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Introduction

• Demand of healthy and valued foods such as organic foods, functional foods, and nature food have increased worldwide because consumers increasingly concerned about their health and quality of food.

• Teenagers’ consumers had different eating habits, their habits were challenges for food choices motive among teenagers’ attitudes in society.

• Teenagers’ attitudes expected to be a major factor in sustainability of green food markets. Teenagers’ purchasing power would impact healthy food market in future.
Aims of Study

• To investigate teenagers’ preferences, attitudes, and purchasing power towards organic foods in the markets
• To investigate influences of consumers’ choice and purchasing intentions on organic foods
• To investigate of willingness to pay for teenagers in Bangkok and safety foods in the market in the future.
Methodology

• Probit model and Regression model was used to analyzed

• Analysis
  – The willingness to pay for organic foods and their attitudes toward organic food in the market among teenagers.
  – The attitudes toward organic products would measure
  – The impact of the teenagers’ behaviors change in the future green markets
Data

- The questionnaires were collected in Bangkok from 517 teenagers during 2013-2014.
- The questionnaires collected the willingness to pay for conventional and organic products and demographic and socioeconomic.
- The information from Department of Internal Trade, Ministry of Commerce, Costume department, Ministry of finance, the office of agricultural economics, Ministry of Agricultural and Cooperative, Thailand.
• The estimated model is

\[ Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{GEN} + \beta_2 \text{AGE} + \beta_3 \text{INCOME}_G + \beta_4 \text{INCOME}_W + \beta_5 \text{INCOME}_F + \beta_6 \text{SOURCE} + \beta_7 \text{PLACE} + \beta_8 \text{THINK} + \beta_9 \text{LIKE} + \beta_{10} \text{PORKHIGHP} + \beta_{11} \text{OPINIONORG} + \epsilon_i \]

where, \( Y_i = \text{Price of Products} \ i = \text{pork, egg, beef, milk, swamp cabbage} \)

\( \text{GEN} = \text{Gender}, \text{AGE} = \text{Age}, \text{INCOME}_G = \text{Income from Gardian}, \)

\( \text{INCOME}_W = \text{Income from Work}, \text{INCOME}_F = \text{Income from Funding}, \)

\( \text{SOURCE} = \text{Source of Food}, \text{PLACE} = \text{Place of Buying Food}, \)

\( \text{THINK} = \text{Levels of Organic opinion}, \text{LIKE} = \text{Level of Organic consumption decision}, \)

\( \text{PORKHIGHP} = \text{Opinion of high organic price}, \text{OPINIONORG} = \text{Organic opinion} \)
**Results**

**Socioeconomics**

**Gender of respondents**
- Male: 43%
- Female: 57%

**Age between 17-25**

**Hometown of respondents**
- Bangkok/Suburban: 17%
- North: 19%
- Central: 13%
- East: 23%
- West: 12%
- South: 16%

**Sources of income (per month)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of income</th>
<th>&lt;1,000</th>
<th>1,000-2,000</th>
<th>2,001-3,000</th>
<th>3,001-4,000</th>
<th>4,001-5,000</th>
<th>&gt;5,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guardian</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>46.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra work</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Purchasing Respondent

Purchasing Respondents (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Conventional Product</th>
<th>Organic Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>39-42</td>
<td>52-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>150-165</td>
<td>250-270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>145-170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>13-20</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>25-27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Foods were purchased from supermarket rather than fresh market because supermarkets were major places for city life. Their decisions were based on quality and health.
Relationships between willingness to pay for organic products, socioeconomics, and attitude of the respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-3.5734**</td>
<td>-2.5829**</td>
<td>-2.6503**</td>
<td>-1.6930*</td>
<td>-0.7863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
<td>0.1459</td>
<td>0.1681*</td>
<td>0.0589</td>
<td>-0.1827*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>0.1264**</td>
<td>0.0965**</td>
<td>0.0764**</td>
<td>0.0665</td>
<td>0.0364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME G</td>
<td>-0.0045</td>
<td>-0.0366</td>
<td>-0.0447</td>
<td>-0.0187</td>
<td>-0.0613*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME M</td>
<td>-0.0227</td>
<td>-0.0542</td>
<td>-0.0112</td>
<td>-0.0368</td>
<td>-0.0576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME F</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0602*</td>
<td>-0.0265</td>
<td>-0.0254</td>
<td>-0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE</td>
<td>-0.0396</td>
<td>-0.0140</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>-0.0631</td>
<td>-0.0407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACE</td>
<td>-0.1429**</td>
<td>-0.0560**</td>
<td>-0.0557**</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>-0.0374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THINK</td>
<td>0.1519</td>
<td>0.0692**</td>
<td>-0.0806**</td>
<td>0.1116**</td>
<td>0.1176*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIKE</td>
<td>-0.1889**</td>
<td>-0.3240**</td>
<td>-0.3868**</td>
<td>-0.4833**</td>
<td>-0.4243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPORPHIGH</td>
<td>-0.0286</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>-0.0033</td>
<td>-0.0405*</td>
<td>-0.0859*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPINION ORG</td>
<td>-0.1461**</td>
<td>-0.1018**</td>
<td>-0.0949**</td>
<td>-0.1284**</td>
<td>-0.1181**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Denotes Significant at 5 % Level  * Denotes Significant at 10 % Level
• The respondents were 517 teenagers in Bangkok.
• The Probit model showed majority of the respondents preferred organic egg, organic pork, organic milk, and organic cabbage.
• Teenagers were willing to purchase organic products to conventional products.
• The consumers were willingness to pay for organic products depended upon their opinions and availability of organic foods.
• The teenagers would not purchase conventional products higher price than organic products.
• Their major incomes were from the guardians thus consumption was based on attitudes and their budget.
  – The attitudes to purchase depended upon expectation and individual behaviors.
  – The respondents believed that organic foods were good for health and natural; they would more intend to purchase organic foods.
Conclusion

• The willingness to pay for organic foods with positive attitudes comparing to conventional foods was higher price

• The respondents were willingness to pay for the higher price of organic products but they were limited by their budget
  – The purchasing attitude was controlled by their parents.
• Teen consumers intended to purchase organic foods determined by purchasing attitudes, behaviors, and budget.
  – The teen consumers had positive attitudes to purchase green and organic foods in the supermarket.
  – Their organic attitudes were positively without budget, these showed that powerful marketing of green products in the future market.
  – Natural contents, environmental value, political values, and regions impacted on consumers’ motive in the green markets.
– Teenagers’ food choice applied to their social status and society and culture affected food personalities
– Communication influenced foods’ demand in teenagers’ society. Marketing was a major channel to communicate among them.
– Branding and quality of foods were another foods’ choice
– Strategies of teenagers’ food had relationships with social status, gender, and age
• Teenagers were willingness to pay for healthy foods towards organic products.
  – Attitudes and behaviors explained characteristics of green food value and demand in the market
  – Food choices motives and food knowledge were other predictions of sustainable food behaviors also price affected changing in food demand as same as socio-demographic
  – Policy and marketing recommendation was better for stimulating sustainable food consumption among teenagers which could make green market sustainability.
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