

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Evaluation of Farmer Training Satisfaction Level in Hubei Province

Pingheng LI¹, Lidong YAN^{1,2}, Xiaorong ZHU², Jing LI³*

1. School of Business Administration, Zhongnan University of Economics and Law, Wuhan 430073, China; 2. Business School, Wuhan Institute of Design and Sciences, Wuhan 430205, China; 3. School of Financial Accounting, Shaanxi Institute of International Trade and Commerce, Xi'an 712046, China

Abstract Based on fully understanding the significance of farmer training, this paper builds the evaluation index system for farmer training satisfaction level. Then this paper employs the field survey data about Yichang and Jingzhou in Hubei Province to evaluate the farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province. Results show that farmers have high level of satisfaction on agricultural training in Hubei Province, and the average satisfaction level reaches 0.8556; there are regional differences in the farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province; the index weight is not entirely directly proportional to the training satisfaction level in the evaluation index system. Finally, from training courses, training teachers, training organization and follow-up services, this paper brings forward the recommendations for improving farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province, improve farmer training system, further improve the effectiveness of training and promote farmers' quality.

Key words Farmer training, Evaluation of satisfaction level, Hubei Province

1 Introduction

The focus of issues concerning agriculture, farmers and country-side is farmer. In recent years, the central government has introduced a series of policies to cultivate new agricultural market players and build a new agricultural management system. In the innovation of agricultural management system, it is necessary to protect the interests of farmers, focus on the cultivation of new professional farmers and promote intensive, professional, organizational and socialized agricultural management.

According to the experience of domestic and foreign agricultural development at the same time, the new rural market entities vigorously cultivated now are just the educated and skilled young farmers who are willing to start up an undertaking. With farmer entrepreneurship as a breakthrough point, vigorously carrying out "new farmer cultivation project" is an important way to cultivate new agricultural business entities. Farmers, as the largest group of Chinese population, have low cultural quality, having become an obstacle to Chinese prosperity, so we must strengthen the re-education and training of farmers, and only by implementing farmer training project and gradually improving the quality of farmer' can China's prosperity be achieved.

China's farmer training started late, there is no uniform standard from the national level, and the research of academic community on this issue is still in its infancy. But most scholars believe that through training of farmers, it can not only improve the cultural quality of farmers, but also enhance China's agricultural labor productivity and make China become a country with powerful human resources. Be that as it may, the reality of the farmer training is unsatisfactory, far from reaching the expected

effect. In particular, China's farmer training has long deviated from the correct target and direction, and the idea of farmer training in the new times has not changed from the traditional "tool orientation" to "humanistic orientation".

The farmers cultivated can not meet the needs of agricultural modernization and industrial development, and there is still a shortage of skilled high-quality farmers, so the training has a long way to go. Many issues still exist, for example, the farmer training has not formed a set of training system in line with the practical requirements, the training content does not match the farmers' demand, and the training effect is not good. In this regard, there is an urgent need to evaluate the existing farmer training, and evaluate the farmer training satisfaction level so as to accurately grasp the current problems of farmer training and improve the effectiveness of training.

In this paper, we choose Hubei Province with a lot of farmers as the research object, and evaluate the farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province in order to provide a reference for the farmer training in other areas of China.

2 Index system and satisfaction level

2.1 Index system

2.1.1 Index content. According to the index selection principle, coupled with the existing research and actual situation of farmer training in Hubei Province, after many discussions with the farmers involved in the training in the schools, we establish the evaluation index system dominated by farmer training satisfaction level.

This study considers that the farmer training satisfaction level as the main line of evaluation should reflect the training course satisfaction level, trainers' satisfaction level, training organization satisfaction level, and follow-up service satisfaction level, which is also the core design idea of evaluation index system.

From the goal, criteria and operating layers, we establish the

Received: August 14, 2017 — Accepted: October 10, 2017 Supported by Graduate Education Innovation Program of Zhongnan University of Economics and Law (2016Y1054).

 $[\]ast$ Corresponding author. E-mail: 492072737@ qq. com

evaluation index system, as shown in Table 1.

2.1.2 Index weight. In this study, when calculating the evaluation index system weight, we use Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), and this method conforms to people's decision idea decomposition, judgment and integration features.

The complex issues are expanded in a logical hierarchy and broken down into different hierarchical elements. The multiple comparison judgment matrix between all elements in the same layer from the criteria layer is established, to judge the importance of elements in the layer.

Table 1 The evaluation index system for farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province

	Criteria layer		Operating layer	
Goal layer	Factors	Code	Index	Code
Farmer training satisfaction level	Training course satisfaction level	B_1	The rationality of the overall framework of the course	C_1
in Hubei Province	-		The richness of the course case	C_2
			The practicality of the training content	C_3
			The recognition of teaching content	C_4
	Trainers' satisfaction level	Trainers' satisfaction level B ₂ The trainers' lesson preparation adequacy		C_1
			The trainers' course grasping degree	C_2
			The trainers' expression accuracy	C_3
			The trainers' ability to combine theory with practice	C_4
			The interaction between trainers and trainees	C_5
	Training organization satisfaction level	B_3	Training time arrangement	C_1
			Training place arrangement	C_2
			Training unit's accommodation conditions	C_3
			Training unit's diet conditions	C_4
			Training classroom environment	C_5
			Training form recognition	C_6
	Follow-up service satisfaction level	B_4	Venture project recommendation	C_1
			Guiding teachers' on-site service	C_2
			Sending technology, information, policy to the countryside	C_3
			Organizing students to exchange experience	C_4

Finally, the importance of various elements to the overall evaluation system is determined, and due to difference in subjective judgment, it often leads to inconsistency in $a_{ii} \neq a_{ik} \cdot a_{ki}$ for

the judgment matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$

At present, the consistency index C.R. = C.I./R.I. is commonly used as the judgment index, and when CR < 0.1, it is believed that the consistency is within the acceptable range.

Scored by experts on each index, the weight of evaluation index system for farmer training performance in Hubei Province was calculated (Table 2).

Table 2 The weight of evaluation index system for farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province

6. 11	Criteria layer		Operating layer		
Goal layer	Index Weight // %		6 Index		
Training satisfaction level	Training course satisfaction level	28.42	The rationality of the overall framework of the course	6.86	
			The richness of the course case	7.84	
			The practicality of the training content	8.23	
			The recognition of teaching content	5.49	
	Trainers' satisfaction level	24.63	The trainers' lesson preparation adequacy	4.79	
			The trainers' course grasping degree	5.81	
			The trainers' expression accuracy	4.69	
			The trainers' ability to combine theory with practice	4.79	
			The interaction between trainers and trainees	4.55	
	Training organization satisfaction level	20.16	Training time arrangement	3.60	
			Training place arrangement	3.30	
			Training unit's accommodation conditions	3.38	
			Training unit's diet conditions	3.48	
			Training classroom environment	3.19	

(Continued)

Coallenn	Criteria layer		Operating layer	
Goal layer	Index	Weight // %	Index	Weight // %
Training satisfaction level			Training form recognition	3.21
	Follow-up service satisfaction level	26.79	Venture project recommendation	6.67
			Guiding teachers' on-site service	5.89
			Sending technology, information, policy to the countryside	7.89
			Organizing students to exchange experience	6.35

2.2 Farmer training satisfaction level The farmer training satisfaction is a state of mind, a kind of self-experience, produced after participating in the training.

In order to evaluate the farmer training satisfaction level, it is necessary to define the psychological state of the participants in the training activities. Using the hierarchy theory, the emotional experience can be divided into several levels.

Therefore, this paper divides the farmer training satisfaction level into five levels: very unsatisfied; not very satisfied; generally satisfied; relatively satisfied; very satisfied (Table 3).

Table 3 Farmer training satisfaction level

Satisfaction level	Characterization	Detail
Very unsatisfied	Indignation, anger, complaint, anti-propaganda	It means that the farmers feel angry and oppressive after participating in the agricultural training, and in this state, the participants will try to complain to the higher authorities, and even disclose the training shortcomings to more people for a long period of time so as to vent dissatisfaction. For example, they remind their friends and family of shying away from the farmer training.
Not very satisfied	Complaint, regret	It means farmers' complaint and regret after taking part in the training. For the participants who are not very satisfied with the farmer training, they are disgruntled but admit defeat due to real pressure. The trainees, who are not very satisfied with the training, will not attend the training again.
Generally satisfied	No obvious positive and negative emotions	It means that the farmers never form apparent emotion in the training process. The trainees, who are generally satisfied with the training, are neutral in re-attending training.
Relatively satisfied	Favorable impression, affirmation, praise	It means the state of favorable impression, affirmation and praise formed when the farmers participate in the training. The participants, relatively satisfied with training, think the farmer training meets the needs of agricultural practices to some extent, but it is far from wholly meeting the needs.
Very satisfied	Excitement, satisfaction, gratitude	It means the state of excitement, satisfaction and gratitude formed after farmers participate in the training. The trainees, very satisfied with the training, believe that the farmer training not only fully meets their own expectations, but also greatly exceeds expectations. The trainees feel that the training institutions not only tap their own hidden needs, but also fully realize the hidden needs.

3 Results and analysis

3.1 Data sources and processing In this study, with "Sunshine Project" of Hubei Provincial Department of Agriculture as the basis, we randomly selected the trainees who participated in the training in 2016 from Zigui, Dangyang, Yuan'an, Yiling, Jingzhou, Gong'an, Songzi, Jiangling to conduct a comprehensive survey.

400 questionnaires were issued and 360 of them were recovered, with response rate of 90%, and there were 348 effective questionnaires. Through effective questionnaire data processing, after completion of the data proofreading, the results of evaluation index system are measured according to the raw data.

In this study, the evaluation index system has 19 indices, together with the trainees' location and sample number. The aggregated data can not be directly combined with the weight of evaluation index system because the unit is inconsistent. To eliminate the influence of the unit on data, there is a need to select the minimum-maximum normalized approach to eliminate the dimension of data.

Specifically, the difference between the maximum and minimum sample values for an index is calculated, the original value of the index is used to subtract the minimum index value, and the re-

sult obtained is divided by the difference between the maximum and minimum sample values to get the dimensionless result.

The maximum and minimum values in the data set are found, and the original value is mapped into the interval [0, 1] through the normalization of difference between the maximum and minimum values. It is calculated as follows:

Normalized data = (Original data - Minimum value) : (Maximum value - Minimum value).

3.2 Evaluation results and analysis After normalization, the index data about the trainees are multiplied by the corresponding evaluation index weight to get the satisfaction level of the index, and the farmer training satisfaction level can be obtained after aggregating the 19 indices (Table 4).

From Table 4, it is found that the overall average satisfaction level on training is high, about 0.8556, and the trainees have the highest satisfaction level on training courses, about 0.2473; the trainees have the lowest satisfaction level on training organization, about 0.1774.

In terms of regions, the average training satisfaction level of the trainees in Yichang is lower than in Jingzhou, about 0.8485; the training course satisfaction level is highest in Yichang and Jingzhou, about 0.2546, 0.2373, respectively, but the training organization satisfaction level is lowest in Yichang and Jingzhou, about 0.1787 and 0.1756, respectively (Table 5).

It can be seen that there are not only differences in the content but also differences in the region for the farmers' training satisfaction level.

Table 4 Evaluation of farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province

	Average satisfaction level				
Satisfaction level evaluation index	Overall	Yichang	Jingzhou		
The rationality of the overall framework of the course	0.059537	0.05968712	0.059335		
The richness of the course case	0.068046	0.06900149	0.066755		
The practicality of the training content	0.070977	0.07574612	0.064531		
The recognition of teaching content	0.048704	0.05018830	0.046697		
The trainers' lesson preparation adequacy	0.042377	0.04357146	0.040763		
The trainers' course grasping degree	0.058127	0.05812680	0.058127		
The trainers' expression accuracy	0.040179	0.03964753	0.040897		
The trainers' ability to combine theory with practice	0.040176	0.04093802	0.039146		
The interaction between trainers and trainees	0.045516	0.04551624	0.045516		
Training time arrangement	0.031125	0.03274690	0.028934		
Training place arrangement	0.028812	0.02918886	0.028302		
Training unit's accommodation conditions	0.030117	0.03042749	0.029696		
Training unit's diet conditions	0.030732	0.03100447	0.030364		
Training classroom environment	0.031853	0.03185280	0.031853		
Training form recognition	0.024748	0.02345858	0.026490		
Venture project recommendation	0.050777	0.04967683	0.052263		
Guiding teachers' on-site service	0.042959	0.04267178	0.043348		
Sending technology, information, policy to the countryside	0.078897	0.07889655	0.078897		
Organizing students to exchange experience	0.031915	0.01618371	0.053174		
Total	0.855572	0.84853102	0.865087		

Table 5 Farmers' entrepreneurship training satisfaction level in Hubei Province

Evaluation index	Average satisfaction level			
Evaluation index	Overall	Yichang	Jingzhou	
Training course satisfaction level	0.24726347	0.25462302	0.23731814	
Trainers' satisfaction level	0.22637475	0.22780004	0.22444870	
Training organization satisfaction level	0.17738639	0.17867909	0.17563950	
Follow-up service satisfaction level	0.20454765	0.18742887	0.22768115	
Training satisfaction level	0.85557227	0.84853102	0.86508749	

4 Conclusions and recommendations

- **4.1 Conclusions** Based on fully understanding the significance of farmer training, this paper builds the evaluation index system for farmer training satisfaction level. Then this paper employs the field survey data about Yichang and Jingzhou in Hubei Province to evaluate the farmer training satisfaction level in Hubei Province.
- (i) The farmers have high satisfaction level on agricultural entrepreneurship training in Hubei Province. Since the agricultural training was carried out in Hubei Province in 2008, it has gradually embarked on the road of sound development.

Agricultural departments at all levels actively play a functional role, and the relevant agricultural institutions and colleges vigorously carry out agricultural entrepreneurship training and establish an effective training system. The trainees have high satisfaction level on the entrepreneurship training.

(ii) There are regional differences in the farmers' entrepreneurship training satisfaction level in Hubei Province. In carrying out the agricultural entrepreneurship training, there are differences in training course setting, training staff arrangement, training organization and follow-up services among different regions, so the trainees in some areas have high training satisfaction level while the trainees in some areas have low training satisfaction level.

(iii) The index weight of evaluation index system is not exactly proportional to the training satisfaction level. The entrepreneurship training satisfaction level is probably proportional to the weight of evaluation index system, but actually it is not entirely true.

The weight of four indices in the criteria layer is in the descending order of training course index (0.2842), follow-up service index (0.2679), trainer index (0.2463) and training organization index (0.2016), but the average training satisfaction level is in the descending order of training course index (0.2473), trainer index (0.2264), follow-up service index (0.2045) and training organization index (0.1774).

This shows that there is still a large space for improving the training effect, especially for those indices with large weight but

low training satisfaction level such as follow-up services and training courses.

4.2 Recommendations The farmer training satisfaction level is high in Hubei Province, but there is still much room for improvement. Hubei Province should improve the training satisfaction level from training courses, training teachers, training organization, and follow-up services.

In the training course, the training institutions at all levels should be based on farmer training demand survey and local industry development characteristics to form the survey report and develop the training implementation plan, and carry out the corresponding curriculum design.

In the teaching courses, it is necessary to combine the theory with local agricultural development conditions, and help farmers to solve some important agricultural production problems. In terms of training teachers, it is necessary to ensure the quality of teachers, pay attention to the teachers' practical teaching ability and experience, try to hire the teachers familiar with agriculture, rural areas and farmers, having relevant work experience.

In the recruitment stage, we can set the link of giving a trial lecture to examine the teachers' training course mastering degree and ability to link theory with practice. At the same time, it is necessary to strengthen teachers' advanced studies to constantly improve the teaching level of trainers.

In addition, we must establish teacher reward and punishment mechanism to increase the assessment of teachers. Through the performance incentive, it can promote the trainers to continuously improve the teaching methods and improve the quality of teaching.

In the training organization arrangement, it is necessary to scientifically select the trained farmers, and strengthen the day-to-day management in the training process. It is also necessary to pay attention to the individual needs of farmers, and actively strengthen the life care and logistical support.

In the follow-up training services, according to the farmers' production needs, it is necessary to further improve the tracking service system, establish farmer training service center, and establish agricultural entrepreneurship service guidance center, to provide a follow-up farmer training service platform.

There is a need to constantly enrich the content of entrepreneurial tracking service, actively carry out farmer production project promotion, policy advice, production technology guidance, market information release and other farmer training tracking services to help the trainees to solve the problems and difficulties encountered in the production process in a timely manner.

References

- BRAUW A, ROZELLE S. Migration and household investment in rural China J. China Economic Review, 2009, 19: 320 – 335.
- [2] CAMERON AC, TRIVED PK. Microeconometrics: Methods and applications [M]. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [3] CECHIN A, BIJMAN J, PASCUCCI S, et al. Decomposing the member relationship in agricultural cooperatives [J]. Implications for Commitment, Agribusiness, 2013(10): 135 – 144.
- [4] SHAN WX. Development path for new-type professional farmer training in high agricultural vocational colleges: A case study of higher agricultural vocational colleges in Hunan Province [J]. Research of Agricultural Modernization, 2015, 36(4):590-594. (in Chinese).
- [5] GAO J, WANG Q. Accurate targeting, classification training according to demand—The exploration and practice of new-type professional farmer training in Xinjin County, Sichuan Province [J]. Rural Economy, 2015 (2):109-113. (in Chinese).
- [6] XU JH, JIANG NH, HU QC. The research on the performance evaluation of new-type farmer training project-based on the demonstration of Jiangsu Province[J]. Problems of Agricultural Economy, 2014, 35 (10):46-54, 110-111. (in Chinese).
- [7] ZHU YR, YANG JX. The effect of motivation and fairness on farmer training engagement [J]. Economic Survey, 2014, 31 (4):43-48. (in Chinese).
- [8] CHEN JL. Bottleneck and countermeasures of the rural training management [J]. Journal of Hebei Normal University, 2014, 16(1):80 85. (in Chinese).
- [9] CHEN JL, LI WJ. Farmer training governance: Reconstruction of the relationship among government, training institutions and society [J]. Theory and Practice of Education, 2013, 33(9):25 – 28. (in Chinese).
- [10] LI J, XIE LJ, LI H. The evaluation of the policy effect of the farmer training project—Based on the empirical test of the data of the fixed observation point of farmers in Ningxia [J]. Journal of Agrotechnical Economics, 2013(3):26-35. (in Chinese).
- [11] LUO WC. Analysis of vocational skills training of Chinese peasants [J]. China Rural Survey, 2013(2);21-28, 93-94. (in Chinese).
- [12] YANG JX, WU CW, ZHU YR. Public subsidy for farmers training supply and demand and balance mechanism selection——Based on research in Chengdu City, Sichuan Province [J]. Problems of Agricultural Economy, 2013, 34(1):72 76. (in Chinese).
- [13] HAO T. Study on the long-term mechanism of peasant training [D]. Xianyang: North West Agriculture and Forestry University, 2012. (in Chinese).
- [14] XU JH, JIANG NH, WIN WW. An empirical study on the willingness and performance of farmers' agricultural science and technology training services: Taking Jiangsu Province as an example [J]. Problems of Agricultural Economy, 2011, 35(12):66-72, 111. (in Chinese).

(From page 7)

- [10] CHEN YY. Export competitiveness of gannan navel orange from interprovincial comparative perspective [J]. Guizhou Agricultural Sciences, 2015,43(11):194-195. (in Chinese).
- [11] WU XY. Study on the role of local government in the formation and development of the navel orange industrial cluster[J]. Nanchang: Jiangxi Normal University, 2014:41-45. (in Chinese).
- [12] ZHANG SR. Research on international competitiveness of southern navel

- orange industry based on diamond model [J]. Journal of Sichuan Economic Management Institute, 2015, 26(1):50 54. (in Chinese).
- [13] SHEN ZM. Changing management mode and promoting the continuous development of citrus industry [J]. Fruit Growers Friend, 2014 (10); 3-4. (in Chinese).
- [14] CHEN YY. Study on international competitiveness of China's orange export[J]. Guangdong Agricultural Sciences, 2016, 43 (9):180 - 182. (in Chinese).