is indexed and abstracted by CompuMath Citation Index, Current Contents/Social and Behavioral Sciences, RePEc: Research Papers in Economics, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch), Scopus, and Social Sciences Citation Index.

For more information on the Stata Journal, including information for authors, see the webpage

http://www.stata-journal.com

Subscriptions are available from StataCorp, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, Texas 77845, telephone 979-696-4600 or 800-STATA-PC, fax 979-696-4601, or online at

http://www.stata.com/bookstore/sj.html

Subscription rates listed below include both a printed and an electronic copy unless otherwise mentioned.

U.S. and Canada		Elsewhere	
Printed & electronic		Printed & electronic	
1-year subscription	\$115	1-year subscription	\$145
2-year subscription	\$210	2-year subscription	\$270
3-year subscription	\$285	3-year subscription	\$375
1-year student subscription	\$ 85	1-year student subscription	\$115
1-year institutional subscription	\$345	1-year institutional subscription	\$375
2-year institutional subscription	\$625	2-year institutional subscription	\$685
3-year institutional subscription	\$875	3-year institutional subscription	\$965
Electronic only		Electronic only	
1-year subscription	\$ 85	1-year subscription	\$ 85
2-year subscription	\$155	2-year subscription	\$155
3-year subscription	\$215	3-year subscription	\$215
1-year student subscription	\$ 55	1-year student subscription	\$ 55

Back issues of the Stata Journal may be ordered online at

http://www.stata.com/bookstore/sjj.html

Individual articles three or more years old may be accessed online without charge. More recent articles may be ordered online.

http://www.stata-journal.com/archives.html

The Stata Journal is published quarterly by the Stata Press, College Station, Texas, USA.

Address changes should be sent to the Stata Journal, StataCorp, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, TX 77845, USA, or emailed to sj@stata.com.





Copyright © 2015 by StataCorp LP

Copyright Statement: The Stata Journal and the contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) are copyright © by StataCorp LP. The contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) may be copied or reproduced by any means whatsoever, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the Stata Journal.

The articles appearing in the *Stata Journal* may be copied or reproduced as printed copies, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the *Stata Journal*.

Written permission must be obtained from StataCorp if you wish to make electronic copies of the insertions. This precludes placing electronic copies of the *Stata Journal*, in whole or in part, on publicly accessible websites, fileservers, or other locations where the copy may be accessed by anyone other than the subscriber.

Users of any of the software, ideas, data, or other materials published in the *Stata Journal* or the supporting files understand that such use is made without warranty of any kind, by either the *Stata Journal*, the author, or StataCorp. In particular, there is no warranty of fitness of purpose or merchantability, nor for special, incidental, or consequential damages such as loss of profits. The purpose of the *Stata Journal* is to promote free communication among Stata users.

The Stata Journal (ISSN 1536-867X) is a publication of Stata Press. Stata, Stata Press, Mata, Mata, and NetCourse are registered trademarks of StataCorp LP.

# Speaking Stata: A set of utilities for managing missing values

Nicholas J. Cox
Department of Geography
Durham University
Durham, UK
n.j.cox@durham.ac.uk

**Abstract.** Identifying frequencies and patterns of missing values and preliminary cleaning of missing data are common and fundamental parts of statistical data management. I offer a new command, missings, as a replacement for, and extension of, my previous commands nmissing (Cox 1999, Stata Technical Bulletin 49: 7–8; 2001a, Stata Technical Bulletin 60: 2–3; 2003, Stata Journal 3: 449; 2005, Stata Journal 5: 607) and dropmiss (Cox 2001b, Stata Technical Bulletin 60: 7–8; 2008, Stata Journal 8: 594). I also make comparisons with similar commands.

**Keywords:** dm0085, missing, missing values, system missing, extended missing values, data management, numeric variables, string variables, drop, list, table, tag

#### 1 Introduction

A crucial hallmark of statistical software is support for missing values. Extensive real datasets, even those of high quality, can be speckled and spattered with missing data, for reasons both good and bad. People do not answer all the questions put to them, instruments can break down or otherwise fail to record, the observer or experimenter may be unable to take some measurements: reasons for being missing are legion; the fact of being missing is pervasive. The ability to hold those missing values within the dataset is essential, even if that means ignoring missings in statistical or graphical analysis (except perhaps for showing their frequencies or patterns of occurrence).

Good support means special codes for missing values. The alternative of adopting ad hoc conventions (missing ages are recorded as -99, or whatever) is too awkward and error-prone to be taken seriously. Eternal vigilance is the price of such ad hockery: the researcher would have to remember always to work around such conventions, which may differ from problem to problem and may not even be documented explicitly. The scope for data to be separated from their metadata is unlimited, especially if the metadata reside in the understanding of the few researchers who were in charge of data production at some past time and some different place.

Hence, the better solution of special codes for missing values has long been used by writers of statistical software. Generically, the first principle is that missing values must always be distinguishable from nonmissing values. A second principle is that it can help greatly to be able to separate different reasons for missing values. Specifically, in Stata, we use the system missing value . and extended missing values .a to .z for numeric values and the empty string value "" for string values.

Caution with missing values often seems to be considered too obvious or too mundane to deserve discussion in texts. Among the splendid exceptions are Altman (1991), with clear warnings of various pitfalls, and Long (2009), with much good detail linked to advice on Stata.

Several commands in Stata can provide help in dealing with missing values. These include

- codebook ([D] codebook), which counts missing values when present and optionally can provide more detail;
- egen ([D] egen), which can count missing values, and indeed nonmissing values too—quite likely more to the point;
- ipolate ([D] ipolate), used for interpolation given missing values within sequences;
- misstable ([R] misstable) (added in Stata 11), used for reporting on missing values;
- mvdecode ([D] mvencode) and recode ([D] recode), mentioned here mainly for their use in recoding nonmissing values to missing, particularly to handle imported data, where (say) -99 might mean missing; and
- tabulate oneway ([R] tabulate oneway) and tabulate twoway ([R] tabulate twoway) and their siblings tab1 and tab2, which have useful missing options that insist on missings being counted too.

The most substantial aid in dealing with missing values is the mi ([MI] intro) suite for multiple imputation added in Stata 11 and enhanced in later releases.

Among user-written commands that may also help with missing values, I capriciously single out findname (Cox 2010a,b, 2012, 2015), whose abilities include finding variables with all, some, or no missing values, and mipolate, an extension of ipolate downloadable at the time of writing from the Statistical Software Components archive.

Here I focus on missings, a set of utilities for managing missing values, which I offer as a replacement for, and extension of, my previous commands nmissing (Cox 1999, 2001a, 2003, 2005) and dropmiss (Cox 2001b, 2008). I have tinkered with these commands over several years. Reflection on recent developments in Stata and on continued experiences in data management has led to a new integrated command with several subcommands. missings includes new functionality but excludes some details that now seem of limited value. (Anyone seeking any of those details will find that the previous commands remain accessible and downloadable.) Although no connection is needed or implied, the design of missings was influenced by the duplicates ([D] duplicates) command, which offers a modest but serviceable bundle of utilities for dealing with duplicate observations.

I will outline the functionality of missings with examples and close with a formal syntax statement.

## 2 Command goals

missings combines various basic utilities for managing variables that may have missing values. The syntax starts

missings subcommand

with a choice of six subcommands. By default, "missing" means missing in all senses, as defined in the previous section. Later, we will learn how to change this default.

If a varlist (variable list) is not further specified, then it is interpreted by default as all variables.

missings report issues a report on the number of missing values in *varlist*. By default, counts of missings are given by variables; optionally, counts are given by observations.

missings list lists observations with missing values in varlist.

missings table tabulates observations by the number of missing values in varlist.

missings tag generates a variable containing the number of missing values in each observation in *varlist*.

missings dropvars drops any variables in varlist that are missing on all values.

missings dropobs drops any observations that are missing on all values in varlist.

Let us consider how missings across entire observations or variables might arise. Creating entirely empty observations (rows) and variables (columns) is a habit of many spreadsheet users. The main reason is just to separate blocks of data to make them easier to understand and work with. Such blank rows and columns may well be copied unchanged even when the spreadsheet contents are exported to quite different file formats. However, neither kind of blank is helpful in Stata datasets. At worst, such variables or observations consume precious memory. So the subcommands dropobs and dropvars should help users clean up.

Note that there is no explicit support in missings for dropping observations or variables with some missing but also some nonmissing values. Researchers are often tempted to reduce datasets to a minimum that is completely nonmissing on both variables and observations. That strategy can be severely reductive. As a program author, I want users to take on complete responsibility for any damage they might inflict on datasets. Users intent on destruction will find other subcommands of missings of some use as an intermediate step. Modern statistical thinking encourages consideration of multiple imputation as a better way forward. Any kind of statistical thinking encourages use of all the information you have, despite its deficiencies.

Users may have their own ideas of what is missing that go beyond Stata's formal definitions. For example, string values that are just one or more spaces are unlikely to be informative. However, because they are not empty, they do not qualify as missing. Should you wish to treat them as missing, the simplest way forward is to note that ap-

plying trim() to one or more spaces produces an empty string. findname, as mentioned in the first section, can find all variables with any such trimmable values by means of

```
. findname, any(trim(@) == "" & @ != "")
```

The simpler criterion

```
. findname, any(trim(@) == "")
```

finds both empty strings and values that are just spaces. findname also has an all() option to find variables with all values satisfying some criterion. Once such variables are identified, you should use trim() to remove the spaces. findname does not do the trimming itself; it just reports the results. missings quite deliberately does not stretch the definition of missing values beyond Stata's own definitions, so some cleanup may be needed before it is invoked.

Another similar example would be the use of "." to indicate string missing values. Although Stata does give special meaning to system missing . for numeric values, a string consisting of just a period (stop, dot) has no special meaning. That or any other personal convention for missing values would need special action before missings could be used.

I reiterate that mvdecode ([D] mvencode) is custom designed to replace numeric codes indicating missing with Stata's own missing values.

## 3 Examples of missings

After that overview, let us look at a few examples of missings. We can read in a fairly complicated dataset as a sandbox in which to play and first get a concise report on missings. We can specify a threshold to see which variables look particularly poor.

```
. webuse nlswork
(National Longitudinal Survey. Young Women 14-26 years of age in 1968)
. missings report
Checking missings in all variables:
15082 observations with missing values
              24
age
              16
msp
              16
nev_mar
               2
grade
               8
not\_smsa
c_city
               8
               8
south
ind_code
             341
occ_code
             121
            9296
union
            5704
wks_ue
             433
tenure
hours
             67
wks_work
             703
```

```
. missings report, minimum(1000)
Checking missings in all variables:
15082 observations with missing values
union 9296
wks_ue 5704
```

Although not shown here, missings report also supports the display of percentages as well as counts.

We can hone in on the observations with missing values by asking for a list. Again, we can specify a threshold:

. missings list, minimum(5) Checking missings in all variables: 15082 observations with missing values

15082 01	oserva	cions w	ith missir	ıg va.	Lues					
6924.	age 26	msp	nev_mar	not.	_smsa 0	c_city 1	south 0	ind_o	code 11	occ_code 8
	uni	ion	wks_ue			enure 333333	houi	rs 10	wł	s_work
19002.	age 31	msp	nev_mar	not_	_smsa 0	c_city	south	ind_o	code 7	occ_code 8
	uni	ion ·	wks_ue			tenure 333333	houi	rs ·	wk	s_work
21220.	age 26	msp 1	nev_mar	not_	_smsa	c_city	south .	ind_c	code 7	occ_code 3
	uni	ion	wks_ue			enure 333333	hour	rs 10	wł	s_work
							•			
22493.	age 32	msp 1	nev_mar	not_	_smsa 1	c_city 0	south 0	ind_o	ode	occ_code
	uni	ion	wks_ue		1	enure .75	hour	rs ·	wl	s_work
22628.	age 39	msp 0	nev_mar	not_	_smsa 0	c_city 1	south 0	ind_c	ode	occ_code
	uni	ion	wks_ue		1	tenure 1.5	hour	rs ·	wł	xs_work

For the sake of a short example, we just set the threshold high. In this example, only 5 observations have 5 or more missing values. Naturally, the threshold you need will depend on your dataset and goals. Similarly, the produced listing might deserve long and careful scrutiny.

Another basic command, missings table, reports counts of missings across all variables specified or, alternatively, all variables:

. missings table Checking missings in all variables: 15082 observations with missing values

# of missing values	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	13,452	47.14	47.14
1	13,790	48.33	95.47
2	964	3.38	98.85
3	291	1.02	99.87
4	32	0.11	99.98
5	2	0.01	99.99
6	3	0.01	100.00
Total	28,534	100.00	

Where appropriate, you can specify a by: prefix to get a breakdown by other variables:

. bysort race: missings table

-> race = white

Checking missings in all variables: 10576 observations with missing values

# of missing values	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	9,604	47.59	47.59
1	9,672	47.93	95.52
2	677	3.35	98.88
3	199	0.99	99.86
4	25	0.12	99.99
5	1	0.00	99.99
6	2	0.01	100.00
Total	20,180	100.00	

-> race = black

Checking missings in all variables: 4342 observations with missing values

# of missing values	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	3,709	46.07	46.07
1	3,966	49.26	95.33
2	278	3.45	98.78
3	89	1.11	99.89
4	7	0.09	99.98
5	1	0.01	99.99
6	1	0.01	100.00
Total	8,051	100.00	

-> race = other

Checking missings in all variables: 164 observations with missing values

# of missing values	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	139	45.87	45.87
1	152	50.17	96.04
2	9	2.97	99.01
3	3	0.99	100.00
Total	303	100.00	

It is sometimes helpful to have a count of missings in a variable. As mentioned in section 1, there is already an egen function for this, but a little duplication does no harm.

. missings tag, generate(nmissing)
Checking missings in all variables:
15082 observations with missing values

The variable generated by missing tag, like that generated by the egen function rowmiss(), can be zero only when there are no missing values in an observation for the varlist specified or implied or positive when there are some such missing values. In Stata, zero is treated as false and positive as true when arguments are offered in true-or-false decisions. (The frequently asked question http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/data-management/true-and-false/ expands on this point.) Hence, something such as

#### ... if tag

would select observations with any missing values, and its negation

... if !tag

would select observations with no missing values. Alternatively, you could write

```
... if tag > 0
or
... if tag == 0
```

if you prefer. Note that you can map such a variable to a (0,1) indicator if you desire by writing

```
. generate anymissing = nmissing > 0
```

This dataset does not have any variables or observations that are entirely missing, but let's see how missings could be used to remove any. We will extend the sandbox in which we play by adding some nonsense variables.

```
. generate newt = ""
(28,534 missing values generated)
. generate frog = .
(28,534 missing values generated)
. generate toad = .a
(28,534 missing values generated)
```

These new variables are simple examples showing different kinds of missing, as containing, respectively, empty strings only, system missing only, and one of the extended missing values only.

Suppose we assume that extended missing values are informative and should be included but that empty strings and system missing values are not informative and may be dropped (so long as no nonmissing values are observed in the same variables). For this task, we need the sysmiss option, which is ignored with regard to empty strings. (Equivalently, we are stretching Stata's usual definition of "system missing" just a little to include empty strings. The alternative would be introducing some new terminology that could seem arbitrary or mysterious, such as "really missing" or "fully missing".)

```
. missings dropvars newt frog toad, force sysmiss
Checking missings in newt frog toad:
28534 observations with system missing values
note: newt frog dropped
```

In our example, newt and frog are entirely uninformative and can be dropped. However, note the extra option force. When the dataset in memory has changed from its last saved version, you need the force option to drop any variables or observations, even though they are entirely missing. This may seem excessively cautious, but prudence requires that any destructive change to datasets be confirmed.

missings would not drop toad, containing .a only, because it is protected by the sysmiss option. That will be true if we try again:

. missings dropvars toad, force sysmiss Checking missings in toad: 0 observations with system missing values note: no variables qualify

If we remove that protection, toad can be removed from the dataset:

. missings dropvars toad, force Checking missings in toad: 28534 observations with missing values note: toad dropped

Let's continue with our sandbox and simulate observations that are all missing. If we enlarge the dataset by setting the number of observations to 30,000, then 1,466 extra observations spring into being, all born missing on each variable.

```
. set obs 30000
number of observations (_N) was 28,534, now 30,000
. missings dropobs, force
Checking missings in idcode year birth_yr age race msp nev_mar grade collgrad not_smsa c_city south ind_code occ_code union wks_ue ttl_exp tenure hours wks_work ln_wage nmissing anymissing:
16548 observations with missing values
(1,466 observations deleted)
```

As before, various safety features are engaged here. Most obviously, if the dataset in memory has not been saved, then you must recognize that you are exerting brute force. In addition, extended missing values .a to .z are supposed to be informative, so you can specify sysmiss to spell out that only system missings may be dropped. In this case, expand never creates extended missing values, so the problem does not arise.

It is fairly rare, at least in my experience, to have so many observations that are entirely missing. But the principle applies to small cleanups as well as large—and indeed to dropping missing observations regardless of whether they were deliberate at some point or merely inserted by accident.

## 4 Command syntax

#### 4.1 Syntax diagram

```
missings report [varlist] [if] [in] [, common_options observations

minimum(#) percent format(format) list_options]

missings list [varlist] [if] [in] [, common_options minimum(#) list_options]

missings table [varlist] [if] [in] [, common_options minimum(#)

tabulate_options]

missings tag [varlist] [if] [in], generate(newvar) [common_options]

missings dropvars [varlist] [, common_options force]

missings dropobs [varlist] [if] [in] [, common_options force]

common_options are numeric, string, and sysmiss.

by: may be used with any of missings report, missings list, or missings table.
```

#### 4.2 Description

See [D] by.

missings is a set of utility commands for managing variables that may have missing values. By default, "missing" means numeric missing (that is, the system missing value . or one of the extended missing values .a to .z) for numeric variables and empty or "" for string variables. See [U] 12.2.1 Missing values for further information.

If varlist is not specified, it is interpreted by default as all variables.

## 4.3 Options

numeric (all subcommands) indicates to include numeric variables only. If any string variables are named explicitly, such variables will be ignored.

string (all subcommands) indicates to include string variables only. If any numeric variables are named explicitly, such variables will be ignored.

sysmiss (all subcommands) indicates to include system missing . only. This option has no effect with string variables, for which missing is deemed to be the empty string "", regardless.

observations (missings report) indicates counting of missing values by observations, not the default of counting by variables.

- minimum(#) (missings report, missings list, and missings table) specifies the minimum number of missings to be shown explicitly. With missings table, the default is minimum(0); otherwise, it is minimum(1).
- percent (missings report) reports percents missing as well as counts. Percents are calculated relative to the number of observations or variables specified.
- format (format) (missings report) specifies a display format for percents. The default is format (%5.2f). This option has no effect unless percent is also specified.
- *list\_options* (missings report and missings list) are options listed in [D] **list** that may be specified when list is used to show results.
- tabulate\_options (missings table) are options listed in [R] tabulate oneway that may be specified when tabulate is used to show results.
- generate(newvar) (missings tag) specifies the name of a new variable. generate() is required.
- force (missings dropvars and missings dropobs) signals that the dataset in memory is being changed and is a required option when data are being dropped and the dataset in memory has not been saved as such.

#### 5 Conclusion

When you look for and at missing values, several built-in commands can provide a first step. The aim of missings is to help in the second step so that early decisions can be made about what to do—all the way from dropping variables or observations that are not informative to considering multiple imputation. Minimally, knowing about missings can thus inform strategies for data management and data analysis. Not knowing about missings can just produce much puzzlement and many misleading results, so the benefits are clear.

## 6 Acknowledgments

Jeroen Weesie, Eric Uslaner, and Estie Sid Hudes contributed to the earlier development of nmissing and dropmiss.

## 7 References

- Altman, D. G. 1991. Practical Statistics for Medical Research. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Cox, N. J. 1999. dm67: Numbers of missing and present values. Stata Technical Bulletin 49: 7–8. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 9, pp. 26–27. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

- ———. 2001a. dm67.1: Enhancements to numbers of missing and present values. Stata Technical Bulletin 60: 2–3. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 10, pp. 7–9. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- ——. 2001b. dm89: Dropping variables or observations with missing values. Stata Technical Bulletin 60: 7–8. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 10, pp. 44–46. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- ———. 2003. Software Updates: dm67\_2: Numbers of missing and present values. Stata Journal 3: 449.
- ———. 2005. Software Updates: dm67\_3: Numbers of missing and present values. Stata Journal 5: 607.
- ———. 2008. Software Updates: dm89\_1: Dropping variables or observations with missing values. Stata Journal 8: 594.
- ——. 2010a. Software Updates: dm0048\_1: Finding variables. Stata Journal 10: 691–692.
- ———. 2010b. Speaking Stata: Finding variables. Stata Journal 10: 281–296.
- ——. 2012. Software Updates: dm0048\_2: Finding variables. Stata Journal 12: 167.
- ——. 2015. Software Updates: dm0048\_3: Finding variables. Stata Journal 15: 605–606.
- Long, J. S. 2009. The Workflow of Data Analysis Using Stata. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

#### About the author

Nicholas Cox is a statistically minded geographer at Durham University. He contributes talks, postings, FAQs, and programs to the Stata user community. He has also coauthored 15 commands in official Stata. He was an author of several inserts in the *Stata Technical Bulletin* and is an editor of the *Stata Journal*. His "Speaking Stata" articles on graphics from 2004 to 2013 have been collected as *Speaking Stata Graphics* (College Station, TX: Stata Press, 2014).